

**From:** Tony Brennan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 15 May 2023 13:26  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** S62A/2023/0017

Dear sir or madam,

Re: Land Tilekiln Green Great Hallingbury.

My objection to the proposal is due to the deliberate destruction of natural habitat prior to a biodiversity study being undertaken.

It would appear that this may have been done in order to remove any valuable and/or protected nature if such a study was commissioned.

A message must be sent to developers that local authorities do not condone this type of behaviour. It is immoral and it will take many years for the site to return to its former state. This alone should be a reason to dismiss the appeal.

The area damaged should be allowed to return to its original state before a new study is commissioned and any further consideration is given.

I can't understand why it is not prohibited by law to clear wild land and natural habitats without appropriate studies being in place to seek permission. Perhaps the default position should be to issue blanket TPOs over these areas to prevent unpublishable vandalism for profit.

Thanks and warm regards  
Tony Brennan

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]