Case No: 2404538/2023



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr C Lee

Respondent: Oaktree Childcare Limited

HELD AT: Manchester (by CVP) **ON:** 21 July 2023

BEFORE: Employment Judge Johnson

REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: unrepresented

Respondent: Mr Matthew Bond (Company Director)

JUDGMENT

The judgment of the Tribunal is that:

- (1) The respondent did present its response to the Tribunal at 15:26 on 25 May 2023 and they therefore presented the response in time in accordance with Rule 16(1) and is entitled to defend the claim at the final hearing.
- (2) The claimant's complaint of unlawful deduction from wages contrary to section 13 Employment Rights Act is well founded.
- (3) The claimant suffered an unlawful deduction of wages on 31 March 2023, when his payslip confirmed that the £1,200 balance of his £1,500 employee loan, (which was agreed with the respondent as part of a signed agreement on 2 February 2023) when only a single agreed instalment of £300 should be deducted in accordance with the agreed monthly repayments.
- (4) There was therefore an *over deduction* of £900 by the respondent on 31 March 2023 and this was the amount of the unlawful deduction contrary to section 13 Employment Rights Act 1996.
- (5) However, the claimant immediately gave his notice of resignation with immediate effect at 08:10 on 31 March 2023. He resigned without working his contractual notice and the employee loan agreement signed by the claimant

Case No: 2404538/2023

provided that upon termination of his employment, he would be required to repay the balance of the loan with immediate effect.

- (6) As a consequence, the £900 became repayable and the claimant therefore suffered no ongoing loss, even though there had been an unlawful deduction from wages on 31 March 2023.
- (7) While in principle, it could be argued that the £900 deduction should have been paid to the claimant and the respondent could then recover this figure from him as a civil debt, this would not be in the interests of justice. It would be contrary to the overriding objective under Rule 2 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure as it would put the parties to unnecessary and disproportionate additional expense for the reasons given above.

Employment Judge Johnson

Date____21 July 2023____

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON 28 July 2023

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFI