

April 12, 2023 Day 2

Iraq Fatality Investigations

1	Wednesday, 12 April 2023
2	(10.00 am)
3	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good morning.
4	Over to you, Natasha.
5	Housekeeping
6	MS JACKSON: Good morning, everyone. So we've got Day 2 of
7	the hearing starting today. Thanks very much for
8	joining us. Just before introducing the intended
9	running order for today, Ms Al Qurnawi, I don't know if
10	you can hear me?
11	Ms Al Qurnawi, are you able to hear me?
12	Sorry, Jamie, I might summon you back, if that's
13	okay.
14	MS AL QURNAWI: Good morning.
15	MS JACKSON: Good morning. Sorry for the delay there. Are
16	you able to hear me properly now?
17	MS AL QURNAWI: Yes, I can hear you properly now.
18	MS JACKSON: I just wanted to say, before we start, thank
19	you for your email regarding the interpretation.
20	I understand Sophie Warner has responded to it. We will
21	do our best to speak a bit slower today, and we will ask
22	witnesses to do the same, and I hope that improves
23	the interpretation coming through your end.
24	But I'm aware that two of your clients are due to
25	give evidence tomorrow, please do stay in touch with us

1	about the interpretation through the course of the day,
2	and if we need to change arrangements for tomorrow in
3	order to make sure everything can be understood
4	properly, we will discuss that this evening, if that's
5	okay. But we're alive to the issue and we'll do our
6	best.
7	Does that sound okay for you for present purposes?
8	MS AL QURNAWI: Yes, it's fine. We'll be in touch with you
9	during the course of today, but hopefully it will
10	improve today, the translation.
11	MS JACKSON: Hopefully. As I say, we'll all try and speak
12	slower and be cognisant of the fact that someone is
13	having to translate that live for you. Thank you.
14	Okay, so today we're expecting to be hearing
15	evidence from SO86, SO81 and SO95, who I understand are
16	all represented by Mr Foley at GLD, and then SO87, who
17	I understand is one of your clients, Mr Cherry.
18	So unless there are any other matters of
19	housekeeping that anyone needs to raise before we get
20	started I'll just turn to everyone in turn.
21	Mr Foley, anything your end?
22	MR FOLEY: No, no, please proceed.
23	MS JACKSON: Thank you.
24	Mr Cherry, anything?
05	MD OLIEDDV: Nothing from me. the selector

25 MR CHERRY: Nothing from me, thank you.

	Page 3
MS JACKSON: Fantastic.	
Mr Berlow? Ah, it's Mr Hamilton again today.	
MR HAMILTON: Yes, Mr Berlow will be with us shortly. He	
highlighted to me this morning that one of his clients,	
SO89, is due to give evidence tomorrow. He's having	
technical problems and he's a bit unsure, so I have	
emailed Jamie Dalton this morning to see if he was able	
to assist on that matter. That was all.	
MS JACKSON: Thank you very much. That's very helpful.	
Hopefully those can all be ironed out, but do keep us	
updated.	
MR HAMILTON: Will do, thank you.	
MS JACKSON: Ms Moss, are you on the call? No, I think	
Ms Moss was just with us yesterday.	
And finally, Ms Al Qurnawi, is there anything else	
you wanted to raise before we get started?	
Ms Al Qurnawi?	
Can the Millennium Hotel hear us at the moment?	
Sorry, Jamie, I'm a bit concerned about whether we	
can be heard in Basra.	
THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Yes, I believe Ms Al Qurnawi	
is putting the headset on. I'm squinting to see, as you	
probably are as well.	
Ms Al Qurnawi, can you hear us?	
MS AL QURNAWI: Hello, sorry, yes, I can hear you now. We	

1	are trying to sort things out here.
2	MS JACKSON: Okay, thank you. We were just checking that
3	there was nothing else you needed to raise before we
4	start today.
5	MS AL QURNAWI: No, so far so good. We are just trying to
6	sort it out. Thank you, we are fine.
7	MS JACKSON: Thank you very much for that.
8	In that case, we'll get started with the evidence.
9	And I'll hand over to Mr Judd who will be calling SO86,
10	if he's on the call.
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, Mr Judd.
12	SO86 (called)
13	Introduction by MR JUDD
14	MR JUDD: Good morning, everyone.
15	SO86, can I check that you can see and hear me,
16	please?
17	A. I can.
18	Q. Fantastic, thank you. I'm just going to run through
19	some questions on your evidence before we go back to
20	Dame Anne. The first thing is, could you just confirm
21	that you have the list of ciphers in front of you,
22	please?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. Fantastic. And could you just confirm for us that you
25	are indeed SO86?

1	A. I am.
2	Q. Thank you. And you should have received a disclosure
3	bundle before these hearings that included your previous
4	statements. Have you had a chance to look at those?
5	A. I have.
6	Q. Thank you. And you've given two statements to these
7	investigations. If you have the Opus platform open,
8	they are at {A/70/1} and {A/71/1}. If I could just ask
9	you to turn up that first one, please, {A/70/1}.
10	A. Yes, I can see that.
11	Q. Brilliant. And is this the first witness statement
12	you've given to these investigations?
13	A. It is.
14	Q. Wonderful.
15	If you turn to the final page of that, which is at
16	{A/70/11}.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You see a redacted signature and a date 1 June 2022.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Thank you. Is there anything you'd like to confirm or
21	clarify in that statement?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Thank you very much.
24	The second one is at {A/71/1}. If you could turn
25	that one up, please.

- 1 A. Yes, I can see.
- 2 Q. And if you go to the final page of that document, which
- 3 is at {A/71/6}.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You see a "Signed" there?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And again, is there anything you would like to confirm
- 8 or clarify in this statement?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 MR JUDD: Thank you very much. In that case, I will hand
- 11 you back to Dame Anne.
- 12 Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY
- 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good morning, SO86. Let me just check
- 14 that you can hear me clearly and see me?
- 15 A. I can hear and see you, ma'am.
- 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good. At any time anything gets a bit
- 17 distorted or isn't easy for you, remember, I haven't got
- 18 any visual clues about you, so you need to tell me.
- 19 A. Okay, ma'am.
- 20 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Don't be inhibited, just say so.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: A couple of nursery slopes reassurances
- for you, if I can, SO86. When I opened the Inquiry
- 24 yesterday, I said in a general statement it's important
- 25 for people who, like you, have taken the time and

1	trouble to come to try and help us, to be reassured that
2	this is not an adversarial process, it's not a contest,
3	there's no cross-examination, and the object of
4	the exercise is for me, if I can, better to understand
5	what you might offer us, let you have the opportunity to
6	flesh out anything that you can or want to, but there
7	will be no tripping you up, no efforts to catch you out,
8	no attention to fine detail which you might find
9	challenging.
10	So, first of all, can I check that all that's clear
11	to you, SO86?
12	A. Yes, that's clear, ma'am.
13	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And please hold closely hold tightly
14	to it. I know, we'll come to it in a minute, but life's
15	dealt you a bit of a blow, and what I want you to
16	understand right from the beginning is that you and
17	I are both aware there could be times when you simply
18	have to say, "I can't remember". So if you do, please
19	say it. Understood?
20	A. Understood, ma'am.
21	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We are on the same page, are we?
22	A. We are.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right.
24	Now some generalities first. I don't want to
25	embarrass you, but I know you've had a bad accident and

1	I know that it's meant that your memory of the past is
2	not where you'd like it to be. I've already tried to
3	reassure you that if you can't remember, that's fine.
4	Can you give me, in any broad strokes, an idea of
5	where the clarity of what you can remember sits, or is
6	that itself impossible for you to describe to me?
7	A. To be honest, ma'am, I think the main thing is so
8	the biggest thing is that I can't remember any of my
9	childhood whatsoever. There are some things day-to-day
10	I can remember, and then some things some of
11	the things that happened yesterday I can't remember. It
12	just depends.
13	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It's a mixed bag then, is it?
14	A. It is, ma'am, yes.
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So you and I, as we through this, are
16	going, in that elegant phrase, to have to "suck it and
17	see", SO86.
18	A. Yes.
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Now, give me an idea in brief
20	I don't need Is dotted and Ts crossed of your
21	military career. Get me from when you joined up to when
22	you went, in two or three sentences.
23	A. So I joined in 1994 and I'm still serving now.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good. 1994. Do the sums for me.
25	That's quite some career.

Page	9 9
------	-----

- 1 A. Yes. I also had a year out of the Army as well --
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right.
- 3 A. -- serving about 27 years now.
- 4 5 6 7 8 So outline for me the same way -- really helpful, 9 thank you -- what you were doing in the Army in 2003. 10 Take me to 2003, where were you? 11 A. So I was a lance corporal attached to Support Company 12 1st Battalion Black Watch, particularly the 13 Recce Platoon, and we were based at Camp Stephen in 14 Basra. 15 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Well, let's go to Camp Stephen 16 then. Can you remember anything about what we might 17 call the culture of Camp Stephen? Do you know what 18 I mean by that? 19 A. I'm taking you mean the sort of -- the feeling within 20 the camp, within the troops? 21 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, that's it. What did you make of 22 it? 23 A. So in Camp Stephen, when we first got there, it was 24 good, morale was good. There was then some -- well, 25 some things that -- an incident that happened before we

1	even got to Camp Stephen, and there was an
2	investigation, I think, at that point. People were
3	maybe I don't know I wouldn't like I don't know
4	if I'd like to say they were on edge, but there was just
5	a bit of a feeling where things are dropped because of
6	what was going on.
7	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: But that's one particular thing that
8	sticks out in your mind, does it?
9	A. Yes.
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We might come to that.
11	In general, because you'd have had a lot of
12	experience by then, having signed up in '94, how did it
13	compare in terms of the way it ticked over, the culture,
14	the feel of it, with other areas in which you'd
15	operated?
16	A. So with other tours that I'd done, I would say it was
17	probably the same. There was always going to be that
18	feel that you don't know what's happening next. There's
19	always going to be incidents that happen that affect
20	the morale of the troops. So I would say it probably
21	compares quite closely to other operations that I've
22	been on.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So pretty much par for the course;
24	would that be right?
25	A. Yes.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. So how was order and discipline
2	maintained? What was the structure at Camp Stephen?
3	A. So you had obviously the normal chain of command within
4	the Company, you had three platoons with an officer in
5	charge of each platoon, you had our REME detachment that
6	had a artificer in charge of that. And I would say
7	the discipline was very good. It was controlled,
8	obviously, by the sergeant major. You know, he was
9	a disciplinarian, an authoritarian, and I'd say that was
10	what you have to be if you're a sergeant major in those
11	circumstances.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
13	So you were there, weren't you, when the war ended
14	and the soldiers went into post-war phase. Did
15	the atmosphere change, did the approach in Camp Stephen
16	change as the war ended, or to you was it pretty much as
17	it was?
18	A. I think we maybe relaxed a little bit more, because
19	maybe the tensions within the whole of Basra maybe
20	dropped a bit, but that's about as much as I can
21	remember, ma'am.
22	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right.
23	And much interaction, soldiers with civilians?
24	A. When we were out on foot patrol, maybe a little bit
25	more, because I think some of them saw us that we were

1	there to help them, but then there was others who were
2	quite angry because they blamed us for no power, no
3	water, etc.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, okay. So the interaction with
5	the civilians, again, you'd describe that as a mixed
6	bag, it would depend which civilians you were dealing
7	with?
8	A. Yes.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
10	So I want to ask you a general question. Tell me if
11	you can't answer it, that's fine. I think you've spoken
12	in your witness statements of having a difficult time if
13	you reported incidents of mistreatment of a prisoner or
14	a person detained, and it might be linked to your worry
15	that, even if you did, it wouldn't have been dealt with,
16	or they wouldn't have been dealt with properly.
17	I can show you, if you like, on the screen where you
18	wrote that in your witness statement. It's {A/71/2}.
19	You might not need it, but let's get it up while you and
20	I continue to talk. So just bearing that in mind
21	difficult time if you reported stuff, would anybody do
22	anything even if you did would you just flesh that
23	out a little bit for me? What did you mean?
24	A. So I think it was because, as REME, we're not
25	Black Watch cap badge. We get moved around units every

1	two/three years, the Black Watch is a very close-knit
2	battalion, they're all from the same sort of area in
3	Scotland, and, you know, you just wanted to fit in, you
4	didn't want to stand out, you wanted to be accepted,
5	you know, and that's probably what why I have said
6	that.
7	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Understood. Understood.
8	Well, we're going to go on then to the treatment of
9	detainees, and again, if you want it, your statement is
10	{A/70/7}. What you describe is hearing on
11	the grapevine, which you helpfully describe as rumours,
12	essentially, that the CO Black Watch,
13	, came down
13 14	, came down with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you
14	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you
14 15	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs
14 15 16	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he
14 15 16 17	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it".
14 15 16 17 18	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind,
14 15 16 17 18 19	with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind, or has it now gone?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind, or has it now gone? A. The only thing I can remember with that, ma'am, is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind, or has it now gone? A. The only thing I can remember with that, ma'am, is I think I was we were out of camp at the time, and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind, or has it now gone? A. The only thing I can remember with that, ma'am, is I think I was we were out of camp at the time, and when we came back in, I can't remember who it was,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with his RSM and he I'm going to use a phrase you haven't tore strips off the Camp Stephen senior NCOs and above about how prisoners had been treated, and he told them, "Stop it". Now, first of all, can you bring that back to mind, or has it now gone? A. The only thing I can remember with that, ma'am, is I think I was we were out of camp at the time, and when we came back in, I can't remember who it was, someone had said, "Oh, the CO has been down with the RSM

- DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Well, that saves me another longer
 preamble, because in one of your other statements -- and
- 3 if we need it, it's {A/71/3} -- you point out that you
- 4 weren't in the camp. All that happened, so far as your
- 5 information is, you came back and you heard an account
- 6 of what was a rumour. Have I got that right?
- 7 A. That's right, ma'am.
- 8 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. That being so, and groups of
- 9 people being groups of people, SO86, was there any
- 10 pushing down the ranks of what SO114
- 11 had said? In other words, did
- 12 the message filter down into the soldiers in the camp?
- 13 Don't know? What?
- 14 A. To be honest, ma'am, I can't recall.
- 15 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
- 16 A. It may have been that we were told on a briefing by
- 17 artifi, I'm not sure.
- 18 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That's an interesting word. What's
- 19 your artifi? Is it your artificer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, I'll write that one down. Thank
- 22 you, SO86. Just because I'm curious-minded, it's got
- 23 nothing to do with anything, where in the rankings does
- 24 an artificer sit?
- 25 A. He's a staff sergeant.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: He's a staff sergeant, good. Well,
2	we've all learned something thanks to you. Good.
3	So we can take it that you wouldn't know whether
4	there were any training, formal or informal, that arose
5	out of SO114 striping of them,
6	because your memory has stopped before then?
7	A. Yes, ma'am, l've no idea.
8	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right.
9	So something else you describe it's {A/70/5},
10	we'll pop it up on the screen, just in case you want
11	it is an incident at night-time where there might
12	have been mistreatment of detained suspected looters.
13	Now, tell me if you remember this. You might fix it by
14	there being about 70 to 90 men. Let me stop there. Is
15	that incident, with 70 to 90 men, ringing a bell, or
16	shall we leave it?
17	A. I can recall being outside the compound and a load of
18	prisoners were up against the outside wall. I can
19	recall that.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Prisoners the soldiers had taken?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So they're outside the camp against
23	the wall?
24	A. Yes.
25	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Do you know anything more about that,

1	or is that about as far as you can get?
2	A. Without reading my previous statement again, all I can
3	remember is the sergeant major being stood outside
4	the gate that you walk through and the wall. Lights
5	went out, lights went back on. That's about as much as
6	I can remember, ma'am.
7	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Do you want to have a look at
8	your statement? You're very welcome either to look or
9	not to look. You choose.
10	A. I can have a look, ma'am, yes.
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay, it's on the screen for you now.
12	Can you see it?
13	A. Yes, I can see it, ma'am.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You're looking at paragraphs 20 to 28,
15	so we'll need Opus 2 to take you on to the next page.
16	You tell me when you've read and you're comfortable.
17	(Pause)
18	A. Yes, I've read that, ma'am.
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. So where are we now, SO86? Have
20	you just read the words, or has reading the words
21	brought any more of it back to you? What I'm interested
22	in is what you can tell us now as you and I are
23	speaking.
24	A. So the only thing that it's brought back is obviously
25	I can remember lights going out, and but that was,

1	from what I can recall, a common occurrence, because one
2	of the guys that I was working with day-to-day, it was
3	his job that if the lights went out, he had to go and
4	put the generators on to kick the lights back in. So
5	that was something that happened quite a lot.
6	Again, as it said there, I don't you know,
7	I think I've said in another statement I don't know if
8	the lights went out on purpose or not. Again, it was
9	a common occurrence due to the issue of
10	the infrastructure within Basra at the time.
11	It's not bringing anything back about blows to
12	the body, hearing blows to the body, people crying out
13	or anything.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
15	So coming to the stream, as it's called, at
16	Camp Stephen, and you certainly said you can't remember,
17	in fact, that there was a stream outside Camp Stephen.
18	I'm going to ask you to have a look at it will come
19	up on the screen now some photos and sketches and
20	maps. It's {A/2/2}. There we are.
21	Now, just let's motor gently through this collection
22	of images, if Opus 2 can oblige. Let's just go very
23	gently from 1 to 2 to 3 to 4, etc. Give a beat between
24	each. That's it. And the next one.
25	Now, as we are watching, is that bringing it back to

12/04/2	023 Iraq Fatality Investigations
1	you, SO86?
2	A. I can obviously see, ma'am, that there's obviously,
3	you know, a small water source there, but I can't
4	remember as I say in all my statements, I can't
5	actually remember seeing it when I was there.
6	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you, very helpful.
7	While you were there, did you ever hear about any
8	detainees being put in it?
9	A. No, I didn't, ma'am.
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
11	Now, I want to ask you about wetting, a word we've
12	heard a bit about. Can you tell us now what, to you,
13	"wetting" meant or means?
14	A. So obviously now I know that it's someone has
15	probably put plasticuffs on them and thrown into a water
16	source to simulate drowning.
17	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And then? What did you understand when
18	you were there at Camp Stephen?
19	A. To be honest, I didn't really know what it was when
20	I was in Camp Stephen. Obviously I was interviewed by
21	IHAT a couple of times, and one of their guys that
22	questioned me told me what it was, because I didn't

- 23 know. I thought it was something like the water
- 24 boarding, where someone's laid down and something's put
- 25 over their mouth and water board in it. That's what I

Page 19

1	thought it was. But it was him that said, no, this is
2	what it is.
3	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Did anything on the Camp Stephen
4	rumour mill, the grapevine, reach you about frequency,
5	who was involved?
6	A. I can't remember anything to do with that, ma'am.
7	The only thing I remember, I think it was in
8	the statement, was that I can remember walking out of
9	Red Platoon's block, I can't remember what time of day
10	it was, and seeing someone being brought out, or brought
11	into the camp, walking in, and they were wet, and I just
12	I didn't think much of it, I just thought, "Oh,
13	I wonder where he's been", and that was it.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, you're quite right. It's at
15	paragraph 47 of your statement when you tell us about
16	the detainee dripping from head to foot, and that's one
17	you didn't report. So we're talking about the same one
18	here, are we, SO86?
19	A. No, the one that I didn't report, I think, was to do
20	with when I was sat in the vehicle one night with
21	someone that I worked with. I can't remember who it
22	was. So that was I think that was something
23	different.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right. Well, let's come back to
25	the wet detainee. Did he seem to you to be the sort of

Ρ	age	Э	2	0
	- 5	-		_

1	wet I would be if I went into the sea and then came out
2	having swum, or was he the sort of wet that I might be
3	if I went paddling in a pool, or
4	A. To be honest, ma'am, I think I think I can only sort
5	of remember that he was wet on the bottom half.
6	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Thank you very much.
7	So you wouldn't remember anything about his hair?
8	A. No.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That being, as we experts know, on
10	the top half of the body. Right.
11	Can you remember anything about the way other people
12	around you reacted to seeing the same as you were
13	seeing?
14	A. I can't, ma'am. I think even in my statements I can't
15	recall who was around, who was with him or anything.
16	I think I just thought, oh, you know, he might have been
17	ran off and tried jumping the Shatt Al-Arab, and they
18	managed to get him out and brought him in for
19	questioning. I don't know.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Well, SO86, thank you for all
21	the help you've given us, and thank you for how honest
22	and open you've been about your memory. I'm just going
23	to pause for a beat and let the team, Ms Jackson,
24	Mr Judd, Ms Bailey, Ms Warner, see if there's anything
25	any one of them would like to ask you.

- 1 So Mr Judd, can we start with you. Anything you'd
- 2 like to ask SO86?
- 3 MR JUDD: No, thank you, Dame Anne.
- 4 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Any others of the team?
- 5 MS JACKSON: Nothing from me, Dame Anne.
- 6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Right, just bear with me, SO86,
- 7 a moment, because Mr Judd will just go round
- 8 the representatives to see whether any would like to
- 9 suggest that he or I ask any more questions of you.
- 10 We'll not be long. Just stay with us for a minute.
- 11 A. Okay, ma'am.
- 12 MR JUDD: Yes, thank you.
- 13 Can I start with Ms AI Qurnawi and those at
- 14 the Grand Millennium Hotel, please. Any questions you'd
- 15 like to put?
- 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Ask us to put.
- 17 MS AL QURNAWI: Yes, hi. No, no questions from us.
- 18 MR JUDD: Thank you.
- 19 Ms Vyvyan-Robinson, any questions that you would
- 20 like us to put?
- 21 (Pause)
- 22 No? I'll take that silence as a no.
- 23 Mr Foley, any questions you'd like to put through
- 24 us?
- 25 MR FOLEY: No, nothing from me. Thank you very much.

1 MR JUDD: Thank you. 2 Mr Berlow? 3 MR HAMILTON: No, nothing from ourselves. Thank you very 4 much. MR JUDD: Thank you. Sorry, I should say Mr Berlow by way 5 6 of Mr Hamilton. 7 And Mr Cherry, any questions you'd like to put 8 through counsel's team? 9 MR CHERRY: No, thank you very much. 10 MR JUDD: I think that's it, Dame Anne. 11 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. 12 Right, SO86, thank you once again, and thank you for 13 being prepared to come at all. Life's tough enough, and 14 you were dealt a pretty unhappy card, so renewed thanks 15 to you, and all the best. 16 A. Thank you very much, ma'am. 17 (The witness withdrew) 18 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right. 19 Now, as SO86 leaves us, shall we just pause? 20 I wouldn't mind knowing from the interpreters' point of 21 view how my pace and voice is going for them. Can we 22 have a bit of information on whether I need to change 23 anything? 24 THE INTERPRETER: Witnesses are a little bit emotional, so 25 they will tend to speak quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Iraq Fatality Investigations MS AL QURNAWI: Is this a question here to us in the Millennium? DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, I just wanted to know if you can cope and the interpreters can cope with my voice and its pace, in other words it's not too fast and it's easily understood. That is what I was after. MS AL QURNAWI: From our side, if we are honest, we're finding it a bit difficult to follow, just because of -not because of your voice, just because the sound is not 10 totally clear. Plus as well here the audience, they 11 don't know who is speaking, who is asking, who is 12 answering, so there is like sort of overlapping. 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. We'll ask Opus 2 and the team to 14 think about that. That is helpful, thank you. 15 MS AL QURNAWI: Thank you. 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, Natasha, back to you with 17 the organisation of the day. 18 MS JACKSON: Thank you, Dame Anne. So I think our next 19 witness is SO81. 20 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. 21 SO81 (called) 22 MS JACKSON: Is SO81 there? 23 A. Yes. 24 MS JACKSON: Thank you, SO81. I'm going to hand back to 25 Mr Judd, who's going to ask you some questions.

1	Introduction by MR JUDD
2	MR JUDD: Thank you SO81. Can I just check that you can see
3	and hear me okay?
4	A. Yes, I can.
5	Q. Fantastic, thank you. I'm just going to run through
6	some questions on your evidence before we go back to
7	Dame Anne. First off, have you got that list of ciphers
8	in front of you?
9	A. I have now, but I was very disappointed that I didn't
10	get it until last thing yesterday, which did cause me
11	lots of angst and confusion yesterday, thinking why did
12	everybody know who everybody is. I didn't get that
13	until about, I would say, about 3.30, 4 o'clock, which
14	did cause me a lot of stress.
15	Q. I see. Well, we will go perhaps a little bit slower
16	when it comes to referencing people on that list.
17	But before we do, would you mind just confirming
18	that you are in fact SO81?
19	A. Yes, I am.
20	Q. Wonderful. And you should have received a disclosure
21	bundle that included your previous statements. Have you
22	had a chance to look at that?
23	A. Yes, I have, yes.
24	Q. Brilliant. And you have given a statement to these
25	investigations which, if you have the Opus platform open

1	in front of you, is at {A/61/1}.
2	A. I didn't get any sort of indication of that platform.
3	That was another angst I had yesterday as well. I've
4	got my own statements, which I think are the ones you
5	are going to use today, yes, which were sent to me in
6	email, but I'm not getting a link to any other portal
7	that I hear other people have.
8	Q. Okay. So just to double-check, you don't have access to
9	the Opus platform at the moment?
10	A. No, I didn't even know it existed until I heard other
11	people talking about it.
12	Q. Okay. Well, we'll come to that in a moment. But if you
13	have the statement you gave to these Investigations, if
14	you go to the final page of it, on page 7.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. You should see a date there, 1 June of last year. Is
17	that the statement you gave to these investigations?
18	A. Yes, the 01.06.2, yes.
19	Q. Is there anything you'd like to confirm or clarify in
20	that statement?
21	A. No.
22	MR JUDD: All right, thank you. Well, in that case I'll
23	hand you back to Dame Anne. Thank you.
24	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY
25	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good morning, SO81. Let me just check

- 1 that you can hear me adequately.
- 2 A. Yes, I can hear you fine, ma'am.

3 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good. I can't remember, can you see me

4 as well or can you only hear me?

5 A. I can see you as well.

6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You can see and hear me. Remember,

7 I can't see you, so I won't have any visual clues about

8 whether anything is not going well, or you're puzzled or

9 whatever. I think that I'm dependent, therefore, on you

10 to say to me anything you like, "Slow down", "I'm not

11 happy", "Do this differently". Will you do that for me,

12 please, SO81?

13 A. I will do, ma'am.

14 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.

15 Right, so let's start with an outline, please, of

16 your military career. I don't need Is dotted and Ts

17 crossed, I just want you, in a paragraph, to take us

18 from when you signed up to when you went?

19 A. Yes, so basically I joined in the mid-80s. I'm still

20 serving now, so I've done about 36 years military

21 service. I spent a lot of time with different units,

and I spent my time during the dates with Black Watch.

