



Department  
for Education

# **Forecasting demand for SEND Provision for SCAP23**

**Guidance for Local Authorities**

**May 2023**

## Purpose of this document

This guidance is intended to support local authorities (LAs) to produce forecasts of demand for specialist school and alternative provision places for pupils with Education, Health and Care plans in line with the new data requirements for the School Capacity Survey 2023 (SCAP23). This guidance is intended to supplement and support the department's [guidance on how to complete the SCAP23 survey](#).

The government recently published its [SEND and alternative provision Improvement Plan](#), setting out its vision for the system and steps to be taken to fulfil children's potential, build parents' trust, and provide financial sustainability. The plan sets out three priorities for delivery: to support and stabilise, address supply issues, and design and test for systemic reform. Central to the second of these will be ensuring that there is sufficient support available for children and young people when they need it, in the most efficient way.

Collecting forecasts of demand for specialist provision will help support both the Department for Education (DfE) and the local government sector to better identify the location and number of specialist school places that may be needed in future. In asking for this information we recognise the challenges of forecasting demand for specialist provision, given the range of potential placements and the varied way they are organised through each LA's local offers of services and provision for special educational needs and disabilities (SEND). Close collaboration between local authority capital, high needs revenue, and SEND and AP teams is needed to ensure forecasts are realistic and reflect the LA's overarching strategy for delivery special educational needs provision.

Setting out these forecasts will make it easier for our SEND and Pupil Place Planning (PPP) Advisers to better support and challenge local authorities on the way their specialist provision strategy provides sufficient specialist places. In the longer term it will also allow the DfE to explore options for evolving our capital funding methodologies and strengthening the targeting of that funding over time. However, we would expect to appropriately consult with LAs before any LA-produced forecasts are used for funding purposes, and no decisions have yet been made as to how any future funding systems might operate in practice.

## Changes from November 2022 version of this guidance

As a result of feedback from local authorities, this guidance has been updated to clarify several aspects of the original draft guidance that was issued to LAs in November 2022. The most significant changes are summarised below.

- We have attempted to clarify the DfE's expectations of LAs in respect of forecast accuracy and forecasting approaches.

- Clarified that we are not seeking to collect data on pupils requiring alternative provision, unless they also have an education, health and care plan.
- LAs no longer need to account for cross-border movements when producing their forecasts of specialist place demand.
- We are asking for combined forecasts for SEN units and Resourced Provision, rather than seeking separate forecasts for these provision types.
- Added additional guidance on how LA forecasts should consider existing and planned capacity when forecasting future placements.

## Expectations of the SCAP23 collection

SCAP23 will be the first time many LAs will have been asked to produce detailed forecasts of future demand for specialist places. We therefore recognise that processes and methodologies for producing said forecasts may be less robust and mature than those used for producing mainstream pupil forecasts. It may require local authorities to involve individuals or business areas who may not have previous experience of completing the School Capacity Survey, especially SEND and AP teams and those responsible for high needs revenue funding.

This guidance is therefore intended to support all LA colleagues undertaking this task for the first time and sets out the DfE's recommended approach to producing forecasts of specialist demand, building on approaches and techniques LAs may be familiar with from producing their mainstream pupil forecasts.

Given the variety of factors that play a role in forecasting potential demand for specialist provision and the variation in the way it is organised, **LAs are free to adopt whatever forecasting approach they think works best for their local circumstances**, as long as their chosen approach a) produces the required outputs for the SCAP collection, and b) aligns with basic principles set out in this guidance. LAs will be expected to include details of their chosen forecasting methodology as part of their SCAP23 return, and the DfE may work with LAs to better understand their individual forecasting approach as part of SCAP data cleaning.

A key objective of this first year of data collection is to identify forecasting techniques, approaches and considerations that contribute to LAs producing reliable forecasts of future SEND demand, so that this best practice can be shared and incorporated into future collections. We will review this first data collection and may make changes to the recommended methodology in the future.

Whilst LAs should produce forecasts which are as robust and reliable as possible, we wish to be clear that **we do not expect perfection**. We expect to continue to work with LAs and the wider sector in future years to improve our collective approach to the forecasting of specialist demand, and we welcome continued feedback on the approaches and guidance set out below.

## **SEND planning, sustainability and specialist place forecasting**

The Department has also published guidance on [creating sustainable high needs systems](#), intended to help local authorities manage their high needs budgets and associated spending in a sustainable way. As this guidance sets out, planning and forecasting of high needs provision should involve any relevant teams across a local authority – including education service delivery, revenue and capital funding teams – and be overseen by senior leaders appraised of the need for a strategic approach and of the risks and issues involved. It should also reflect the ongoing review of the statutory Local Offer of services and provision for children and young people with SEN and disabilities, which must take account of feedback from parents and families.

Forecasts of demand for specialist placements returned through SCAP23 should generally be consistent with any forecasts an LA may have previously submitted to the Department, for example through a [dedicated schools grant \(DSG\) recovery plan](#) or a Safety Valve agreement. If forecasts have significantly diverged from previously submitted forecasts, a relevant explanation and rationale should be included in the accompanying forecast methodology statement. Significant discrepancies with previous forecasts may be examined further as part of data cleaning.

## The forecast data required

As part of the new requirements for SCAP23 we are asking LAs to provide the DfE with data on the capacity of their special schools, SEN units and resourced provision (see the [main SCAP guidance](#) for more information on capacity) as well as forecasts of demand for various forms of specialist provision.

Regardless of the exact methodology a local authority may choose to employ, all LAs will ultimately need to provide the following forecast information as part of SCAP23:

- a) Forecasts of the number of pupils in each year group who are expected to have an education, health, and care plan (EHCP) and who will require a placement in specialist provision (as defined below):
  - for primary year groups, for 5 years starting from the academic year beginning in the calendar year of the SCAP collection (AY 2023/24)
  - for secondary year groups, for 7 years starting from the academic year beginning in the calendar year of the SCAP collection (AY 2023/24).
- b) These forecasts will need be broken down by the type of provision these pupils are expected to attend. For 2023 these categories are:
  - SEN units & resourced provision in mainstream schools
  - State-funded special schools (LA-maintained schools, special academies, non-maintained special schools<sup>1</sup>)
  - Independent schools (independent schools and independent special schools)
  - Alternative provision (PRUs, AP academies and any other AP)<sup>2</sup>

LAs do not need to produce forecasts for pupils with EHCPs attending mainstream provision (except those in a formal SEN unit or resourced provision) as these pupils should already be captured in LAs existing mainstream forecasts. For SCAP23, **all forecasts of specialist demand will be collected at local authority level.**

Local authority forecasts should include all pupils with an EHCP (including those who may still be awaiting or undergoing an assessment for such but who are nonetheless occupying a specialist place) which the LA is responsible for maintaining and commissioning special educational provision for under the 2014 Children and Families Act<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Whilst LA commissioning processes for NMSS may differ from other state-funded schools, NMSSs are state-funded as they are maintained by way of a funding agreement with the Secretary of State and receive capital funding directly from the DfE for the purposes of condition and general maintenance.

<sup>2</sup> Forecasts should just cover those pupils with EHCPs. We are not seeking to collect forecasts for all pupils requiring alternative provision, including pupils without an EHCP, at this time.

<sup>3</sup> The 2014 Children's and Families Act contains duties relating to pupils with special educational needs, including preparing and maintaining Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs) and securing the special educational provision named in in a young person's EHCP.

This will generally include all children with such needs that are resident in the local authority, or for whom the LA is otherwise responsible, regardless of where the provision they may attend is located. LAs should include in their forecasts any of their own pupils with an EHCP who attend specialist provision in a neighbouring authority. Similarly, an LA's forecasts do not need to include pupils who attend specialist provision of any description in their area but are resident in other local authority's area.<sup>4</sup>

In this first year of collection, SCAP is only concerned with school-aged pupils with an EHCP (i.e. up to Year 11). LAs do not need to provide forecasts for people with EHCPs in any form of post-16 provision, including in school sixth forms, general FE colleges, specialist post-16 institutions, 16-19 Academies and independent training providers. Similarly, at this time we are not seeking to collect forecasts of pupils attending AP settings who do not have an EHCP.

For further information on the definition of specialist provision, including the definition of a SEN unit and resourced provision, please see the [main SCAP23 survey guide](#). For pupils with EHCPs in alternative provision, where pupils do not spend the whole academic year in these settings, forecasts should represent the total number of pupils expected to need a place within the academic year.

### **Fig.1 - Example of what is being requested**

*The table below is an illustration of a completed forecast. The example below is an example of a 5-year primary forecast of an LA's pupils with EHCPs who the LA believes will require a place in a state-funded special school. Similar tables will be requested for secondary, and for each of the other categories of provision as described above. Data below is illustrative only. For SCAP23, the DfE will allocate a new planning area number for the new LA-wide specialist planning areas.*

Planning Area Code	1100003	Primary - Special schools (LA-maintained, special academies, non-maintained special schools)					
Academic year	Primary						
Forecasts	Reception	1	2	3	4	5	6
2023/24	44	62	60	76	75	84	100
2024/25	47	44	63	62	79	75	89
2025/26	39	47	45	64	63	82	79
2026/27	45	42	51	49	66	65	85
2027/28	43	46	44	53	50	68	68

<sup>4</sup> This is a change to the original version of this guidance. LAs are no longer expected to provide forecasts in respect of pupils from other LA areas.

