

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 25 May 2023 12:56

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Section 62A Planning Application: S62A/2023/0017 - Land at Tilekiln Green, Start Hill, Great Hallingbury

I totally and unequivocally object to this planning application.

It will irreversibly change the whole dynamic of Great Hallingbury as a village.

Both ends of this linear village have busy road junctions.

The M11 junction (Start Hill/Stane Street) is congested at the best of times and adding a logistics facility at the junction, will make the whole situation worse. General traffic build-up on the M11/A120 roundabout, currently and will continue to encourage drivers to divert through Great Hallingbury; this application will just exacerbate the situation with the influx of lorries/vans/cars.

It will also have a huge impact on the junction near HAMS /Church Road/A1184. Travellers constantly deviate their journeys to circumvent Bishops Stortford to gain access to the M11/A120, this inevitably sends more traffic through Great Hallingbury and even past Howe Green House prep School (currently under concern/review because of reported speeding traffic issues), across Woodside Green (National Trust Land) and down New Barn Lane (single track road), again in an attempt to circumvent the traffic build-up that does currently happen and will inevitably transpire to continue to happen with this application at the junction of TileKiln/Start Hill/Stane Street.

What is there to stop the vehicles from the WRENS' proposed operation following suit!

This would also be an absolute nightmare for all Great Hallingbury village residents, who currently risk their lives when out walking because of excessive and speeding traffic;

There are no footpaths in the vicinity of the proposed site, hence villagers are having to navigate the traffic by being forced to walk in the road to the bus stop/ petrol station for supplies on Stane Street.

The increase of vehicle movements throughout the day/night from the proposed site, will be unbearable to the residents directly adjacent and opposite the proposed site, with a marked increase in traffic and noise/light pollution from the proposed site if this application were to succeed.

Notwithstanding the immense devastation created by the tree/native shrubs/pond removal on the site 2 years ago which was actioned before the ecological survey was carried out; this also needs to be addressed to mitigate what damage has already been done to the surrounding verges landscape and wildlife.

The 'benefit' of bringing more local employment to the area is a negligent comment: as the site currently operates out of Stansted Airport, they are just proposing to move the operation and not increase employment!

It is a development suited to an industrial area such as the company's present location on the airport, and with the redevelopment currently being undertaken there, it is the ideal opportunity for Wren to have their input for their purpose built open logistics site; therefore keeping their traffic movements within an

industrial complex, with access directly onto the M11/A120, all without causing harm to a wildlife haven and a small village.

This cannot be considered a viable application?

Kind regards

Jules Baldwin

[Redacted]

Kind Regards

[Redacted]