23 I was attached personnel to the Black Watch during that

24 period.

25 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. And put aside all modesty,

1	if you will. You were an expert in something. What was
2	it?
3	A. It was armoured inventory for weapons systems for
4	armoured vehicles (inaudible - break in audio).
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: A lot of training for you went into
6	that? A lot of professional stuff? I'm just curious.
7	A. Yes, lots of yes, the course used to be the first
8	instructors course was something like 10 weeks long, and
9	then the next one to then be able to teach other people
10	to be instructors was nearly nine months long.
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That's quite something. Where did you
12	do it, your nine-monther?
13	A. It was down in It's a lovely part of
14	the country.
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Mm. And again, just put your modesty
16	to one side, am I right in thinking that that's the big
17	compliment to you, being selected to pass on your expert
18	knowledge; is that right?
19	A. Yes, it was. It was the guys who were (inaudible -
20	break in audio) Sandhurst instructors. It's sort of
21	to me, it's the same par as that in the sort of
22	the armoured side of things, yes.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
24	So take us now with you into 2003 when I think
25	you're a colour sergeant attached to 1BW, and you did

1	a lot of driving for SO88. Have I got all that right?
2	A. That's correct. So I was part of the headquarters
3	group.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. Just, again, the same thing.
5	You did it beautifully when I asked you about your
6	military career. Can we just have a paragraph about
7	your role in 2003 out there in Camp Stephen, please?
8	A. So my my role was, because we had a mixed amount of
9	armoured vehicles from the initial transition from war
10	to sort of peace-keeping, or whatever you want to call
11	it, we had the armoured vehicles, and my job was to make
12	sure that those vehicles were maintained, serviced and
13	so on. But in that situation, my second role ended up
14	being part of the OC's team, because he went because
15	we didn't want to look so sort of in your face, sort of
16	thing, we went from armoured vehicles to Land Rovers.
17	So therefore the OC needed our Land Rover team, so we
18	ended up with two Land Rovers which then went from A to
19	B, so I was part of that team, yes.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Understood.
21	So training and instruction. We'll put up on
22	the screen for you {A/61/2}, which you'll recognise.
23	SO81, when we put something up for you, it doesn't mean
24	you're going to have to use it. I'm putting it up just
25	as a backdrop and you might want to use it, and I'm

Page 29

1	particularly going to have it put up for you because
2	you've been disconcerted by the late arrival of stuff.
3	So that, I hope, will reassure you. And also, we
4	needn't rush.
5	But I just want you to tell me, can you remember
6	anything now at all about any specific training that
7	dealt with looting, detention of individuals, or the use
8	of and the danger about water during detention? Did you
9	have any directed training about any of that?
10	A. I was slightly different, ma'am, because I'd actually
11	had an accident prior to redeployed, a
12	So the the Battalion had lots of other training
13	happening while I wasn't there, so I so between sort
14	of had an
15	accident, and then I ended up in Germany for a lot of
16	the build-up on that. So I may have missed bits of
17	training that the Battalion had done, but I do know we
18	would have done our mandated MATTs training, because
19	without those MATT training you wouldn't have been able
20	to deploy, because if it's not on your GPA system, which
21	is our sort of electronic system, then you weren't
22	deployable. So I would have went through the mandated
23	MATTs, like I had done for the last 20 years before that
24	that we went through MATTs.
25	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Got it. That is clear and helpful,

1	thank you.
2	And water boarding, such as, we can all read about
3	that. You'd have known you wouldn't have needed
4	training that that was inappropriate. It sounds as
5	though, from what you've just said, you weren't briefed
6	on whether looters could be put into water or couldn't
7	be put into water. Have I got that right or wrong?
8	A. I think that would be common sense. At the end of
9	the day, the Geneva Convention is there, how you treat
10	people, and normal sort of moral compass is there. So
11	therefore any soldier or any individual would know
12	that's not right, so therefore and the words
13	"wetting" and "boarding" and all the rest of it, it
14	wasn't even terminology back then. It wasn't things
15	that anybody thought of back then. I didn't, anyway.
16	I don't know whether any others did, but it was not
17	something people would come up with.
18	We were always taught, whoever we dealt with, be it
19	with and I did tours in Northern Ireland, Bosnia,
20	Kosovo, Iraq, the first Iraq War where we dealt with
21	prisoners of war quite extensively, Afghanistan. You
22	didn't need to be told how to treat prisoners, you knew
23	how to treat prisoners. It had been drilled into you as
24	a young 16/17-year old soldier that you had to treat
25	people fairly, because that's how you would like to be

- 1 treated yourself.
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Do as you would be done by?
- 3 A. Yes. The discipline system would then deal with you if
- 4 you were treating anybody --
- 5 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 6 A. -- the wrong way.
- 7 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: If you had to do a broad strokes of
- 8 the brush description of what training you did have for
- 9 and in Camp Stephen, what would you say? Adequate,
- 10 inadequate, terrific, forgotten about it?
- 11 A. Training within? Any training we got within, did you
- 12 say?
- 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Mm.
- 14 A. I don't think we received any training when we were
- 15 within Camp Stephen. We were briefed and orders were
- 16 delivered -- delivered to us on a daily basis for any
- 17 sort of FRAGOs or any other stuff that was coming from
- 18 upwards, sort of thing. But training, I would say not.
- 19 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 20 So detainees at Camp Stephen. Can you give us
- a word picture of what it would be that would trigger
- a detainee being taken to Camp Stephen and why they'd go
- 23 there? So let's start with what would prompt the taking
- 24 of a detainee to Camp Stephen?
- 25 A. The main, I would say, 95% of the time it was for the --

1	for the looting, so and it was because people were
2	directly caught stealing from most of the time it was
3	from the metal factory. And we all sort of knew that we
4	couldn't then do anything else with them, but it was
5	more as most people says, it was more of a deterrent
6	than anything else. It was a mess-about, it was
7	disabling their vehicles, it was moving them back to
8	somewhere else and then them having to get back home
9	again. So it was just a deterrent. But I think after
10	time that deterrent just wore off, because we were
11	getting some people who had come back a second time or
12	a third time, because it just that was the way they
13	conducted their business.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. So it lost its potency, you're
15	describing, after a while, because they knew exactly
16	what would happen. You just used a wonderful phase, it
17	was "a mess-about". So the second and third and fourth
18	time they knew you'd just mess them about, but they'd go
19	home. Was that the picture?
20	A. Yes, but then through that we knew that, the guys knew
21	that. The guys were getting tired and more tired and
22	more tired doing it. And also we were then setting
23	patterns up as well, because we were then going in and
24	out of that place all the time, so therefore the chances
25	of a proper contact with an arrest was more.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Understood. So by repetition you were
2	actually, the ability of the troops to manage things
3	was itself reduced, because a pattern is dangerous for
4	you, is that it?
5	A. Yes. But then again, the chain of command was then
6	getting pressure from the local tribal leaders to do
7	something about it, so we were sort of caught between
8	a rock and a hard place, because we had to act in some
9	way, otherwise what was the point just sitting in
10	Camp Stephen?
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. And the amount of
12	oversight/general view of what was going on by tell
13	me if I'm wrong SO101, the CSM, and SO88, officer
14	commanding, their degree of oversight about the
15	detail
16	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Interpreter, you're speaking
17	Arabic on the English channel. Interpreter, you're
18	speaking Arabic on the English channel.
19	(Pause)
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: SO81, we'll just pause for a minute
21	while that's regularised. It doesn't affect you and me.
22	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: I think the matter's been
23	resolved, Dame Anne. Thank you.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, thank you.
25	We're back on board, SO81. You were just about to

1	tell us the degree of oversight of the CSM and the OC on
2	detainees.
3	A. Well, as far as I'm concerned, the sergeant major would
4	have had full oversight of everything. The OC would
5	have he would know how many were in at any one time,
6	but the sergeant major would be the person who would be
7	dealing with them. If he wasn't dealing with them
8	directly, he would be the person organising who was
9	dealing with them. And I would presume that he was then
10	doing daily checks to make sure individuals were doing
11	their job properly.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. Just a final little exchange, you
13	and me, on looting, if I can. Just a slightly different
14	aspect of it. I think really we've been talking about
15	stopping people thieving from buildings and taking
16	the metal, etc, etc, which requires them to go out and
17	into buildings. Was there a concept of some sort of
18	informal measurement of whether one particular premises
19	was of high value? Was there a sort of index in
20	the collective head that those three sets of premises
21	are high value and we've got to make them a priority, or
22	don't you know?
23	A. I think, from what I can call, there was the metal place
24	and there was also a food place, and then there was lots
25	of garages and petrol stations and water filling

1 stations, which were all priorities. I don't know what 2 levels they all were, but everything seemed to have been 3 a priority based around just normal day-to-day living. 4 So it was the metal works, it was the grain place, it 5 was the water points which we set up and guarded, and it 6 was also the petrol stations as well. 7 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: When you were out driving the OC, do 8 you remember -- just a chance question -- whether you 9 and he came across any looters? 10 A. Constantly. Constantly. It was a constant thing, but 11 you didn't -- you didn't stop. Everywhere you went, 12 there was a donkey moving about with metal coming from 13 where we knew it came from. You couldn't -- you couldn't stop everything that was happening. So it was 14 15 constant. It seemed to be the culture at that time. 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So if I'd asked you a slightly 17 different question, how often, if at all, did you and 18 the OC stop if you saw a looter? I'd get a very 19 different answer, would I? 20 A. Yes, if we'd seen an individual -- individual people 21 looting, then we would drive past. If you were going 22 from A to B, it would be a constant thing that you'd 23 see. How could you prove that metal had came from 24 there, unless you'd actually seen them pulling it over 25 the wall, you know what I mean? And if we did drive

1	past and they were pulling it over the wall, we would
2	stop, and by that time they'd be off with their donkey
3	and they'd be away.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, you can hardly ask the donkey if
5	the donkey has any views on where the metal came from,
6	can you?
7	A. No. Half the time the donkey ended up in the air, yes.
8	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you very much, SO81. I'm going
9	to pause there, because I'm going to hand you back to
10	Mr Judd for the balance of the questions. I'll come
11	back to you at the end. Thank you.
12	Right, Mr Judd.
13	Questions from MR JUDD
14	MR JUDD: Thank you, SO81. I should say before I just start
15	with some questions, I appreciate you may not have had
16	a chance to see some of this material, so what I'll do
17	is I'll put it up on the screen, but if you need any
18	more time with it, just say, and we can take as long as
19	you need in order to help your recollection.
20	A. Yes, that's fine.
21	Q. Thank you.
22	If we could just turn up, firstly, document
23	{A/61/2}, please, Opus. Thank you. If we could have
24	the bottom of the screen, please, so that's a bit more
25	zoomed down. Perfect.

Page 3	37
--------	----

1	Now, SO81, you've already helpfully described
2	the scale of the looting that was going on in 2003, and
3	at paragraph 9 of your statement here, you say that that
4	looting was one of the reasons to take detainees to
5	Camp Stephen and that it was a deterrent to stop them
6	from doing it. Dame Anne has explored this with you,
7	but I just wondered if you could give us any more
8	details about it.
9	For example, whose decision was it to first start
10	bringing detainees back to Camp Stephen, as a deterrent
11	or otherwise?
12	A. Well, I would say it would have came from Battalion
13	initially, and then down to the OC, because when some of
14	the operations happened at night-time, we were in
15	a place where we could then extract lots of detainees.
16	So it was plan to go out and bring back, because there
17	was measures put in place to have enough transportation
18	to bring them back.
19	Q. Okay, thank you.
20	When you say "transportation", this may seem like
21	a different question, but how were those detainees
22	brought back to the camp?
23	A. I can't totally recall. I can vaguely remember it was
24	vehicles which had been confiscated from them at
25	previous times, if I can recall, but I can't totally

1	recall it. The incident which we were speaking about
2	earlier on where there was about 90 to 100 detainees,
3	they certainly weren't put into military vehicles to
4	bring them in. I'm positive it was civilian
5	civilian-type vehicles which were used to bring them in,
6	and that would have been, possibly, the vehicles which
7	were there to to confiscate the metal with.
8	Q. Okay, thank you.
9	And in bringing those detainees back, can you give
10	a bit more colour on what the deterrent aspect of doing
11	that was? What was supposed to happen to the detainees
12	that would mean, once they'd been brought back, they
13	would have second thoughts next time about looting?
14	A. Basically, yes, because it was at that stage, there
15	was no civilian sort of prisons, or police or whatever,
16	so therefore it would have been impossible for us to
17	keep that amount of people and maintain them, feed them,
18	water them, look after them. So, therefore, there could
19	only be one reason, and that was to bring them back,
20	waste a bit of their time, take their details and then
21	let them go. There was no other alternative. The camp
22	was as small as it was.
23	Q. Thank you.
- -	

And you just mentioned there that you would taketheir details and then waste their time and then send

1	them on their way. Could you just give us a bit more
2	detail as to what else would happen to the detainees
3	after they were brought back to camp?
4	A. I personally don't know and I don't recall. I wasn't
5	part of that. I know they were brought back, and then
6	the other senior NCOs within the Company would then deal
7	with them. The OC wouldn't be wouldn't we'd come
8	in in the headquarter group, we'd be straight back into
9	camp and then deal with other stuff, and then it would
10	then be the company sergeant major and the other 2ICs
11	and all the rest who would then deal with the detainees.
12	So me, personally, I never dealt with any detainees.
13	Q. Thank you, that's very helpful.
14	And in bringing these detainees back to
15	Camp Stephen, you've already explained to Dame Anne
16	that, if I can summarise, it became less effective as
17	time went on, perhaps, because the looting didn't stop
18	and you'd often see the same people twice. Was it
19	effective, broadly, in your view, bringing the detainees
20	back to the camp?
21	A. Probably not. Probably not, because you couldn't be
22	there 24/7. So, therefore, okay, you were catching so
23	many of them as you turned up, and they then or lots
24	of them would then sort of disappear in the dark sort of
25	thing, but you might be dealing with an hour, but it

1	would go on in broad daylight, so so for the other
2	23 hours in that day they were still doing it, you know
3	what I mean, so yes.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	Turning and looking at paragraph 9 of the statement
6	again on the screen, you also tell us that the commander
7	on the ground would make a decision whether these
8	detainees were brought back to the camp. Perhaps
9	looking at that cipher list again, I appreciate
10	you've not had a huge amount of time to look at it, but
11	can you tell us, without identifying them other than
12	using ciphers, who those commanders were?
13	A. Yes, the commanders were it would have been I'm
14	just looking through the cipher list at the minute is
15	yes, so they were broken into three different
16	platoons, and each of those platoons had a platoon
17	commander and they had a 2IC, so either one of them
18	would be making a decision to bring detainees in or not.
19	If it was a corporal who was in charge of a certain
20	section, he would radio up to his 2IC, or his platoon
21	commander, and say, "Right, I've got a detainee", and
22	then his platoon commander/2IC would then say, "Yes,
23	we're going to take him in", or, "No, our main our
24	main role is doing this, this and that". Yes. So at
25	all levels, you know what I mean? When I say

1 "commander", that's commanders at all levels. But at 2 certain levels lower down, they would be in asking up 3 the chain of command, because a corporal wouldn't just 4 turn up with lots of detainees, because he would have 5 spoke on the radio to his 2IC, or else his platoon 6 commander, and say, "I have got detainees, what do you 7 wish me to do". And then somebody would then decide 8 would they come in or would they not come in. 9 Q. Understood. 10 And can you recall what those commanders used to 11 make that decision? So when would a detainee be brought 12 back and when wouldn't they be brought back? 13 A. I don't know what they would judge the decision on, 14 you know what I mean? Would it be the scale? Would it 15 be because the person had been seen before? Was it 16 because there was enough space in the camp? Was it 17 because there was other people there, so they wanted to 18 make a point? I don't know what that individual's 19 decision would have been, but I'm sure that it would 20 have been for the right reasons. It wouldn't just have 21 been because I just feel like taking some -- somebody 22 into camp. 23 Q. Okay, thank you. 24 Can you remember if there were any other practices,

25 other than bringing detainees back, that were used

1	routinely to try and deter people from looting?
2	A. There would be there would be patrolling, there would
3	be checkpoints set up. Yes, that would be about all.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	Opus, could we just go over the page, please, to
6	paragraph 11 {A/41/3}.
7	Just staying with your statement for a moment, SO81.
8	Looking at paragraph 11 here, you describe the stream
9	outside of Camp Stephen. I'll just give you a couple of
10	moments just to read through that, if you don't mind.
11	A. Yes, I'm happy enough with that.
12	And when I say "stream", this wasn't a fast-flowing
13	stream; half the time, it was a puddle, you know what I
14	mean? It was more of a drainage ditch than so, so
15	people get the under the impression of what this is, it
16	wasn't a fast-running steam, it was more stale, dirty,
17	stagnant water. I would have recalled it more as
18	a drainage ditch rather than a proper stream, you know
19	what I mean, in my sort of wording here.
20	Q. Okay, perhaps you could help us with that. I'm just
21	going to bring up some photos of the camp.
22	So if we could turn, Opus, firstly to {A/2/2},
23	please. Thank you very much.
24	SO81, I hope you can see an aerial photo of
25	the camp.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you help us with where the stream was in thisphoto, if it does appear at all?
- A. I'm trying to orientate myself here. I can't even workthat out.
- Q. Is it that bit that runs diagonally across the bottom ofthe right-hand side of the screen?
- 8 A. If it is, it's not what I recall, you know what I mean?
- 9 That is not what I recall being at the front of the ...
- 10 So is that -- is that the front of the camp there, just
- 11 as you come -- so that there is the stream, is it?
- 12 Q. I believe so. I think --
- 13 A. That bottom right-hand -- it's not what I recall.
- 14 I don't recall anything as big as that.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 And there are a couple more things which might
- 17 help -- again, I appreciate this is some time ago.
- 18 But if we turn, Opus, to {A/3/2}, please. I'm
- 19 hoping a sketch of the camp is going to pop up.
- 20 Brilliant.
- 21 So we have a sketch of the camp here, SO81.
- I couldn't help you with how it's oriented, but you can
- see at the bottom there we've got a compound in which
- there is a main gate marked on the left-hand side, and
- then top-left side of that compound we've got something

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

river" --

the camp?

A. Yes ... yes.

A. Yes.

marked as the guard tower. Does that help at all with

Page 44

- your recollection of the layout of the camp? A. A bit, yes, but it's not the best of sort of illustrations, yes. Q. Okay. I'm going to try and go to some more, because there are guite a few. But just on this one, on the left-hand side there, where it says "sewerage small Q. -- is that the ditch that you described outside Q. There's one more that might help. If we go to {A/4/5}, please, Opus. Thank you. Now, we've heard before that this was the guard tower that just appeared in that sketch, and if you look beyond the guard tower, you can see, running from the left side of the screen, there's a green line of plants which appear to be on some sort of bank, and then it runs behind the guard tower, and then we have it running alongside that wall there where we understand that you can see the tops of three armoured vehicles.
- 24 Q. Again, does that help at all with any description you

25 can give?

A. Yes.

1	A. Yes yes, it does, but I can actually see from from
2	there I I cannot recall the even from
3	when you're on the ground you know, I would not go up
4	on top of the roofs and all the rest of it, but if you
5	were on the ground there, you would not be able to
6	notice that that was a river running left to right at
7	the back end there. So so what from my memory,
8	I don't recall the river being that focused.
9	Q. Thanks. And you described it as more of a drainage
10	ditch.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Would you just help us with a little bit more detail, if
13	possible? So how deep was it? You know, where would it
14	come on your body if you stood in it? Things like that.
15	A. No idea. No idea. I don't think I actually got within
16	50/70 yards of the thing. And I wouldn't have any
17	reason to be the thing, you know what I mean? So
18	I don't recall depth, size, whatever. I wouldn't have
19	been near the thing. It wasn't part of the camp.
20	Q. Okay.
21	I don't suppose that you have any recollection of
22	either hearing of or seeing anyone who was brought back
23	to the camp being put in that ditch at all?
24	A. No. I don't I don't see why they would be, and
25	because it was a distance away from where the detainees

1	were dealt with. So for them to be trudged out
2	the front gate, across that vehicle park and over to
3	that river, that, to me, would everybody would be
4	able to see that, and you'd need to have a reason to do
5	that, you know what I mean?
6	Q. Thank you, that's helpful.
7	Opus, could we just turn up SO81's statement at
8	paragraph 35. The document number should be {A/61/6}.
9	So SO81, it's just a short paragraph. You say that
10	you're not aware of any incidence of detention or
11	mistreatment of detainees involving certain individuals.
12	I'm just going to take you to one document and see if it
13	helps with any recollection you might have.
14	So, Opus, could we turn up {A/132/1}, please. Thank
15	you. You got there before I did.
16	Sorry, bear with me, SO81. My computer's going
17	slower than the Opus platform.
18	So at the top of the page there, could you just have
19	a read of that first paragraph that begins:
20	"One day I was on the roof"
21	(Pause)
22	Could you just let me know when you've had a chance
23	to read that.
24	(Pause)
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Thank you.
2	So this is the account of SO113, and he here seems
3	to be describing shouting and screaming coming from
4	within the tents. Now, we understand that tent was
5	what's been called the EPW area, and he heard things
6	like, "Let's throw them in the river", and he was
7	concerned that detainees were being, in his terms,
8	"beasted". Is this anything that would jog your memory
9	at all, or do you have any recollection of prisoners
10	A. No
11	Q being treated this way?
12	A. No, definitely not, no. I never heard of anybody being
13	taken and thrown into a river in my time being there,
14	no.
15	Q. Okay, thank you.
16	Just a few more questions. If we could go to
17	well, in broad terms, I think you've previously
18	described in a 1 May 2014 statement that the REME
19	personnel had concerns about the treatment of detainees
20	in a compound close to where REME worked. Just can you
21	help us with whether that compound was the EPW cage?
22	A. I'm led to believe that the REME, the section where they
23	did the repairs on the vehicles because most vehicles
24	were outside, but if a vehicle had to be fixed, if I can
25	recall, were brought inside and the REME had their own

1	sort of workshop was that beside where the tent was
2	set up the EPW tent was set up? I think it was, yes.
3	I don't know how close, I don't recall, but I'm sure it
4	was in that area of the camp, yes.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	Just while we're on treatment of detainees, Opus,
7	could we turn up, or go back to {A/61/5}, please.
8	This is going back to your statement, SO81.
9	A. Yes, okay.
10	Q. Paragraph 32. Would you mind just having a quick read
11	of that before we discuss it.
12	A. Yes, yes, I'm fine with that, yes.
13	Q. Brilliant, thank you.
14	So you're recalling here intervening to stop another
15	soldier from kicking a detainee who had got out of
16	a vehicle at Camp Stephen and that soldier's officer
17	took exception to your getting involved. Could you just
18	give us a bit more detail on this?
19	A. Yes, I would like to. I would like to, yes.
20	Q. Thank you.
21	A. So, I did say to you earlier on that 95% of the people
22	who were brought back to camp were dealt with for
23	looting. On some occasions, it was not of that, it was
24	contacts that people had on the ground and other more
25	serious attempted As far as I'm aware, and from what

I can recall, that was part of the 5%, you know what
 I mean, where the individuals who had gone out -- if
 I can recall, I think there was contact near the camp,
 shots were fired, the QRF then went out, went out for
 some time.

6 And then, which was unusual, was then vehicles then 7 came into camp at quite a fast pace and detainees were 8 then dropped off. And that was normal business, because 9 normal business with looters, they weren't brought into 10 the camp, they were dealt with -- the majority of them 11 were dealt with outside the camp. So, bearing in mind 12 I'm in camp and I've not got the adrenaline going 13 through me and all the rest of it, so therefore when 14 those vehicles came skidding in and they stopped just 15 right beside the headquarters, that was unusual. 16 So when the soldiers went to take the detainees off 17 the vehicle, one of the detainees -- was he trying to 18 flee, was he trying to do whatever -- he came off 19 the vehicle at some speed and the soldier reacted in 20 a way which I thought was incorrect but others thought 21 it was okay. And actually, now that I think more 22 about it, well, it might have been okay, because that 23 prisoner was trying to escape, or -- because I don't 24 know, was that prisoner struggling beforehand, was that 25 struggler doing whatever he was brought in for? At that

1	point, I don't know what it is. So I intervened, and
2	I still, quite rightly, say I should have intervened and
3	I did intervene. And then when I did intervene, because
4	basically the soldier tried to kick when I say kick,
5	he was probably more likely trying to trip him to then
6	get him to fall, you know? So then when I made comment,
7	the officer who was there, who was in charge of that
8	soldier, then said to me, "Sergeant Major", or, "Colour
9	Sergeant, keep out of it, none of your business,
10	blah blah blah". So it was a rebuke to me about it.
11	I still wasn't happy, because as far as I was
12	concerned, it possibly could have been dealt with in
13	another way, but there was probably lots of situations
14	which which sort of went to that line. But
15	was it: let's just boot this prisoner? No, it wasn't.
16	It wasn't just a matter of just bullying or kicking;
17	there was a specific reason. But that soldier maybe
18	he thought his life was at threat, he thought other
19	people were at threat he did something which
20	I thought at the time, as a bystander, was incorrect.
21	But actually, in hindsight, it might have been correct.
22	So that's my sort of thoughts of that, 20 years
23	later.
24	Q. Okay, thank you.
25	I'm just going to come on to a couple of questions

Iraq Fatality Investigations

1	on wetting. Opus, if we could turn up the previous
2	page. It's {A/61/4}. Thank you.
3	Now, at paragraph 18 here, SO81, you were asked
4	about a recollection you'd previously given about SO88,
5	or the OC, having to give an order putting a stop to
6	detainees being put in that ditch outside Camp Stephen.
7	You said here that you do not recall any such order.
8	I just wondered whether you could help us at all with
9	whether that recollection has changed, or whether there
10	was any order given from above about the treatment of
11	detainees in relation to that ditch?
12	A. Not what I can remember. Not what I can remember at
13	all.
14	And even I learnt something today, what "wetting"
15	was. I didn't even know what the terminology "wetting"
16	was. I thought wetting actually was the putting of
17	the water over the person's face. That's what I thought
18	wetting was up to half an hour ago when someone else
19	says it was something different from that. Yes.
20	So, no, I can't remember any orders about I'm
21	saying again, that would be normal common sense, moral
22	compass, that's wrong.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	Well, just to, again, help with us this, Opus, would
25	you mind turning up {A/133/5}, please. Thank you.