## Outline of recommended forecasting approach

Our recommended methodology can be broadly broken down into the following three main steps:

- Produce a forecast for the overall number of pupils who will be **resident** in your local authority, by age or year group. LAs may wish to use similar modelling approaches to those used for producing mainstream pupil forecasts, or you may already have this data.
- Apply a prediction around future rates of EHCPs to produce a forecast of the number of pupils resident in your local authority expected to have an EHCP by age or year group.
- Apply a prediction on where any pupils with EHCPs are likely to be educated e.g. in a special school, integrated into mainstream provision, placed into a dedicated SEN unit, etc.

The steps above, and elaborated on below, represent **just one possible approach**, and other forecasting approaches may be viable. See the 'Alternative Approaches' section below for further detail of other possible forecasting approaches you may wish to consider.

### Step 1 - Establish overall pupil numbers

**You may already have this data available as part of your mainstream pupil forecast methodology.**

We recommend that LAs initially establish a forecast of the total anticipated number of pupils in each year group (including pupils without EHCPs or any other form of SEND need) that will be resident in your local authority, and for which you are potentially responsible under Part 3 of the Children and Families Act 2014. This forecast can then act as a base from which to calculate the smaller subset of pupils resident in your LA that are likely to require some form of specialist provision. This also enables LAs to factor in the impacts of additional local factors such as migration or local housing development, in line with existing best practice for producing mainstream pupil forecasts.

We expect that for most LAs this step is likely to be similar to approaches used to calculate forecasts for mainstream pupil numbers (usually for intake years). You may wish to consider using similar (or the same) modelling or assumptions you have used to produce your mainstream forecasts, however LAs should note various considerations specific to SEND pupils set out below.

One **critical difference** to mainstream that LAs should be aware of is ensuring you only establish the number of pupils for each year group that will be **resident** in your area, and which a local authority therefore has responsibility for commissioning special educational provision for under the 2014 Children and Families Act and making alternative provision for under the 1996 Education Act. LAs should ensure the forecast approach does not accidentally count pupils from other LAs.

### **Current resident pupil population**

We suggest that LAs use relevant population-based data for estimating the number of children who **currently** live in your local authority. Potential sources of population data include:

- Office for National Statistics – including Live Birth Data
- GP registration data
- Early Years census data

For the final year of reception forecasts required, you will need to produce a population estimate or use an external source of population or birth forecasts, as these children will not yet be born when you produce your forecasts.

This step of the process is likely to be very similar to the recommended approach to forecasting mainstream pupil numbers for intake year R, so for a full example of how to calculate reception year groups numbers, please see the relevant [mainstream forecasting guidance](#).

### **Establishing future population forecasts**

Having established current resident pupil numbers for each year group, LAs will need to establish a forecast for the relevant future years. Our recommended method for doing so is to use a population cohort progression technique. This is based on the assumption that most pupils will remain resident in the local authority in the next academic year, with intake years (Year R) generated as above. LAs may need to decide on whether to apply an appropriate ‘transition rate’ to represent where children may on average join or leave a given age cohort as it progresses through the school system (for example via the balance of pupils moving in or out of the LA).

### **Transition rates and out of area pupil placements**

For a worked example of how to establish a transition rate for each year group, please see the [guidance](#) for producing mainstream pupil forecasts.

However, depending on local factors, existing transition rates used for producing mainstream forecasts may be affected by flows of pupils travelling from other local authorities to attend local schools. LAs will therefore need to ensure that any transition rates it applies in this step, only represent changes to their resident



population, e.g. population cohort numbers created by the movement of children taking up residence in the local authority or moving out of area.

## **Other factors**

As when producing their mainstream forecasts, LAs may need to make further adjustments to account for specific local circumstances, such as the impact of migration, or significant local development plans, as these factors may also affect your forecasts of resident pupils. Full details of relevant factors can be found in the associated [guidance](#) on producing mainstream forecasts, but some of the most common 'other factors' used in mainstream forecasting may have additional SEND specific considerations to be aware of:

### Migration

The impact of migration on the number of residents should generally be accounted for in line with the practice applied to mainstream forecasts. However, LAs should also consider whether some specific instances of migration (e.g. refugee resettlement) are likely to result in increased demand for specialist provision, for example due to additional health needs or a higher prevalence of Social, Emotional & Mental Health issues. If you anticipate specific migration factors having a disproportionate impact on specialist place demand, you should consider whether this may require making additional adjustments at an appropriate stage in your forecast methodology i.e. to anticipated EHCP rates etc.

