

From: jean johnson [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 September 2023 16:27
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: S62A/2023/0019

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Objection

Dear Sirs

I wish to object to the above application.

1 Uttlesford has a sufficient supply of housing for the next 5 years

2 "Affordable" housing in this area is a nonsense. These houses are unaffordable to local people and are targeted at commuters

3 The infrastructure cannot cope:

Traffic uses the B1256 rather than the A120.

There are frequent jams during busy periods or if there are roadworks.

Children have been observed getting out of cars on the M11 roundabout to get to schools

Local schools are full

You have to drive everywhere. There are 2 small village shops and a post-office open some of the time

The nearest doctor is a drive - no bus - and oversubscribed

There is no pedestrian access to Stansted Airport Railway Station - you have to drive and park or drive to Stansted or Bishops Stortford

The water supply is inadequate. I live at the end of the village and water is taken from the tower at Bangers Green. The water company have doubled the size of the inlet pipe to my house so that I have sufficient pressure for hot water

4 The proposal is outside development limits, within a Countryside Protection Zone - against local policies (S7 S80). It will contribute to coalition of development and Stansted Airport

5 There are already large developments underway in the village and a number of smaller projects. There is certainly no local employment to justify more.

6 There is no good reason for more environmental damage which would be caused by this application

7 This appears to be an attempt by the Applicant to subvert the system by applying again "piecemeal" for a previously rejected larger development.

Regards

Dr J Johnson