- 1 Now, SO81, this is a statement you gave in 2014.
- 2 I'd just ask you to have a quick read of that paragraph,
- 3 second one down, beginning:
- 4 "My impression of the OC ..."
- 5 A. How far down is that?
- 6 Q. It's the fourth line down the page, and it starts:
- 7 "My impression ..."
- 8 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Mr Judd, just give me the page ref
- 9 again.
- 10 MR JUDD: Of course, Dame Anne. It's {A/133/1}.
- 11 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Oh, 133. Thank you. Yes.
- 12 MR JUDD: That's right. Sorry if I wasn't speaking clearly
- 13 enough.
- 14 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That's okay.
- 15 (Pause)
- 16 MR JUDD: Have you had a chance to read that, SO81?
- 17 A. Yes, I have, yes.
- 18 Q. Thank you. Just to clarify really whether, given that
- 19 comment there, you have a recollection of such an order
- 20 or direction being given by the OC or by anyone else?
- 21 A. I -- I don't. I mean, so the difference between these,
- so the statement there is 2014, the other statement was
- another nine years later, whatever it was, and then
- the incident happened. But, no, I don't. I don't. But
- l'm sure, if the order had came from Battalion to

1	the OC, then he would have made that clear, and I don't
2	think he would have had to have been told that. If
3	the OC had any inkling, or the man that I know had any
4	inkling that things like that had happened, then he
5	would have gave that order out, yes? I mean, without
6	a doubt, without a heartbeat, he would have gave that
7	order out of, "This this must stop". And
8	the sergeant major as well. I mean, although I never
9	got on well with the sergeant major, I am sure
10	the sergeant major if the sergeant major knew that
11	things like that were going on, he wouldn't have
12	tolerated it. Never.
13	Q. Thank you. That's really helpful.
14	This might be something you can't recall, but if
15	there was such an order given, can you help us at all
16	with how it might have been disseminated down the chain
17	of command?
18	A. Yes, we would have our nightly O Groups, but I think, if
19	it was something specific like that, then the OC would
20	have got us in for an extraordinary. So on gathering,
21	depending what time of day he found out or he was told,
22	but it would have been quickly dealt with, yes, be it at
23	the nightly briefing, or else he might have had an
24	extraordinary, he might have put a "Charlie Charlie"
25	call out on the radios, I don't know, but it would have

been done swiftly, knowing the knowing the
individual.
Q. Thank you.
And again, this is something which might be beyond
your experience, but thinking again about that order
which may have come from the OC to stop detainees being
put in the stream near Camp Stephen, can you recollect
how information like that may have made its way up
the chain of command so that he was aware of it?
A. I'm not too sure. It would either be jungle drums, it
would it would be other NCOs directly informing him.
I don't know.
Q. Okay, thank you.
And if we could just go back to {A/61/4}, please,
Opus.
I'm just going back to your statement very briefly,
SO81.
Thank you.
At the bottom of the page there, paragraph 23, you
were asked about what you understood "wetting" to mean,
and you've told us today that it's something which is
a term you weren't familiar in 2003 and it's only later
on that it's become something which you could attach
a description to.
You understood it here to mean putting a sandbag

- 1 the team?
- 2 If you are responding, I think you might be on mute.
- 3 MS AL QURNAWI: Yes, hi, sorry. No questions from our side.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 MR JUDD: Thank you.
- 6 Ms Vyvyan-Robinson, any questions?
- 7 MS AL QURNAWI: Sorry, did you hear us?
- 8 MS VYVYAN-ROBINSON: No, thank you. We did. We did hear.
- 9 MR JUDD: And Mr Foley, any questions you'd like to put
- 10 through the team?
- 11 MR FOLEY: No, no questions from me.
- 12 MR JUDD: Thank you.
- 13 And Mr Berlow, via Mr Hamilton, any questions you'd
- 14 like to put through the team?
- 15 MR HAMILTON: No, none at all. Thank you.
- 16 MR JUDD: Thank you.
- 17 And Mr Cherry?
- 18 MR CHERRY: No, thank you.
- 19 MR JUDD: Thank you.
- 20 Well, thank you again, SO81.
- 21 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right.
- 22 SO81, I know perfectly well, because I was listening
- 23 at the beginning, that you could have done with
- the run-up to this being considerably smoother, couldn't
- we all. The team would have liked you to have had what

Page 57

you ended up with a great deal earlier. So you get 2 triple thanks from me, (a) for turning up, (b) for 3 having made so many efforts along the way in your 4 statements, and (c) for bearing with the intense 5 pressure of last-minute information. Will you accept 6 those thanks genuinely from me, SO81, please? 7 A. Yes. Yes, I would. But I would also like to extend my 8 condolences to the family, big time, because the family 9 have obviously been through a lot. But we also need to 10 remember what our fellow soldiers and veterans have been 11 through as well, and this has been a long time coming, 12 and even to get to this day has cost a lot of people 13 a lot of stress. I'm not saying it's not worth it, 14 because for the family I'm sure it's worth it, every 15 single bit of it, and it will hopefully give them some 16 peace of mind or some sort of information, but I hope at 17 some stage these constant trials/investigations will end 18 at some point, because, sadly, there are some people who 19 are not here today, and who have passed guite recently, 20 who were there at Camp Stephen, and that person probably 21 went to his grave thinking about this, and it's time to 22 go forward rather than back. 23 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All noted. All noted. 24 Thank you, SO81. We can let you go now.

25 A. Thank you.

		Page 58
1	(The witness withdrew)	-
2	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, let's ask Ms Jackson. Natasha,	
3	for the interpreters and the transcribers, is this	
4	a good time to have a 15-minute break? What do you	
5	think?	
6	MS JACKSON: Absolutely agreed.	
7	Opus, perhaps if we can come back at 11.35.	
8	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Yes, I'll open the breakout	
9	room now.	
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We'll see you all at 25 to the hour.	
11	11.35 then. Thank you.	
12	(11.22 am)	
13	(A short break)	
14	(11.35 am	
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So, Ms Jackson, I think you're going to	
16	call for us SO95.	
17	MS JACKSON: I am indeed. Thank you, Dame Anne.	
18	SO95 (called)	
19	Introduction by MS JACKSON	
20	MS JACKSON: SO95, can you hear me?	
21	A. Yes, I have got you clearly.	
22	Q. Thank you. Can I just check first whether you've got	
23	a copy of the cipher list with you?	
24	A. I do, yes.	
25	Q. Excellent. We're off to a good start then. Can I just	

1	get you to confirm, then, that you are the person
2	ciphered as SO95 on that list?
3	A. I am, yes.
4	Q. Wonderful.
5	Opus, I'm just going to ask if you could bring up
6	{A/81/1}.
7	We should have a statement that you've given to
8	the IFI, to these Investigations. And Opus, if we could
9	turn to page 15, please {A/81/15}, there's a redacted
10	signature, a name, and the date there is 30 March 2023.
11	Can I just check if this is a statement that you've
12	given for these investigations?
13	A. Yes, I believe it is.
14	Q. Thank you. And is there anything that you need to
15	clarify or change in that statement?
16	A. No, I don't think so, thank you.
17	Q. Thank you. And also, can I check whether you've got
18	the bundle, the initial disclosure bundle to hand that
19	you were sent before preparing this statement?
20	A. Yes, yes, I have.
21	Q. And so in there you should have copies of the three
22	accounts you've given previously from 2023, 2012 and
23	2017; is that correct?
24	A. That is correct, yes.
25	Q. Excellent. And you had copies of all of those to hand

1	when preparing the statement for us?
2	A. Yes.
3	MS JACKSON: Excellent. Thank you very much, SO95. I'm now
4	going to pass you back to Dame Anne, who's got some
5	questions, and then I'll probably ask you some following
6	that, if that's okay?
7	A. Yes, absolutely.
8	MS JACKSON: Thank you.
9	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good morning, SO95.
11	A. Ma'am, good morning.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Just let me check. It sounds as though
13	you can hear me. Can you hear me loud and clear?
14	A. Yes, I can.
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And are you burdened with me on screen?
16	A. Yes, I can see you on screen, ma'am, yes.
17	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: What an elegant answer. Thank you.
18	Let me remind you, I doubt it will arise, but let me
19	say it to you because I say it to all the witnesses,
20	I can't see you, so I've got no visual cues on whether
21	something isn't going well from your point of view or
22	it's creating problems, so I need you to tell me if
23	there are any wrinkles in the silk. Would you mind
24	doing that?
25	A. Of course, ma'am. Not a problem, thank you.

Ρ	ag	е	61
	- 0		

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right.
2	For a start, thank you for coming. Thank you for
3	all the efforts you've made so far. Thank you for all
4	the thinking that you have done to compile your
5	statements. We are really genuinely grateful. And
6	you know from your experience that the point of this
7	Inquiry is not to do any adversarial pushing and
8	pulling, we're here because I stand a better chance of
9	understanding, if I listen to you and we together tease
10	out anything else you can remember. What I am not here
11	to do, and what nobody will do, is try and trip you up
12	or set any traps, and I'm not interested in fiddling
13	around in the absolutely minute detail of what you can
14	remember.
15	So I hope, (a) that makes sense, does it?
16	A. Yes. Yes, it does, ma'am.
17	Q. And (b) does it suit? Are you happy with that method of
18	proceeding?
19	A. Yes.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good. As the saying goes, let us
21	begin.
22	Give us an outline, if you would, SO95, of your
23	military career. I don't need the Is dotted and the Ts
24	crossed, I need a paragraph on, you know: SO95, where
25	I started and where I quit.

1	A. Okay. So I joined the well, I started Sandhurst
2	in commissioned into the Black Watch in 2000, went
3	to Kosovo in 2001, Iraq in 2003 and 2004, Northern
4	Ireland in 2005 I think, Afghanistan in 2006,
5	Afghanistan again in 2011 as a company commander. I
6	left the regular Army in 2015 having been an instructor
7	on the captains' warfare course,
8	
9	That is SO95 up to
10	date in terms of military experience.
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Excellent, thank you.
12	So now think of SO95 in 2003.
13	A. Mm-hm.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Give me a similarly well-ordered, if
15	you wouldn't mind, paragraph on your role in 2003.
16	A. Yes, so so like so like SO81, my sort of journey
17	to Iraq in 2003 was was not in the same vein as
18	the rest of the Battalion. So I'd been serving at
19	Catterick in late 2002, and then, as I recall, we joined
20	the Battalion in early 2003, went through some of
21	the training package, deployed out to Iraq as
22	second-in-command of Fire Support Company, which was
23	unusual. You don't usually have a second-in-command in
24	a Fire Support Company, given its nature.
25	I then was in effect you'll see, I think, there

1	are some questions about company ops officer and
2	second-in-command. When we finished the war-fighting
3	phase and went into the ground-holding phase, so
4	the peace support element of the operation, I became
5	the company ops officer for the majority of the tour.
6	And then about a month before the end of the tour,
7	I took over as the Mortar Platoon commander for about
8	a month to increase my experience of patrolling and
9	operations.
10	And SO88 felt that was a good use of my time in
11	terms of experience, because I think what would be
12	useful to understand is that I although I was
13	a company second-in-command, I was actually junior, in
14	terms of time served, to all of the other platoon
15	commanders in the in the Company, who were probably
16	my senior by about a year to 18 months, possibly two
17	years.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Well, that saved me a direct question
19	which you anticipated for me, thank you. Because as you
20	know, we've heard from SO88, and I would have asked you
21	what your recollection was.
22	I'll just pick up one little thing for you so I know
23	I've got a good note of what your evidence amounts to,
24	and I know in your 2012 statement you said you were in
25	the 2IC ops officer post by 8 May. So that's consistent

1	with what you've just been telling me; is that right?
2	A. I think so. I couldn't be utterly sure of the time, but
3	I think, if that is the date in question where one of
4	the detainees died, then yes, that's correct.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. And at any stage we can
6	throw up your statement on the screen, if you want.
7	Then in one of your other statements, 2017, you said
8	after 8 May you swapped roles. I just wonder, please
9	tell me if you don't know, can you get any further with
10	how long after 8 May?
11	A. I couldn't I couldn't tell you with any great degree
12	of accuracy, I'm afraid.
13	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I'd much rather you told me that.
14	Thank you. I don't want you to guess, thinking you're
15	trying to help me. I'd rather hear an honest answer.
16	A. Okay.
17	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, so put yourself now back into
18	Camp Stephen for me, if you will. I want you to tell me
19	what you made of the culture. Describe it for me. You
20	were an experienced man by then. What was the culture
21	at Camp Stephen?
22	A. Well, actually, I'd say that in 2003 I was pretty
23	inexperienced, but
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Sorry, all right. Careless question,
2 5	well courbt out. You are now an experienced man and

well caught out. You are now an experienced man and

1	used to assessing culture. So retrospectively apply
2	that and tell me your view.
3	A. Yes, ma'am. Sorry, I didn't fully pick up on the nuance
4	of the question
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You didn't pick up on it because
6	I asked it clumsily. So well done on sorting that out
7	for me. Off you go.
8	A. Thank you. So I think I'd sort of split it down into
9	a couple of areas. If we look at command culture, that
10	would be the first thing, I think, to look at, and I'd
11	say that the command culture, so SO88's style of command
12	was he led from the front, he was action-centred, he
13	liked to get things done, he liked to be on the front
14	foot. And, as I recall, the issues around looting that
15	we heard described by, I think it was SO81 and SO86,
16	that he wanted to be ahead of that and to tackle it, and
17	I'll come on to why that was important later, if you'd
18	like me to.
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We will like you to, and you do it any
20	time you like. Do you want to do it now?
21	A. Okay, let's do it now. So why was it important?
22	Because I think the and when I say tone of
23	the questioning, I don't mean that in a negative way.
24	The tone of the questioning seems to me to have been
25	asking, you know, why would you continually go out into

1	Basra and do operations to counter looting, which would
2	involve taking looters and detainees and bringing them
3	back into camp, if you knew that there was no chance
4	that those individuals were going to be detained
5	further, or there would be due legal process, which
6	would then, you know, see them charged with with
7	looting?
8	Predominantly it was to demonstrate, in my opinion,
9	to the Baswaris, that we were doing something about
10	the looting and taking it seriously. I think, and this
11	is [redacted]
12	MS JACKSON: Can we cut the feed, please.
13	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Stop, SO95. We've just got a little
14	technical matter to deal with.
15	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: I have cut the feed now.
16	MS JACKSON: Sorry, SO95. There was a cipher slip-up.
17	I think it was only in respect of well, I won't say
18	that.
19	So Jamie, can you let us know when we're ready to
20	continue.
21	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Yes, just give me a moment.
22	I'm going to wait a few more seconds and then I'll
23	recommence the stream, but rest assured 60 seconds has
24	been cut from where we were. I'll advise you when to
25	recommence. One moment, please.

- 1 MS JACKSON: So sorry to interrupt, but it's nice to know
- 2 that our safeguards are working.
- 3 (Pause)
- 4 THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: The stream is up and running
- 5 again live.
- 6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 7 So, SO95, you were helping us with one particular
- 8 topic.
- 9 A. Yes. So we were talking about looting. Where do you
- 10 want me to start from?
- 11 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Start from -- you'd given us a good
- 12 description of the attitude of mind of SO88.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And you said some of the tone of
- 15 the questions that had been fielded was: why were you
- 16 bothering doing all this stuff about detainees and
- 17 looting? Carry on from there.
- 18 A. Yes. So I think it was important to demonstrate to
- 19 the Baswaris that we were taking the issue of looting
- 20 seriously, because it was, from my recollection and
- 21 interactions that I had with the Iraqis, that they were
- 22 horrified predominantly at the state of the looting in
- Basra, which they felt had been a pretty ordered place,
- 24 and it was, I think, disappointing to see the descent
- 25 into chaos. And I think that we were -- well, I don't

1	think; we were. We were on the back foot when it came
2	to the looting.
3	And so therefore I think well, I don't think
4	SO88 would have been keen to have addressed that as
5	a situation, as would the Battle Group commander and
6	indeed the Brigade commander. So that is why we were
7	doing what we were doing.
8	So the culture, in terms of command, was
9	action-centred, SO88 led by example, he was out and
10	about on the ground involved in operations, and he also
11	I would describe him as a good leader in that he had
12	a strong moral compass, he was seized of doing the right
13	thing, and he was also approachable. So you could
14	approach him with issues and problems and know that you
15	would get a fair hearing, and he also listened to
16	advice. So in terms of the culture of the of
17	command, I assess that to have been good.
18	The culture of the camp in itself, I think,
19	generally speaking, morale was good. We had lost
20	Corporal Stephen in the war-fighting phase of
21	the operation, which I think had impacted on some
22	people's perspectives and views. I think they were very
23	sad to have lost a friend. I think there was some guilt
24	associated with that too. And the and people were
25	generally professional in the way that they conducted

1	their business.
2	I think there was a frustration with respect to
3	the looting. I think I don't think it, I know it,
4	I lived it, there was a frustration with some of the way
5	in which the interim administration in Iraq was running
6	things in terms of the edicts that meant that there was
7	no ex-Ba'athists allowed to be part of the running of
8	the country. Paul Bremer's edict about allowing people
9	to be armed, not paying the Army, for instance. So that
10	all made life very difficult, and I certainly felt that
11	to be frustrating.
12	Whether that transmitted itself down to the level of
13	private soldier, I couldn't tell you. But I do think
14	the issue as alluded to by SO81 with respect to looting
15	and the ineffectiveness of the methods that we were able
16	to employ with respect to looters certainly frustrated
17	the soldiers. I think they felt that they were being
18	they were unable to do their job. I think we'd all
19	felt, perhaps somewhat naively, that we'd come to Iraq
20	to do the right thing and to help Iraq out, and I think
21	at that stage we were just starting to see
22	the frustrations of trying to run a country on
23	a shoestring, as bequeathed to us by our political
24	masters.
25	Sorry, that's sort of me getting on my soapbox.

1	I didn't mean to.
2	So I said three parts of culture. So there's
3	the command culture, the actual culture within
4	the soldiers themselves, and then we've talked about
5	and forgive me, because I can't remember the cipher
6	name, so if you just bear with me, I shall go to it.
7	(Pause)
8	Please bear with me, sorry.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We will. You take your time.
10	A. Yes, so SO101
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: The CSM?
12	A. Correct, yes. So, you know, like SO81, I might not have
13	personally got on with him at all times, I found him in
14	the main to be professional, to, again, get things done,
15	and to have a to have a good grip and understanding
16	of what was going on in the camp. And my my view,
17	from what I saw of his dealings with detainees, was that
18	he was above board and looked to address any
19	ill-treatment of of detainees.
20	And I can give you an example of that, which
21	I imagine you probably want. In the two previous
22	witnesses, you've heard about a big operation that we
23	did in what was called the wood and metal factory, which
24	was just to the I think to the south of Al Hayaniya,
25	which was a part of Iraq. And we had gone there to

Page 71

1	disrupt the looting of the metal, predominantly, from
2	that location, and we brought back quite a lot of
3	detainees from that. And I can recall a soldier
4	I don't know who it was grabbing a detainee by
5	the hair, and the company sergeant major being very,
6	very robust and very swift in articulating that was not
7	how we were to treat detainees. It was very public, it
8	was very quick, and, you know, I was certainly in no
9	doubt that he was on top of his game with respect to
10	with respect to that sort of thing.
11	I hope that gives you a good
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: May I interrupt you just for a moment?
13	Was it also, insofar as you could assess it, very
14	effective?
15	A. Yes, I think so.
16	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I interrupted you. Do go on.
17	A. Yes, so I think that sort of that covers the
18	I think that covers the culture. You know, good command
19	culture, predominantly professional, but, you know, with
20	frustration with respect to our inability to control
21	the situation vis-á-vis looting.
22	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
23	Now looking back, using the benefit of your then and
24	subsequent experience, how would you assess Camp Stephen

in comparison to other military environments in which

Page	72
------	----

1	you'd been, on a sort of ratings/rankings?
2	A. It's a really it's a really good question, ma'am.
3	I think top level stuff, good. I think, again, in
4	hindsight, we were probably we were very naive when
5	it came to some of the proceedings and what have you
6	with respect to prisoner handling. You know, as I've
7	been going back through the statements and what have
8	you, I think what we have learned on subsequent
9	operations, and indeed probably relearned from
10	Northern Ireland and the Balkans, you know, we probably
11	could have applied. So I think I think the will to
12	do right and execute that properly was there.
13	Did we have the necessary procedures and what's
14	the word I'm looking for assurance for what we were
15	doing? No, probably not. And so, you know, I think
16	that that would be my reflection.
17	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And once the war ended and the soldiers
18	went into post-war phase
19	A. Mm-hm.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: did you spot any change in approach
21	or atmosphere in Camp Stephen?
22	A. I'd use the word "think", because it's think and assess
23	rather than know, and especially now at 20-odd years
24	distance. I I imagine that there would have been,
25	and that there would have been to some extent relief.

1	And I also can't remember whether we were in
2	Camp Stephen before the war officially ended, before
3	combat operations officially ended, or not, but I think
4	there was definitely a knowledge that, you know, we were
5	out of the war-fighting phase, so therefore the approach
6	would be would need to be slightly different, insofar
7	as we were now, I suppose, probably you'd call it peace
8	support operations rather than rather than
9	war-fighting.
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That sounds tell me if this is an
11	inapt phrase as though you're describing a not
12	unexpected change of mindset?
13	A. It's ma'am, it's an easy thing to say, it's a harder
14	thing it's a harder thing to do and engage. Because
15	I think I think certainly the change in mindset would
16	not have been something that would have happened
17	overnight, I think that would have
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Ah, let me help you. Let me help you.
19	A. Yes.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I wasn't suggesting that a change of
21	mindset snapped into action or was acted upon at all.
22	What I'm after is it sounded, to me at least, as though
23	what you were describing is: post-war phase now, we must
24	think differently.
25	And my next question, which I think you've probably

1	begun to answer, is did that happen?
2	A. Yes, I think it did, ma'am.
3	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Unpick that a bit for us. Unpick that
4	a bit.
5	A. So certainly I certainly remember a greater need to
6	engage with the local population and to what would
7	now be sort of seen as key leader engagement, where we
8	were trying to engage with people in the community
9	around us in our part of Basra that were important, that
10	could give us information about how we might do our
11	business better, sort of understanding the
12	understanding the needs and the wants of the population
13	and how we might how we might approach that.
14	And I think SO81 described it really well, you know,
15	when he was answering your question. There was
16	a triangle, really, of water, fuel and electricity that
17	needed to be maintained in order to keep Basra running,
18	because without without water, obviously people
19	couldn't drink, but without electricity, and therefore
20	the fuel that drove that, you couldn't purify the water
21	and you couldn't have, you know, the basic amenities.
22	And I think I don't think; I'm pretty sure that
23	the Baswaris were pretty frustrated by our inability to
24	provide those things, because we we de facto became
25	the civil power. But, you know

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You've spoken about contact with
2	civilians. The soldiers, did they often interact with
3	civilians? What sort of interaction did they have?
4	A. Yes, I mean well, so from the from the I'll
5	give you three examples. So example 1 is that quite
6	close to Camp Stephen there was a school, and one day
7	the children came and protested outside the camp because
8	they didn't have enough materials to do learning. So
9	that was one interaction, which was actually pretty
10	positive. There were interactions in terms of, as I've
11	described, the bigger operations in capturing some of
12	the looters, or deterring the looters. And then there
13	were just normal day-to-day interactions where you would
14	patrol and you would talk to people, you know, try and
15	have a conversation with people.
16	And we also had other tasks like, for instance,
17	there was an order that came down that said if someone
18	was living in a house that they didn't have
19	the paperwork for, then we were to in effect serve an
20	eviction notice on them. So you had these sort of
21	interactions as well.
22	So everything from the really positive to the sort
23	of higher end house searches and operations to deter
24	looting, you know. But every every soldier would be
25	expected to interact in some way, shape or form with

Page	76
------	----

1	the local population, because you you get more
2	information that way than if it's just the commander.
3	So everybody would be sort of expected to interact.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And there on the ground at
5	the coalface, when the soldiers were interacting,
6	remembering then, but it's a question I'm going to ask
7	you about all ranks, were they encouraged to use
8	initiative when they were trying to maintain law and
9	order?
10	A. Yes, I think I think so, because you can't you
11	can't do it all yourself. I mean the sort of sort of
12	SO88 couldn't do it all himself. So yes, we would have
13	been encouraged to use initiative and address issues on
14	the ground when we saw them.
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
16	Right, back to you particularly. Did you feel
17	well-prepared for the role you took in Basra?
17 18	
	well-prepared for the role you took in Basra?
18	well-prepared for the role you took in Basra? A. In hindsight, no, I didn't.
18 19	well-prepared for the role you took in Basra? A. In hindsight, no, I didn't. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. What were the deficiencies?
18 19 20	well-prepared for the role you took in Basra?A. In hindsight, no, I didn't.DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. What were the deficiencies?A. I think and, again, SO81 covered this to some extent
18 19 20 21	 well-prepared for the role you took in Basra? A. In hindsight, no, I didn't. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. What were the deficiencies? A. I think and, again, SO81 covered this to some extent my recollection is that the training that we had was
18 19 20 21 22	 well-prepared for the role you took in Basra? A. In hindsight, no, I didn't. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. What were the deficiencies? A. I think and, again, SO81 covered this to some extent my recollection is that the training that we had was predominantly focused on war-fighting, and so therefore
18 19 20 21 22 23	 well-prepared for the role you took in Basra? A. In hindsight, no, I didn't. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. What were the deficiencies? A. I think and, again, SO81 covered this to some extent my recollection is that the training that we had was predominantly focused on war-fighting, and so therefore it was about manoeuvring armour, about casualty

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Nuts and bolts? Nuts and bolts, in
2	other words?
3	A. Yes, the nuts and bolts. Genuinely, ma'am, actual nuts
4	and bolts.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Ah, yes.
6	A. And there was no I don't recall having sat down with
7	SO88 or the company sergeant major or the other platoon
8	commanders and discussed, prior to the war, what what
9	we were going to do in the aftermath. And I think we
10	were surprised that, one, I think, that it ended so
11	quickly, our combat operations; and two, then that we
12	were it in terms of the peace support element. Because
13	I think as I said in my statement, I don't think that
14	has ever happened before, that you had the combat force
15	then move in to be the in to be the peace support
16	force.
17	Now, since then, you know, as as the situation in
18	the world has changed, you know, that's been an
19	expectation. But the level of training that we have
20	had, certainly going back again to Iraq in 2004, was
21	better, and to Afghanistan, again much better, sort of
22	giving you much more to draw on in terms of dealing with
23	the population, dealing with dealing with detainees,
24	and much, much more but I suppose they're much more
25	mature theatres, and I suppose the Army is more mature

1	in its approach, by which I mean it has learned
2	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It's learned.
3	A since 2003.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So I am hearing, and in fact you said
5	it in one of your statements. I'll show it to you if
6	you like, but you probably won't need it, it's {A/81/2}.
7	You wrote certainly no possibility of you having
8	training on how to maintain law and order, and you've
9	dealt with that for us, how to prevent looting:
10	" and neither did we receive any training on the
11	use of restraint techniques for detainees as [distinct]
12	from [prisoners of war]."
13	So you've dealt with maintaining law and order and
14	prevent looting. But I'm interested now in the third
15	part of that comment that you made: no training on use
16	of restraint techniques for detainees as opposed to
17	POWs.
18	For a start, what should have been the difference?
19	What should you have been trained in, if you can do it?
20	A. Well, so, the PWs, as distinguished from detainees,
21	clearly PWs are combatants, and therefore are protected
22	by the Geneva Convention, and the rules and regulations
23	with respect to that are pretty are pretty clear. So
24	we'd taken quite a few PWs in the initial phase of
25	the operation I think about 30 or so and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 79

the detainees were not -- well, to the best of any knowledge, anyway, were not combatants, they were civilians. And therefore dealing with civilians, you know, as I now -- as I now know, and there would have been some experience within the Battle Group because of Northern Ireland, requires a very different approach insofar as, you know, they are -- they're -you are in effect acting as a police force, and so 9 therefore the niceties of law and order, as sort of laid 10 down by the understanding of the police force, is what 11 you should -- is what you should do. 12 In places like Northern Ireland, and certainly 13 latterly when I'd gone to Afghanistan, you would look --14 and in Kosovo, you would hand them over to an 15 established police force to deal with, because you don't 16 want to be dealing with detainees, because it is a -- it 17 is a difficult and nuanced subject, and therefore, 18 you know, you arrest and detain somebody as a soldier as 19 -- in the most part as the last resort. But obviously 20 in Iraq, in 2003, there was no police force and it was 21 us, and there was the moral duty, I think we felt, to 22 try and get the situation under control with respect to 23 looting, and I rather sense that's what the Baswaris 24 wanted as well. So that's why I made a distinction. 25 Sorry, ma'am.

1 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, no, please don't apologise. 2 Let me pick up and see if you can flesh out -- you 3 might not be able to, bear that in mind -- one aspect of 4 what you have just seen saying. So inevitable 5 frustration you've already dealt with for us by 6 the troops' inability to deal with looting, save by 7 a little bit of cosmetic here and a little bit of 8 cosmetic there, which I understand to be your position. 9 But the general feeling of frustration. I wonder if 10 you can get that translated for us into how it fed into 11 the troops' treatment of detainees or looters. So we've 12 got the general feeling of frustration. Can you get it 13 translated into how, therefore, the looters and 14 detainees were treated as a consequence? 15 A. I -- I don't -- my -- and, again, it's my recollection, 16 and I wasn't omnipresent, and I know that's not what 17 you're suggesting -- is that people were frustrated, but 18 I think people were generally professional in the way 19 they -- in the way they behaved. And, you know, some of 20 the evidence that I saw earlier on today in terms of --21 I can't remember the evidence number -- was, you know, 22 describing the treatment of detainees in a way that was 23 bad is not something -- is not something I recognise. 24 I can't say it didn't happen, because I didn't see it, 25 but I don't sense that it -- I don't sense that it made

1	its way into behaviours such as wetting. And like
2	the other people you've previously spoken to, it's not
3	a it's not a term that I was familiar with until
4	until this last round of interviews.
5	And, you know, was there times when people were
6	overly robust with people, à la what I mentioned earlier
7	on with the hair-pulling? Yes, I think there was. But
8	my sense was that that was that was dealt with
9	relatively quickly by the company sergeant major. And
10	therefore, from what I know of SO88 and the company
11	sergeant major, I think if they had been aware of
12	mistreatment, they would have dealt with it and would
13	have spoken to the individuals concerned.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right. Think now, if you will, for me,
15	in the context of the death of Mr Radhi Nama.
16	A. Mm-hm.
17	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And you've already told us you can
18	have it on the screen, if you like, it's {A/81/8}
19	what you said. So the mindset of people picked up by
20	Iraqi forces under Saddam was they wouldn't be coming
21	back, so something horrible was likely. So arguably, in
22	your view, Mr Nama was under an inordinate amount of
23	stress, and you weren't really sure that the British
24	military understood that well enough at the time, but
25	that's a conclusion you've reached as you look back, not

Page	82
------	----

1	based on what you thought then. And again you spell it		
2	out:		
3	" [you] thought after the event that we added to		
4	all these factors."		
5	So I wonder if you can unpick for me a little: you		
6	wonder now, with the benefit of hindsight, whether		
7	the British military added to all those factors. Can		
8	you flesh that out at all?		
9	A. Yes, of course, ma'am. So what I what I mean is that		
10	so I will unpack it as best I understand		
11	the situation on the day. So we'd gone to Mr Nama's		
12	house for not to pick him up, but I think it was his		
13	son who it was alleged had been conducting some pretty		
14	untoward activity. So we went there. The call sign on		
15	the ground picked him up.		
16	As I recall, and I may be wrong, I think he came		
17	back in a Warrior, and a Warrior is an armoured vehicle		
18	that seats seven people in the back. It is very hot in		
19	Iraq, and also it gets very cold when it's cold, but,		
20	you know, it's a metal box, so therefore the environment		
21	inside it is what it is outside. So if I remember		
22	correctly, if he'd gone into the back of the Warrior,		
23	one, it would have been dark, it would have been noisy,		
24	it would have been exceptionally hot, and it's quite		
25	vibrating there's quite a lot of vibration.		

1	So the amount of both mental stress that he would
2	have been under, because, you know, as I alluded to, if
3	you were picked up from Al Hayaniya by the Iraqi
4	security forces, there's probably a pretty good chance
5	you weren't coming back. So he's under that sort of
6	stress. And he's under environmental stress as well, in
7	terms of coming back in this in this vehicle.
8	And so therefore, when you aggregate those two
9	things together, you know, he's already under an
10	inordinate amount of stress even before he's come to
11	Camp Stephen. And that's what I mean, is you're looking
12	back with the benefit of hindsight. And as I recall, he
13	was he was older. I don't know how much older, but
14	you know, I would hazard a guess, probably in his 60s.
15	And bear in mind I didn't actually ever physically see
16	him, so you have to treat that with a degree of caution.
17	You know, I should have I look back at it and
18	think, actually and this comes back, doesn't it, to
19	this situation about training. You know, it would have
20	been probably sort of worth thinking about how we were
21	transporting that individual, given the temperature and
22	the other the other environmental situation.
23	And that's what I mean. You know, that's what
24	I mean about when you sort of sit it back and look at it
25	forensically and think, gosh, you know, how would we do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that differently? I think we wouldn't put him in a box
on wheels.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: A hot vibrating box on wheels.
A. Correct, ma'am, yes, exactly.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
Just do some definitions for me so I understand
exactly how you're using the words. "Detainees", does
that mean civilian?
A. Yes, ma'am.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
A. So somebody that's not somebody that's not a prisoner
of war.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. And a detainee might be
restrained, a PW might be restrained. What's
the difference in the technique?
A. I imagine that there is no difference in terms of
the restraining used insofar as, if the individual is
a threat to themselves or to other people, then they
need to be restrained. The difference between a PW and
a detainee is with a PW you're looking to preserve
a thing called shock of capture; with a detainee you're
not looking to preserve or you're not looking to gain
shock of capture or indeed preserve it because they're
not a combatant.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.

1	And, again, go back into post-war, so you're now in
2	peace support. Did any difference in the treatment of
3	detainee, compare and contrast PW when we think about
4	restraint, alter? Did the difference alter as you went
5	into post-war?
6	A. I I think it must have done. I mean, I think,
7	you know, I'd sort of echo what SO81 said, you know, in
8	that the best place to start off with all of this is
9	a human being. And I'll give you if you'll indulge
10	me, l'll give you an example.
11	So I remember, because I was put in charge of taking
12	some prisoners of war back from I can't remember
13	where it was, it was sort of outside Az Zubayr. And
14	there was about 30 people on the back of a back of
15	a truck, me and three soldiers, and I can remember
16	a sort of really conflicting set of emotions. Emotion 1
17	was being nervous myself and understanding that I had 30
18	people who, if they took it into their minds, would
19	overwhelm me very, very quickly, and so therefore was
20	gruff and unapproachable in my dealing with the people.
21	But at the same time, viewing them as fried human beings
22	who I felt quite a lot of sympathy with.
23	And, you know, I think it is possible to have those
24	two conflicting emotions in one person. I think that,
25	as we sort of fast-forward that into Basra in 2003, post

Iraq Fatality Investigations

Page 86

1	the war-fighting phase, I think there's an understanding
2	that well, certainly I understood that we were
3	dealing with either criminals or suspected criminals in
4	terms of detainees and soldiers in the terms of PWs.
5	So, you know, I think, again, it would have been
6	useful if there had been a mechanism to properly charge
7	and to hand detainees over to an authority that could
8	properly deal with them, rather than a group of soldiers
9	who, despite their best endeavours, were you know, it
10	was a cottage industry, and we were doing the best that
11	we could in spite of a lack of training and in spite of
12	the ability to be able to process those individuals
13	beyond what SO81 said.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Helpful, thank you.
15	I've got one last question, which it may well be you
16	have already dealt with, but just as an abundance of
17	caution, I know you said it's {A/81/9} I doubt you
18	need it on the screen in the past that your
19	perspective "our perspective", you said:
20	" was the looters deserved to be punished and
21	should be locked up and due process but this didn't
22	translate into killing people."
23	A. Correct.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I just want you to pick up "punished"
05	

25 for me. What does that mean? Hence you will see why

1	I said you may have already dealt with this.
2	A. No, I understand the question.
3	I think, to use your expression with an earlier
4	witness, you know, when I say do as you would be done
5	by, what I don't mean is violence is visited upon
6	people. What I mean is that if you are if you are
7	caught looting, that a commensurate punishment is
8	awarded for that crime, you know? And what everybody
9	really wanted what I certainly wanted was an
10	ability for the Royal Military Police to take them off
11	our hands and to detain them for a period of time that
12	had a salutary effect on the individuals and, more
13	importantly, demonstrated to the rest of Basra society
14	that
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Preserving society was as important, in
16	many ways, as the individual.
17	A. Exactly, yes.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you very much. I'm going to ask
19	Ms Jackson to take over some questioning. You'll just
20	get a little return visit from me at the end, but thank
21	you, SO95.
22	Questions from MS JACKSON
23	MS JACKSON: Thank you very much, Dame Anne. And thank you,
24	SO95.
25	If it's okay with you, I want to ask you first some

1	questions about detention at Camp Stephen generally, and
2	then I'll have some questions about the death of
3	Radhi Nama in particular.
4	Just so you know, long breaks are coming and so on,
5	we'll aim to take the lunch break at 1 pm for around an
6	hour. It may be that a convenient time to break comes
7	a little bit either side of that, but we will be taking
8	a break at some point, if that's okay, and finish your
9	evidence off this afternoon.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	So first, Opus, could I ask you to take up turn
13	up, rather, {A/81/3}.
14	This is in your statement, SO95, and I'm looking at
15	paragraph 7. I just wanted to ask you first some
16	questions, just to clarify what your role was in
17	particular at Camp Stephen in relation to detainees.
18	At paragraph 7 you describe your role in relation to
19	detainees at Camp Stephen as "very limited", and then
20	you carry on to set out apologies, I'm jumping ahead
21	of myself.
22	So you describe your role as "very limited", and
23	I just was hoping you could explain a little bit more as
24	to whether you had any input whatsoever in the setting
25	of process and procedure in relation to detainees at

1	Camp Stephen?
2	A. So I'll answer that question in two parts, if I may.
3	So, no, I didn't, or not that I recall. But in
4	hindsight, and this goes back to an earlier answer that
5	I gave with respect to our processes and procedures
6	being naive, I think that, you know, in hindsight, we
7	should have sat down well, we should have had
8	probably a set of standard operating instructions from
9	Battle Group I can't recall ever having seen them,
10	that doesn't mean to say they didn't exist that we
11	then applied in terms of the process of that, and that,
12	as company second-in-command, I should have had
13	oversight of it.
14	Q. Thank you
15	A. And my
16	Q. Carry on.
17	A. No, I was going to say my sense my sense is, and this
18	sort of comes back to naivety and relative inexperience
19	in 2003, is that I think the company sergeant major had
20	taken on quite a lot of that, and at the time that felt
21	to be right and proper, because I had no reason to, and
22	have not had reason to since, feel that he wasn't, with
23	respect to detainees, anything other than professional.
24	Q. Thank you, that's very helpful.
25	And you mentioned there that you couldn't recall

1	having a standard operating procedure. Maybe I can pick	
2	that up now, and if we can turn over the page, Opus, and	
3	look at paragraph 13 {A/81/4}. So this is your	
4	statement to us still, and you do say there:	
5	"I couldn't say that there was a Standard Operating	
6	Procedure for processing detainees."	
7	With that in mind, if we could just go up to just	
8	the previous page, to paragraph 10 of your statement	
9	{A/81/3}, you say here:	
10	"I cannot remember the process and procedures for	
11	processing detainees"	
12	And, as you've just told us there:	
13	" I suspect that it would have been dealt with by	
14	the CSM."	
15	What I'm just hoping to understand and maybe you	
16	can explain a bit more for me is whether it's your	
17	evidence that there were process and procedures,	
18	however, you can't recall them, and they weren't written	
19	down, or is it more that there were not really processes	
20	and procedures? Where do we stand on the scale?	
21	A. I'm sorry, this is going to be a really the worst	
22	kind of answer, but I sense it's a bit of both. So	
23	I think there was there would have been some form of	
24	procedure. I can't I just you know, having been	
25	in the Army for 20-odd years now, I just can't	

1	you know, we love a good procedure. I just can't
2	believe that there wouldn't have been a procedure
3	for it.
4	How good that procedure was and how well assured it
5	was is open to point. And so that's what I mean about,
6	you know, yes, but no. So I'm sure there was
7	a procedure. I don't recall what it was. Was it
8	adequate? In hindsight, probably not.
9	Q. Thank you. No, that's very helpful. And I'm just going
10	to draw out a distinction that was following off a point
11	raised on behalf of the families by Ms Al Qurnawi
12	yesterday to another witness, and that distinction that
13	she drew out was a distinction between kind of what
14	happened in terms of the processing and arrival of
15	detainees and what might happen in the event of an
16	incident or death. I appreciate that I'm the answer
17	you have given is relatively limited on how far I can
18	push this, but do you have any differentiation that you
19	can draw if we look first at whether there were
20	processes in relation to the reporting of incidents and
21	deaths in the event that something bad did happen?
22	A. I'm going to there must have been. And, you know,
23	I'm what I can recall, and I can't remember who it
24	was, I can remember being in the ops room and one of
25	the I think it might have been SO98 coming in and

1 saying, "The detainee that we have got has just died", 2 and thinking, you know, one, "Crumbs, I wasn't expecting 3 that", and two, if you'd excuse me, "Oh shit". Because 4 that's the last thing that anybody wanted, both for 5 the individual and for ourselves. 6 Then I'm pretty sure what we would have done then is 7 to have reported that up the chain of command. Because, 8 you know, irrespective -- and it sort of goes back to 9 what SO81 said, you know, irrespective of how much 10 training you do or don't have, you know that people 11 dying in custody is not a good thing, and it is not 12 something you wish to happen. And especially in 13 the case of Mr Nama, who was simply in for questioning, 14 that is really ... you know, there is no justice in 15 that. I'm not saying we'd taken the law into our own 16 hands, but I'm just saying, you know, he was in for 17 a pretty minor thing and we needed to ask him some 18 questions, and that, you know, nobody wanted him to die. 19 Sorry, I'm getting away from what you were asking. 20 So the -- you know, I'm pretty sure that our medic 21 had a look at Mr Nama, I think probably to ascertain 22 whether he was dead. I can't remember whether 23 the regimental medical officer came down to look at him. 24 I do recall that I think SO88 felt that the best thing 25 to do was to take him to the international hospital and

- 1 to hand his body over to there. I think the rationale
- 2 was in order to ensure that his body was placed under
- 3 the correct supervisory care and put into a morgue,
- 4 you know --
- 5 Q. Thank you --
- 6 A. -- we didn't have an ability to deal with him.
- 7 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you. I don't want to cut across
- 8 you, but we will come back to exactly what happened in
- 9 Radhi Nama's case in a bit, if that's okay.
- 10 A. Of course.
- 11 Q. At the moment, I am just trying to get a clear picture
- 12 of what things were in the overview picture, as it were,
- 13 so what processes and procedures Camp Stephen had in
- 14 place, and then we can come back to look at what
- 15 happened with Radhi Nama.
- 16 A. Again, I can't -- I can't -- so just to be clear,
- 17 I can't recall specific procedures. I think, as I said,
- 18 you know, there must have been some, and, you know,
- 19 I think I'd leave it at that.
- 20 Q. No, that's very helpful. Thank you very much.
- And you did just mention reporting up the chain ofcommand.
- 23 A. Mm-hm.
- 24 Q. Are you just able to clarify what that reporting chain
- 25 would look like? Who would it be that you would report

1	to?
2	A. Yes, so the Battle Group Headquarters, which was
3	the Battalion Headquarters, in effect, would was our
4	immediate chain of command, so that they would have
5	had I think they had four Companies under command,
6	each with different sectors of Basra, and we, as
7	the Companies, would report up to the Battle Group, and
8	the Battle Group to the Brigade.
9	So the radio net is manned both at the Company level
10	and the Battle Group level by a radio operator, ie
11	the person what does most of the routine traffic, and
12	the watch keeper, generally an officer or senior NCO who
13	deals with and makes decisions on behalf of
14	the commander, or fetches the commander if those
15	decisions or issues are outwith their experience or
16	ability to deal with.
17	So when I say "the Battle Group", I'm not
18	necessarily saying that I radioed the commanding
19	officer. What I mean is I radioed that operations room
20	and imparted that information, which I imagine
21	I imagine would have made its way quickly to
22	the commanding officer.
23	Q. Thank you, that's helpful.
24	And, again, just for clarity, if you are radioing up
25	the chain of command, what are the circumstances, with

Page 9	5
--------	---

1	respect of detainees, that that would be appropriate or
2	necessary, in your view? So would he be radioing up as
3	soon as detainees arrived at Camp Stephen?
4	A. Yes, I imagine well, you know, something like that,
5	when you know you need to track people, yes, that is
6	something that you would get up. Now, if that is seen
7	as routine business, it would go up in a situation
8	report, or a SITREP, which we sort of tend to I can't
9	remember how often we sent them, but, you know, once
10	every hour, or on a significant change. Something like
11	a death in custody would have been reported quickly,
12	because that is out of the ordinary and has serious
13	consequences.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	And do I understand correctly that it's your
16	evidence that in terms of circumstances where there has
17	been an incident with a detainee or a death in custody,
18	it would only be to that chain of command that you would
19	report, and you would not have to report to the RMP
20	directly?
21	A. I don't think so, no, because you would you would
22	report so I I can't remember if at that time we
23	had RMP attached. I think we might have done. But the
24	way it would work is you would send the initial report
25	to Battle Group Headquarters. They would then compile

1	a subsequent report. You know, let's just call it an
2	incident report, for want of a better term, an INCREP.
3	So they would put an INCREP together. They would then
4	send that to the Brigade, and that would go to
5	the relevant cell in the Brigade, who would give advice
6	or seek further information, and that G1
7	discipline/policing element would then come through
8	the Brigade, is my recollection of how it should work.
9	Q. Thank you, that's helpful.
10	And I'm just going to ask, following on from that
11	discussion about the RMP, ask Opus if we can go back to
12	page I know we're on page 3 already, but can we zoom
13	in on paragraph 8, please {A/81/3}.
14	A. I'm zooming in.
15	Q. I think Opus should be able to help us here. Thank you,
16	Opus.
17	So what you say there, just starting I think it's
18	quite near the top, but the it's the third sentence
19	which is the last one:
20	"If an operation were intelligence led, the specific
21	purpose might be to apprehend and detain a specific
22	individual, on other occasions and at other times a
23	patrol might be on the ground and pick up someone
24	looting and bring them back to camp to be processed to
25	be processed by the RMP with the hope that they would be

1	arrested and face justice for looting (this rarely
2	happened)."
3	Now, you've helpfully explained to Dame Anne quite
4	a lot of the wider circumstances in relation to concerns
5	about looting and the capacity on the ground to deal
6	with that.
7	I was just picking up here on the reference to being
8	processed by the RMP. Are you just able to explain that
9	a bit more? Because it suggests potentially that
10	the RMP were at the camp, on one reading of that
11	sentence.
12	A. Yes. So my recollection is that as the operation
13	progressed, ie that we were in Basra, we got attached
14	RMP. I can't remember at what point they came, but
15	again my recollection, and again, forgive me, because
16	some time has passed, is that we would bring the people
17	in, they would be processed by whatever process we had
18	in place, and then the sort of I think that there was
19	then a the RMP would then look at the evidence and
20	then make a decision as to what to what happened to
21	those individuals.
22	And again, I imagine that the amount of time that
23	individuals were retained for was probably laid down in
24	a procedure that you you know, I can't recall having
25	people, and indeed the PW area was set up as it

1	wasn't suitable for keeping people for great lengths of
2	time. So so, yes, that's what I meant by that, is
3	that they would we'd come in, do the process, and
4	then they'd then once we'd done our initial process
5	of them into the into the PW cage, as it were, that
6	there was an RMP element into that. But I can't
7	remember how often and at what point that started.
8	Q. Thank you. And I think that answers the questions I was
9	going to ask about paragraph 16, but if Opus could just
10	pull that up as well {A/81/5}.
11	You say here:
12	"Once the detainees had been processed it would be
13	up to [Battle Group Headquarters] and the
14	Royal Military Police whether they were moved on or
15	released. The decision came from [Battle Group
16	Headquarters], but we had embedded RWPs with us who
17	would also have a say in how to deal with looters and
18	make decisions (in hindsight they should have been
19	advising the chain of command at the time) "
20	THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Apologies, Ms Jackson, it's
21	Jamie Dalton here. I have been asked by the interpreter
22	if you wouldn't mind slowing down, please.
23	MS JACKSON: I'm sorry. I had said I would and I haven't.
24	I'll start that again. But hopefully, as the document
25	is on the screen, she can see it. So:

1	"Once the detainees had been processed it would be
2	up to [Battle Group Headquarters] and the
3	Royal Military Police whether they were moved on or
4	released. The decision came from [Battle Group
5	Headquarters], but we had embedded RWPs with us who
6	would also have a say in how to deal with looters and
7	make decisions (in hindsight they should have been
8	advising the chain of command at the time it felt like
9	they were making decisions)."
10	So as I said, I think that follows on from what you
11	were explaining about the RMP attachment, but I was
12	hoping you could explain to me what that last bit in
13	brackets meant in your evidence.
14	A. Yes, of course I can.
15	So in effect, you would get attached subject matter
16	experts to your Company or your Battle Group
17	Headquarters, be that a Royal Engineer or a Royal
18	Military Police officer or a doctor, and ultimately, it
19	is the commander who owns the risk and so therefore owns
20	the decision to be made. The the order,
21	the executive decision is vested in the commanding
22	officer or in the OC, and I and, again, this is with
23	the benefit of 20 years' more experience, is that
24	I think we should have been getting the RMP to advise
25	and then making decisions, rather than and this is my

1	own my own recollection of how I interacted with
2	the RMP, not necessarily SO88's interaction with
3	the RMP, is that I think I would have liked to have
4	sought advice from them and then made decisions.
5	This is sort of when I'm this is when I had
6	swapped roles, so that I was then a platoon commander,
7	to have then had their advice as to what I was going to
8	do and what I would suggest to the OC we should do with
9	a detainee, rather than have them say. For instance
10	and it's amazing how things stick in your mind I can
11	remember picking a man up at the oil refinery who was
12	covered in oil, with a tin of oil in his hand, by a hole
13	in the fence, and the RMP saying to me, "Sorry, that's
14	just circumstantial evidence". And that's what I mean.
15	I think I would have pressed harder to have had that
16	individual charged and taken to Battle Group
17	Headquarters for onward for sort of onward
18	processing. So that's what I hope that makes sense.
19	Sorry, that was quite a long-winded answer, but I hope
20	I hope that makes sense.
21	Q. No, that's incredibly helpful. Thank you for
22	clarifying.
23	So I'd just like to ask some questions now focused
24	on the conditions for detainees at Camp Stephen, if
25	that's okay, and what happened when they were there.

1	So just firstly, if I could take you to paragraph 14
2	of your statement, which is at {A/81/4} of the document.
3	You refer there to the EPW area. And rather than me
4	read this out, I wonder if it's just worth taking
5	a second to remind yourself what you said there.
6	(Pause)
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	And I don't think we need to look at it, but you
10	were describing in paragraph 11 of your statement, just
11	a little bit before that, a holding area where detainees
12	would be held on arrival at Camp Stephen. Just firstly,
13	is that holding area the same as the EPW area that
14	you're talking about at paragraph 14?
15	A. Yes, I believe they are, yes, one and the same place.
16	Q. Thank you very much.
17	And Opus, am I able to just ask you to turn up
18	{A/4/13}, please.
19	Now, SO95, just for some context, we have in this
20	exhibit, we've got 17 photographs taken in and around
21	Camp Stephen. Yesterday, on Day 1 of our evidence,
22	the team was greatly assisted by witnesses who talked us
23	through the pack of photographs. Having done that
24	exercise, hopefully we can short-circuit it a little
25	bit. But if you would like to look through the entire

1	pack of photographs, then I'm very happy to take you
2	through all of them. But perhaps if I start by taking
3	you to a couple that we think are the most relevant and,
4	over the lunch break, if you've got any views on
5	anything else, I can ask you to take a look and come
6	back to me. Is that okay?
7	A. Of course.
8	Q. So on this photograph, you might just be able to make
9	out at the back in the centre the back of
10	the photograph in the centre of the photograph,
11	the back of that field that we can see, someone has
12	drawn on an arrow and has written "EPW" by that arrow,
13	and we understand that's someone indicating a spot that
14	the EPW area was located. Are you able to have a look
15	at this photo and tell me if you can point out where
16	the EPW area you were describing in paragraph 14 was?
17	A. So I think so if you look at the tree which is front
18	and centre of that photograph, and then look 3 o'clock,
19	there looks like there's a road there. I think that
20	road is the main road exiting out of the camp, and
21	therefore that does suggest that the EPW cage is as
22	I recalled it, on the left-hand side as you exit
23	the camp, as it's drawn on there. So that is my
24	recollection of where it is, yes.
25	But I I clearly remember, and I don't know if

like to

1	it's because it's obscured by the tree, but I'm sure
2	that there was some form of shading over it and a tent,
3	but as I said, I can't see it in that picture.
4	Q. No. Thank you, SO95.
5	I'm just going to ask Opus if you could bring up
6	the page before, {A/4/12}.
7	And just in relation to your comment about a tent,
8	it might be that this picture is a bit clearer.
9	I wonder if that helps your recollection?
10	A. Mm.
11	Q. It might not.
12	A. I mean, yes, so I can I can now clearly see, again
13	using the tree as a reference point, at 1 o'clock there
14	is a gap. That looks to me to be the exit. And then
15	but on the wall so if you so using if we go
16	12 o'clock of the tree, there's a slab that the EPW cage
17	appears to have been in front of. If you look, there is
18	a it looks like there is at the last quarter of
19	the wall, it looks like there is a line that runs down
20	it, and then it looks like there's then an outline of
21	something over the top of that wall. I think that could
22	be the that could be the camouflage net.
23	Q. Okay, thank you. No, that's very helpful.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I've got my hand up because I'd
25	interrupt.