### Housing

Unlike mainstream forecasting, LAs should generally avoid accounting for potential pupil inflow from significant housing developments in neighbouring authorities as LAs should only include developments that are likely to increase the potential pool of pupils that they will themselves be responsible for commissioning additional specialist places for under the 2014 Children and Families Act.

This is not an exhaustive list of considerations. Where LAs are applying any form of adjustment for other factors, they should ensure they are considering whether said adjustment has any relevance and/or potential impact on the number of pupils requiring specialist provision the LA may be responsible for.

## **Step 2 - Establishing the number of pupils with EHCPs**

Having established the total number of pupils likely to be resident in your area (and for which you are likely to be responsible) you should now establish the number of pupils, by year group, that are likely to have an EHCP and require some form of special educational provision (including in mainstream).

We expect that most LAs should have ready access to their own historic data on resident EHCPs by year group. If this data is not available for any reason, LAs can substitute this for rates calculated from the DfE's published [SEN2](#) data.

## **Establishing future EHCP rates**

An LA's EHCP rates are likely to change over time, in response to a range of factors. LAs will therefore need to consider how to appropriately model future EHCP rates in respect of the requested forecast years. Exactly how LAs forecast their future EHCP rates will be highly dependent on their local circumstances and should account for any local strategy LAs may have in place for managing overall demand for new EHCPs.

For example, a very simple model could simply involve taking an LA's most recent available EHCP rates (i.e. from AY 2022/23) and rolling this forward to all future years. This would effectively represent a scenario where the LA assumes that no increase or decrease to EHCP rates is expected over forecast period (although the total numbers of pupils with EHCPs may still increase/decrease depending on underlying pupil numbers). However for many LAs, an approach like the above may not adequately capture recent trajectories of rising demand for specialist provision or indeed, any actions that an LA may be taking to develop their local offer, such as an expanded mainstream offer.

LAs may therefore prefer to estimate potential increases or decreases in their local EHCP rates by, for example, using an average of historic rises/fall in EHCP rates (or a weighted average) or otherwise looking at recent trends of growth/decline in EHCP rates. If projecting from recent trends, it is **critical that LAs also consider any local policy responses that may have an impact on those future trends.**

For example, a local authority may have experienced significant increases in their EHCP numbers in recent years and may therefore be actively pursuing local policies to ensure more pupils' special educational needs can be met without requiring an EHCP, for example by investing in early intervention and identification of appropriate SEND support measures which may reduce demand for EHCPs as the children age. Where such policies are planned or underway, LAs may need to make some assumptions about the efficacy and timeliness of the outcomes of any local reform agenda (which is why it is important LAs prepare these forecasts with appropriate input from any relevant business areas e.g. local SEND strategy teams).

LAs will also need to consider the level at which they calculate and apply their estimated future EHCP rates. Applying an EHCP rate by phase (e.g. primary) may not sufficiently capture differences in EHCP prevalence as a given cohort ages. However, applying a fixed EHCP rate per year group, especially where year group cohorts have significant discrepancies in size, may result in apparent fluctuations in

EHCP numbers that don't realistically capture how EHCPs are maintained or cease to be maintained in practice.

Whatever methodology LAs may choose to use to establish their future trends in EHCPs, LAs should ensure they 'sense check' the resulting rates and consider if they represent a scenario that could feasibly materialise in practice. In making this determination LAs should consider a range of local and national factors including:

- any perceived demographic ceilings on overall demand;
- any local strategy or reforms which may impact on the need for SEN to be met through new EHCPs;
- whether any calculated figures suggest significant falls in EHCPs from year to year (i.e. that would mean unrealistic numbers of EHCPs would have to cease to be maintained)
- your participation in one of the DfE SEND intervention programmes such as the Safety Valve Programme and 'Delivering Better Value', including any actions and agreed targets/trajectories relating to overall EHCP rates you may have agreed as part of these programmes;
- the potential impact of policy and legislative changes, especially those arising from the [SEND and alternative provision Improvement Plan](#).

### Example

In the following fictional example, 'Appleford Local Authority' has forecast the total number of pupils it expects to be responsible for under the 1996 Education Act and the 2014 Children and Families Act (*NB: only three years shown for illustration purposes. All data is fictional. LAs should use their own EHCP data*).

