

From: Anthony Adair [REDACTED]  
Sent: 06 September 2023 09:29  
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
Subject: S62/2023/0019

Hi,

I would like to object to the above application.

Takeley and Little Canfield (and Dunmow) is taking the brunt of a significant amount of building and housing requests within Uttlesford. The current infrastructure just cannot support the current residents let alone the growth these type of development ultimately needs.

This, along with other requests just slowly erodes the village away, where other areas in Uttlesford do not and have not had any building requirements

There is becoming less and less boundary between Takeley and Little Canfield and developments such as this just make it worse and worse in terms of where one ends and the other starts.

A walk in the country is taking further and further to get to and the wildlife (bats, badgers, deer for example) is getting more and more displaced because of this. My children have frequently walked this and been in the wood, this will go with the new building. The access to the site is along a narrow country road or as detailed below via a road that can't really cope now and will become more and more dangerous and busy.

We do have Stansted near by, this is going to get busy with the extra proposals, so my fear noise will also increase. We have a massive parking issue with Stansted this development will make this worse. This is in the proposed protection zone for Stansted so on that basis alone should be rejected.

This developer has a habit of asking for one thing and then a few years later changing use to another, which has been demonstrated recently in Takeley and my fear will be the same again with the building behind their offices currently which backs onto this plot, and will very quickly change use again. This developer is just acting like a bully.

Kind regards,

Anthony