



Animal &  
Plant Health  
Agency

# **United Kingdom Variety Lists / Plant Breeders' Rights Technical Protocol for Official Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability (DUS)**

Parsnip

*Pastinaca sativa* L.

December 2022

## Contents

Section A - General Information.....	1
1 Purpose	1
2 Scope 1	
3 Responsibilities	1
4 Non Compliance with the Protocol	2
5 Responsibility for GM Releases	2
6 Procedures for GM Varieties	2
7 Associated Documents	4
Section B - Application Requirements.....	5
1 Purpose	5
2 Scope 5	
3 Responsibilities	5
4 Receipt of Applications	5
5 Receipt of Seed	5
6 Seed Quality Requirements	6
7 Seed Quantity	6
8 Labelling Requirements, Including Provisions for GM Varieties	7
Section C – Growing Test Procedures.....	8
1 Purpose	8
2 Scope 8	
3 Responsibilities	8
4 Reference Varieties	8
5 Design of Tests	8
6 Records and Recording	10
7 Communication with the Applicant	10
Section D - Summary of DUS Characteristics to be Assessed, Method of Assessment and Standards Applied.....	11
1 Purpose	11

2	Scope	11	
3	Responsibilities	11	
4	Organisation	11	
5	DUS Characteristics to be Assessed	12	
5.2	Parsnip Characteristics Routinely Recorded in DUS Tests	13	
5.3	Previously Approved Characteristics Not Routinely Recorded in DUS Tests	18	
5.4	New Additional DUS Characteristics	19	

Section E - Reference Seed Stock Maintenance .....	20
1 Purpose	20
2 Scope	20
3 Responsibilities	20
4 Procedures for Reference Seed Stock Maintenance	20
5 Procedures for the Inclusion of New Common Knowledge Varieties into the Reference Collection	21
6 Release of Reference Samples for Authorised Purposes	21
Section F- Procedures for Assessment of New Additional DUS Characters .....	22
1 Purpose	22
2 Scope	22
3 Responsibilities	22
4 Reference Varieties	22
5 Procedures	22
Section G - Procedures for DUS Decisions.....	23
1 Purpose	23
2 Scope	23
3 Responsibilities	23
4 Reference Varieties	23
5 Distinctness	23
6 Uniformity	24
7 Stability	25
8 DUS Report and Variety Description	25
Appendix 1 – Reference Collection Varieties .....	27
1 National Listing and Plant Breeders Rights	27

# Section A - General Information

## 1 Purpose

1.1 This protocol sets out the procedures for conducting tests and assessments in relation to official examinations of DUS and maintenance of reference stocks of Parsnip entered for Variety Listing (VL) Trials and/or Plant Breeders' Rights (PBR).

## 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa* L.). Special procedures and responsibilities for Genetically Modified (GM) varieties are set out in Sections A5 and A6.

2.2 Except where specified in this protocol or authorised by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), Plant Varieties and Seeds, only Variety List candidates, Plant Breeders' Rights candidates, candidates for Foreign Authorities and the reference varieties may be incorporated in the DUS tests.

## 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The growing tests and assessments in this protocol are carried out under the responsibility of the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish Ministers, Welsh Ministers and the Minister for Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs in Northern Ireland (The National Authorities).

3.2 They are supervised, on behalf of the National Authorities, by officials of the Testing Authorities: APHA; the Scottish Government (SG); the Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA); and the Welsh Government (WG).

3.3 This protocol is authorised by the Plant Variety and Seeds Committee (PVSC). It cannot be amended without its approval. Requests and suggestions for amendment of the protocol should be put in writing to APHA or the Test Centre.

3.4 The procedures are administered by:

Plant Variety Rights Office for the UK

The Animal and Plant Health Agency

Eastbrook

Shaftesbury Road

Cambridge

CB2 8DR

Email: [pvs.helpdesk@apha.gov.uk](mailto:pvs.helpdesk@apha.gov.uk)

### 3.5 Test Centre

The DUS growing tests and assessments in this protocol are co-ordinated and carried out by the:

Vegetable DUS Test Centre

SASA

Roddinglaw Road

Edinburgh

Tel No 0131-244 8890

EH12 9FJ

Fax No 0131-244 8940

3.6 The Test Centre is responsible for providing the appropriate facilities.

## 4 Non Compliance with the Protocol

4.1 Where the protocol uses the word “must” for any action then failure to carry out this action will result in non-compliance. Where non-compliance occurs or there are concerns regarding the validity of any data or tests this must be reported to APHA. Where this protocol uses the word “should” for any action this is the method to be followed unless there are clear technical reasons not to do so which can be justified by the Test Centre.

## 5 Responsibility for GM Releases

5.1 GM Release Consent Holders are responsible for GM releases. All parties involved in DUS work operating under a GM Release Consent must adhere to the instructions of the Release Consent where necessary, to comply with the relevant consent conditions. Where DUS protocol non-compliance occurs, this must be reported to the consent holder and the Test Centre will notify APHA.

