

# Anticipated acquisition by Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. of Oz MidCo AS (Altus Intervention)

# DECISION ON ACCEPTANCE OF UNDERTAKINGS IN LIEU OF REFERENCE

#### ME/7007/22

Please note that [ $\approx$ ] indicates figures or text which have been deleted at the request of the parties or third parties for reasons of commercial confidentiality.

## Introduction

- 1. Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. (**BH**) has agreed to acquire Oz MidCo AS and its subsidiaries that include Altus Intervention AS (**Altus**) (the **Merger**). BH and Altus are together referred to as the **Parties** and, for statements relating to the future, the **Merged Entity**.
- 2. On 22 November, the Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) decided under section 33(1) of the Enterprise Act 2002 (the Act) that it is or may be the case that the Merger consists of arrangements that are in progress or in contemplation which, if carried into effect, will result in the creation of a relevant merger situation, and that this may be expected to result in a substantial lessening of competition (SLC) within a market or markets in the United Kingdom (the SLC Decision).
- 3. On 29 November, the Parties offered undertakings in lieu of reference to the CMA for the purposes of section 73(2) of the Act. On 6 December 2022, the CMA gave notice to the Parties, pursuant to section 73A(2)(b) of the Act, that it considered that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the undertakings offered, or a modified version of them, might be accepted by the CMA under section 73(2) of the Act and that it was considering the Parties' offer (the **UILs Provisional Acceptance Decision**).

4. The text of the SLC Decision and the UILs Provisional Acceptance Decision are available on the CMA webpages.<sup>1</sup>

## The undertakings offered

- As set out in the SLC Decision, the CMA found a realistic prospect of an SLC as a result of horizontal unilateral effects in the (i) supply of coiled tubing services (CT)<sup>2</sup> in the UK; and (ii) supply of standalone pumping services (Pumping)<sup>3</sup> in the UK.
- As set out in the UILs Provisional Acceptance Decision, to address the SLC identified by the CMA, the Parties offered undertakings to divest the assets that comprise BH's CT and Pumping business in the UK (the **Divestment Business**). The details of the Parties' offer were set out in the text of the consultation on the CMA webpages (the **UILs**).<sup>4</sup>
- 7. The Parties also offered to enter into an agreement for the sale and purchase of the Divestment Business with an upfront buyer approved by the CMA, before the CMA finally accepts the UILs. The Parties proposed Archer (UK) Limited<sup>5</sup> (Archer) and IKM Testing UK Ltd (IKM)<sup>6</sup> as potential purchasers of the Divestment Business. The agreement with either Archer or IKM, will be conditional on acceptance by the CMA of the UILs, including approval of Archer or IKM as the buyer of the Divestment Business.
- 8. On 8 February 2023, BH and Archer entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (APA) for the sale of the Divestment Business.<sup>7</sup> The APA is conditional on the CMA's acceptance of the UILs and its approval of Archer as the purchaser of the Divestment Business and of the terms and conditions of the APA.

## Consultation

- 9. On 17 January 2023, pursuant to paragraph 2(1) of Schedule 10 to the Act, the CMA issued a notice of consultation on the UILs (**Notice of Consultation**), inviting interested parties to give their views on the UILs. The terms defined in the Notice of Consultation have the same meaning in this decision.
- 10. The Notice of Consultation is set out at Annex 1 of this decision.<sup>8</sup> For the reasons set out in the Notice of Consultation, the CMA's preliminary view was that the UILs would resolve the SLC identified in the SLC decision in a clear-cut manner, ie

(archerwell.com)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes / Altus merger inquiry</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Which involve the supply of a long flexible pipe used to convey fluids, tools or gases into deviated or horizontal wells. <sup>3</sup> Which involve the delivery of gases or liquids into the well. Pumping services can be provided as standalone or as an ancillary service with CT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes / Altus merger inquiry</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Archer (UK) Limited ultimate parent company is Archer Limited, a company listed on the Oslo stock exchange.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> IKM Testing UK Ltd's ultimate parent company is IKM Gruppen AS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See <u>Archer Limited: Archer acquires Baker Hughes' Coil Tubing and Pumping business in the UK - Archer</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The full consultation text was published on <u>Baker Hughes / Altus merger inquiry</u>.

