

**From:** Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 28 May 2023 13:11  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** Development Support <developmentssupport@uttlesford.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments for Planning Application UTT/23/0950/PINS

S62A/2023/0017

**From:** [donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk](mailto:donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk) <[donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk](mailto:donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk)>  
**Sent:** 26 May 2023 22:40  
**To:** Planning <[planning@uttlesford.gov.uk](mailto:planning@uttlesford.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application UTT/23/0950/PINS

## Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 26/05/2023 10:39 PM from Mr Cllr Chris Wilson.

### Application Summary

Address:	Land Tilekiln Green Great Hallingbury
Proposal:	Consultation on S62A/2023/0017 - Development of the site to create an open logistics facility with associated new access and ancillary office and amenity facilities
Case Officer:	Madeleine Jones

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name:	Mr Cllr Chris Wilson
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Councillor
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: As a ward councillor for All Saints Ward, Bishop's Stortford (East Herts District Council) and a Bishop's Stortford Town Councillor for Parsonage Ward in that town, I am concerned with the level of traffic congestion in the town. As each major development has been accepted on the basis that it will not have a severe detrimental effect on the levels of traffic in the town, the cumulative effect of present and future congestion has become severe but this has not been acknowledged despite the provision of S32 of the NPPF.

The transport report accompanying this application details levels of traffic at Stansted Airport but not at Bishop's Stortford. This application, if approved, will inevitably add to congestion in

the town and this should be considered in light of the already congested urban area.

This development is also proposed in the CPZ in Uttlesford which is a well-established and well-tested policy going back decades with the aim of preserving the countryside around the airport. An HGV-heavy logistics sight will do nothing to preserve this important area. In addition, this development is within sight of listed buildings and this will not be a fitting development to be in such an area.

---

Kind regards