## 6 Procedures for GM Varieties

6.1 Applicants intending to enter GM candidates must consult APHA well in advance of their application, about specific requirements under GM regulations.

6.2 The Test Centre must ensure that no test or trial sites are planted with GM candidates and/or varieties until APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds has given the specific clearances.

## 7 Associated Documents

7.1 The following documents are associated with this protocol

Reference	Title
UPOV TG/1/3	General Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonised Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants 19.04.2002.
UPOV TGP/8/4	Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability. 01.11.2019
UPOV TWC/26/14	An adjustment to the COYD method when varieties are grouped within the DUS trial. 01.08.2008
UPOV TGP/9/2	Examining Distinctness. 29.10.2015
UPOV TGP/10/2	Examining Uniformity. 01.11.2019
UPOV TG/218/2	Guidelines for the Conduct of Tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability, Parsnip ( <i>Pastinaca sativa</i> L.). Published: 28.03.2012
GB and NI Variety Lists	The Seeds (National Lists of Varieties) Regulations 2001 (as amended) and The Seeds (Variety Lists) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2020
Plant Varieties Act 1997	Plant Breeders' Rights Regulations 1998 and Plant Varieties Act 1997
Plant Breeders' Rights 2019	The Plant Breeders' Rights (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 as amended by The Animal Health, Invasive Alien Species, Plant Breeders' Rights and Seeds (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 and The Plant Breeders' Rights (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020



## Section B - Application Requirements

### 1 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this section is to identify the specific requirements for Variety Listing and/or Plant Breeders' Rights applications, as appropriate.

### 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to all applications.

### 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The applicants are responsible for ensuring that these procedures are complied with.

### 4 Receipt of Applications

4.1 The latest date for receipt of applications for Variety Listing and/or for Plant Breeders' Rights is stated on the GOV website (<https://www.gov.uk/national-lists-of-agricultral-and-vegetable-crops>).

4.2 The procedures for the submission of Variety Lists and Plant Breeders' Rights applications, Technical Questionnaires (TQs) and for payment of administration fees can be obtained from APHA PVS at the address shown in Section A or on the GOV website at <https://www.gov.uk/national-lists-of-agricultural-and-vegetable-crops>

4.3 Applicants should note in the TQ submitted with the application, any additional characteristics which may require examinations that are listed in the DUS characteristics section D, 5.2 or 5.3 (an additional fee may be required).

### 5 Receipt of Seed

5.1 The latest date for receipt of seed is stated in the Seed Gazette. In the absence of exceptional circumstances, seed submissions received after this date will be refused. Instructions for the delivery of seed will be made available to applicants by APHA (<https://www.gov.uk/national-lists-of-agricultural-and-vegetable-crops>).

## 6 Seed Quality Requirements

6.1 The seed must satisfy the certification requirements for Basic Seed as laid down in the seed marketing legislation of the Devolved Administrations.

### 6.2 Germination and Purity

Minimum germination	65%
---------------------	-----

Minimum purity	95%
----------------	-----

Maximum of other crop species	
-------------------------------	--

(% by weight)	1.0
---------------	-----

Health

Free from serious pests and diseases

Condition

Unpelleted and free from any chemical treatment

6.3 The seed must not be chemically treated. Seed treatment, where appropriate, will be undertaken by the Test Centre. The chemicals applied and rates of application will be determined by the Test Centre.

## 7 Seed Quantity

### 7.1 First Test cycle

3,000 or 10,000 seeds

### Second Test cycle

7,000 if 3,000 seeds were provided in the 1<sup>st</sup> test cycle

No seed if 10,000 seeds were provided in the 1<sup>st</sup> test cycle

Where a second sample has been provided, it will be authenticated against the original submission. An additional charge will be applied.

### 7.2 Shortfall in Seed Quantities

Where insufficient seed is available in the first instance a further stock must be supplied in the following year which will be authenticated against the original submission. An additional charge may be applied. This must be agreed in advanced with APHA and the test centre.

## 8 Labelling Requirements, Including Provisions for GM Varieties

8.1 Applicants must clearly label their seed with the following information:

- Applicant
- AFP Number (if known)
- Breeder's Reference number or name
- Quantity of seed

8.2 All packages of GM material must be labelled clearly as "GMO" or "Genetically Modified Organism".

## Section C – Growing Test Procedures

### 1 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this section is to provide details of the procedures used in the growing tests for DUS analysis.