- 1 MS JACKSON: Oh, I'm so sorry, Dame Anne. Back to you.
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, no, not at all.
- 3 SO95, I'm struggling a bit, because I am inept, with
- 4 your last bit. So could you bear to do it again for me?
- 5 A. Of course, ma'am, yes.
- 6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Start with 12 o'clock of the tree, and
- 7 then I got lost. I've got the tree.
- 8 A. If you go 12 o'clock of the tree and then go -- there's
- 9 a wall behind the tree at 12 o'clock. If you follow
- 10 the top of the wall -- okay, let me -- I'll use
- 11 a different reference point.
- 12 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I'm standing where the cameraman is,
- 13 and I'm going to throw a ball over the tree so that will
- 14 land at 12 o'clock?
- 15 A. Yes, so --
- 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Or am I throwing the ball so it stands
- 17 this side of the tree?
- 18 A. Ma'am, I'll use a different reference point.
- 19 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Can you use an idiot's reference point
- 20 and then we'll get somewhere.
- 21 A. Go to the road, follow the road down, and there's
- a yellow box.
- 23 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 24 A. At the base of the yellow box it looks like there's
- a bit of white paving that moves off into the far

- 1 distance to what looks like a row of toilets.
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, got it.
- 3 A. See where the wall intersects that?
- 4 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 5 A. Okay. Now move along the wall to the left --
- 6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 7 A. -- about a centimetre, I suppose, on this here.
- 8 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 9 A. There's a black line that runs down --
- 10 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Got it.
- 11 A. -- the wall.
- 12 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, got it.
- 13 A. Towards the base of the black line --
- 14 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
- 15 A. -- there is a faint mark on the wall that runs up sort
- 16 of roughly diagonally as a triangle. I think that's
- 17 possibly the cam net that I was referring to.
- 18 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Ah, got it. Got it. You obviously
- 19 have a second career as a teacher of twits. Well done.
- 20 Thank you.
- A. Not at all, ma'am.
- 22 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay, thank you very much.
- 23 MS JACKSON: Thank you, Dame Anne, and thank you SO95.
- 24 So I'll leave the photos for now. As I said, if,
- when you get the chance to have a look through the whole

1	exhibit, there is anything else you want to add, do come
2	back to me.
3	Just one more question about the layout of
4	the EPW area. A couple of other witnesses have
5	suggested to us that there was an area outside of
6	the camo tent with tables and chairs for asking some
7	detainees some questions on arrival. Does that match
8	your recollection?
9	A. Yes, it does. I think I think sorry, but I think
10	there's a danger of conflating two things here. And,
11	again, forgive me, because this is quite a lot of detail
12	a long time afterwards.
13	I think there was an area that we used to use for
14	people for what we called "walk-ins". So if somebody
15	walked in with some information, where we would have
16	people and we would talk to them and we'd, you know, in
17	effect interview them with an interpreter. I think that
18	was separate to the EPW area. So I think there were two
19	areas. One for walk-ins, for people that we wanted to
20	talk to who weren't under suspicion of anything, and
21	then a detention area where we had people who were being
22	detained because they were under suspicion of something.
23	Q. Thank you, that's a very helpful distinction.
24	And then just a couple of questions now, and then
25	we'll take a lunch break, about what would happen when

1	detainees came into Camp Stephen, and these should be
2	quite targeted, I hope, because we do have your full
3	statement. But at paragraph 11 of your statement so
4	Opus, just for reference, if we can bring up {A/81/4}
5	you probably don't need to see it to answer this
6	question, but I'll pop it there anyway you were
7	explaining to us that a medic would be called when
8	detainees arrived at Camp Stephen. And I think I'm
9	asking you particularly in your ops officer role here,
10	because I understand that you would sometimes be in
11	the watch room for that, how was it that the medic would
12	be summoned on arrival of detainees?
13	A. That's a really good question. I I can't I can't
14	remember. I'm really sorry.
15	Q. That's quite all right. Thank you anyway.
16	And then if, Opus, can we go across to paragraphs 20
17	to 21 at page 5 {A/81/5}. And I will read these out,
18	just because I think that will be helpful context for
19	the questions. And Madam Interpreter, I will endeavour
20	to do so slowly.
21	At paragraph 20:
22	"Detainees would sometimes be questioned at
23	Camp Stephen. I think that the CSM was one tactical
24	questioner and [redacted] was another."
25	I think that redaction is SO89.

1	"I think the routine followed was that they would be				
2	questioned and then moved on to [Battle Group				
3	Headquarters]. I can't comment on when they would be				
4	questioned but we were just trying to gather				
5	intelligence."				
6	Paragraph 21:				
7	"In terms of 'tactical questioning' the aim was to				
8	try to get initial information from the detainees while				
9	they were still under the 'shock of capture'. It was				
10	therefore a fairly limited process. There would have				
11	been a JSP (Joint Service Publication) outlining what				
12	could be done, but this has changed over time with				
13	the lessons of the last 20 years. At the time I think				
14	understanding was much more limited, but ultimately we				
15	were trying to extract as much information as possible				
16	while the detainees were still in a state of shock.				
17	I am aware that tactical questioning was carried out, by				
18	whoever the tactical questioners were."				
19	So my first question to you relates to evidence you				
20	gave to Dame Anne earlier, and that relates to				
21	the distinction that you drew between prisoners of war				
22	and also those who were detained during a period of war,				
23	and the position after the war-fighting phase had ended.				
24	So I was wondering, first, could you just				
25	contextualise these paragraphs for me. Are you talking				

1	here about the May 2003 period that we're looking at?
2	A. Yes, thank you. So, yes, I mean, I think I must have
3	got that wrong, you know. I I don't think When I
4	say that, you know, I suppose, again, with hindsight,
5	looking at it, you know, the answer that I gave
6	Dame Anne I suppose is very much in retrospect of what
7	I of what I now know, whereas the answer that I have
8	given there I suspect is probably more in line with what
9	we did then.
10	Now, I am surprised that I have conflated
11	the detainees piece with the shock of capture, because
12	I just it what that would suggest to me, and I'm
13	making some assumptions here, is that we hadn't fully
14	transitioned, therefore, from war-fighting to peace
15	support in terms of how we dealt with detainees.
16	I yes, I'm you know, I'm surprised that I put
17	that, but I clearly did, and it was the stuff that
18	I gave most recently, too.
19	So, yes in terms of it being limited process. I'd
20	question myself in terms of "shock of capture". I'm not
21	really convinced about that. But I suppose it goes to
22	that midpoint, doesn't it, in that paragraph 21, which
23	is the lessons learned over the last 20 years.
24	I think people were well, I don't think it;
25	people were questioned in order to gain information, and

1	that information would then have been fed up to build
2	the intelligence picture.
3	Does that help? Sorry, that's not a very good
4	answer.
5	Q. No, that is really helpful. And I do have some
6	follow-up questions, and in doing so I would remind you
7	of what Dame Anne has already made very clear, that we
8	are here for investigative purposes, so this isn't meant
9	to be me challenging you in an adversarial way, but we
10	do need to clarify what your evidence is on this point.
11	So can I just be clear, firstly, then, putting aside
12	what was happening in May 2003 specifically, in general,
13	during your time at Camp Stephen, were you aware that
14	tactical questioning was taking place at the camp?
15	A. So, yes, but the reason why I'm reticent, I I think
16	probably calling it "tactical questioning" is under
17	you know, and what a specific definition of "tactical
18	questioning" would be is, I don't think it was tactical
19	questioning, in hindsight. I think it was general
20	questioning of people by our tactical questioners who,
21	by dint of having done the course, were the subject
22	matter experts in the camp, and so therefore they asked
23	some questions of people that we had detained.
24	Q. Thank you. And you just said there something to do with
25	what the tactical questioning definition would be. Are

P	ag	е	1	1	1
	- 0				

1	you able to clarify for me what that definition is?
2	A. No, I
3	Q. Not in terms of a matter of law, just in terms of your
4	understanding.
5	A. So I would suggest that tactical questioning is
6	something that you you do, which is a quick initial
7	questioning of an individual to gain information, or
8	information that would decay over time.
9	So for instance, if you had captured an enemy
10	soldier at the front, you'd get him back to the tactical
11	questioner for as much information to be extracted from
12	that individual as possible to assist the next phase of
13	the operation, before that then that individual then
14	goes back for further processing, and that is in
15	a fairly fluid war-fighting setting.
16	In a situation where the flow of the pattern of
17	life, if you will, is not moving as quickly, whilst you
18	might question somebody, there's not necessarily that
19	there's not necessarily that tactical urgency that you
20	might have on the battlefield. That's not to say that
21	covers all examples, but it is you know, I would say
22	that you've probably got more time to question people,
23	and therefore it's perhaps a slightly less frenetic
24	activity.
25	But again, you know, we didn't really have

1	the capability and wherewithal to conduct anything other
2	than, you know, cursory questioning in our in our
3	location.
4	Q. Thank you, that's helpful.
5	And in a second I'll summarise to you what SO98 said
6	to us yesterday about tactical questioning, because it
7	might be that that helpfully accords with what you just
8	suggested.
9	But just before I do, I wanted to clarify that
10	the tactical questioning that you're talking about now,
11	that you have described as getting a bit more
12	information, maybe away from the scene of capture, if
13	I'm not mischaracterising your explanation.
14	In your view, did individuals need to be trained in
15	order to conduct that type of questioning?
16	A. Yes, and I think so, and the why is twofold. One is
17	getting information out of people, and reliable
18	information out of people, is a skill. And two,
19	actually, it's part and I say I would imagine this
20	is, again, in hindsight, it is part of the assurance
21	process that somebody who is qualified to ask
22	the questions of the individual is asking those
23	questions, because then it assures that process.
24	Q. Thank you, that's helpful.
25	So I said I'd refer back to what SO98 told us

1	yesterday, and I'm paraphrasing here, because we don't
2	yet have the transcripts available to circulate, but he
3	described a distinction between questioning of tactical
4	importance in order to get basic information from
5	a detainee and get an appreciation of whether they
6	needed to be detained further, on one hand. And put
7	"tactical questioning" as a kind of in inverted commas
8	phrase, and more of a term of art phrase as something
9	that was used to denote a much more I think he used
10	the word "rough" form of questioning.
11	Does that distinction assist you at all?
12	A. Just say again the bit about "art", please.
13	Q. Sorry, I said as a term of art, as in SO98 considered
14	that there was something called tactical questioning
15	that was a term of art, and it was a specific thing that
16	individuals might need to be that individuals who
17	conducted it would need to be trained for. And this
18	form of tactical questioning, as a phrase and as
19	a practice, was a more aggressive and rough form of
20	questioning that was quite different to the asking
21	questions of tactical importance that he also referred
22	to.
23	A. I think yes, I think SO98's put that really well,
24	actually. So I think, you know, the tactical
25	questioning bit, as he describes it, is you know,

Page 1	14
--------	----

1	that is where you are in the moment, having captured
2	somebody, asking, you know, for information, preserving
3	shock of capture, etc, again, which is why people need
4	to be trained. And then asking questions of tactical
5	importance, which is sort of what I was alluding to, not
6	as eloquently as SO98, in terms of getting information
7	when the tactical situation was perhaps less frenetic.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	And just a small number of questions still on this
10	topic and then we'll get some lunch, but you referred
11	a moment ago to information being taken through
12	the questioning that would happen at Camp Stephen that
13	would be intelligence-related information. I was hoping
14	you could just clarify what you meant by that for me.
15	A. Of course I can. So what I mean and I'm not sort of
16	trying to be smart here is that information and
17	intelligence are slightly different things, insofar as
18	intelligence is collated and assessed information that
19	then helps to form the intelligence picture, right?
20	Information is just that, it's raw knowledge that has
21	come straight off the from people or situations.
22	And so therefore what you're looking to try to do is
23	to build your intelligence picture to increase your
24	knowledge and understanding of the matter in which
25	you're operating. And therefore, by talking to people

1	on patrols, you're taking information that then
2	hopefully you corroborate and turn into intelligence.
3	From people that you've be detained, you're again taking
4	information, again to try to form it into intelligence.
5	And that and the assessments should then get passed
6	up to the Battle Group Headquarters to, again, collate
7	information across the whole Battle Group area of
8	operations, so that you are getting the best picture you
9	can of what's going on in your patch, and therefore
10	react to react accordingly.
11	Does that help?
12	Q. No, no, that's very helpful, thank you.
13	Just in terms of then specifically the type of
14	questioning that would happen at Camp Stephen, is it
15	your understanding then that if detainees were being
16	held at Camp Stephen, they would be asked questions for
17	the purposes of trying to establish intelligence beyond
18	simply information?
19	A. Yes, I think I think so. Because, you know, if you
20	I think our view probably was if you got them there,
21	you might as well ask them some questions in order to be
22	able to try and better understand your environment.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	And what I think will be the final question, but
25	I'll check with the team just before leaving this topic.

1	Following on from that, if there was a civilian being
2	held at Camp Stephen, was there any differentiation in
3	terms of the type of questions that could be asked for
4	the purposes of information or intelligence, depending
5	on the status of that civilian. So whether they were
6	a detainee, whether they were a looter who had been
7	picked up at the you know, out of sight, with some
8	led on their donkey. Was there any kind of mapping of
9	the reason why someone was at Camp Stephen to the type
10	of questions that they could be asked?
11	A. I I don't know. I think there would have been to
12	some extent, but, one, that is "think", not "know".
13	And, two, whilst I think there may have been some of
14	that level of sophistication, I also suspect that some
15	of the questions were not merely nuanced enough in terms
16	of, you know, what we now know was probably taking place
17	on the ground in the in the sort of period between,
18	you know, the end of combat operations and us handing
19	over to the Queen's Lancashires.
20	Yes. I'd like to tell you that it was highly
21	nuanced, but I doubt I doubt it was. I think
22	I think, again, people had the right idea how nuanced
23	that was. I don't know, and you're probably better off
24	speaking to well, yes, the the company IO would
25	give you a better understanding of what of what that

Page	1	1	7
0			

4	
1	was.
2	Q. Thank you. No, that's incredibly helpful.
3	Just I did want to say I am conscious that I'm
4	asking you, and that your role at the time was
5	second-in-command in the and as ops officer, and we
6	will be speaking to SO89 tomorrow. It was more because
7	these were paragraphs within your statement that you've
8	given to us, and I just wanted to ensure that we could
9	clarify what your own evidence was. I'm not trying to
10	ask you to give evidence beyond what your expertise was,
11	as it were. I hope that's clear.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I don't have any further questions on this topic. Can
14	I just check, firstly, with the team, whether anyone
15	does? Thank you.
16	Well, I'll pass back to Dame Anne now, in case she
17	has any more questions.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, but thank you. And thank you,
19	SO95.
20	I think a break for lunch now oh, right, yes?
21	MR HAMILTON: Thank you very much for allowing me
22	the opportunity. There was one matter that's arisen
23	from Ms Jackson's line of questioning there.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Can I get you to pause. Can I just get
25	you to pause. Not to be discourteous, but what we would

1	generally do is pick this up at the end of the witness's
2	complete evidence. So if it suits you, can you bear it
3	in mind so we can make a note of it, and you've got
4	a note of it, and when we come at the end to
5	representatives having the opportunity to ask if we will
6	ask something, we'll do it then. Does that bother you?
7	MR HAMILTON: No, it doesn't bother me, Dame Anne. I just
8	thought it would have been you know, just to
9	highlight it just now. But I can certainly I've made
10	a note of that, and we can come back to it at the end of
11	questioning. Thank you very much.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I am ever adaptable. Tell me what it
13	is. Tell me what it is and let's see how we go. Come
14	on, let's have it.
15	MR HAMILTON: Okay. It was during SO95's evidence there,
16	Ms Jackson asked questions with regard to the detainees
17	being questioned, and it reflects to paragraph 20 of
18	SO95's statement, I think it was {A/81/5}, where
19	the detainees were questioned by the CSM and one other,
20	which was redacted, and she referred to that
21	being "SO89". I wanted to confirm if that was indeed
22	SO89 or it's thought to be SO89.
23	MS JACKSON: Apologies, I can help on that. And thank you
24	very much, Mr Hamilton, for raising that.
25	For context, we had hoped that the redactions would

Iraq Fatality Investigations 1 come back with ciphers applied. As Dame Anne has 2 already indicated at the start of the hearing, 3 the redactions process has been a lengthy one, and one 4 that's out of our hands, so apologies for that. I can confirm that is SO89. And SO89, I understand, is one of 5 6 your clients. 7 MR HAMILTON: That is correct. 8 MS JACKSON: We will be speaking to him tomorrow. But 9 please do rest assured that, as for all other witnesses, 10 we will give SO89 the full opportunity to consider 11 matters that are being put to him during the course of 12 his evidence, and so I hope that that doesn't cause any 13 scope for concern for him. 14 MR HAMILTON: No, that's lovely. Thanks. I just wanted to 15 confirm that. Thank you. 16 MS JACKSON: Thank you, Mr Hamilton. 17 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good of you to persevere. Apt to ask 18 it now. Thank you. 19 MR HAMILTON: Thank you, Dame Anne. 20 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, not at all. 21 So let's call it 1.10 now. Shall we all come back 22 for a 2.10 prompt start? Can we do that? Good. 23 Silence is consent. 24 Thank you all very much. I'll see you at 2.10. (1.11 pm) 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(The short adjournment) (2.10 am)THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: We have everybody back, Dame Anne. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good, I'm very pleased to hear it. Thank you very much, Jamie. MS JACKSON: Thank you. So just before we kick off this afternoon. Mr Hamilton, are you there with us? 10 MR BERLOW: Hello, it's Mr Berlow taking back over again. 11 MS JACKSON: Hello, Mr Berlow. I just wanted to come back 12 to you, because just before we broke for lunch 13 Mr Hamilton wanted to clarify --14 MR BERLOW: Yes, I'm aware of that. 15 MS JACKSON: Thank you. And I have a slight apology to 16 make. I misread my cipher list. 17 MR BERLOW: Right. 18 MS JACKSON: It's actually SO90 who was the witness that was 19 ciphered. That was entirely my fault. 20 MR BERLOW: Yes, that's right. We didn't think it was SO89, 21 because his role was completely different to --22 MS JACKSON: No, you're quite right. Full apologies, that 23 was entirely my fault. 24 MR BERLOW: That's fine. 25 MS JACKSON: Sorry if it has caused any confusion over

1	the lunch break. I can clarify that.
2	MR BERLOW: Right, thank you. Thank you to Mr Hamilton for
3	bringing that up.
4	MS JACKSON: Yes, and I.
5	Okay. So SO95, are you still with us?
6	A. Yes, I am.
7	Q. Hi again. I hope you had a good lunch.
8	So as indicated before we broke, I would like to ask
9	you some questions, if possible, about the death of
10	Radhi Nama in particular. And to help with that, Opus,
11	would I be able to ask you to bring up {A/81/6}, please.
12	And we should be back to your statement again, SO95.
13	And I'm looking at paragraphs 28 and 29, just for
14	reference really, because I understand your evidence is
15	that even though planning patrols would be part of your
16	role as second-in-command in relation to this particular
17	search operation, it wasn't you who conducted
18	the briefing about the operation and you weren't on
19	the operation itself. Have I got that right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Brilliant. That said, I am hoping you might be able to
22	help me out with the log position and what was going to
23	and from the search operation.
24	So just firstly and again, if this is asking you
25	to go beyond your experience, then please do say, but

I'm hoping, because of your role as ops officer at the
time, you might be well placed to help me with this.
I was hoping you could just give us a bit of an
overview on how the communications worked and how they
were recorded. So first of all, are you able to explain
how radio messages were recorded at Camp Stephen?
A. Yes. So they'd have been recorded in the way that all
radio messages are recorded. There'd have been
a logbook which would have logged the incoming and
outcoming messages.
Q. Thank you. I think in your statement in 2012 we
don't need to go to it unless that's going to be helpful
you explain that there were normally two sets of logs
being kept in an ops room, one being the radio log and
one being the watch keeper log. I don't know if you're
able to explain that further?
A. That's correct, yes. So the radio operator log, he'd
log or they would log all the traffic pretty much
verbatim. And the watch keeper logged more of
a synopsis.
Q. Thank you. And in terms of the channels of
communication, are you able to contextualise for us
where those messages were coming from that were being
recorded by the ops room?
A. Yes, so the every patrol that went out on the ground

would have taken a radio with it, and the each patrol
would have had a call sign, and they would have radioed
back into us with their call sign. So, you know, for
instance, 10A would be 1 Platoon's commander, and that
would then go into the log. And then we would, as
I explained earlier, report upwards information both on
a sort of scheduled basis to our higher headquarters,
and also anything that was unusual or that we required
clarification on.
Q. Thank you. And the call signs that you referred to
there
A. Mm-hm.
Q I understand that you said that that would be
sorry, what was the word you just used? A platoon
A. Platoon commander.
Q. Platoon commander. But I think would a call sign
ever be an individual, or would it always be in relation
to a unit on the ground, sorry?
A. So yes and no. So the use 10 as an example. So 10
would be the first platoon, and 10A would be the platoon
commander. So 0 would have been our call sign, ie
the ops room, and 0A would have been SO88's call sign as
the commander. So individuals and organisations have
call signs.
Q. Thank you, that's really helpful. And you just referred

Page	124
------	-----

1	there to reporting up the chain of command, and I think
2	also earlier you referred to SITREPs being used. Are
3	you just able to give a bit more of an explanation as to
4	how SITREPs were used in that context?
5	A. Yes, so we would so you'd basically bundle up
6	information. So if you were sending a SITREP on a on
7	an habitual basis, ie it's just an update really, or an
8	UPREP of what's going on in your area of operations. I
9	think we probably sent one up every two hours,
10	potentially; it may not have been as precise as that.
11	And then any time that something happens that is
12	different or out of the ordinary, you would send
13	a situation report which updates what's going on.
14	The other report that you might routinely send is
15	a thing called a contact report. So if you have
16	a contact, that being, you know, a shooting or a contact
17	with the enemy, then you'd send a contact report to
18	the higher quarters as well, which basically explains
19	what's happening and what you're doing about it.
20	Q. Thank you, that's really helpful. And would you also
21	receive SITREPs from call signs on the ground?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Thank you. And do you recall how regularly they might
24	come in? I don't know if it's helpful, I think in 2012
25	you suggested that might be every 20 minutes or so, in

1	order to get the ops room updated.
2	A. Yes, that would be about right, depending on
3	depending on what's going on on the ground at the time.
4	Certainly more frequent. If something is happening
5	that's a fast-developing situation it might be slightly
6	less frequent, which also plays into issues in terms of
7	the ability to gain and maintain communications, bearing
8	in mind that the radio systems that we were using were
9	not were not 100%. You know, you weren't always in
10	radio contact, because sometimes you'd be in
11	a communications black spot.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	Now, before I ask the next run of questions, I just
14	need to explain something to you, which I hope you'll be
15	sympathetic to, which is rooted in the difficulty of
16	trying to conduct an investigation into events 20 years
17	after they took place, and the difficulty in question
18	here is actually managing to get copies of the relative
19	the relevant logs in question, and this has popped up
20	a bit already in the course of these hearings. And
21	the unfortunate upshot of that is that while we continue
22	to try to overturn every stone, I don't currently have
23	a copy of the logs to show you.
24	What I do have to show you, which you hopefully will
25	be familiar with, is your own previous statements, and

1	I hope, therefore, that we can maybe do this a bit
2	manually by reference to those previous statements, if
3	that's okay, and apologies for that.
4	So you should have, I think, in your disclosure
5	bundle that you were sent before giving your statement,
6	your 2003 statement. I don't think I need to take you
7	to the specific page for this, but you said in that
8	statement that you took over the role of watch keeper at
9	0800 hours?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Is that something that you're able to go by for
12	the purposes of are our investigations?
13	A. Yes, I think I think that is that sounds feasible,
14	yes.
15	Q. Thank you. And if you do have that bundle to hand, if
16	you could go to page 14 of it.
17	For those who are also on this call, of course you
18	won't be able to see projected copies of this disclosure
19	bundle because it's unredacted, but I will read out
20	relevant sections for the purposes of the transcript and
21	so everyone can follow.
22	A. Yes, I'm on page 14 now.
23	Q. Page 14, excellent, thank you.
24	And in this statement so you say and I can
25	read this out publicly, if I don't read it from my

Page	127
0	

1	screen. This is your statement that was given in 2017
2	to the Service Police Legacy Investigations, and what is
3	explained in this section of the statement is:
4	"The investigating officer from SPLI has asked me to
5	review exhibit 18"
6	Which, just pausing there, if we go up to
7	the previous page, it's explaining apologies, it's
8	quite an unwieldy document. Yes, so if we go to
9	page 12, 18 is described to be a certified true copy
10	of the C Company 1 Black Watch radio operator's logs
11	consisting of six pages.
12	So just pausing there and then going back to
13	page 14. So we've got:
14	"The officer from SPLI asked me to review [that
15	exhibit]"
16	le the logs.
17	" and read out verbatim the entries from 0800 up
18	to the C/S"
19	The call sign.
20	" returning from the operation on 8 May 2003. As
21	such, I can state the following"
22	So just pausing there, before we read out
23	the relevant bits. My understanding of this statement
24	is that essentially you were asked to pick out and
25	translate the relevant bits from those logs. So what we

- 1 should now be going on to is a fairly accurate summary
- 2 that you at one stage were happy with. Would you agree
- 3 with that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Fabulous.
- 6 And then, rather than reading out all of this,
- 7 I just wonder if I could leave you with a minute to
- 8 familiarise yourself with what the contents of that are,
- 9 just for the purposes of the questions that will follow,
- 10 if that's okay.
- 11 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: While SO95 does that, can you just give
- 12 me again from paragraph what up to paragraph what, so
- 13 I'm keeping up with him.
- 14 MS JACKSON: Of the disclosure bundle?
- 15 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Of {A/81/6}.
- 16 MS JACKSON: Oh, we're not on A/81/6 at the moment,
- 17 Dame Anne. We're in the disclosure bundle.
- 18 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Well, it's exactly the same as is on
- 19 the screen {A/81/6}.
- 20 MS JACKSON: Apologies, so we're not -- this isn't being
- 21 projected on the screen at the moment, Dame Anne,
- 22 because we're in the unredacted --
- 23 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, that's why I'm just
- 24 triple-checking.
- 25 MS JACKSON: No, of course. So we're within the disclosure

- 1 bundle at page 14.
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, okay, thank you.
- 3 MS JACKSON: Thank you, Opus.
- 4 (Pause)
- 5 A. Okay, I've read that.
- 6 Q. Thank you very much, SO95.
- 7 Having had the chance to do so, I wonder if you
- 8 could just walk us through then your involvement in what
- 9 was taking place during the search operation by
- 10 reference to the logs, as appropriate.
- 11 A. Okay, so there are a number of things going on here. So
- 12 for the people that can't see it, there's a timestamp,
- and then a "To" and "From", and the "To" and "From" are
- 14 different call signs. And I think it shows me, in
- 15 effect, coming on to be the watch keeper at 0800,
- 16 starting off with a radio check, which is in effect to
- 17 confirm communications between myself and the 30 call
- 18 signs that appear to be on the ground, and from memory
- 19 that would ring true.
- 20 There is -- there are a number of bits of what
- 21 I would call standard traffic. You know, people leaving
- 22 -- leaving the base, coming back to the base, and
- 23 nothing to do with the operation. Most of the things to
- do with the operation are to do with the 30 call sign.
- 25 So it appears that 30 left the location, ie that being

Camp Stephen, at about 0816. There is a call sign 33E,
which I'm not sure who that is. That's possibly
the company sergeant major, or it might be one of
the platoon involved.
So it then talks through that the it talks
through the the call sign gives a grid square of
a building, that a call sign has entered the building
and is continuing with the search. This is about 0840.
That would make sense in terms of transit time. If 30
had left our location at Camp Stephen at about 8.16 to
be on task at about 8.14 in Al Hayaniya, it would be
reasonable.
It details what's found in the building being
a holster and some empty cases, which means empty
ammunition cases, some ancillaries belonging to small
arms and a small arms belt. So what that means is that
that would be equipment for cleaning and maintaining
a weapon, most likely possibly some magazines in
fact, it says there's a 762 magazine as well, a small
arms belt 762 and a magazine for a pistol.
Then at 8.45, it talks about "leaving to take RMP
back to your location". My assessment would be that is
probably referring to if you just bear with me,
I will come to the cipher for that. That is probably
referring to cipher SO111.

