

**Contracts for Difference: Stakeholder Bulletin** 25 July 2023

## Amendment to AR6 Supply Chain Plan Questionnaires – Question 1.1

We have been made aware that the definition of "comprehensive", "detailed", etc., information does not align properly with the information the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero is looking for in relation to "Why were these suppliers chosen" in Question 1.1 of the Allocation Round 6 (AR6) Supply Chain Plan Questionnaires. Therefore, this part of Q1.1 has been amended to reflect what is required. The new wording is as follows:

## **Question 1.1 Supply Chain Competition**

The government is keen to understand who the most capable and competitive key component suppliers are, whether in the UK or internationally, for your chosen renewable energy technology. Please describe the procurement rationale for each key component of your generating station (see Annex B for definitions of key components). Note that you must provide answers for all the key components listed for your technology.

Your answer should be no more than 8 pages.

Please answer the following questions, covering all key components:

Information requested	Points available
Who are the main suppliers being considered for each key component? This can include preferred bidders if you are still in the early stages of procurement. Please specify the location of the facilities. Note: the location is not scored, but it requested to understand where there are potentially capacity bottlenecks.	<ul> <li>3 points if information provided.</li> <li>0 points if no information provided.</li> </ul>
Why were these suppliers chosen (i.e. what was strong about their bids)?	<ul> <li>15 points if a clear statement of reasoning behind the choice of suppliers is provided, including what aspects of their bids were considered to be strongest explaining why they had an advantage over other bidders, including cost, technical considerations, delivery feasibility, or other factors (e.g. sustainability marks, etc)</li> <li>0 points if no information is provided.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>15 points if comprehensive information is provided against all key components.</li> <li>12 points if detailed information is provided against all key components.</li> <li>10 points if basic information is provided against all key components.</li> </ul>

	<b>5 points</b> if superficial detail is provided against key components.
	<b>0 points</b> if no information is provided.
<b>Have you</b> set up conditional contracts with any of these suppliers?	<ul> <li>15 points if you have set up conditional contracts or preferred supplier agreements with the majority of the suppliers identified.</li> <li>12 points if you have set up conditional contracts or preferred supplier agreements with at least three of the suppliers identified and can evidence substantial progress in setting up other several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>10 points if you have set up either two conditional contract or preferred suppliers or at least one conditional contract and can evidence substantial progress in setting up several other conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>5 points if you can evidence substantial progress in setting up several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>5 points if you can evidence substantial progress in setting up several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>5 points if you can evidence substantial progress in setting up several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>7 points if you can evidence substantial progress in setting up several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>9 points if you can evidence substantial progress in setting up several conditional contracts, e.g., MOUs.</li> <li>9 points if no conditional contracts have been set up or none are in progress.</li> </ul>
Which alternative suppliers were	<b>12 points</b> for providing a comprehensive description of
considered, and why were they rejected?	<ul> <li>alternative suppliers and reasons for rejection.</li> <li>9 points for providing a detailed description of alternative suppliers and reasons for rejection.</li> <li>6 points for providing a basic description of alternative suppliers and reasons for rejection.</li> <li>3 points for a superficial description of alternative suppliers and reasons for rejection.</li> <li>0 points for not providing any information.</li> </ul>
Total number of points	45 points available

The number of points available for **Floating Offshore Wind projects** answering Q1.1 is 8 points.

## **General Data Protection Regulation**

This stakeholder bulletin is being circulated to people who have opted in to the Contract for Difference stakeholder contact list. We issue these stakeholder bulletins as a convenience to interested parties, however it is not in any way essential to be on this list to participate in major consultations or allocation rounds.

**Purpose & scope of this list**: This list is managed by the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (and any successor departments) and will be used to inform interested parties of policy developments relevant to the Contract for Difference scheme for renewable energy projects (and any direct successor schemes). It is not used for any other purposes.

**To be removed from the circulation list**: Please send a blank e-mail with the subject 'opt out' (if the receiving e-mail you use is different to the one you send the e-mail from, include that e-mail address in the subject of the e-mail) to <u>BEISContractsForDifference@energysecurity.gov.uk</u>.

If you have received this indirectly and want to be added to this list: Send a blank e-mail with the subject line 'opt in' to BEISContractsForDifference@energysecurity.gov.uk. You can withdraw your consent to opt in at any time. We will normally keep your address on this list until you: a) withdraw your consent to opt in, b) the scheme closes without any successor, c) we receive reports your email address is no longer operational, or d) you do not respond to a periodic request from us to reconfirm your desire to opt in.