### 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa* L.).

### 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for conducting these procedures.

3.2 The Test Centre will be responsible for ensuring that no material supplied to it is used for any other purpose than the conduct of these procedures or the release of reference samples for authorised purposes. (See Section E7)

### 4 Reference Varieties

4.1 The principles governing the selection of reference varieties are set out in Appendix 1.

4.2 Seed of reference varieties will be supplied by the DUS Test Centre.

### 5 Design of Tests

5.1 The DUS Test Centre is responsible for selecting a suitable site which should be on ground that has normally not had an Umbelliferous crop in the previous five years but may be less where it has been determined the risk is negligible.

5.2 Field husbandry should follow best practice for all operations and particularly as regards cultivation, drilling, transplanting, fertiliser and spray application, use of irrigation and control of pests and diseases.

5.3 The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles. The National List and Seeds Committee (NLSC) must be informed on any proposed changes to the number of cycles.

5.4 From information given in the Technical Questionnaire the candidate variety may be grown in plots and compared with varieties which are in the same classification for the following characters:

UPOV characteristics that could be used for grouping

Root: length (characteristic 14)

Root: width (characteristic 15)

Root: shape (characteristic 17)

5.5 Varieties known to be clearly different from the candidate on any other discontinuous or continuous characteristic may be excluded from the trial. If this exclusion is based on a characteristic which is not listed in Section D 5.2, approval by the NLSC must be sought, See Section F for further information on additional characteristics.

5.6 The tests are carried out using a grouped design, with a plot of each candidate and close control variety present in each replicate as follows:

Number of replications	4
Number of rows per plot	3
Spacing between plot rows	0.35 m
Plot length	4m
Number of plants per replicate	120 approx.
Hence, number of plants per variety	at least 480 approx.
Plant spacing	10cm (approx.)

Groups are randomised and varieties are randomised within groups.

5.7 Seed is sown in the field in between late April to late May according to a plan produced by the Test Centre and thinned to a stand to achieve the plant number per plot as indicated in 5.5 above. Varieties are coded by the Test Centre.

5.8 Any candidate with distinctness problems in the first test cycle may be grown side by side with their close controls in the second or third test cycles.

5.9 Recordings are taken on each trial from approximately 12 weeks after sowing until harvest maturity stage. Characters recorded are listed in Section D.

## 6 Records and Recording

6.1 All records and plot data should be in a form determined and validated by the Test Centre.

6.2 Characters, recording details and instructions are given in Section D. Any variant and abnormal plants or plants resulting from an adverse reaction to husbandry practice are recorded but excluded from analysis.

6.3 In the first recording cycle, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.2, are recorded on all candidates and their close controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess the uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

6.4 In the second recording cycle, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.2, are recorded on all candidates and their controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and, together with those from the first test cycle, used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess the uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

6.5 If a third test cycle is necessary, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.2, are recorded on all candidates and their close controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and, together with those from the first and second test cycles, are used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess the uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

6.6 If the Test Centre notices unusual or novel characters in candidate varieties they must be noted, and a photographic record taken.

## 7 Communication with the Applicant

7.1 The Test Centre will notify the applicant or the agent of any DUS problems at the earliest practical opportunity as they arise during the test. All such notifications must be copied to APHA.

7.2 In the case of distinctness, problems, if confidentiality considerations allow, the applicant should be informed which variety is not distinct and be invited to submit any information which may help to distinguish them.

7.3 If DUS problems arise, applicants will be invited to visit the DUS tests by arrangement so that the material can be examined (if appropriate) and discussions held with the Test Centre.

7.4 After each recording cycle the results are summarised and reported by the Test Centre to APHA who will inform the applicant or foreign test authorities as appropriate.

# Section D - Summary of DUS Characteristics to be Assessed, Method of Assessment and Standards Applied

## 1 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this section is to summarise the characteristics to be assessed.

## 2 Scope

2.1 This section summarises characteristics, states of expression, methods of observation and standards required for DUS assessment.

## 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for co-ordinating the procedures in this summary of characteristics.

## 4 Organisation

4.1 The minimum duration of tests to assess characteristics is normally two independent growing cycles. Shorter periods may be applied for the assessment of additional characteristics. Proposed changes to the number of growing cycles must be approved by the NLSC.

## 5 DUS Characteristics to be Assessed

### 5.1 Routine Characteristics

The following table summarises the DUS characteristics to be routinely examined.

Note:

\* a characteristic which must be examined according to UPOV Guidelines.