without giving rise to material doubts about the overall effectiveness of the UILs or concerns about their implementation.<sup>9</sup>

- 11. On 17 February 2023, pursuant to paragraph 2(4) of Schedule 10 to the Act, the CMA published a modified version of the UILs inviting interested parties to give their views (**Modified Undertakings**). The relevant text from the consultation is set out at Annex 2 of this decision.<sup>10</sup>
- 12. The CMA received one submission in response to the Notice of Consultation. That submission from a customer of the Parties (the **Response**) provided the following comments:<sup>11</sup>
  - (a) The provision in Schedule 1, paragraph 1(b) of the UILs should be modified. According to this provision, BH would subcontract activity or reimburse the Proposed Purchaser with the economic value of customer contracts if customer consent was not granted immediately. This third party submitted that a requirement to obtain 'immediate' customer consent was impractical and could put a customer in a difficult and compromised position should such consent not be obtained immediately, in particular because it takes some time for a customer to understand and evaluate the Proposed Purchaser's intentions and capabilities;
  - (b) The UILs should be modified to broaden the definition of 'Key Staff' in the Proposed Undertakings to cover for the technical staff;
  - (c) The UILs should be modified to expressly provide that the purchaser will be given the global technical support it requires by BH;
  - (d) The UILs should be modified to include express provisions that cover all staff training relevant for the operation of the Divestment Business;
  - (e) The UILs should contain an express provision that the customer is free to switch to an alternative provider, with no adverse consequences in relation to the services provided by BH for such period as may be necessary whilst an alternative provider is appointed and installed; and
  - (f) The UILs should be modified to include a requirement for BH to report to the CMA on its compliance with the undertakings.
- 13. The CMA carefully assessed the concerns raised in the Response and BH submitted revised undertakings to address the concern set out in paragraph 12(a) above. BH committed to seek to obtain such consent from customers without delay and to give customers necessary information about the purchaser to assist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Merger remedies, (CMA87), December 2018, Chapter 3, in particular paragraphs 3.27, 3.28 and 3.30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The full consultation text was published on <u>Baker Hughes / Altus merger inquiry.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Customer response to CMA's Notice of Consultation of 1 February 2023.

customers in making an informed decision. To reflect this position, BH proposed changes to the wording in Schedule 1 paragraph 1(b) of the UILs which make clearer that the customer will not be required to 'immediately' give consent to the transfer of the relevant part of its contract and will have access to necessary information to assess the capabilities of the Proposed Purchaser. This change also mitigates the risk that a customer would refuse consent as a result of a lack of information or time to consider the request.

- 14. In addition, the UILs were also modified to refer to the addition of Baker Hughes Company as one of the companies giving and signing the Modified Undertakings. Baker Hughes Company is the ultimate parent company Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. and of all companies in the Baker Hughes corporate group. The CMA considered this addition was appropriate and necessary as BH informed the CMA that other companies in the Baker Hughes corporate group, in addition to Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V., will be party to the transaction involving the divestment of the Divestment Business and those Baker Hughes entities were not direct subsidiaries of Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V.
- 15. The CMA did not consider that any other modifications to the UILs were necessary to address the other concerns raised in the Response. In particular:
  - (a) The definition of 'Key Staff' in the UILs is sufficiently broad to cover all the relevant staff whose performance affects the viability of the Divestment Business. Furthermore, in the APA, as conditionally approved by the CMA, BH has put in place retention mechanisms aimed at ensuring the transfer of the Key Staff;
  - (b) Customer concerns in relation to the level of training and overall support to be provided by BH are addressed by provisions the Proposed Purchaser has agreed with BH in the TSA, as approved by the CMA;
  - (c) In the context of clear-cut structural remedies, the CMA does not typically require regular reporting on compliance. In particular, in most cases, the contractual mechanisms agreed between the parties to the agreement effecting the remedy and the incentives of the purchaser to monitor and report any instance of non-compliance sufficiently mitigate risk that the CMA would not become aware of any possible non-compliance with the UILs. In the event of non-compliance, the UILs provide the CMA with powers to direct the Parties to take steps to secure compliance; and
  - (d) The UILs do not prevent a customer from terminating its contract with BH and switching to an alternative purchaser. In this circumstance, any consequences of termination would be those provided for in any existing agreements entered into by BH and each of its customers (subject to the law that governs those agreements). On this basis, the CMA believes that there is no need for an

express provision in the UILs that the customer is free to switch to an alternative provider.

