From: Lucienne Brear

**Sent:** 26 April 2023 18:49

To: Section 62A Applications < section 62a@planning in spectorate.gov.uk >

Subject: OBJECTION: Section 62A Planning Application: S62A/2023/0017 - Land at Tilekiln Green,

Stansted, Great Hallingbury

Dear Sirs,

I strongly object to the above named planning application.

Its unsuitability for the site has not changed since the refusal of the previous two applications. The previous refused applications only served to suggest the convenience of the site in terms of benefit to Wren Kitchens: not the local area or residents.

As has previously been made clear, there are many reasons why this site is unsuitable for this development. May I outline just a few:

The area cannot cope with the sheer volume of additional traffic, delays and road safety issues that this site would generate.

There are already numerous road congestion issues in the area around Birchanger Green roundabout, and the B1256 is frequently backed up with traffic.

Local road users regularly face long delays on the roundabout and the B1256.

Local residents would be put at further risk in terms of road safety, with the constant stream of large lorries coming through the area.

This new application does not mitigate these problems; it adds to them.

This development would be in operation 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and would generate an unacceptable and unrelenting amount of light, noise and airborne particle pollution.

The proposed site is within an area of open, protected countryside. Building on this site would contravene the Local Plan, which states that: "There will be strict control on new development. In particular development will not be permitted if either of the following apply: a) New buildings or uses would promote coalescence between the airport and existing development in the surrounding countryside; b) It would adversely affect the open characteristics of the zone."

The developer states that 'planning permission may be granted for development that is required to be within that location', but there is no demonstration of any requirement for this development to be within this location. Indeed, there is no benefit to the local area from this site.

The constant stream of HGVs and associated infrastructure proposed in this application will create misery, gridlock and pose a significant health & safety risk for residents, road users and wildlife over a considerable distance, but especially for those living nearest the site.

I strongly urge those concerned to refuse this application. I believe it would not only be irresponsible, but would pose a grave health and safety risk to allow this application to go ahead.

Yours faithfully,

Lucie Brear