	Year Group											
	R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Total Pupils (Forecast)												
2023/24	4440	4526	4518	4459	4552	4766	4824	4787	4741	4808	4651	4557
2024/25	4445	4440	4526	4518	4459	4552	4766	5003	4799	5018	5214	4729
2025/26	4482	4445	4440	4526	4518	4459	4552	4912	5268	4889	5075	5258
Current EHCP rate AY 22/23	2.3%	2.6%	2.9%	3.5%	3.3%	3.6%	3.9%	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	4.5%	4.6%
Total EHCPs (Forecast)												
2023/24	102	118	131	156	150	172	188	206	209	212	209	210
2024/25	102	115	131	158	156*	164	186	215	211	221	235	218
2025/26	103	116	129	158	158*	161	178	211	232	215	228	242

To establish the potential number of pupils with EHCPs in future years, the LA has sought to establish a future EHCP rate for the relevant year groups. After considering

its historic and current EHCP rates, and the potential impact of local reforms, the LA believes it will be able to maintain its EHCP rates at current levels. The LA therefore chooses to roll forward its most recent EHCP rate as its forecast rate for all future years. It can then use this rate to calculate the number of EHCPs that might be expected in each year group over the forecast period.

However, as an example of the sort of ‘sense check’ LAs should be making, in this fictional example the current EHCP rate for year Y4 is lower than for Y3, so applying just the current Y4 EHCP rate in years 24/25 and 25/26 would have suggested an unrealistic shrinkage of the previous Y3 cohort as it moves into Y4 (as in all likelihood those existing EHCPs would have continued to be maintained). To prevent this the LA has applied a simple floor protection (marked in the table with a \*), so that total EHCPs in a given cohort will never reduce below the number it possessed the previous academic year.

### Step 3 – Forecasting Pupil Placements

The final stage of forecasting demand for specialist provision is to establish the likely placement destination of the pupils with EHCPs identified above (i.e. what type of institution/type of provision each pupil will attend). Not every pupil with an EHCP will require access to a specialist place, and many will be educated entirely within mainstream provision with appropriate additional SEND support. Such pupils **should already be captured by LAs’ existing SCAP reporting on mainstream forecasts** and do not need to be reported again separately.

For all other pupils with EHCPs, for SCAP 2023 we are therefore asking LAs to provide separate forecasts for primary and secondary-age pupils with EHCPs that will require provision in:

- SEN units and resourced provision
- Special schools (including maintained special schools, special academies and non-maintained special schools)
- Independent provision (both in mainstream independent schools and independent special schools)
- Alternative Provision

For the purposes of SCAP23 these categories will each be assigned their own specialist planning area code. For SEN units and resourced provision in mainstream schools, this will be in addition to the existing pupil planning area the mainstream element of the school will belong to. LAs will need to produce a separate forecast for each special planning area/category of provision.

In some instances forecasts for SEN units, resourced provision and alternative provision may include pupils that also access mainstream provision (at the same time or at certain points in the academic year). This may result in such pupils also

being captured in an LA's mainstream forecasts (potentially 'double counting' such pupils). However, this is not an issue as these pupils will in practice need a place in both types of provision.

For pupils with EHCPs in alternative provision, where pupils do not spend the whole academic year in these settings, forecasts should represent the total number of pupils expected to need a place within the academic year.

### **Establishing anticipated EHCP placement rates**

To establish the likely destination for pupils with EHCPs, we recommend you initially look at historic patterns of pupil placements (SEN2 data is likely to be helpful in this regard). In the absence of such data, you can establish historic rates of pupil placement in your area for each type of provision for any given academic year by using the formula:

- Number of pupils in [relevant provision type] ÷ the total number of pupils with EHCPs in that year.

Having established your relevant historic placement rates, you will need to determine the likely rates of pupil placement in future. As with establishing future EHCP rates, you will need to decide whether to establish your future placement rates by using averages of recent data, a weighted average, or other trend-based approaches.

In doing so, LAs will also need to consider whether there are any local factors that are likely to affect pupil placements in future, and whether their historic placement rates best reflect future placement practices in your LA, especially for major intake years (e.g. year 7). For example, if a new special secondary school is opening in an LA, it may significantly affect placement patterns for future Year 7s compared to previous cohorts. Additional examples of factors to consider might include:

- Opening new local specialist provision may result in a lower proportion of children being placed in independent provision.
- Local intervention measures focussed on earlier intervention, inclusivity and better support in mainstream provision might result in fewer pupils requiring formal SEN units or resourced provision, freeing up capacity for pupils who might have otherwise required a special school place.
- Local capital programmes delivering more SEN units/resourced provision may result in a rise of future pupils being accommodated in those settings.
- Any local SEND strategy or plan that may affect how pupils are placed in specific types of provision in future.
- Capacity constraints in existing provision (see below)

As the numbers of pupils with EHCPs in a given year group may be relatively small, LAs will also need to consider inherent volatility in your data set, as small changes in the number of placements from year to year may result in large percentage shifts.