- 16. Accordingly, the Response did not cause the CMA to change its preliminary view that the UILs would be acceptable.
- 17. The CMA did not receive any submissions in response to the second consultation period in relation to the Modified Undertakings.
- 18. The CMA therefore considers that the UILs offered by the Parties are clear-cut and appropriate to remedy, mitigate or prevent the competition concerns identified in the SLC Decision and that Archer is a suitable purchaser of the Divestment Business.

## Decision

- 19. For the reasons set out above, the CMA considers that the UILs provided by the Parties are as comprehensive a solution as is reasonable and practicable and remedy, mitigate or prevent the SLC identified in the SLC Decision and any adverse effects resulting from it. The CMA has therefore decided to accept the UILs offered by the Parties pursuant to section 73 of the Act. The Merger will therefore not be referred for a phase 2 investigation.
- 20. The undertakings, which have been signed by the Parties and will be published on the CMA webpages,<sup>12</sup> will come into effect from the date of this decision.

## Colin Raftery Competition and Markets Authority 1 March 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes / Altus merger inquiry.</u>

# ANNEX A

# NOTICE UNDER PARAGRAPH 2(1) OF SCHEDULE 10 TO THE ENTERPRISE ACT 2002 (THE ACT) – CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED UNDERTAKINGS IN LIEU OF REFERENCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 73 OF THE ACT.

#### ME/7007/22

Please note that [ $\gg$ ] indicates figures or text which have been deleted at the request of the parties for reasons of commercial confidentiality.

## INTRODUCTION

- 1. Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. (**BH**) has agreed to acquire Oz MidCo AS and its subsidiaries that include Altus Intervention AS (**Altus**) (the **Merger**). BH and Altus are together referred to as the **Parties** and, for statements relating to the future, the **Merged Entity**.
- 2. On 22 November 2022, the Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) decided under section 33(1) of the Enterprise Act 2002 (the Act) that it is or may be the case that the Transaction consists of arrangements that are in progress or in contemplation which, if carried into effect, will result in the creation of a relevant merger situation, and that this may be expected to result in a substantial lessening of competition (SLC) within a market or markets in the United Kingdom (the SLC Decision). The text of the SLC Decision is available on the CMA webpages.<sup>13</sup>
- 3. On 29 November 2022, the Parties offered undertakings in lieu of reference to the CMA for the purposes of section 73(2) of the Act.
- 4. On 6 December 2022, the CMA gave notice to the Parties, pursuant to section 73A(2)(b) of the Act, that it considers that there are reasonable grounds for believing that the undertakings offered, or a modified version of them, might be accepted by the CMA under section 73(2) of the Act and that it is considering the Parties' offer (the UIL Provisional Acceptance Decision).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. / Oz MidCo AS (Altus Intervention) merger inquiry - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>.

