A young person is identified who may benefit from the direct work element of the RP role or a direct request is received from professionals to consider this.

Regional or GAT RPC or RP undertakes further exploration of current situation and assess 'exeptional need' utilising the RP Template.

Template is completed and submitted to team manager, ensuring due diligence in exploratory work to ascertain this is the best available option and provides added value in line with the funcion of the RP role.

Manager/Senior Practitioner reviews and discusses with senior manager and makes decision on appropriateness of direct work. RP is updated of the decision via email with RP template signed


RP to save the decision email into folder 1 and the RP template into folder 2, allocate the child on file and update the RP Spreadsheet


RP liaises with professional, obtains parental consent and complete s the needs assessment on Gateway. Work begins and the ongoing needs and outcomes are reviewed in supervision to facilitate the exit strategy

RP will update Gateway Needs Assessment during the duration of intervention and RP will access monthly case discussions to ensure supervisor is kept updated.


From March $14^{\text {th }}, 2022$, If the direct work is likely to exceed the proposed plan , the 'extension' section on the RP template should be completed and approved by managers and saved to file.

When Intervention is completed, RP will complete closing template, update the RP Spreadsheet and complete a case study and add here

## Template

| ICTG Area |  | Local authority |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Child's Name | Child's Age |  |
| Overview of any Learning Disability or difficulties, emotional needs, trauma, or mental health |  |  |
| concern's |  |  |


| Decision |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date |  |
| Allocated RP |  |
| Managers Signature |  |

*This template should be added to folder 2 on the child's file, see flowchart above.

| Post 18 Decision Making Framework | H | M | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parental Responsibility |  |  |  |
| Is the young person a parent \& in need of support |  |  |  |
| Is the young person pregnant \& in need of support |  |  |  |
| Are their any concerns relating to the child / unborn baby and their vulnerability to exploitation |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |
| Is the young person's age being questioned? |  |  |  |
| Is there an ongoing or dispute appeal in relation to an age assessment? |  |  |  |
| Young person been age assessed as an adult \& they / professionals are seeking to challenge the decision |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Accommodation |  |  |  |
| Is the young person accommodated in a place of safety? |  |  |  |
| Is the young person in short term accommodation |  |  |  |
| Has a previously agreed staying put arrangement broken down |  |  |  |
| Is the accommodation suitable - does it meet his support needs? |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Health |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have immediate health needs linked to the exploitation? |  |  |  |
| Is the young person waiting for input from specialist health services? |  |  |  |
| Is the young person presenting with any immediate mental health or emotional needs? |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have a disability that impacts on their vulnerability to exploitation? |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have any longstanding unmet health needs? |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Social Care |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have an allocated adult Social Worker or leaving care worker? |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have a pathway plan in place that meets their needs |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Criminal Justice |  |  |  |
| Has the young person been charged with any offences linked to the exploitation? |  |  |  |
| Has the young person been provided with legal representation? |  |  |  |
| Is the young person linked to others who are believed to be exploited? |  |  |  |
| YP in custody |  |  |  |
| Pending court date |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Missing History |  |  |  |
| Are there concerns about regular missing episodes linked to exploitation |  |  |  |
| Are there contextual factors which may increase risk of missing/re-traficking |  |  |  |
| Is the young person currently missing? |  |  |  |
| National Referral Mechanism |  |  |  |
| Has an NRM been completed for the young person? |  |  |  |
| Has the young person consented to remain in the NRM post 18 |  |  |  |
| Is there work to be completed to update the SCA re ongoing exploitation concerns |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Asylum and Immigration |  |  |  |
| Are there outstanding asylum issues that increase the young person's vulnerability? |  |  |  |
| Long term delays for asylum decision making - role for ICTG re advocating for pre-action |  |  |  |
| Has the young person claimed asylum or EU Settlement? |  |  |  |
| Does the young person have a relevant Immigration Representative |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Planning \& Support |  |  |  |
| Does the young person need support to transition to adult trafficking support services? |  |  |  |
| Has the young person recently been identified as a victim of trafficking? |  |  |  |
| Work needed with professionals re understanding exploitation / NRM etc |  |  |  |
| Local authorities disputing who is responsible for ongoing care |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |
| Overall Rag Rating |  |  |  |

A young person is identified who may benefit from support Post 18 support

Post 18 worker completes Post 18 assessment framework and Post 18 Decision making framework for any newly referred young person who is $17.5 \mathrm{yrs}+$ or 1 x month before the 18th birthday.

Allocated ICTG to complete paperwork (supported by manager \& post 18 worker) for a young person who is transitioning from ICTG support

Manager \& Post 18 worker discuss information gathered. Manager makes decision on appropriateness of ongoing support. Decision and rationale is recorded and signed off on the Post 18 template

Post 18 Worker to save Post 18 template into folder 2, and update the Post 18 Spreadsheet.
Complete relevant sections on Gateway and Local Data Form

Work begins, with clear targets and plan and supervision with manager to ensure exit strategy is considered. Reviews completed on a monthly basis in supervision, plan is updated in line with outcomes achieved and next steps


Post 18 Worker completes work, collates feedback (professionals, young person) completes needs assesment on Gateway. Post 18 Worker to write a closing report and case study.

## Assessment Framework

| ICTG Area |  | Local Authority |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Child's Name | Age |  |  |
| Post 18 Decision Making Framework RAG Rating (Red / Amber / Green) |  |  |  |
| Brief Overview of Current Circumstances |  |  |  | |  |
| :--- |
| Wider Context of Trafficking Concerns (Historical \& Current) |

## Availability of Suitable Services, Professionals, and Agencies

- What agencies are already involved or available in area - is their support due to end?
- Exploration of suitable professionals / agencies who could support the young person (this can inform part of exit planning)
- Any barriers to the young person engaging in available services
- Outline of initial transition / exit plan


## Key characteristics of Child's Individual Needs and Exceptional Circumstances

- Key areas identified in Post 18 Decision Making Framework
- Key areas where RAG rating is Amber and Red


## Supporting Evidence

Highlight discussions and views of partner agencies, and exploration that has taken place to meet current needs of child, includes dates of emails, meetings and discussions

## Proposed Support Plan

- What are the overall aims of the proposed Post 18 support
- Include outcomes that are SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, timed) e.g, exit support prior to transition to 18 , stabilisation/outreach.

Provide Overview of Discussions, Decision and Rationale for support or closure.

## Decision

Date
Managers Signature

[^0]
[^0]:    *This template should be added to folder 2 on the child's file, see flowchart above.