1	Then furthermore, it talks about, the same call sign
2	this is still call sign 33, the 30 call signs they
3	found a computer. Can they lift the computer? And my
4	response is affirmative.
5	And then a little bit later, about five minutes
6	after that, just a reminder that they need to issue
7	a receipt to the owner of the computer.
8	Then they're talking about finishing on task and
9	making their way back to the location, being being
10	Camp Stephen.
11	Then follows an additional update where they
12	they've said they've finished on task, but they're going
13	to search an outhouse.
14	They send something some other detail at Blue 7.
15	Blue 7 is a spot, a reference to a place in Basra,
16	probably a road junction, that there are four grenades
17	in a school, three of which have exploded, and there's
18	a request for the EOD to destroy that.
19	That appears to be 3-3 potentially on the move,
20	coming back in. It appears that there is a gap of time
21	of about an hour between 8.59, where they're talking
22	about the computer, and the and the return of 33
23	coming back in past Blue 7. I'm sorry, I can't tell you
24	exactly where that is, but let's assume that it's some
25	way between AI Hayaniya and Camp Stephen.

1	It would appear that that That's quite a long
2	time to have been out of contact, radio contact. And
3	I can't and I say in the original I can't account for
4	the lack of communications.
5	I do in the in the bundle, it says that
6	the exhibit 18 was a photocopy, and I say in my
7	evidence I wonder if there's a page missing between
8	those two entries. It's not normal for there to be no
9	radio communication between a person on the ground and
10	an ops room for that period of time.
11	Q. Thank you
12	A. I think that's pretty much it. Sorry.
13	Q. No, I interrupted you. Thank you, that's incredibly
14	helpful.
15	Just one question, just a clarificatory question.
16	The call sign 33, you explained earlier that that might
17	be an individual, it might be a platoon. Is it possible
18	for you to tell from these logs?
19	A. No. 33 would normally be 33 just as two numbers
20	would normally be the company sergeant major. But
21	and without sort of going back into it, the so a fire
22	support platoon would have three platoons in it, but
23	they are distinct from the platoons you would normally
24	find in a rifle company. In fact, I think we had four
25	possibly four platoons. Yes, mortars, recce,

1	anti-tanks. No, three. So 33E would be 33E is
2	probably a call sign from the anti-tanks. 33 is
3	possibly the company sergeant major.
4	Q. Thank you, that's incredibly helpful. And you did just
5	pick up on your comment that follows from that that,
6	that you wondered whether there might be a page missing.
7	Obviously, due to the fact that we can't even get
8	the original copy, or the copy of the logs, I can't push
9	that any further today.
10	I would, however, like to just contextualise that
11	a little bit. And just firstly, before this next set of
12	questions, I just wanted to make clear that I do have
13	paragraph 33 of your statement to us in mind. We don't
14	need to go to it, Opus, but you do say in that that
15	given the length of time that has now passed, you can't
16	remember how you became aware of Radhi Nama's detention
17	and transfer to Camp Stephen. So although I do have
18	that in mind, I would still like to ask these questions,
19	if that's okay. And if your answer is that you're not
20	able to remember, then it is what it is.
21	So just firstly, in your 2003 statement. So if we
22	go back to page 4 of your initial disclosure bundle. So
23	we're in your statement of 9 May 2003. So just firstly,
24	9 May 2003 was one day after (sic) 8 May. You say at
25	page 4 so I'm looking four paragraphs up from

1	the bottom sorry, I'm not oh, let's start there,
2	actually and you say there:
3	"Shortly after this, between 0900 hours and
4	0910 hours, the task was collapsed."
5	Is it helpful at this point to go back to your
6	summary of the radio logs, or do you still have those in
7	mind?
8	A. Yes, I've still got them I've still got them in mind.
9	Q. So just firstly there, "the task was collapsed". What
10	does that mean?
11	A. That means that the task's been finished, or they've
12	brought people they're bringing people back in off
13	the ground back to our location.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	And then you carry on:
16	"At this stage, I was not aware that anybody had
17	been detained at the scene"
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. " and was being brought back to our location."
20	It then carries on:
21	"I was aware that call sign 33 had taken a route
22	which took them past an area known to have previously
23	been looted and he detained two Iraqis on suspicion of
24	looting."
25	I don't know if that's easily related back or if

1	you'd like to go back to the logs?
2	A. Well, it does somewhat fill in the gap between 0859 and
3	0955. So if he if the call sign if 33 collapsed
4	the task between about 09 and 0915, that would make
5	sense, because at 0859 we say he's going to he's
6	going to search an outhouse. Give that, say, what,
7	10/15 minutes to have a look at that location, collapse
8	the task, bring people in off the ground, and then he
9	moves back through Basra, past Blue 7 where we talk
10	about hand grenades, and then there's something about
11	the looting that would account for a transit time of
12	about half an hour or 40 minutes.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	And then just to that last bit on page:
15	"Call sign 33 returned to C Company about
16	0930 hours."
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. "Some time after they returned I was informed that an
19	Iraqi male, believed to be the father of the rape
20	suspect, had been detained and brought back to our
21	location."
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. So it seems like there and again, correct me if I'm
24	wrong after call sign 33 returned to C Company at
25	about 0930 hours, we're then moving outside the logs,

1	and you're informing the RMP that you were informed some
-	
2	time after that. Do you think that's a fair reading?
3	A. I don't think we are, actually. Let me just go back to
4	the log, sorry. And there's a nuance here in terms of
5	the call signs, so let me sorry
6	Q. Of course, back to
7	A. Okay, so I'm back to 14. Interesting. Okay.
8	Yes, no, I can't account for that, so that's a bit
9	odd. Because I thought what it might be is that
10	I don't know to the where I'm sort of struggling
11	slightly is that you've got 33E and 33, who are two
12	separate call signs, and I wonder whether the whether
13	the half past 9 is actually referring to 33, because 33
14	well, 33E, because 33E and 34 come in off the ground
15	at about 0818 and 0829. 33 remains on the ground for
16	some considerable time. So I I wonder if there's
17	some confusion there, because otherwise the times don't
18	match up. And I'm sorry, without sort of drawing
19	a timeline and having a look at it in a bit more detail,
20	it's a bit difficult to say. But that that would be
21	my reading of that in light of any other information.
22	Q. That's helpful, thank you.
23	That said, the bit I'm more interested in even,
24	which is not to say that that's not incredibly helpful,
25	is the fact that this is a statement given the day after

1	these events took place, and it seems to be that in that
2	statement your account to the RMP was that it was only
3	after the call sign returned that you understood that
4	Radhi Nama, or who we believe to be Radhi Nama, had been
5	"detained and brought back to our location".
6	A. Yes, I believe that's correct.
7	Q. That's helpful.
8	So moving slightly away from the timeline, but just
9	the headline, would it be fair that your evidence, at
10	least at that time, was that it was not reported in to
11	the ops room during the course of the operation that an
12	individual was being detained?
13	A. It certainly doesn't appear to have been, no.
14	Q. Thank you. And we have your evidence about
15	the difficulties of communication, and I've picked up
16	paragraphs 30 to 31 of your statement which talk about
17	the radio communications. So we do have that in mind
18	with that line of questioning, but we're just trying to
19	understand what was the kind of gold standard of what
20	was hoped might happen, and then maybe what evidence
21	we've got that we can piece together in terms of what
22	actually did happen. Thank you for that.
23	I'm just checking one point with my team.
24	(Pause)
25	Applogics, if you'll just beer with me

25 Apologies, if you'll just bear with me.

1	(Pause)
2	Okay, thank you. Wonderful.
3	So I'd like to ask some questions now about how you
4	heard about the death of Radhi Nama. Because if
5	I understand your evidence correctly, you were in
6	the ops room when this was taking place when
7	the search operation was taking place. Then the first
8	you were aware of Radhi Nama really was when you were
9	informed of his death at a later stage. Have I got that
10	correct?
11	A. No, so I think I think I'd have been aware of him
12	so they'd returned at 0930:
13	"Some time after they returned I was informed that
14	an Iraqi male"
15	Yes, so I'd have been aware of him at Camp Stephen
16	prior to his death.
17	Q. Apologies, that was my slight misphrasing there.
18	The next involvement that you had, I should say,
19	after becoming aware that he was at Camp Stephen, was
20	when you were informed of his death. And maybe I can
21	take you to the page
22	A. Yes, I've got it in front of me, sorry, which says:
23	"At about 1110 to 1115 hours I was informed that
24	the detainee from the search had collapsed due to
25	the heat and a medic had been tasked to treat him.

1	However, at about 1118 hours"
2	Wait, I will give you the I think it's SO98.
3	" came into the ops room and informed the OC and
4	me that the detainee had in fact died. I then radioed
5	Battle Group Main, call sign 0, for advice on what
6	action to take."
7	Q. Thank you.
8	Then if I can just get you to have a look at page 11
9	of that bundle as well, the disclosure bundle, just to
10	complete the picture. I understand that you had
11	corrected your evidence to the services police, in that
12	you had thought it was the medic who came to inform you
13	of the death, but in fact you confirm that it was SO98
14	who did so. So is that the picture of what your
15	involvement was?
16	A. Yes, I mean, in my mind, it's unclear, because I've just
17	got this really vivid picture of it being the medic, but
18	it but that is something that I'd said after
19	afterwards. And this was the evidence that I'd given to
20	the RMP I think you said the day after the event took
21	place, so therefore I'm more inclined to believe that it
22	was SO98. In fact, I'm almost certain that it was SO98
23	that gave me that information.
24	Q. Thank you. No, that's very helpful, SO95. And we did
25	hear from SO98 yesterday, who also had no recollection

1	directly of these events, and his evidence was that if
2	you, SO95, said that's what happened, then it probably
3	did as well. So that's the evidence that we've had so
4	far in the course of these hearings.
5	I'd just like to get you to have a look at
6	paragraph 36 of your statement to us.
7	So Opus, that's {A/81/7}.
8	While that's coming up, I'll start reading it out.
9	You say:
10	"In terms of how I viewed the news from"
11	And that's SO98.
12	" given my knowledge of him, nothing in his
13	demeanour raised any suspicions that there had been any
14	untoward behaviour. I knew [SO98] well and believed him
15	to be honest and believe that if he had felt there was
16	a concern that someone had been beating up a prisoner,
17	he would have asked me to go and check on the prisoner.
18	I trusted him at the time to be honest with me and
19	I still trust him."
20	And then just to complete it:
21	"If [I] had said that there was an issue I would
22	have checked, but I had the impression he was as shocked
23	by what had happened as I was."
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: "If he had said", not, "If I had said".
25	MS JACKSON: Did I get that wrong, apologies.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, you said "If I had said".
2	MS JACKSON: "If he had said that there was an issue I would
3	have checked, but I had the impression he was as shocked
4	by what had happened as I was."
5	On that, that first sentence about SO98's demeanour,
6	I wonder if you could just explain that a bit more and
7	why it was that you didn't have cause for concern?
8	A. Yes, so I SO98 and SO81 are sort of cut from similar
9	cloth, and you will have heard how SO81 described things
10	in terms of, you know, just doing the right thing. SO98
11	is of a similar disposition. And I think I go on to say
12	in the evidence that I've given you, which you might
13	come to later on, that I recall SO98 at least I think
14	it was SO98, I'm wondering after hearing SO81 this
15	morning whether it might have been him, but I think it
16	was SO98 did raise with me at some point a concern
17	about a detainee being kicked, which sounds very similar
18	to the evidence that SO81 gave this morning.
19	Which is why I put in there about SO98's demeanour
20	in that he was the sort of person who, if he felt there
21	was an issue, he would have said to me, "I think there
22	is an issue that you need to be aware of", by which
23	I mean, if he had seen the body, which I think he did,
24	and there had been marks on the body, or things that
25	were wrong with the body, he would have said something

to the effect of, "The body is showing signs that it's
been badly beaten, you need to come and have a look at
this". And he didn't. And so therefore, given that,
there were I mean, clearly there were alarm bells
ringing because this man had just died, but there were
no alarm bells ringing in as far as I felt that somebody
had had some impact on him being killed, ie had beaten
him up, or had in any other way maltreated him, and had
SO98 thought that, he would have said it.
Does that help?
Q. No, that's incredibly helpful. Thank you, SO95.
So moving on now to some questions about what
happened after that news reached you, and just looking
at the next paragraph there of your statement,
paragraph 37, you say at paragraph 37:
"As soon as I heard the news from [SO98] I called
this in straight away. I did not check on Mr Nama.
I reported the death to [Battalion Headquarters] and
the medic took a look at them. He was then transported
to the international hospital in Basra. I can't
remember which call sign took him, but it wasn't me."
Just firstly for context, at this point in time,
were you currently in the ops room, do you recall?
A. Yes, I would have been.
Q. So when it says "the medic took a look at them", are you

1	able to explain how the medic was called in order to
2	take a look at "them", or presumably "him", I think,
3	Mr Nama?
4	A. Yes, so and forgive me, because I can't recall
5	the fine detail. Camp Stephen wasn't very big, I mean,
6	I think there are about three or four buildings, and
7	the so if we had wanted to go and get the medic, we'd
8	literally have sent a runner to go and get the medic,
9	and he would have come in, been briefed in the ops room,
10	and then gone to wherever he was required.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	If I can just get you to look back to your
13	disclosure bundle and go to page 5 of that, which should
14	be in your 2003 statement, and just second up from
15	the bottom there, and second kind of line up from the
16	second paragraph up from the bottom, you say in that
17	statement:
18	"I then radioed Battle Group Main, CS 0, for advice
19	on what action to take."
20	A. Mm-hm.
21	Q. With reference to that 2003 statement, is that something
22	that you still think is likely to have been the case in
23	your evidence to us today?
24	A. Yes. I mean, both both that line and the line that
25	was in the paragraph that you've just read out that was

1	on the screen are one and the same thing, they're just
2	using slightly different language. So "Battle Group
3	Main, [call sign] 0, for advice on what action to take",
4	and when I say I'd call it in, that's in effect the same
5	thing.
6	Q. Thank you. If we just look at paragraph 8 of that same
7	bundle, and let me just find where we are in
8	the document. Yes, so we should be the fourth paragraph
9	down, and it starts:
10	"I can confirm that I stated in my previous
11	statement that I radioed Battle Group Main for advice on
12	what action to take."
13	This time you go a little bit further and say:
14	"The advice sought would be that evidence to take
15	where the body should go to [etc]. I did inform the
16	Battalion ops room of the death of Radhi Nama [as
17	read]."
18	Then we don't need that final sentence.
19	Obviously this is evidence given a little bit later,
20	I think in 2012, but is this evidence that you still
21	feel confident giving to us today?
22	A. Yes, I think it is. You know, it it's the sort of
23	thing that you would do in the event or in the sort of
24	thing we're talking about.
25	Q. Thank you. So we're particularly interested to try and

1	understand what the advice that you were given might
2	have been.
3	A. I can't remember. I'm sorry, I can't remember.
4	Q. That's quite all right. I was anticipating that answer,
5	but thank you anyway.
6	In that case, just a couple more questions then
7	about the events following Mr Nama's death, and
8	the first couple of questions I've got for you relate to
9	how the family were informed about his death, and
10	I wonder if I could bring up paragraph 44 of your
11	statement.
12	Opus, that's {A/81/8}, but it runs over to page 9,
13	so maybe if we can split those so we can get it all on
14	the page, that would be great {A/81/9}.
15	Just while that's being brought up, what you say
16	here is:
17	"I know that Radhi Nama's family were informed of
18	his death. I remember [SO98, that redaction is]
19	(the OC) talking to his interpreter and writing a letter
20	to the family to tell them he had died. He wanted to
21	explain where his body and to express his condolences.
22	[SO88] used the translator to translate the letter and
23	to make sure the phraseology was correct and culturally
24	competent. I think that [SO88] then delivered
25	the letter to the house. I don't know whether it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 146 handed over or just put through the letterbox." And then we can pause there. So just before we go into a couple more questions about that, firstly, do you recall if you saw the letter itself? A. No, but I can remember it -- I can remember it being written. I can remember the discussion about it with SO88 and the interpreter, and I think SO88 explaining to the interpreter what it was he wanted to say, and then the interpreter then phrasing that in Arabic, and writing it I think in Arabic. Q. Thank you, SO95. I'm just going to ask Opus, please, if you could just bring up $\{A/29/3\}$. SO95, I hear what you're saying about not actually having seen this letter before, so I'm not asking you to confirm if this is the one that you were talking about in terms. But we did hear from SO88 yesterday who was able to confirm to us that this was a letter that he instructed to be written following Mr Nama's death. Just before asking you just a very small number of questions about this letter, SO88 told us vesterday that he -- and I hope I'm not mischaracterising his evidence -- introduced an element of ambiguity out of compassion as he didn't feel it was appropriate for it to be him or

1	those at Camp Stephen to tell the family of Mr Nama that
2	Mr Nama had died.
3	So with that in mind, just if apologies, let me
4	just bring my own copy up so I can zoom in as well. But
5	if we just have a look at the contents of that letter
6	that's on the screen, what it says here is:
7	"This letter is to let you know that Radhi Nama was
8	brought by the British Forces on the eighth of this
9	month for questioning. The questions were related to
10	his son, Mohammed Radhi Nama whom they believe has done
11	illegal activities [Illegible phrase]. Unfortunately,
12	Mr Radhi Nama suffered a heart shock. He was
13	immediately transferred to the old Military Hospital.
14	For more information and to find out about his health
15	condition you need to contact the Military Hospital.
16	The questioning was stopped when Mr Radhi Nama suffered
17	this sudden heart shock. The British Forces have
18	treated him in a good way according to the British Rules
19	for Treatment of Detainees. For more information about
20	the way he was treated by the British Forces you need to
21	can you see that the British Military Police located at
22	the end of the road where the 'Radio and TV' Building
23	is, in Al Asha'ar."
24	So having seen that letter, and going back to your
25	statement, I won't put it up on the screen just so you

1	can keep the letter there for the moment. But at
2	paragraph 45 of your statement to the IFI, to us, you
3	said that part of the thinking behind the letter was
4	that:
5	" we felt we needed to let them know that he had
6	died"
7	I just wonder if you had any further comment on what
8	the intent was behind the letter based on your
9	discussions at the time, in light of now having seen
10	the content of that letter, and having heard what SO88
11	had to say about what his intent was for the letter?
12	A. Well, I think I'd defer to SO88, because he wrote
13	the letter and he and it was his intent to inform
14	the family, and I can well believe that he injected some
15	ambiguity to protect the family. And I should take you
16	back to what I said about SO88 earlier on, in terms of
17	his style of leadership and compassion etc. So I would
18	defer to him.
19	What I have given you in my statement was my best
20	recollection of the time. SO88's evidence in this
21	instance is almost certainly more reliable, because it
22	was him that wrote the letter with the interpreter, and
23	I was on the periphery of that, I wasn't in the heart of
24	writing it.
25	Q. Thank you very much, SO95, that's very helpful.

1	Just one final question from me about the aftermath
2	more generally of Radhi Nama's death, and this will take
3	us a little bit further than just the aftermath of that
4	death in particular as well.
5	But if I can get Opus to pull up {A/81/11}, and
6	we're looking at paragraph 50. Apologies.
7	So I'll just read out the first section of this.
8	I don't think we need the whole paragraph. You're
9	talking here about the response in Camp Stephen
10	following Radhi Nama's death, as I understand it, and
11	what you say is:
12	"Following previous death in the cage, I think there
13	must have been some change to how detainees were dealt
14	with. I can't imagine that Radhi Nama's death would
15	have happened and it would have been business as usual."
16	There's a redaction there. I believe that's SO88,
17	but I will just double-check that.
18	" would have said that we needed to make sure
19	that it didn't happen again. However, I do think that
20	we didn't feel that we had done anything wrong in
21	relation to Radhi Nama and his death had been bad luck
22	and so any changes would not have been a root and branch
23	overhaul. I don't think anyone felt that we had done
24	anything untoward and so there was no need to have any
25	major change."

1	Then you go on to give your hindsight opinion.
2	Just pausing there, though. A week later and
3	I know your evidence is that you didn't have anything to
4	do with it. A week later, on 13 May, we know that
5	Mousa Ali also passed away, sadly, either at or shortly
6	after having been taken away from Camp Stephen. Taking
7	in mind that this is your view of how matters were dealt
8	with following the death of Radhi Nama at Camp Stephen,
9	I was wondering if you would also be able to comment on
10	what the atmosphere was following the death of
11	Mousa Ali, even if you didn't have direct involvement
12	with those events.
13	A. Not not really, no. I mean, I can I can give you
14	a speculation on what I what I feel it would have
15	been, but I can't remember it exactly. I can imagine
16	probably similar sort of disappointment and shock, but
17	I can't you know, that is that is very much
18	that's a very imprecise answer, because I I can't
19	really give you any more detail than that. I generally
20	can't remember much more about it.
21	Q. Thank you. If it's okay, I'll just push that a little
22	bit further, because this paragraph 50 and your
23	recollection of the atmosphere in Camp Stephen and
24	the response following the death of Radhi Nama, this is,
25	I think I'm right in saying, something new that you've

1	introduced in your evidence to these investigations,
2	it's not something that's been taken from previous
3	statements?
4	A. No, so but, you know, with respect, the 88 questions
5	that came from the IFI asked different questions to
6	the ones that I had previously been asked, and so
7	therefore there will be some disparity in terms of
8	the evidence, because, you know, I've answered different
9	questions from different people at different times.
10	That said, you know, my supposition, from what
11	I recollect and what I know of SO88, is I would be
12	surprised if something of that magnitude having
13	happened, we didn't have a look at what we were doing to
14	make sure that it didn't happen again. What I
15	you know, what that was, I'm afraid I can't I can't
16	tell you. And if it's not written down, then I'm afraid
17	there's no other way of addressing that other than
18	speaking to other witnesses.
19	So I'm sorry, I feel like I should be able to answer
20	that question better, but I can't really give you
21	anything else.
22	Q. Thank you, SO95. No, that's incredibly helpful. And
23	just to be clear, my reason for asking wasn't in order
24	to try and trip you up in relation to a past account,
25	and I'm fully aware that the questions the IFI asked

1	don't necessarily correlate with previous
2	investigations; that's largely why we asked them.
3	I'm more interested in why it is that you have been
4	able to feel able to remember with this degree of
5	clarity the response in relation to Radhi Nama's death,
6	and yet when it comes to the response in relation to
7	Mr Ali's death, which, even if by only a week, is more
8	contemporaneous, your recollection is a lot more
9	speculative?
10	A. Because I was directly involved in the Radhi Nama
11	incident and I I would probably offer that I think
12	that Radhi Nama was an old man and therefore that
13	you know, there was a one, the proximity to it, and
14	two, the two, the consequence of it, I think to some
15	to my mind, you know, that was a it was the first
16	time that it happened. I think I was, as I say,
17	involved in it, and therefore the direct involvement of
18	it I think is a thing that has kept it sort of stuck in
19	my mind.
20	I'm not I'm not diminishing the other death, it's
21	just that my you know, the direct involvement with it
22	was greater, which is why I think I've probably got
23	a better memory of it than the other one, and
24	I genuinely can't really remember a great deal about
25	the other death.