# THE UNDERTAKINGS OFFERED

- 5. As set out in the SLC Decision, the CMA found a realistic prospect of an SLC as a result of horizontal unilateral effects in the (i) supply of coiled tubing services (CT)<sup>14</sup> in the UK; and (ii) supply of standalone pumping services (Pumping)<sup>15</sup> in the UK.
- 6. As set out in the UIL Provisional Acceptance Decision, to address the SLC identified by the CMA the Parties have offered undertakings to divest the assets that comprise BH's CT and Pumping business in the UK (the **Divestment Business**). The text of the undertakings is available on the CMA webpages (the **Proposed Undertakings**).<sup>16</sup>
- 7. The divestment would occur by way of the transfer of the CT and Pumping assets that BH currently uses in the supply of CT and Pumping services in the UK, including but not limited to:
  - (a) four CT units and related equipment and yard and support equipment;
  - (b) all personnel working for the Divestment Business, including key management and staff;
  - (c) CT and Pumping related customer contracts;<sup>17</sup> and
  - (d) all contracts with suppliers, and all existing inventories, relevant to the Divestment Business.
- 8. The Parties offered a range of transitional service arrangements (**TSAs**) to ensure the continuity of the operations of the Divestment Business immediately post-divestiture for a period of 12 months, or such other reasonable period, which include, but are not limited to, access to (i) BH's intra-group supplies, technology and software, (ii) back-office functions, and (iii) use of BH's brand.<sup>18</sup>
- 9. The Parties have also offered to enter into an agreement for the sale and purchase of the Divestment Business with an upfront buyer approved by the CMA, before the CMA finally accepts the Proposed Undertakings (Upfront Buyer Condition). The Parties have proposed Archer (UK) Limited<sup>19</sup> (Archer) and IKM Testing UK Ltd (IKM)<sup>20</sup> as potential purchasers of the Divestment Business. The agreement with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Which involve the supply of a long flexible pipe used to convey fluids, tools or gases into deviated or horizontal wells <sup>15</sup> Which involve the delivery of gases or liquids into the well. Pumping services can be provided as standalone or as an ancillary service with CT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. / Oz MidCo AS (Altus Intervention) merger inquiry - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The customer contracts include standalone (ie they do not cover other services other than CT and/or Pumping) and multi-service contracts (where the contracts include services other than CT and/or Pumping).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Under the Divestment Business, the Parties have also offered transitional services related to accessing BH's ["] equipment and technology/software.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Archer (UK) Limited ultimate parent company is Archer Limited, a company listed on the Oslo stock exchange.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> IKM Testing UK Ltd's ultimate parent company is IKM Gruppen AS.

either Archer or IKM, will be conditional on acceptance by the CMA of the Proposed Undertakings, including approval of Archer or IKM as the buyer of the Divestment Business.

## **CMA ASSESSMENT**

- 10. The CMA currently considers that, subject to responses to the consultation required by Schedule 10 of the Act, the Proposed Undertakings will resolve the SLC identified in the SLC Decision in a clear-cut manner, ie the CMA currently does not have material doubts about the overall effectiveness of the Proposed Undertakings or concerns about their implementation.<sup>21</sup> This is because the Proposed Undertakings would address the SLC identified in the SLC Decision by removing the overlap between the Parties in the supply of CT and Pumping in the UK. As such, the divestiture of the Divestment Business would restore the competitive constraint provided by Altus on BH (and vice versa) that would otherwise be lost following the Merger.
- 11. The CMA also considers that the Proposed Undertakings would be capable of ready implementation, because the Divestment Business comprises all of BH's CT and Pumping business assets, all of BH's existing CT and Pumping related customer and supplier contracts,<sup>22</sup> and key management and staff. In relation to customer contracts, the Divestment Business [≫]. Under the Proposed Undertakings, BH will use its best efforts to novate the parts of these multi-service contracts related to the provision of CT and Pumping to the Divestment Business and, if such consent cannot immediately be obtained, BH will subcontract the activity to the purchaser or will reimburse the purchaser with the economic value of contracts that are not novated.
- 12. While the Divestment Business will be separated from BH's other business activities in the UK, the information currently available suggests that the implementation risks involved in such a carve out are not material, and that the shared assets represent a relatively small part of the Divestment Business.
- 13. The Upfront Buyer Condition means that the CMA would accept the Proposed Undertakings only after the Parties have entered into an agreement with a proposed purchaser that the CMA considers to be suitable. The CMA currently considers that, in this case, an Upfront Buyer Condition is necessary to mitigate the composition risk (and related purchaser risk) associated with the necessary carve-out of certain assets included in the Divestment Business.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Merger Remedies (CMA87), December 2018, paragraph 3.28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Under the Proposed Undertakings, the Parties will use best efforts to partially novate BH's multi-service contracts subject to the relevant customer's consent.