Depending on cohort size, LAs will therefore need to determine whether it is better to calculate future placement rates at individual year group level, or to do so first by some more stable grouping such as education phase or across another combination of year groups (e.g. Key Stage, Infants/Junior, etc).

Placement rates should also take account of how pupils are likely to move between provision types in practice. For example pupils tend not to move between provision types once placed, so local reforms affecting pupil destinations are likely to have the largest impact of key transition or intake years (i.e. Year 7). In such instances there may be significant differences between the placement rates for existing pupils as a whole, vs the likely placement rates for particular future cohorts. LAs should ensure their assumptions about future rates consider this dynamic where this might have a meaningful impact on their forecasts.

In respect of forecasts for alternative provision pupils, we are only seeking to collect forecasts of alternative provision pupils with an EHCP. Pupils attending alternative provision without an EHCP should not be included.

Once you have established appropriate placement rates for each requested forecast year, LAs can multiply the calculated rate for each provision type by the total number of pupils in each year group with EHCPs they established in Step 2 above. This will provide a forecast number of pupils in each year group that you expect to be placed in each type of provision for any given forecast year.

### **‘Reasonable Demand’ vs ‘Actual Placements’**

LA forecasts of specialist place demand should as far as possible reflect demand for specialist places as is likely to be achievable within each LAs’ specific local context. For example, forecasts of demand should not generally indicate significant shifts in the balance of provision that **existing** pupils are attending, unless the LA can provide an explanation of some corresponding local change that might realistically result in such an outcome.

For some LAs we recognise that available and planned capacity in existing specialist provision may be a factor when they are considering how their future placement behaviour may evolve over the forecast period. For the purposes of the SCAP collection, LAs should present their forecasts **assuming that capacity will not be a barrier to making future placements**, just as an LA’s existing mainstream pupil forecasts assume the future availability of all necessary mainstream places.

We have chosen to take this approach to forecasting so we can better understand the true demand for specific forms of provision, and therefore the scale of any possible shortfalls between said demand and existing capacity, without this being disguised by other assumptions. For example, should a shortfall of special school places materialise in future, an LA might assume it would result in pupils remaining in

unsuitable mainstream settings for longer than would be desirable. However, reflecting this assumption in their forecasts as increased demand for mainstream places would disguise the underlying demand for places in special schools. We therefore do not wish for LAs to artificially distort their forecasts in this way by attempting to forecast sub-optimal pupil placements based on their predictions about the future availability of the necessary places, or their access to additional capital funding in the intervening period.

#### Example

*Based on the example in step 2, Appleford LA forecasts in AY 24/25 it will have 1099 secondary pupils with an EHCP. It also estimates that based on historic trends, the impact of new free school opening, and LA efforts to boost inclusivity in mainstream, that in 24/25 approximately 32% of those pupils will require a placement in a specialist school.*

*Applying the 32% placement rate to the 1099 secondary pupils suggests a need for c.352 secondary special school places in AY24/25. However even with the new free school opening, and other LA expansion projects in train, Appleford believes that by AY24/25 it is only like to have available capacity for around 340 special school placements.*

*For the purposes of SCAP, the LA should still therefore report a demand for 352 special school places even though absent further place delivery it may in practice need to find alternative accommodation for the 12 remaining children (for example via making additional independent placements or having them remain in less suitable mainstream provision whilst awaiting a place etc.).*

However, this does not mean LA should report forecasts based on entirely unmitigated demand for certain types of provision. Rather, LAs should be attempting to reflect demand from **the perspective of the LA acting as the commissioning body operating a financially sustainable local offer**; i.e. the demand a reasonable and effective LA will experience after taking account of existing placement policies, their local SEND strategy, likely pupil and parent preferences and any local reform measures as set out above.

This approach may, in some instances, require LAs to make adjustments to their estimated future placement rates if their approach to calculating these already reflects assumptions about how existing capacity constraints may affect future placement decisions. For example, an LA might be forecasting continued future rises in independent usage due to concerns that a lack of capacity in their state special schools has recently increased their reliance on such places.

In such instances, our recommendation is that whilst **existing pupils** may be unlikely to switch providers/schools once placed and should generally therefore continue to be forecast as occupying said places, **for any future pupil cohorts** LAs should



instead attempt to reflect the principles outline above (i.e. that capacity is not a barrier, and LAs should report their first choice of placement in line with their local placement policies), even if this might seem to suggest an otherwise unrealistic shift away from prior patterns of placement.

### Example

*Based off existing trends Appleford LA calculates that in AY24/25 approximately 7.2% of secondary EHCP pupils would require a placement in an independent school. However it knows that this figure reflects the fact that shortages of local special places have already led to the LA commissioning increasing number of places in independent special schools. Based on the principles above, we are asking LAs to forecast demand for places they will face as a responsible commissioning body, rather than where said pupils may ultimately be placed. The LA determines that of 7.2%, approximately half might be happily accommodated in a local state funded special school if local capacity was available, as opposed to instances where that particular provision genuinely represents the best option for that pupil.*

*Therefore going forwards Appleford LA continues to use its existing placement rates for any pupil cohorts already in the system (as they are now unlikely to move provision). However new pupils entering the system it should attempt to reflect the true reasonable demand as outlined above. For the purposes of SCAP forecasting it therefore produces a forecast assuming only 3.6% of future pupils might require an independent place, whilst the remaining 3.6% should be considered as requiring a state-funded special school place and added to any existing totals.*

This approach may result in LAs submitting forecasts of placements that will not ultimately reflect the reality of where their placements may be made. This is acceptable and **we will not be holding LAs to account for achieving or delivering their reported placement patterns**. Again, at this time we believe that this approach is a necessary compromise to help reveal demand for certain types of provision, which might have been otherwise have been disguised or hidden had we requested LAs provide more realistic, but ultimately less optimal, placement forecasts.

### **Forecasts by year group vs phase**

To complete the SCAP template LAs will need to provide forecast pupils by year group. If following the approach outlined above this should be produced naturally as part of the recommended calculations. Where LAs may be choosing to calculate their forecasts through a different method, or have consistently relied on phase level information, it may be necessary to break down a phase-level forecast into year group level figures. A number of methods may be appropriate for doing so, for example using existing or forecast numbers on roll as a guide for apportioning forecasts between year groups.



We recognise that for some pupils in special provision, year groups can be less meaningful than they are mainstream, and that special schools may primarily be organised internally according to ability or type of need, rather than age. However, producing year group level pupil forecasts still provides valuable contextual information. e.g. when particular cohorts may be aging into different forms or stages of provision. Accordingly, LAs should still attempt to provide forecasts that accurately reflect numbers in particular year groups, although we recognise that such figures may necessarily be less robust in comparison to mainstream pupils.

### **A worked example of calculating forecast pupil placements**

The table below continues the fictional example of ‘Appleford Local Authority’ set out in section 2 above. The LA in this example has produced a table of anticipated placement rates for various types of provision for primary pupils based on a trend analysis of their historic data and consideration of their ongoing reforms. For the sake of this example this table just covers all primary pupils. In practice, LAs may prefer to establish individual placement rates for a range of different pupil age ranges (e.g., by year group or by key stage etc.)

#### ***EHCP pupil placement rates for primary phase<sup>5</sup>***

<b>Placement Rates</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>	<b>2026/27</b>	<b>2027/28</b>
Mainstream	49.2%	46.6%	44.4%	45.7%	47.9%
SEN Unit & Resourced Provision	13.0%	13.3%	13.6%	14.0%	14.0%
Special Schools	31.2%	32.0%	33.5%	31.2%	29.7%
Independent	5.7%	7.2%	7.6%	8.3%	6.7%
Alternative Provision	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%

Pupils in mainstream should already be captured through existing forecasts so no further action is needed in respect of these pupils. For the remaining types of provision the LA can apply the rates set out above to the forecasts of pupils with EHCPs it produced in step 2, to produce an estimate for the number of pupils who will require specialist provision of that type for each relevant academic year.

---

<sup>5</sup> Figures are illustrative only, should not be taken as guide for what figures may be appropriate for any given LA

Forecast pupils with EHCPs in AY 23/24 – 2026/26

<b>Total EHCPs (Forecast)</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Special School Placement Rate</b>
2023/24	102	118	131	156	150	172	188	31.2%
2024/25	102	115	131	158	156	164	186	32.0%
2025/26	103	116	129	158	158	161	178	33.5%
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Applying the special school rate to total EHCPs by year, provides a forecast for primary pupils in each year group who will need a place at state special schools as required by the SCAP return.