1	Q. No, that's incredibly helpful.
2	And just to finish that off then, it sounds to me
3	like what you're saying is we don't have a basis to read
4	across from the fact that in this in relation to
5	Radhi Nama's death there was a feeling that we hadn't
6	done anything wrong and his death had been bad lack?
7	A. Mm-hm.
8	Q. We don't have any reason to read across the contrary in
9	the response to Mousa Ali's death by virtue of your
10	silence on that.
11	A. No. No, don't, you know? I don't infer anything from
12	it.
13	Q. Thank you. No, that's incredibly helpful. Thank you,
14	SO95. I don't have any further that I wanted to ask
15	you. Let me just check with my team for one moment.
16	I don't think there's anything else we need to ask. In
17	that case, thank you very much, SO95.
18	Dame Anne, I don't know if you had anything you
19	wanted to follow up with?
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, thank you.
21	MS JACKSON: Thank you.
22	In that case, I'll just check with
23	the representatives in turn if there's anything that you
24	would like Dame Anne to ask.
25	Perhaps can I first go to the Millennium Hotel. Do

Page	1	54
------	---	----

1	you have any questions, Ms Al Qurnawi?
2	(Pause)
3	MS AL QURNAWI: Yes, we have a few questions, if we may.
4	MS JACKSON: Thank you.
5	MS AL QURNAWI: Just give me a second to (Pause)
6	Sorry, the first question is a question from
7	Mrs Afaf Nama: in the case of Radhi Nama, he was not
8	a looter as we heard. He was taken in for questioning
9	about the whereabouts of his son, Mohammed Radhi Nama.
10	Why then he was taken in the same way that they did with
11	looters, for example the transportation of Radhi Nama in
12	the hot Warriors, especially given he is an old man, and
13	since there was no indication that Radhi Nama was lying
14	or responsible for his son's alleged activities,
15	whatever those activities were?
16	That's question number one, and specifically from
17	Mrs Afaf.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: How many more questions?
19	MS AL QURNAWI: Sorry?
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: How many more questions would you like
21	him to think about?
22	MS AL QURNAWI: That's the first question. Shall we say
23	the other two?
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, please.
25	MS AL QURNAWI: The second question: would the medical

1	person who would check detainees on arrival at
2	Camp Stephen be a doctor, or a nurse or a paramedic?
3	Plus, would there be a record of such medical check kept
4	in Camp Stephen?
5	This is the question number two.
6	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay, thank you.
7	MS AL QURNAWI: The last question: SO95 mentioned rape.
8	Rape is a criminal offence under Iraqi law. How come
9	the Army was involved in this? Who asked them to
10	apprehend Radhi Nama's son, and what would they have
11	done if they had arrested Mohammed Radhi Nama? During
12	that time, as probably we know as locals in Iraq, some
13	people were reporting crimes to the security forces in
14	order to get their enemies into trouble. So how would
15	this type of serious crime be investigated by the Army?
16	What evidence would the Army need before they are trying
17	to arrest somebody?
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
19	MS AL QURNAWI: Thank you.
20	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, let me take this step by step.
21	We'll take the last one first.
22	MS AL QURNAWI: Okay.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: SO95
24	A. Okay. Sorry, my Lady.

25 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, wait please. Wait please.

1	The question that one of Radhi Nama's daughters would			
2	like posed is, essentially, rape being on the criminal			
3	register in Iraq, what is the Army doing investigating			
4	an allegation of it against Radhi Nama's son?			
5	The question to you: are you capable of answering			
6	that? And if not, please say so.			
7	A. I can give you a view on that. Whether that well,			
8	I'll give you a view, so and it's a really good			
9	question. Yes, it is a criminal offence. Why the Army?			
10	I think at that stage there was no police force, and			
11	therefore, as I recall, we had evidence to suggest, or			
12	intelligence, rather, to suggest that Radhi Nama's son			
13	was implicated in the crime of rape, and therefore we			
14	were because that address their address in			
15	Al Hayaniya sat in our area of operations, we were			
16	tasked to effect an "arrest", I'd say in inverted			
17	commas.			
18	From there, that would almost certainly have gone to			
19	be dealt with by the Royal Military Police rather than			
20	us. We other than effecting the arrest, we would not			
21	have been involved in the in the gathering of			
22	evidence and the judicial process, which I I think			
23	would have been conducted by the Royal Military Police			
24	and the interim what am I trying to say			
25	the interim authority.			

- 1 That's my view --
- 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 3 A. -- to the best of my knowledge.
- 4 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: This is a straightforward one. I think
- 5 it's a matter of record, because we can look it up, if
- 6 necessary. The medic checking an individual on arrival.
- 7 What the question is looking for is medical
- 8 qualification. So is this a nurse, is it a doctor,
- 9 is it a paramedic? Please don't feel under any
- 10 pressure. Do you know the answer?
- 11 A. Again, I can -- I can give you my opinion, which,
- 12 you know, is that --
- 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Tell us how you would have viewed
- 14 the ranking in that regard of the company medic.
- 15 A. Right, so the company medic would have been -- is about
- 16 equivalent to a paramedic.
- 17 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 18 A. He's a combat med technician.
- 19 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you very much indeed.
- 20 And finally --
- A. Sorry, and there was a question, ma'am, about record.
- 22 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Oh, yes, you're quite right, yes.
- 23 A. So the answer is I don't know. I would like to --
- 24 I would like to have thought that it would have been
- 25 recorded and that those documents could be -- could be

1	found. The honest answer is I don't know, I'm afraid.			
2	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We can find that out. I didn't ask			
3	you, because I knew we would find that out. Thank you.			
4	And the final question, the first posed in time,			
5	which I'm content to let you think about, because you've			
6	skirted it in earlier evidence.			
7	Given that Mr Radhi Nama was not suggested to be			
8	a looter, why was it that his level of transport to			
9	Camp Stephen equated, in discomfort and other			
10	unattractive nouns, to that which would have been			
11	afforded to people who genuinely were, or legitimately			
12	suspected to be, looters? Do you know the answer to			
13	that?			
14	A. Yes, I do, and it's and it's not it's not			
15	necessarily the answer that people would want, but it's			
16	true. The transport we had was the transport we had,			
17	whether for our own troops, for people that we just,			
18	you know, wanted to bring to our location, irrespective			
19	of crime or not. And so there was no there was no			
20	malice in what we had done, it was just that was that			
21	was the that was the available transport at the time.			
22	And, you know, as I said in earlier evidence,			
23	you know, in hindsight, had we had better, more			
24	appropriate transport, that would have been right. And			
25	I I agree with Ms Nama that, you know, transporting			

- 1 him in the back of a Warrior, if that's what we did
- 2 transport him back in, is not ideal, and, you know --
- 3 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- A A. -- that's the answer.
- 5 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Let me pause there before we revert to
- 6 asking other representatives to see whether any member
- 7 of the team wants to intervene or add anything in
- 8 respect of those three questions I've decided to pose.
- 9 MS JACKSON: No additions from me, Dame Anne. But perhaps
- 10 it might be worth just reassuring the family that we do
- 11 have other witnesses who are likely to be able to give
- 12 evidence on some of those matters, in particular
- 13 the medical questions, and we have yet to hear from
- 14 them.
- 15 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
- 16 Thank you to our friends and colleagues in Basra for
- 17 those. Back to your circuit of the representatives,
- 18 Natasha.
- 19 MS JACKSON: Thank you, Dame Anne.
- 20 So Mr Cherry, you are with us, do you have any
- 21 questions that you would like to put?
- 22 MR CHERRY: Dame Anne, it may assist, in that this witness
- 23 having been a patrol and platoon commander on
- 24 the ground. There has been the questions about
- 25 the dimensions of the stream --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. MR CHERRY: -- and at page {A/81/4}, paragraph 79, he gives what he believes are the dimensions of the stream. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. MR CHERRY: And furthermore, the witness who had suggested that the stream was possibly 20 metres wide and would go over your head, this witness could possibly assist you in saying if there was any water of that magnitude in the whole Company area. 10 MS JACKSON: I'll just jump in here, because we were doing 11 questions in relation to the evidence that SO95 has 12 already given. Mr Judd does have a small number of 13 questions to put to SO95 in relation to matters relating 14 to wetting, so I wonder if that question is still live 15 at the end of that. 16 MR CHERRY: Shall I hold then? 17 MS JACKSON: If you wouldn't mind holding. Apologies if 18 there was a lack of clarity about that. Thank you. 19 Were there any questions on the evidence that SO95 20 has given? 21 MR CHERRY: I've got one other suggestion, but it, again, 22 relates to wetting, so I'll save it to the end. 23 MS JACKSON: I'm grateful. 24 And Mr Berlow?
- 25 MR BERLOW: I have no points of clarification.

1	MS JACKSON: Thank you very much.
2	And then I believe it's Ms Vyvyan-Robinson from GLD,
3	and it might be Mr Foley, or it might be no one.
4	MR FOLEY: There's no questions from me. Just to thank SO95
5	for the lengthy evidence session.
6	MS JACKSON: I'm grateful for that, Mr Foley.
7	So if I could briefly then pass back to Mr Judd for
8	those limited questions on wetting. Thank you.
9	Questions from MR JUDD
10	MR JUDD: Thank you, SO95. I hope I won't detain you for
11	too long. I just wanted to ask a couple of questions
12	which might contextualise the evidence you've already
13	helpfully given us from paragraph 63 of your witness
14	statement onwards.
15	Opus, could we just put up {A/81/13}, please. Thank
16	you.
17	Now SO95, at the bottom here of paragraph 71, when
18	asked about the practice of wetting, you are only able
19	to recall a rumour that somebody in the Irish Guards had
20	thrown someone into the river, and you think this was
21	subsequently dealt with but you don't recall whether it
22	was on TELIC 1 or subsequently. I just wondered if we
23	could unpick this slightly.
24	First of all, in light of the other evidence that
25	you may have heard, are there any other comments you may

have on this rumour, the practice, any reports of wetting more generally?A. Yes, so let's let's address that specific thing in
A. Yes, so let's let's address that specific thing in
71. I think that's well documented, that there was a
somebody was thrown into the canal, and that that had
been investigated, and, as I say, I can't remember which
TELIC that was on.
As to the wider practice of wetting, like SO81,
the terminology, that terminology I'd never heard used
before until I started to put the evidence together for
this Inquiry, this specific Inquiry that I'm sitting on
today.
So, you know, as to wetting as a practice, I'd never
heard of it. You know, it doesn't take the brains of an
Archbishop to work out what it probably means, but I had
not heard the terminology until I started putting
evidence together for this specific investigation.
Q. I see. Just to be clear, when you say this is
a well-documented incident that has been investigated,
can you identify that incident for us?
A. No. But in that, I can remember it being on the news.
Q. Understood, thank you.
A. Sorry, you know, I can't give you much more detail on
that.
Q. No, that's very helpful.

1	I just want to also qualify what you mean with			
2	the words "subsequently dealt with". Again, you may			
3	have answered that by telling us			
4	A. Well, again, when I say "subsequently dealt with", what			
5	I mean is that, from what I can recall from the news			
6	report, that incident had been dealt with I think either			
7	by the RMP or a subsequent investigation.			
8	Q. Thank you.			
9	And without identifying them by name, can you recall			
10	who it was who passed that rumour on to you? Again,			
11	I appreciate you			
12	A. No, I I'm pretty sure that I saw that on the news.			
13	I have got my in my mind's eye, I have got a picture			
14	that was on the news of a serviceman with a with			
15	a looter, I think it was on a bridge in Basra, and			
16	that's where it came from.			
17	Q. Thank you.			
18	A. So that is not somebody who in the Black Watch			
19	Battle Group or in 7 Armoured Brigade told me of			
20	a rumour of something that had happened, that is			
21	something I had subsequently discovered, and, as I say,			
22	I'm, well, 99.9% certain that it was on the news.			
23	Q. Thank you.			
24	Just going to skip ahead to this stream outside			
25	Camp Stephen. Again, you've already helpfully answered			

1	questions on this at paragraph 79 onwards of your
2	witness statement, which is over the page on {A/81/14}.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. I wonder if we could just turn up a couple of photos and
5	sketches to see whether or not that description there is
6	the one you would still give.
7	The first one, if I may, Opus, is at {A/2/2}, so
8	page 2.
9	Could you identify where in the photo
10	A. Yes. So if you right, so if you look to
11	the right-hand image, okay? The right-hand image as we
12	look at this page, there looks to be, about
13	2 centimetres up, a compound. At the top, sort of if
14	we call it the northwest of the compound, the three
15	A-shaped buildings?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. Do you follow me? Now, that's not Camp Stephen. If you
18	look to if you look to the left-hand of the two
19	images, there is a compound which is sort of roughly
20	quadrilateral, and then there is a Y-shaped track that
21	runs up, probably south as these pictures are
22	orientated, southeast to northwest with a building in
23	the middle of it, and then two buildings slightly
24	further to the north and west. That is Camp Stephen.
25	If you look on the Y-shaped junction, at sort of

Page '	165
--------	-----

1	the bottom of the Y, there's a roundabout. And if you			
2	follow the track down, out, onto the flat ground in			
3	front of the camp			
4	Q. Yes.			
5	A you'll see that there's a dark area. That, to me,			
6	looks like the ditch.			
7	Now, looking at the wall, which probably was about			
8	2 feet in in thickness, I would suggest, therefore,			
9	that my evidence is slightly wrong and that is probably			
10	that is probably twice the width of the wall, so,			
11	what, about 4-foot. But everything else that I've said			
12	about it remains, in that it wasn't deep, fast-flowing,			
13	full of water, it was more like a it was more like			
14	a drainage ditch, a nullah, if you'll excuse			
15	the expression.			
16	The image to the right, that that is that			
17	I don't I don't recall that being Camp Stephen. So			
18	the only thing I can think of well, no, I can't.			
19	I can't I can't see that that right-hand image is			
20	Camp Stephen.			
21	Q. I see. That's helpful.			
22	I'll just take you, if I may, to the photos of			
23	the camp.			
24	A. Yes.			
25	Q. So Opus, if we could pull up {A/4/5}, please. You will			

1	have seen this photo before, and certainly this morning.		
2	I'll just wait for it to appear.		
3	Now, you may have been present, SO95, when I was		
4	taking SO81 through this, but if it helps, again, what		
5	we're looking at here, as I understand it, is the top of		
6	the compound where the watch tower was, looking towards		
7	that wall and the stream which you've just helpfully		
8	identified, and it runs from roughly 80% of the way up		
9	the screen on the left-hand side, behind the watch tower		
10	there, and then between the armoured vehicles, the top		
11	of which are just visible over the top of the wall of		
12	the compound.		
13	A. Mm-hm.		
14	Q. And then between the wall in the middle distance there.		
15	Is that the stream that you're describing?		
16	A. Yes. To help orientate it further, if you look toward		
17	the far left so there's a where the left-hand edge		
18	of the tower is, there's a camouflage net, yes? There's		
19	a line a ridge, a line of buildings that runs from		
20	right to left, and then at the left-hand edge of		
21	the image, where the apex roofs end, there is a large		
22	building, a grain silo, okay?		
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.		
24	A. The other side of that is the Shatt Al-Arab.		
25	The ditch that you've identified, which is you		

1	can see in the middle ground between the wall and			
2	the grey buildings, it was denoted by the line of			
3	green flowed from, and I say flowed in the loosest			
4	sense, from us into the into the Shatt Al-Arab, which			
5	is some distance up on the left-hand edge of this			
6	picture. That ditch was I mean, it is a ditch, it's			
7	not a fast-flowing stream. I don't recall it being full			
8	of water. And by by May, things were drying up			
9	anyway, and so there would have been even there would			
10	have been even less water than there would have been			
11	earlier with on in the year.			
12	Q. I see. Well, if it was drying up, are you able to			
13	estimate how dry it got? How low did the water get in			
14	that ditch?			
15	A. Well, I don't I really don't recall it being much			
16	more than a trickle, and at times I would have said it			
17	was probably dry. But you'll have to forgive me.			
18	I mean, I'm I'm you know, this is my recollection			
19	after 20 years, of a body of water I haven't seen in			
20	20 years.			
21	Q. Thank you. That's nonetheless very helpful.			
22	I'll just skip back, if I may. If we could bring up			
23	well, you may recall, it was at paragraph 71 of your			
24	statement, you mentioned having heard of somebody in			
25	the Irish Guards throwing somebody into the canal, and			

1 it was widely reported and you had it in your mind's 2 eye. I just wanted to check whether that is a certain 3 report. So Opus, could we pull up document {A/54/1}, please. 4 5 Thank you. 6 Now, SO95, this is a report from the -- or 7 a publication from the Basra Rose, and at the bottom 8 there we've got a highlighted section after some 9 redactions, and it begins: 10 "On capturing ..." 11 I wonder, would you mind having a quick read of 12 that. 13 A. I -- yes, hang on. Sorry, I'll have to zoom in using my 14 -- by bringing the computer closer to me. 15 Q. I wonder if Opus could help us by zooming in to 16 the bottom half of the screen there. Thank you, that's 17 helpful, Opus. 18 A. Yes, so that is -- that is -- is that the Queen's 19 Lancashire Regiment Journal that I'm looking at there? 20 Q. I will have to check that. I don't want to say that 21 without being double sure. But the date of this, this 22 is 20 July 2003. 23 A. Yes, no, that's not -- that's -- that's not what I'm --24 that's not what I'm referring to. I've not seen that 25 before.

Page	1	69
------	---	----

1	MR CHERRY: If it assists, Mr Judd, that is the
2	QLR magazine.
3	MR JUDD: Yes, I thought so. I just didn't want to confirm
4	that before checking it.
5	SO95, that's still nonetheless helpful. I just
6	wanted to double-check what that incident was that you
7	had in your mind, and it appears it's not this one.
8	A. No, it's not.
9	MR JUDD: Thank you. In that case, then, you've been very"
10	helpful, SO95, and I don't think I have any more
11	questions for you.
12	A. Thank you.
13	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Let's just do a tour round
14	the representatives, because we know that Mr Cherry has
15	something that he'd like us to contribute, so we could
16	usefully start with him.
17	Are you primed and ready, Mr Cherry?
18	MR CHERRY: Yes, Dame Anne. In fact, it is exactly this
19	paragraph that I was going to seek some they could
20	probably assist with.
21	SO95 is perfectly correct that it was seen on
22	the news, because this relates to the Irish Guards 2006
23	court martial that you'll be familiar with, Dame Anne.
24	And although he thinks that it was not the 1 Black Watch
25	Battle Group, it actually involved, as you will be

1	aware, Dame Anne, the company attached from
2	the 1 Irish Guards who were attached to the Black Watch
3	Battle Group. And I wonder if he can now recollect that
4	the direction from the commanding officer about not
5	throwing people into water came after the report of
6	the death of Mr Ali after the Irish Guards had thrown
7	and forced him into the water. That might prompt his
8	recollection.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And is that, insofar as you understand
10	it, Mr Cherry, post the court martial?
11	MR CHERRY: No, the you'll remember that some witnesses,
12	Dame Anne, had talked about they can remember
13	the commanding officer coming round and saying, "We're
14	not to force people into water". It was after it became
15	knowledge that Mr Ali had gone into the water. It came
16	to the knowledge, I think, of the unit about three or
17	four days later, and it was after that point that
18	directions were given: this is not to happen.
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So your view, your narrative for
20	a question would be: incident occurs. Shortly
21	afterwards steps are taken. Considerably later there is
22	a court martial.
23	MR CHERRY: That's correct, ma'am.
24	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: What would you suggest we might
25	usefully ask SO95?

1	MR CHERRY: The only thing I would suggest is, because he
2	having been a platoon commander on the ground, he might
3	have recollected later in his tour that this was then
4	the direction that followed.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right.
6	Now, SO95, you don't need me to repeat clear
7	English. Do you know the answer to that? Is your
8	memory now jogged?
9	A. No, I don't. I genuinely don't remember that direction.
10	But I mean, it's self-evident that you don't throw
11	people into large bodies of water. You know, that's not
12	a good idea. It's not morally right and it's not a good
13	idea on any level. So, yes, I mean
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
15	A. I have to say I'm surprised what Mr Cherry's just said,
16	in terms of it being a part of the Black Watch I'm
17	not disputing it, it's just that wasn't my recollection,
18	but thank you for clearing it up.
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
20	Mr Cherry, was that I wonder if there was
21	anything else you'd like to ask us, if we'd ask?
22	MR CHERRY: It was only, Dame Anne, a previous witness had
23	talked about a body of water in this Company area that
24	was possibly 20 metres wide and
25	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That's where you started, yes,

- 1 20 metres wide.
- 2 MR CHERRY: And he might -- having been a platoon commander
- 3 in the area on the ground, he might recollect whether
- 4 there was or was not such a body.
- 5 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay, leave this with me.
- 6 So SO95, can you think of anywhere in and around
- 7 Camp Stephen which would fit the description of: body of
- 8 water, 20 metres approx wide?
- 9 A. The Shatt Al-Basra, ma'am.
- 10 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 11 Thank you, Mr Cherry.
- 12 MR CHERRY: Thank you, ma'am. That was my questions.
- 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Let me reassure myself that that was
- 14 all you wanted to suggest we ask.
- 15 MR CHERRY: That was what I anticipated would be the answer
- 16 as well. Thank you very much, Dame Anne.
- 17 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right.
- 18 Okay, Mr Judd, off you go round the balance of
- 19 the representatives, if you would.
- 20 MR JUDD: Yes, thank you. I'll just go to them all in order
- 21 again.
- 22 I just wondered, in light of those questions, is
- 23 there anything that Ms Al Qurnawi and others in
- 24 the Grand Millennium Hotel would like to put through
- the counsel team?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 173

I'll take the silence as a no. Ms Vyvyan-Robinson, any questions you'd like to put through counsel? MS AL QURNAWI: No, we have -- no, we have no questions, thank you. MR JUDD: Thank you for confirming, Ms Al Qurnawi. Mr Foley? MR FOLEY: No, nothing from me. MR JUDD: Thank you. And Mr Berlow? MR BERLOW: No. Just to confirm, the Shatt Al-Basra, that's a canal, isn't it? DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It is, yes. MR BERLOW: Yes, I thought so. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It's known as the Shatt Al-Basra Canal. MR BERLOW: Yes, thank you. MR JUDD: Sorry. To clarify, Dame Anne, in paragraph 67 of SO95's evidence, he confirms that the Shatt Al-Basra is indeed -- it is the canal referred to. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: That's exactly right. What we technically didn't have is a response from Ms Vyvyan-Robinson, because we didn't hear whether she had any question. You asked but we didn't get a response. I'll just double-check. Perhaps she's no longer

with us on the call.

1

Page 174	

2 MR JUDD: No. I was taking silence after a few beats as no 3 questions. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right. Good, thank you. 4 5 So that, I think, completes the evidence of SO95. 6 Am I right? 7 SO95, still with us? 8 A. Yes, ma'am. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, two things to say first. Just 9 10 remind me, 11 12 ma'am. Α. 13 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: right. 14 And secondly, I want to thank you for all the help 15 you've given us, and the help you've given us over so long and for so long today. We're very grateful. And 16 17 I know that you have abandoned a day of annual leave to 18 come back to help us, so may I also mark that. We and 19 everybody involved in this Inquiry has cause to be 20 grateful for that level of dedication. Thank you. 21 A. Ma'am, thank you. 22 Could I just say a couple of things? DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: What are they? 23 24 A. I really wanted to echo SO81's sentiments with respect 25 to --

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: His final comments?
2	A. Yes, with respect to the families.
3	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You say it again. You say.
4	A. Yes, so I'm really sorry for the loss that the two
5	families have experienced, and particularly the Namas,
6	because I remember that very well. And I know me saying
7	that won't help them, but I do hope that they get some
8	closure from this Inquiry and that they can they can
9	move on, and really that's all I've got to say. Thank
10	you.
11	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. And on their behalf, thank
12	you.
13	Right, we'll let you go. Thank you again.
14	A. Thanks, ma'am.
15	(The witness withdrew)
16	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: I think we'll have a little break now
17	before we head for our final witness of the day. Let me
18	just ask Ms Jackson, how long do you think we should
19	retire to our break-out rooms for?
20	MS JACKSON: I think for the purposes of the interpreter and
21	transcriber, we should take 15 minutes, if that's okay.
22	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: All right. So if we see it as 3.34
23	now, let's call it 3.35, that would be 3.40 we'd come
24	back.
25	MS JACKSON: 3.50, I think Dame Anne.

Page	176	
------	-----	--

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, 10 to, thank you. Ten to. Thank
2	you very much.
3	(3.34 pm)
4	(A short break)
5	(3.50 pm)
6	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you. So we turn to the last
7	witness of today, and Ms Bailey is going to call him.
8	SO87 (called)
9	Introduction by MS BAILEY
10	MS BAILEY: Thank you very much, Dame Anne.
11	SO87, I hope you can see and hear me?
12	A. Yes, I've got you.
13	Q. Thank you very much. Just as a reminder before we
14	start, I'd be grateful if you could speak as slowly and
15	clearly as you're able, and I will try and do the same
16	for the benefit of the interpreter and those tuning in
17	from Basra.
18	A. Yes, that is okay.
19	Q. Thank you very much.
20	So I understand, SO87, that you are dialling in
21	using a mobile phone; is that correct?
22	A. That's right, yes.
23	Q. That means, SO87, you won't see, I don't think,
24	the documents that Opus 2 will be able to bring up onto
25	the screen for the benefit of other people attending

12/04/20	Iraq Fatality Investigations
1	the hearing, but I will read out the content of those
2	documents before I pose any questions to you based on
3	those documents, and I hope that arrangement is
4	suitable?
5	A. Yes, that would be great.
6	Q. Thank you very much, SO87.
7	So can I just confirm at the outset that you are
8	the witness who is ciphered SO87? I understand that
9	means that on the list of ciphered witnesses that you
10	have, that would make you witness number 7; is that
11	correct?
12	A. That's right, yes.
13	Q. And SO87, you received a disclosure bundle before being
14	invited to provide evidence for these particular
15	investigations, and that bundle consisted of previous
16	statements that you've made in connection with matters
17	under investigation. Those four previous statements
18	were dated 8 May 2003, 20 June 2015, 14 December 2016
19	and 14 December 2016. It's correct, isn't it, that you
20	had that bundle before you provided evidence to these
21	investigations?
22	A. Yes, with that, I'll deal with Mr Cherry over that and
23	see what we need to say.
24	Q. Sorry, I didn't quite catch that, SO87. Could you
25	repeat that?

1	A. We'll just have to speak to Mr Cherry about that and see
2	what I have to say
3	Q. Well sorry, I cut across you then.
4	A. Basically, because
5	in Europe. This is why I'm joining you with a on
6	a mobile phone. Obviously, yes, I've been dealing with
7	Mr Cherry and I've seen some of the witness statements,
8	but I don't think I received the bundle yet, because I'm
9	on the road in a set of at the minute.
10	Q. Okay, SO87. Well, to the extent that I take you to
11	those documents, I will read out the content of those
12	documents for you. But what I just need to confirm,
13	SO87, is that for these investigations, and based on
14	the previous statements that you've given, with
15	Mr Lewis Cherry, you've produced two statements?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. If I could ask Opus to turn up {A/72/1}.
18	What Opus have turned up here is the first page of
19	your first statement.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And if you could turn to {A/72/9}, Opus.
22	That's the final page of your first statement.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And it's dated 4 November 2022. Is this ringing bells
25	as your first statement?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, that's that's fine. I've done that, yes.
Q. Fabulous.
And the second short supplementary statement is at
{A/73/1}, please, Opus. And the final page is on
{A/73/2}.
This is another statement dated 8 January 2023?
A. Yes, that's it.
MS BAILEY: Thank you very much, SO87.
I'll pass you back over to Dame Anne for the initial
questions.
Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Good afternoon, SO87.
A. Afternoon, ma'am.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Can you see and hear clearly?
A. Yes, I can see and hear you clearly.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: At any time and I don't think it
will happen if something's not plain to you or you're
disconcerted, you just say so.
A. Okay, thank you.
DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Let me reassure you before we get
going, (a) I don't think we'll keep you long, and (b),
the one thing I really want you to understand is that
this whole exercise is so that I can understand better,
because I've heard from witnesses.
A. Right, that's fine.

- 1 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It isn't something designed to push and
- 2 pull you, there are no traps going to be laid, no one is
- 3 here to catch you out. So this is a fairly warm and
- 4 welcoming exercise in seeing what you can remember and
- 5 help us with where you can. Does that --
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: -- all make sense to you?
- 8 A. Yes, that's fine, ma'am. Thank you.
- 9 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It's a pleasure.
- 10 I don't want you to feel at any time that because
- 11 you've been asked a question, you've got to come up with
- 12 an answer to it. You can say at any stage, "I don't
- 13 know", "I can't remember", "It doesn't make any sense to
- 14 me", or anything like it. Are we all right on that?
- 15 A. Yes, that's fine, ma'am, thank you.
- 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
- 17 Just to begin with, give me in a very brief outline
- 18 of your career in the military. I don't need to know
- 19 what colour uniform you wore in what year and which
- 20 train you caught to Catterick. I just want, in
- a paragraph maybe, where you started and where youfinished.
- A. Okay, so I joined the Guards Depot in Pirbright, Surrey,
- 24 in 1988 as a recruit. After that, I went to London to
- 25 do the Brigade of Guards on the London circuit. After

1	that, Northern Ireland a numerous amount of times.
2	After that one, sort of Germany, Berlin,
3	Then it was Kosovo, Iraq, Afghanistan,
4	and then out of the Army.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And left the Army do you remember
6	which year, SO87, you went?
7	A. 2010.
8	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Thank you.
9	And in 2003, which you know is when we're interested
10	in, out there in Basra, what was your job? What was
11	your role?
12	A. I was attached to C Company 1 Black Watch from the
13	Irish Guards, and we were to add up the numbers in
14	the Black Watch Mortar Platoon. So we were a section of
15	mortars with the Black Watch Mortar Platoon.
16	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right.
17	I'm going to ask you a little bit now about
18	Camp Stephen itself. I'm going to use a posh word, but
19	I'll translate it for you. I want you, if you can, to
20	describe for me the culture. "Culture" is the posh
21	word. The question is: what did you make of it as
22	a place to be and work?
23	A. Like I've always said, it was just a normal infantry
24	company sort of culture. You had your your company
25	commander, ops officer, company sergeant majors, then

- the platoon commanders, and downwards to myself,
 the ordinary guardsmen on the ground, or, as
- 2 the ordinary guardsmen on the ground, or, as
- 3 the Black Watch guys say, the Jocks.
- 4 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: What was your rank by the time you'd5 got there, SO87?
- 6 A. So I was a lance sergeant, which is a full corporal.
- 7 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, thank you. And in Camp Stephen,
- 8 how was discipline and good order maintained?
- 9 A. The company sergeant major is a typical sergeant major
- 10 and he kept the discipline and good order going. But
- 11 our section commander as well, which is [redacted],
- 12 which you might have heard of, he kept --
- 13 MS JACKSON: Can we cut the stream, please.
- 14 I'm so sorry to interrupt. SO87, we're using
- 15 ciphers in order to refer to any members of the British
- 16 military, and we have a safeguard in place so that
- 17 the public stream is cut before anything is broadcast,
- 18 just to make sure that no one's name inadvertently goes
- 19 out. So what I was doing there was just cutting
- 20 the stream to make sure that that doesn't happen.
- 21 THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: I can confirm the stream has
- been cut and I will start it again at your instruction.
- 23 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Before you do, let me just say to SO87,
- 24 you aren't the first person to have used a name, so
- 25 please don't beat yourself up about it, and we've got

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Iraq Fatality Investigations things in place to deal with it. A. Yes. MS JACKSON: Thank you. Apologies for cutting across. Thank you, Jamie, if you can start the stream again and let us know when we're ready to go. And sorry again, SO87. THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Yes, the stream has now started again. Thank you. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So SO87, let's see what you make of how 10 order and discipline was maintained at Camp Stephen. 11 I think your view is company sergeant major ran 12 the outfit. Was he backed up by someone in another 13 rank? 14 A. You had -- you had I think it's SO81 who was our platoon 2IC. 15 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Got it. 17 A. And then SO95 was the team commander towards the end of 18 the operation. So obviously law and order all went 19 through those guys. 20 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And how would you describe the level of 21 order and discipline? It might have been good? 22 Inadequate? 23 A. Yes, it was good. It was good order there. 24 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Did you know where you stood? 25 A. Yes, ma'am, yes. Definitely.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, okay.
2	And you'd been in, as you now have told us, a lot of
3	other military environments. So if you compare Stephen
4	with them, where does it sit in terms of how it was run,
5	how effective, how disciplined? How tight was it?
6	A. It was well run. It was well run, ma'am, with
7	discipline, and it was tight. You know, it was a good
8	it was a good environment. Obviously the situation
9	we were in wasn't very nice.
10	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No.
11	A. But just as a professional soldier, you get on with it.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: You do the job for which you're
13	trained.
14	A. That's it, ma'am, yes.
15	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay, thank you.
16	And when the war ended and the soldiers went into
17	post-war treatment, did you see or feel any change in
18	the atmosphere of Stephen, or was it unchanged?
19	A. It was it was sort of yes, it was sort of the same
20	really. Like SO95 said, you sort of went into
21	a policing sort of role. And then he reflected on
22	training that we'd done for Northern Ireland, so it was
23	sort of like a Northern Ireland scenario where you're
24	trying to look after the infrastructure of water etc
25	like that, you know, keep the locals happy, because

1	obviously they went through a lot before we even turned
2	up, didn't they?
3	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
4	And the soldiers generally, did they have much
5	interaction with the civilians? What sort of
6	interactions would they have? In terms of was it pretty
7	convivial, was it pretty affable, pretty aggressive, it
8	depended on who it was? Give us a little feel for that.
9	A. Well, like SO95 said, that once there was children come
10	round from the school and they were sort of
11	demonstrating about not having enough things to be able
12	to learn. That was one.
13	And then we had some cases where we had to go and
14	just police water being given out to sort of the locals,
15	which was all it was quite stressy that day, because
16	they were getting quite irate because they weren't
17	getting enough water.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
19	A. To do with interacting, that's the sort of thing we had
20	to deal with.
21	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. Day in day out, I would imagine.
22	A. Yes.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. And were the soldiers encouraged
24	to use some good old-fashioned initiative when they were
25	trying to maintain law and order, or was it regimented?

1 A. It was quite well run by the officers in command, 2 keeping an eye on the guys, that they didn't make any 3 mistakes and stuff like that. But those fancy words 4 that you used, I'll put them into layman's terms. 5 You know, the guys were trying to be as professional as 6 they could with a difficult situation. 7 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. I'm a great fun of you 8 translating posh words into easily understandable words. 9 You keep doing it. I can hear kind of 10 in your voice? 11 ma'am. Α. 12 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: There's a man who can translate posh 13 words then. Good. 14 So staying with the post-war phase, SO87, I think 15 you told us before in your written stuff that the change 16 in the rules of engagement and how you had to operate 17 kicked in, and you hadn't previously experienced that 18 sort of change from level A to level B in your previous 19 deployments; is that right? 20 A. Yes, it was like SO95 said, one minute you're 21 war-fighting, and the next minute you're expected to be 22 policing an area, you know? And most of the training 23 was normally done on war-fighting, because obviously we 24 were going into a war. 25 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And you were, after all, soldiers.

1 A. That's it, ma'am, yes. 2 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So let me just ask you one thing 3 arising from that, because you've also told us that you 4 knew that there was a transition from the war-fighting 5 and their rules to what you've called "card Alpha". 6 Could you just tell me what card Alpha was? 7 A. Card Alpha is a set of rules that the British Army have 8 brought out for, let's say, Northern Ireland, and it's 9 when and if you're allowed to do certain things on 10 the card Alpha. Obviously when you were in Iraq then, 11 you sort of adhered to card Alpha. 12 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And generally very widely known and 13 used and talked about by the soldiers, by the troops, 14 card Alpha? Very familiar? 15 A. That's it, ma'am, yes. 16 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay. 17 But I think you have also said, in other comments 18 to us, that nothing actually in your training prepared 19 you for the aftermath of the war. So keep that in your 20 head. 21 A. Yes. 22 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Did you feel well prepared for what you 23 had to do in Basra, particularly because there had been 24 this change, you were going into post-war, you were in 25 the aftermath, which you've spoken about. Nothing in

1	your training prepped you for it. Can you give me
2	a feel for how that left you? Did you feel out of
3	control, just doing your best, unaffected, just
4	conscious of it? Do you understand what I'm asking you
5	to help me with?
6	A. I do, ma'am, yes. Well, some Northern Ireland
7	situations, and also Kosovo situations, and then coming
8	to Iraq, obviously, because you're a professional
9	soldier, you've already got some type of training there
10	from previous deployments. So you were obviously being
11	professional. Again, you were doing your best to deal
12	with the situation and do it to the best of your
13	ability.
14	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So you'd draw on your sort of mental
15	library of training and wheel it forward to deal with
16	what came; is that the picture I'm hearing?
17	A. That's right, ma'am, yes.
18	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Okay.
19	So was that the position for everybody? Everybody
20	of your rank and below, and perhaps a bit above, were
21	confronted with the same thing? You can't train for
22	that kind of experience, you've got to do what you have
23	been trained to do generally?
24	A. It was, as we say in the British Army, flexibility at
25	all levels.

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes, thank you.
2	A. So you're sort of trained and practised on lots of
3	scenarios so that you can deal with them.
4	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
5	And I think you've also said that you were briefed
6	if a task involved protecting the infrastructure,
7	because you talked about that, and particularly
8	the water supplies. So what did that training catch?
9	I can see that you'd find out where the water supplies
10	were and perhaps where the grain store was and where
11	the hospital was, but what was the briefing? Was it
12	about how to interact with the civilians, or with
13	suspected looters? You must have had those day in, day
14	out.
15	A. Well, I'm just trying to think of the best way to say
16	it.
17	You do you do prisoner of war training as part of
18	a Plaque 1 soldier on what to do, what not to do, and
19	everything gets sent to the company sergeant major to do
20	with the dealing of prisoners of war, looters, etc. So
21	you have got some understanding there of what to do and
22	what not to do. But the company sergeant major does
23	a certain course, when he gets his rank of
24	company sergeant major, on how to deal with prisoners of
25	war, you know, looters, etc. So you go into that

1	training then from your past or previous deployments.
2	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Understood.
3	As you've dealt with it for me as you've touched
4	on it for me, the distinction between handling a PW and
5	handling a civilian? Difference, rather?
6	A. Well, I I dealt with it because I've done quite a few
7	tours in Northern Ireland, and you're sort of doing
8	a police role in Northern Ireland.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes.
10	A. So obviously I put those rules, hen, using card Alpha
11	into my head, so I'm dealing with what not to do and
12	what to do with, you know, PWs or looters. So, yes,
13	nearly everything went up to the company sergeant major
14	as soon as we got somebody, so then obviously he dealt
15	with it with his team.
16	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Before it got up to him, though, I'm
17	hearing from you you tell me if I'm wrong that
18	you'd been there, done it, seen it, deployed it, so what
19	you had to do was just remember previous military
20	experiences and replicate the sensible approach you'd
21	used there; is that it?
22	A. That's it, ma'am, yes.
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, thank you.
24	I'm going to pause there now and I'm going to ask
25	Ms Bailey to ask you some questions, but you're not shot

1	of me completely, I'll come back to you just very, very
2	briefly at the end when we think you've finished your
3	evidence. Thank you very much so far.
4	A. Thank you, ma'am.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Ms Bailey.
6	Questions from MS BAILEY
7	MS BAILEY: Thank you, Dame Anne.
8	Just to double-check, SO87, can you hear me clearly?
9	A. Yes, I can hear fine, thank you.
10	Q. Thank you, SO87.
11	Just to follow on from the question Dame Anne just
12	posed, if I may, just to seek a little more
13	clarification, if you're able it may be that you
14	can't assist with this you may have heard earlier
15	SO95 describing a distinction between a prisoner of war
16	on the one hand and a detained person or a civilian on
17	the other, and it sounds as though you are drawing
18	a similar distinction between prisoners of war and
19	looters. Did you receive any practical training about
20	that distinction, or did you just apply that as a matter
21	of common sense yourself?
22	A. I would say, doing the best I could, is, applied it
23	myself from previous Northern Ireland tours and such
24	like that, you know?
05	

25 Q. Absolutely. Understood.

- 1 And do you think that there ought to have been
- 2 a distinction, both in terms of training that you feel
- 3 you ought to have received and in practice? Did you
- 4 think it was right to apply a distinction?
- 5 A. Well, yes, because one minute you were fighting a war,
- 6 next minute you're dealing with looters, etc. So, yes,
- 7 it would be better --
- 8 Q. Understood.
- 9 A. -- because (inaudible) --
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 A. Thank you.
- 12 Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut across you there. Were you
- 13 going to say something further?
- 14 A. No, that's fine.
- 15 Q. Understood.
- 16 If I could just ask you a question about notifying
- 17 the camp if a prisoner or a civilian was being detained.
- 18 At paragraph 20 of your witness statement -- your first
- 19 witness statement, which I'll just ask Opus to pull up
- 20 quickly.
- 21 It's {A/72/3}, please, Opus. If we could zoom in on
- 22 paragraph 20, please, just above the redacted section at
- the bottom of the page. If we could zoom in again,
- because I can see that SO87 is -- can you see it, SO87,
- 25 on your mobile?

1 A	. Yes.	Yes.
-----	--------	------

2	Q. Oh, well done. That's helpful. Thank you.
3	I'm just looking at one section in this paragraph
4	after the it's the second sentence where it says:
5	"Any prisoner being brought back to the camp would
6	be notified to the operations room over the radio."
7	What I'm hoping you might be able to help with
8	although, again, SO87, it may be that you don't know
9	the answer to this question is whether it would be
10	normal practice for the operations room to be notified
11	before the prisoner came back to camp, or was it
12	the case that at that some point the operations room
13	needed to be notified, but it didn't have to be before?
14	A. Well, being 20 years ago, nearly, to the date, it's very
15	vague in my mind.
16	Q. Sure.
17	A. But I would say they would get on the net to SO95 in his
18	ops room, tell him that they were bringing male, female,
19	whatever, back to the camp so that they knew that they
20	would have a looter, PW or whatever in our vehicle and
21	we were bringing him back them back.
22	Q. So would you try and furnish someone in SO95's position
23	with as much information as possible about the detainee,
24	or was it just headline points like

25 A. Yes, just headline -- just headline points so that they

1	knew someone was coming with us in the back of
2	the Warrior, as it's already been said in previous
3	witness statements.
4	Q. Understood. That's very helpful. Thank you.
5	If we could turn over the page, please, Opus, to
6	{A/72/4} and zoom in again, please, to paragraph 26, so
7	a little bit further down the page.
8	In this paragraph, SO87, you say:
9	"I cannot say how detainees were guarded at the camp
10	and give details of the guarding and guard duties.
11	Prior to this incident I did not have any knowledge of
12	this so I cannot say what procedures and practices were
13	in place for providing food or water to the detainees."
14	What I was wondering if you could can assist with
15	finishing, and obviously I'm asking this question in
16	the context of that first sentence where you say you
17	really can't assist with the details of guarding and
18	guard duties more generally, but are you able to assist
19	with whether it was common for detainees to be guarded
20	inside the EPW cage, that is the area that other
21	witnesses have described as the camouflage tent?
22	A. I wouldn't be able to comment, because I don't remember
23	enough. And also, straight thing that comes to mind is
24	that's up to the company sergeant major and his platoon,
25	let's call it, from headquarters of the Company.

1 Q. Understood. Thank you. 2 Opus, could we turn over the page again, please, and 3 zoom in to paragraph 33 on this page $\{A/72/5\}$. 4 In this paragraph, SO87, you describe your 5 experience of different guard duties. I'm going to read 6 one excerpt from this paragraph that begins: 7 "Formal guard duties would have the guard orders 8 read out by the guard NCO on every occasion to 9 the persons conducting the duty. This is a normal and 10 routine infantry practice in barracks and camps 11 worldwide. Less formal handovers would take place on 12 operations and when in the field, but would still be 13 a quard duty." 14 What I was wondering is, in the context of your own 15 experiences guarding in different contexts -- so in this 16 paragraph you refer to guards guarding in the UK, 17 Northern Ireland, Kosovo and Irag -- was the guard duty 18 that occurred in Camp Stephen more along the informal 19 end of the spectrum, if I can put it that way, SO87? 20 A. Yes, it would come underneath card Alpha that I've 21 already touched on with the Dame. And then, every time 22 an NCO would relieve you onto a guard position, you 23 would be given out an informal set of orders, ie you 24 relate to card Alpha if opening fire, etc. However, 25 the company sergeant major, in his EPW cage, is

Page	1	96
------	---	----

--

1	a completely different thing and I wouldn't know
2	anything about that.
3	Q. Understood. So if I could just clarify, in a sort of
4	post-conflict context, would the handover be an informal
5	handover?
6	A. You would have an NCO with you when you were changing
7	changing guards' positions, yes, but it would be
8	informal. You wouldn't have the yes.
9	Q. And what kind of information would you share at that
10	handover?
11	A. Your your arc of fire, your procedure to let people
12	into the front gates, card Alpha rules of engagement and
13	things like that. The most I can remember, sorry.
14	Q. That's fine. That's very helpful. Thank you very much.
15	Okay, last couple of questions, SO87. I'm just
16	going to ask you some questions now about your
17	recollection of incidents on 8 May 2003 and involving
18	the death of Radhi Nama.
19	Opus 2, if I could ask, again, to the next page,
20	please, and to zoom in on paragraph 36 {A/72/6}. If we
21	could zoom in twice again, as we've done before. That's
22	fantastic. Thank you.
23	Right, SO87, this, in fairness to you, sets out your
24	recollection of those events, and as you've just
25	referred to, we're nearly at, to the day, 20 years

1	later. In this paragraph you say:
2	"I have been asked to comment on the detainee's
3	condition on my arrival. Although I have made two
4	statements, one in 2003 and a later one in 2015, both
5	say different things about what I saw and did. I cannot
6	now truthfully recollect what happened and I'm not able
7	to say which is the correct version. I can only say
8	that the 2003 statement was made closer to the incident
9	and I would therefore rely upon it."
10	Does that still ring true for you now, SO87? Do you
11	still feel that the 2003 statement is the statement you
12	would rely on?
13	A. Yes, the 2003, being the closer statement to the day
14	that it happened, is better to be used.
15	Q. Understood.
16	And in fact, that statement was taken on 8 May 2003,
17	ie the date on which the event took place
18	A. Yes.
19	Q so it was on the day it actually occurred.
20	Now, what I intend to do, although entirely in
21	the context of this paragraph, SO87, being that you now
22	feel that you cannot truthfully recollect what happened,
23	is to just ask you a few questions about that 2003
24	statement, and if it is the case that you cannot assist
25	any further because of the fact you cannot recall,

1	please do say so, but I hope it's okay for me to just
2	pose a quick couple of questions for you on that
3	statement now.
4	A. Yes, that's fine.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	So this is a statement that is not going to be put
7	up on the Opus platform, but it is a statement that, as
8	confirmed earlier, you were provided a copy with through
9	Mr Cherry. What I'm going to do is I'm just going to
10	read out certain excerpts from that statement now.
11	It says:
12	"On Thursday, 8 May 2003, at a time I can't
13	remember, I was outside sorting out my armour vehicle
14	when an EPW had been brought into C Coy lines following
15	a search of a house. The man, whose name I don't know,
16	was put inside EPW cage together with a few other
17	prisoners already in the cage."
18	That man, obviously, for the purposes of context, is
19	Radhi Nama; is that correct?
20	A. I believe so, yes.
21	Q. Thank you.
22	It then goes on to say:
23	"These men were later released."
24	Those are the few other prisoners who were brought
25	into the cage:

1	"The Company Sergeant Major"
2	That is SO101:
3	" came up to me and Corporal SO102"
4	Just pausing there, I can see you're referring to
5	the cipher list.
6	A. Yes. 102, was that?
7	Q. SO101 was the first witness, and then SO102 was
8	the second.
9	A. Yes, I've got it. Thank you.
10	Q. " and asked us if we could look after the EPW.
11	The man was sitting in the corner of the EPW cage"
12	That is the man who you've just said you presumed
13	was Radhi Nama:
14	" as the intel men gathered some intelligence."
15	Now, if we just pause on those two sentences. Are
16	you able to assist with the description of the man when
17	he was sitting in the corner of the EPW cage?
18	A. Not after 20 years, no, I can't remember, sorry.
19	Q. That's perfectly fine.
20	And then:
21	" the intel men gathered some intelligence."
22	Are you able to assist with who the intel men were?
23	A. No, sorry
24	Q. Without naming names, but
25	A Very vague Very vague for me after 20 years sorry

25 A. Very vague. Very vague for me after 20 years, sorry.

Page	200
------	-----

1	Q. Very vague for you after 20 years. I think I spoke over
2	you then.
3	A. Yes, it's very vague for me. I can't remember after
4	20 years, sorry.
5	Q. That's perfectly fine. You don't need to apologise to
6	me, SO87.
7	So you cannot assist with whether that was an
8	intelligence officer?
9	A. No, sorry.
10	Q. I'll then continue reading from the statement, SO87. It
11	says:
12	"The man asked for some water as he said he wasn't
13	too well."
14	And then I was wondering, are you able to assist
15	with how you were able to understand that he was asking
16	for water?
17	A. Well, like I keep on saying, this is all very vague to
18	me. It's like 20 years ago now, you know, and my
19	memory's not the best any more. I'm very sorry I can't
20	help.
21	Q. I understand, SO87. I only have a few more questions.
22	I will take you through just the final three sentences
23	of the statement, just on the off-chance that there's an
24	element in this statement that you are able to assist
25	with, if that's okay.

Page 201

1	A. Yes. Okay, thank you.
2	Q. It then goes on to say:
3	"I could see he was acting a bit strange. From
4	there, the man slumped down on the floor, so we put him
5	in the three-quarter prone position as we thought this
6	was the best thing to do."
7	Are you able to assist with who the "we" in that
8	sentence are? Who "we put him in the quarter prone
9	position" are?
10	A. Like I said before, sorry, but I can't recollect because
11	my memory's not very good any more.
12	MS BAILEY: Understood. That's perfectly fine.
13	I don't have any more questions about that 2003
14	statement, SO87. I'll just pause there. Thank you very
15	much for answering those questions.
16	Does the team have any questions that they'd like to
17	ask arising from either that or any other elements of
18	SO87's witness evidence?
19	(Pause)
20	I think that's a no.
21	Dame Anne, do you have any questions arising from
22	that?
23	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, thank you.
24	MS BAILEY: In which case, I assume I'll do the rounds then
25	of the other attendees, perhaps starting with

- 1 the Grand Millennium Hotel and Ms Al Qurnawi. I wonder
- 2 if there are any questions from Basra.
- 3 MS AL QURNAWI: No, we don't have any questions.
- 4 MS BAILEY: We may need the assistance of an interpreter on5 that one.
- 6 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Or our little button.
- 7 MS AL QURNAWI: So I've answered in Arabic in preparation
- 8 for tomorrow, to see how it works.
- 9 THE VIRTUAL HEARING MANAGER: Ms Bailey, it's Jamie Dalton
- 10 here. Can you confirm that you have selected
- 11 the English translation on the interpretation, if you're
- 12 not hearing the interpreter.
- 13 MS BAILEY: I have selected the English channel, Jamie.
- 14 THE INTERPRETER: Can you hear me, madam, now?
- 15 Madam Zainab said that, "No, we don't have any
- 16 questions".
- 17 MS BAILEY: Thank you very much. That's very helpful.
- 18 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: We heard you. Thank you.
- 19 MS AL QURNAWI: Thank you, madam.
- 20 MS BAILEY: Mr Berlow, any questions?
- 21 MR BERLOW: No, no questions.
- 22 MS BAILEY: Mr Foley?
- 23 MR FOLEY: No, no questions from me.
- 24 MS BAILEY: Thank you very much.
- 25 I think Ms Vyvyan-Robinson has dropped off the call.

Page 203 1 I can't see her. 2 So that leaves Mr Cherry. Any guestions from you? 3 MR CHERRY: None from me at all. Thank you, Ms Bailey. 4 MS BAILEY: Thank you very much, Mr Cherry. 5 Thank you very much, SO87. I'll pass you back over 6 to Dame Anne. 7 A. Thank you. 8 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Right, thank you. SO87, I told you, 9 you wouldn't be shot of me entirely, I'd come back. 10 I want to add my thanks to you. It's quite an 11 achievement, when you're away and 12 you've got a job to do, to make it your business to 13 arrange things so you can help. I know all that, and 14 I want to underline, we'd have been grateful to you if 15 you'd just sat in your front room at home and done all 16 this, but we're even more grateful to you because of 17 the efforts you've made to help us. So will you let me 18 thank you doubly, please. 19 A. Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 20 DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And you've got a drive ahead for later 21 today, or tomorrow? 22 A. Next week, it is, now. DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Gosh. And how long will your drive be? 23 24 in Α. 25

1	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: And how long will you be there before
2	you
3	A. So,
4	down.
5	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: It's quite tough, isn't it? It's quite
6	tough, that. But I suppose you're used to it by now,
7	aren't you?
8	A. That's it, ma'am. Yes, that's it.
9	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: Yes. And you're not a complainer, are
10	you?
11	A. No, ma'am, no.
12	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: No, thought not.
13	Well, thank you again for coming. We don't need to
14	keep you now, we can let you go, you and your phone.
15	You've done very well on your phone with manipulating
16	your screen. Thank you.
17	A. Thank you, ma'am.
18	(The witness withdrew)
19	DAME ANNE RAFFERTY: So, end of proceedings for today,
20	I think. 10 o'clock tomorrow? Good.
21	Before we all part, I know that tomorrow we expect
22	to hear from Mr Radhi Nama's daughters, so I have
23	a question to think about overnight for our friends and
24	colleagues in Basra. I'd merely like to know, before
25	they give evidence tomorrow, how each of them would like

Page 205

1	me to address them, and they tell us and that's what we
2	shall do. Family name, first name, appellation. Just
3	give us the information and we will do what they prefer.
4	So thank you all. 10 o'clock tomorrow.
5	(4.32 pm)
6	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Thursday,
7	13 April 2023)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 2	206
--------	-----

1 2	INDEX Housekeeping1
3	SO86 (called)4
4	Introduction by MR JUDD4
5	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY6
6	SO81 (called)23
7	Introduction by MR JUDD24
8	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY25
9	Questions from MR JUDD36
10	SO95 (called)58
11	Introduction by MS JACKSON58
12	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY60
13	Questions from MS JACKSON87
14	Questions from MR JUDD161
15	SO87 (called)176
16	Introduction by MS BAILEY176
17	Questions from DAME ANNE RAFFERTY179
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Questions from MS BAILEY191

24 25