## Suitability of the proposed purchaser

- 14. In approving a purchaser, the CMA's starting position is that it must be confident without undertaking a detailed investigation that the proposed purchaser will restore pre-merger levels of competition. The CMA therefore seeks to ensure that:
  - (a) The acquisition by the proposed purchaser must remedy, mitigate or prevent the SLC concerned and any adverse effect resulting from it, achieving as comprehensive a solution as is reasonable and practicable.
  - (b) The purchaser should be independent from and have no significant connection to the Parties that may compromise the purchaser's incentives to compete with the Merged Entity (eg an equity interest, common significant shareholders, shared directors, reciprocal trading relationships or continuing financial assistance). It may also be appropriate to consider links between the purchaser and other market players.
  - (c) The purchaser must have sufficient capability, including access to appropriate financial resources, expertise (including managerial, operational and technical capability) and assets to enable the divested business to be an effective competitor in the market. This access should be sufficient to enable the divestiture package to continue to develop as an effective competitor. The purchaser is reasonably expected to obtain all necessary approvals, licences and consents from any regulatory or other authority.
  - (d) The CMA will wish to satisfy itself that the purchaser is committed to, and has an appropriate business plan and objectives for competing in, the relevant market(s), and that the purchaser has the incentive and intention to maintain and operate the divested business as part of a viable and active business in competition with the merged entity and other competitors in the relevant market.
  - (e) The acquisition by the proposed purchaser does not create a realistic prospect of further competition or regulatory concerns.<sup>23</sup>
- 15. Subject to the responses to this consultation, and having regard in particular to the criteria set out in paragraph 13 above, the CMA currently considers both Archer and IKM to be suitable purchasers of the Divestment Business for the reasons set out below.

## <u>Archer</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CMA87, Chapter 5, paragraphs 5.20 to 5.27.

- 16. The CMA currently considers Archer to be a suitable purchaser of the Divestment Business for the following reasons:
  - (a) The acquisition by Archer would remedy, mitigate or prevent the SLC concerned and any adverse effect resulting from it, achieving as comprehensive a solution as is reasonable and practicable. This is because it would allow the Divestment Business to compete in the supply of CT and Pumping services to customers in the UK as an independent entity, fully replacing the competition that previously existed between BH and Altus.
  - (b) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that Archer and its related entities are independent and do not have any significant connection to the Parties or to other companies active in the supply of CT and Pumping to offshore oil and gas customers in the UK that may compromise Archer's incentives to compete against the Merged Entity if it were to acquire the Divestment Business.
  - (c) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that Archer has the appropriate financial resources, expertise (including managerial, operational and technical capability) and assets, and incentive needed to maintain and develop the Divestment Business as a viable and competitive business in competition with the Merged Entity and other competitors on an ongoing basis.
    - In relation to its relevant managerial, operational and technical expertise, Archer specialises in the supply of drilling services, well integrity & intervention, plug & abandonment and decommissioning to oil and gas customers in the UK and the North Sea.
    - (ii) In relation to its financial resources, Archer [≫] had a turnover of approximately £74.5 million for the year ended 31 December 2021. Its operating profit for the same period was £4.3 million.<sup>24</sup> On the basis of the information available to the CMA, the CMA considers that Archer has sufficient financial resources to finance the acquisition and invest in developing the competitiveness of the Divestment Business.
  - (d) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that Archer has an appropriate business plan and objectives for competing in the supply of CT and Pumping in the UK. The business plans shared by Archer with the CMA indicate that Archer has the necessary understanding of the well intervention industry, including CT and Pumping, and that it will have the necessary capability to continue to offer customers the services and support they require. According to Archer's business plan, it is committed to operating the Divestment Business and to supplying the acquired CT and Pumping business either as a standalone service or as part of an integrated offer by combining it with Archer's other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Archer Limited had a turnover of US\$936.1 million in 2021 for the year ended 31 December 2021.

service offering to oil and gas customers in the UK (eg wireline services). Archer's business plans also indicate its plan to grow the Divestment Businesss a viable competitor to the Merged Entity in the supply of CT and Pumping in the UK.<sup>25</sup>

- (e) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that the acquisition of the Divestment Business by Archer will not create a realistic prospect of further competition concerns, as Archer does not supply CT and Pumping to customers in the UK nor the North Sea and is unconnected to any companies which do.
- 17. Therefore, subject to responses to this consultation, the CMA currently considers Archer to be a suitable purchaser of the Divestment Business.