Final Forecast for primary special school pupils (LA-maintained, special academies, non-maintained special schools)

<b>Forecasts</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
2023/24	39	56	56	68	64	74	75
2024/25	40	57	58	71	70	73	76
2025/26	42	60	60	74	74	75	76
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..

## Alternative Approaches

The methodology set out above represents just one possible approach for producing forecasts of demand for specialist provision. LAs are free to apply other approaches if they feel this is appropriate for their area. All LAs should ensure they are detailing the approach they have taken in their special forecast commentary.

For example, some LAs may prefer to pursue a 'bottom up' forecasting approach, based on aggregating forecasts for individual institutions. Most pupils will remain in the school named in their EHCP for the duration of an educational phase. LAs may therefore be able to produce reasonable future forecasts by **identifying the number of pupils currently in each institution or type of institution, by age group, and progressing this cohort through the school**. Based on the LA's overall plan for managing high needs, it may be possible to make some assumptions about whether and for how long pupils currently placed in specialist provision will remain there, as well to anticipate numbers of additional pupils that may join in future years. This will provide a baseline number onto which LAs can model any future anticipated placement, changes to placement approaches, or other local factors such as changes in overall pupil numbers, for example due to migration or demographic growth. These institution level forecasts then can be aggregated up to produce an overall local authority level forecast.

Whatever approach is chosen, as this guidance sets out, planning and forecasting of high needs provision should involve any relevant teams across a local authority – including education service delivery and revenue and capital funding teams – and be overseen by senior leaders appraised of the need for a strategic approach and of the risks and issues involved.

Effective strategic planning is reliant upon a thorough knowledge of local needs and a clear vision for the support required to effectively meet existing and emerging needs. This should be underpinned by robust and timely data regarding existing placements, local demographics, trends over time on both types of need and different ages and capacity and performance of existing provision. Early identification of potential need in Early Years can also help LAs identify potential demand in advance of formal requests for support. This data can be used to identify the gaps in LAs' local provision offer. This information should be maintained as robustly and as often as possible, to ensure an LA's ability to plan and respond.

## Validating your forecasts

Whatever forecasting method a local authority chooses to employ, the process of producing your forecast should be robust and analytically sound. Local authorities should ensure any relevant modelling or input data is fit for purpose and has been subject to appropriate quality assurance checks, including final sign-off by a suitable senior official(s) with relevant responsibilities for this area. Final forecasts should be sense checked at a detailed and aggregate level.

It is also essential that forecasts are calculated as you intended. Make sure that appropriate formulas are used, and that the correct data is referenced. You may wish to focus on one or two examples and work all the way through your forecast model to ensure that you can see that each step is working in the way intended and that the outcome is logical.

We do however recognise that many LAs will not have extensive experience of producing forecasts of demand for specialist provision, and there may be additional factors that make forecasting high needs pupils more volatile than for mainstream pupils. Variables around changes in types of need, or the impact of decisions by parents/carers, tribunal decisions etc. might all have an impact on the accuracy of any resulting forecasts. Nevertheless, it is still important that LAs strive for as much accuracy as possible.

We expect that over future iterations of SCAP, LAs will be able to use previous forecasts and real-world data to further iterate and improve their forecasting methodology to achieve higher levels of accuracy, and to further validate their annual forecasts of demand for SEND provision.

In the interim, given the absence of previous SCAP data on specialist demand, we'd encourage LAs to consider other potential sources of data against which to check and validate their resulting forecasts. Options to consider include any previous forecasting you may have produced (either internally, or as part of a Dedicated Schools Grant management plan). You can also compare forecasts to admissions for the upcoming September. You could also consider developing a forecast model that permits the simulation of years for which past pupil data is available and comparing your forecasts against historic data as further check of potential accuracy.

## Further Guidance

Additional guidance and support on completing SCAP23, including the new fields in COLLECT, can be found in our [school capacity survey guide](#). Should local authorities have any additional questions or queries about the content of this forecasting guidance please contact us at [SCAP.PPP@education.gov.uk](mailto:SCAP.PPP@education.gov.uk).



Department  
for Education

© Crown copyright 2023

This publication (not including logos) is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

To view this licence:

visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3)

email [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk)

write to Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London, TW9 4DU

About this publication:

enquiries [www.education.gov.uk/contactus](http://www.education.gov.uk/contactus)

download [www.gov.uk/government/publications](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications)



Follow us on Twitter:  
[@educationgovuk](https://twitter.com/educationgovuk)



Like us on Facebook:  
[facebook.com/educationgovuk](https://facebook.com/educationgovuk)