

Permitting Decisions- Variation

We have decided to grant the variation for Avonmouth IBA Recycling Facility operated by Day Group Limited.

The variation number is EPR/DP3332JX/V004

The variation is for increasing tonnage at the site. The site is increasing annual throughput from 160,000 tonnes to 200,000 tones, daily processing limits from 700 tonnes to 800 tonnes and storage from 10,000 tonnes to 14,000 tonnes.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It summarises the decision-making process to show how the main relevant factors have been taken into account. We have assessed the aspects that are changing as part of this variation, we have not revisited any other sections of the permit.

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit and the variation notice.

Decision considerations

Confidential information

A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

Identifying confidential information

We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

LIT 11951 2/3/2022 Page 1 of 4

Consultation

The consultation requirements were identified in accordance with the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations (2016) and our public participation statement.

The application was publicised on the GOV.UK website.

We consulted the following organisations:

Local Authority

Health and Safety Executive

No responses were received.

Nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations

We have checked the location of the application to assess if it is within the screening distances we consider relevant for impacts on nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations. The application is within our screening distances for these designations. The following sites were identified:

- Avon Gorge Woodlands (SAC)
- Severn Estuary/ Môr Hafren (SAC)
- Severn Estuary (SPA)
- Severn Estuary (Ramsar)
- Severn Estuary (SSSI)

We have assessed the application and its potential to affect sites of nature conservation, landscape, heritage and protected species and habitat designations identified in the nature conservation screening report as part of the permitting process.

The main activity taking place on site that has the potential to impact sites is storage of material as identified from the SSSI ORNEC. In addition to this there is general noise from the running of the site e.g. deliveries.

The site stores material on an impermeable surface with sealed drainage. There is no point source emission to surface or ground water.

There is no new plant is being introduced as part of this variation there should not be a significant increase in noise at the site.

LIT 11951 2/3/2022 Page 2 of 4

We consider that the application will not affect any site of nature conservation, landscape and heritage, and/or protected species or habitats identified.

Operating techniques

The operating techniques that the applicant must use are specified in table S1.2 in the environmental permit.

General operating techniques

The operating techniques that the applicant must use are specified in table S1.2 in the environmental permit.

Dust management

We have reviewed the dust and emission management plan in accordance with our guidance on emissions management plans for dust.

We consider that the dust and emission management plan is satisfactory and we approve this plan.

We have approved the dust and emission management plan as we consider it to be appropriate measures based on information available to us at the current time. The applicant should not take our approval of this plan to mean that the measures in the plan are considered to cover every circumstance throughout the life of the permit.

The applicant should keep the plans under constant review and revise them annually or if necessary sooner if there have been complaints arising from operations on site or if circumstances change. This is in accordance with our guidance 'Control and monitor emissions for your environmental permit.

There have been no significant changes to the dust and emission management plan as part of this variation. It has been updated to reflect the new tonnages at the site.

Management system

We are not aware of any reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.

The decision was taken in accordance with the guidance on operator competence and how to develop a management system for environmental permits.

LIT 11951 2/3/2022 Page 3 of 4

Growth duty

We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit variation.

Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:

"The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."

We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.

We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.

LIT 11951 2/3/2022 Page 4 of 4