#### <u>IKM</u>

- 18. The CMA currently considers IKM to be a suitable purchaser of the Divestment Business for the following reasons:
  - (a) The acquisition by IKM would remedy, mitigate or prevent the SLC concerned and any adverse effect resulting from it, achieving as comprehensive a solution as is reasonable and practicable. This is because it would allow the Divestment Business to compete in the supply of CT and Pumping services to customers in the UK as an independent entity, fully replacing the competition that previously existed between BH and Altus.
  - (b) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that IKM and related entities are independent and do not have any significant connection to the Parties or to other companies active in the supply of CT and Pumping to offshore oil and gas customers in the UK that may compromise IKM's incentives to compete against the Merged Entity if it were to acquire the Divestment Business.
  - (c) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that IKM has the appropriate financial resources, expertise (including managerial, operational and technical capability) and assets, and incentive needed to maintain and develop the Divestment Business as a viable and competitive business in competition with the Merged Entity and other competitors on an ongoing basis.
    - In relation to its relevant managerial, operational and technical expertise, IKM provides a wide range of integrated services to the oil and gas industry in the UK and the North Sea, including pre-commissioning, commissioning, operational and de-commissioning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Archer's submission dated 6 January 2023.

- (ii) In relation to its financial resources IKM had a turnover of approximately £23.7million in the year ended 31 December 2021. Its operating profit for the same period was £2.1 million.<sup>26</sup> On the basis of the information available to the CMA, the CMA considers that IKM has sufficient financial resources to finance the acquisition and invest in developing the competitiveness of the Divestment Business.
- (d) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that IKM has an appropriate business plan and objectives for competing in the supply of CT and Pumping in the UK. The business plans shared by IKM with the CMA indicate that IKM has the necessary understanding of the well intervention industry, including CT and Pumping, and that it will have the necessary capability to continue to offer customers the services and support they require. According to IKM's business plan, it is committed to operating the Divestment Business and to supplying the acquired CT and Pumping business as part of its existing Wells Services Business. IKM's business plans also indicate a desire to grow the acquired CT and pumping business as a viable competitor to the Merged Entity in the supply of CT and Pumping in the UK.<sup>27</sup>
- (e) The evidence available to the CMA indicates that the acquisition of the Divestment Business by IKM will not create a realistic prospect of further competition concerns. IKM does not appear to impose any meaningful competitive constraint on the Parties for the supply of CT and Pumping. In particular:
  - (i) IKM is not present in CT.
  - (ii) As regards Pumping, the CMA found in its SLC Decision that this market was highly concentrated, with the Parties' combined share of supply accounting for the vast majority of the market and with IKM (as the third largest supplier in the UK) holding a [10-20]% share of supply.<sup>28</sup>
  - (iii) As part of the purchaser approval process, the CMA found that the share of supply attributed to IKM in the SLC Decision was overstated, as a result of erroneous information provided by third parties, and that its share of supply is significantly lower (at [0-5%]).
  - (iv) While the updated share of supply data does not alter the substance of the CMA's finding in relation to the supply of pumping in the UK (given that the SLC Decision noted that other suppliers would not exert a sufficient competitive constraint on the Merged Entity, individually or in aggregate), the CMA notes that the SLC decision overstates the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> IKM Gruppen AS had a turnover of MNOK 4,290 (approximately £360 million) for the year ended 31 December 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> IKM's submission 6 January 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> SLC Decision, Table 3.

competitive significance of IKM, which is not the third-largest supplier in the market and has a market presence that is similar to the other smaller suppliers identified in that decision. Accordingly, taking account of the updated share of supply data, in conjunction with the rest of the available evidence, the CMA considers that the acquisition of the Divestment Business by IKM would not create further competition concerns.

19. Therefore, subject to responses to this consultation, the CMA currently considers IKM to be a suitable purchaser of the Divestment Business.

## Proposed decision and next steps

- 20. For the reasons set out above, the CMA currently considers that the Proposed Undertakings and the purchase of the Divestment Business by either Archer or IKM are, in the circumstances of this case, appropriate to remedy, mitigate or prevent the competition concerns identified in the SLC Decision and form as comprehensive a solution to these concerns as is reasonable and practicable.
- 21. The CMA therefore gives notice that it proposes to accept the Proposed Undertakings in lieu of a reference of the Transaction for a phase 2 investigation. The text of the proposed undertaking is available on the CMA web pages.<sup>29</sup>
- 22. Before reaching a decision as to whether to accept the Proposed Undertakings, the CMA invites interested parties to make their views known to it. The CMA will have regard to any representations made in response to this consultation and may make modifications to the Proposed Undertakings as a result. If the CMA considers that any representation necessitates any material change to the Proposed Undertakings, the CMA will give notice of the proposed modifications and publish a further consultation.<sup>30</sup>
- 23. Representations should be made in writing to the CMA, preferably by email, addressed to:

Rafia Saif

Email: Rafia.Saif@cma.gov.uk

Telephone: 020 3738 6932

Deadline for comments: 1 February 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. / Oz MidCo AS (Altus Intervention) merger inquiry - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Under paragraph 2(4) of Schedule 10 to the Act.

# ANNEX B

# NOTICE UNDER PARAGRAPH 2(4) OF SCHEDULE 10 TO THE ENTERPRISE ACT 2002 (THE ACT) – CONSULTATION ON MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED UNDERTAKINGS IN LIEU OF REFERENCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 73 OF THE ACT

#### ME/7007/22

# **INTRODUCTION**

 On 17 January 2023, the CMA issued a Notice of Consultation on the Proposed Undertakings to address the competition concerns identified in its SLC Decision of 22 November 2022. The terms defined in the Notice of Consultation have the same meaning in this Notice.

# THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS

- The CMA proposes to modify the Proposed Undertakings by adding Baker Hughes Company (the ultimate parent company of Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V.) to the entities giving and signing the undertakings and by amending the text of Schedule 1 paragraph 1(b). The undertakings as modified are referred to as the Modified Undertakings.
- 3. On 1 February 2023, the CMA received a submission from one customer in response to its Notice of Consultation (the **Response**).<sup>31</sup>
- 4. In its Response, this customer made the following comments:
  - (a) The provision in Schedule 1, paragraph 1(b) of the Proposed Undertakings should be modified. According to this provision, BH would subcontract activity or reimburse the Proposed Purchaser with the economic value of customer contracts if customer consent was not granted immediately. This third party submitted that a requirement to obtain immediate customer consent was impractical and could put a customer in a difficult and compromised position should such consent not be obtained immediately, in particular because it takes some time for a customer to understand and evaluate the Proposed Purchaser's intentions and capabilities.
  - (b) The Proposed Undertakings should be modified to broaden the definition of "Key Staff" in the Proposed Undertakings to cover for the technical staff;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Customer response to CMA Consultation on Proposed Undertakings in lieu of reference of 1 February 2023.

- (c) The Proposed Undertakings should be modified to expressly provide that the purchaser will be given by BH the global technical support it requires;
- (d) The Proposed Undertakings should be modified to include express provisions that cover all staff training relevant for the operation of the Divestment Business;
- (e) The Proposed Undertakings should contain an express provision in the UILs that the customer is free to switch to an alternative provider, with no adverse consequences in relation to the services provided by BH for such period as may be necessary whilst an alternative provider is appointed and installed; and
- (f) The Proposed Undertakings should be modified to include a requirement for BH to report to the CMA on its compliance with the undertakings.
- 5. In response to this feedback, and following discussions with the CMA, BH has offered to address the concern set out in paragraph 4(a) above. BH has committed to seek to obtain such consent from customers without delay and to give customers necessary information about the purchaser to assist customers in making an informed decision. These changes to the wording in Schedule 1 paragraph 1(b) of the Proposed Undertakings make clearer that the customer will not be pressured to 'immediately' give consent to the transfer of the relevant part of its contract and will have access to necessary information to assess the capabilities of the Proposed Purchaser. This change also mitigates the risk that a customer would refuse consent as a result of a lack of information or time to consider the request.
- 6. The other modification to the Proposed Undertakings refers to the addition of Baker Hughes Company as one of the companies giving and signing the Modified Undertakings. The CMA considers this addition is appropriate and necessary given that Baker Hughes Company is the ultimate parent company of Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V..
- 7. The CMA currently considers that no other modifications to the Proposed Undertakings are necessary to address the concerns raised in the Response to the Notice of Consultation:
  - (a) The definition of 'Key Staff' in the Proposed Undertakings is sufficiently broad to cover all the relevant staff whose performance affects the viability of the Divestment Business. Furthermore, in the Asset Purchase Agreement agreed between the Proposed Purchaser and BH, as conditionally approved by the CMA, the has put in place retention mechanisms aimed at ensuring the transfer of the Key Staff;
  - (b) This customers' concerns in relation to the level of training and overall support to be provided by BH are addressed by provisions the Proposed Purchaser has agreed with BH in the TSA, as approved by the CMA;

- (c) In the context of clear-cut structural remedies, the CMA does not typically require regular reporting on compliance. In particular, the contractual mechanisms agreed between the parties and the incentives of the purchaser to monitor and report any instance of non-compliance reduce any risk that the CMA would not become aware of any possible non-compliance with the Proposed Undertakings. In the event of non-compliance, the Proposed Undertakings provide the CMA with powers to direct the Parties to take steps to secure compliance with the Proposed Undertakings.
- (d) The Proposed Undertakings do not prevent a customer from terminating its contract with BH and switching to an alternative purchaser and the consequences of termination are those contractually agreed by BH and each of its customers and are independent of the Proposed Undertakings.
- 8. For the reasons set out in the Notice of Consultation, dated 17 January 2023, the CMA currently believes that the Modified Undertakings are clear-cut and capable of ready implementation and are, in the circumstances of this case, appropriate to remedy, mitigate or prevent the concerns identified in the SLC Decision and form as comprehensive a solution to these concerns as is reasonable and practicable.
- 9. The text of the Modified Undertakings has now been published on the case page.

# PROPOSED DECISION AND NEXT STEPS

- 10. For the reasons set out in the Notice of Consultation of 17 January 2023, the CMA currently considers that the Modified Undertakings are, in the circumstances of this case, appropriate to remedy, mitigate or prevent the competition concerns identified in the SLC Decision and form as comprehensive a solution to these concerns as is reasonable and practicable.
- 11. The CMA therefore gives notice that it proposes to accept the Modified Undertakings in lieu of a reference of the Merger for a phase 2 investigation. The text of the Modified Undertakings is available on the CMA web pages.<sup>32</sup>
- 12. Before reaching a decision as to whether to accept the Modified Undertakings, the CMA invites interested parties to make their views known to it. The CMA will have regard to any representations made in response to this consultation and may make modifications to the Modified Undertakings as a result. If the CMA considers that any representation necessitates any material change to the Modified Undertakings, the CMA will give notice of the proposed modifications and publish a further consultation.<sup>33</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See <u>Baker Hughes Nederland Holdings B.V. / Oz MidCo AS (Altus Intervention) merger inquiry - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Under paragraph 2(4) of Schedule 10 to the Act.

13. Representations should be made in writing to the CMA and be addressed to:

Rafia Saif Mergers Group Competition and Markets Authority The Cabot 25 Cabot Square London E14 4QZ

Email: <u>Rafia.Saif@cma.gov.uk</u> Telephone: 020 3738 6932

Deadline for comments: 24 February 2023