G a grouping characteristic

Type of observation of characteristics:

MG Single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants

MS Measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants

VG Visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants

VS Visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants



## 5.2 Parsnip Characteristics Routinely Recorded in DUS Tests

UPOV TG/218/2 2012	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
1  QN VG	12	<b>Foliage: attitude</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = erect 2 = erect to semi erect 3 = semi erect 4 = semi erect to prostrate 5 = prostrate	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
2  QN VG	03	<b>Foliage: intensity of green colour</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = light 5 = medium 7 = dark	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
3  QN VG	31	<b>Foliage: glossiness</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = weak 5 = medium 7 = strong	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
4  QN VG/MS	16	<b>Foliage: width of basal leaves at crown</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
5  QN VG	30	<b>Foliage: blistering</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = weak 5 = medium 7 = strong	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment

UPOV TG/218/2 2012	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
* 6  QN VG/MS	04	<b>Leaf: length</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
* 7  QN VG/MS	05	<b>Leaf: width</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
8  QN MS	06	<b>Leaf: distance from widest point to tip</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
9  QN VG	39	<b>Leaflet: division</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = weak 5 = medium 7 = strong	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
10  QN VG	10	<b>Leaflet: dentation of margin</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = weak 5 = medium 7 = strong	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment

UPOV TG/218/2 2012	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
11  QN VG/MS	45	<b>Leaflet: size</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = small 5 = medium 7 = large	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
12  QN VG	13	<b>Petiole: intensity of anthocyanin coloration</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = weak 5 = medium 7 = strong	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
*13  QN VG/MS	11	<b>Petiole: length</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
*14G  QN VG/MS	20	<b>Root: length</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
*15G  QN VG/MS	21	<b>Root: width</b>	DUS plot or Single plants	At least 200, or 60, plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score or measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	Visual Assessment  or for measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%

UPOV TG/218/2 2012	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
16  QN MS	23	<b>Root: distance from widest point to crown</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples  COYU @ 0.1%
*17G  PQ VG	42	<b>Root: shape</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = narrow obtriangular 2 = medium obtriangular 3 = broad obtriangular 4 = medium obovate 5 = broad obovate 6 = napiform	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
*18  QN VG	28	<b>Root: depth of crown depression</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = absent or very shallow 3 = shallow 5 = medium 7 = deep	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
19  QN VG	40	<b>Root: width of crown depression</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = absent or very narrow 3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
20  PQ VG	43	<b>Root: external colour</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = white 2 = whitish cream 3 = cream	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
21  QN VG	44	<b>Root: surface</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = very smooth 3 = smooth 5 = medium 7 = rough 9 = very rough	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment

UPOV TG/218/2 2012	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
22  QN VG/MS	25	<b>Root: core width</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
23  QL VG	61	<b>Male sterility</b>	20 single plants	At least 20 plants in total	Visual observation on single plants in 2 replicates	1 = absent 9 = present	Clear visual difference or 1 state	Visual Assessment

## 5.3 Previously Approved Characteristics Not Routinely Recorded in DUS Tests

The following table summarises characteristics which have been approved by the NLSC and can be examined at the request of the applicant where necessary to establish Distinctness. A fee may be charged for examination of these characteristics as advised by APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds.

UPOV	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
N/A	9 QN MS	<b>Leaf: number of leaflets</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = few 5 = medium 7 = many	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	18 QN MS	<b>Root: widest point to the base of the crown depression</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	22 QN	<b>Ratio: root length to maximum width</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Derived from single plant data recorded for UPOV characters 15 & 16	3 = low 5 = medium 7 = high	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	29 QN	<b>Ratio: root width to core width</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Derived from single plant data recorded for UPOV characters 21 & 25	3 = low 5 = medium 7 = high	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%

UPOV	UK	Character	Material examined	Number of plants or sample size for assessment	Method of assessment and recording	States of expression	D Method and Minimum distance required	U Method and Standard applied
N/A	33 QN MS	<b>Leaf: length of lowest lobe (right hand side)</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = short 5 = medium 7 = long	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	34 QN MS	<b>Leaf: width of lowest lobe (right hand side)</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	37 QN MS	<b>Petiole width</b>	Single plants	At least 60 plants in total from 4 replicates	Measurement of a sample of single plants	3 = narrow 5 = medium 7 = broad	COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%
N/A	46 PQ VG	<b>Root: internal colour</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	1 = white 2 = whitish cream 3 = cream	Clear visual difference or 2 states	Visual Assessment
N/A	48 QN VG	<b>Root: secondary roots</b>	DUS plot	At least 200 plants in total from 4 replicates	Visual observation or visual score	3 = few 5 = medium 7 = many	Clear visual difference or 2 states or COYD @ 5%	For measured samples COYU @ 0.1%

## 5.4 New Additional DUS Characteristics

Applicants can suggest new additional characters on the Technical Questionnaire for testing DUS or after notification by the DUS Test Centre of distinctness problems. (For procedures see Section F).

# Section E - Reference Seed Stock Maintenance

## 1 Purpose

1.1. This section sets out the procedures for reference seed stock maintenance and VCU seed stock authentication (if applicable).

## 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to all reference collection varieties.

## 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for conducting these procedures.

## 4 Procedures for Reference Seed Stock Maintenance

4.1 The seed sample submitted with the successful or pending application is considered to be the definitive stock of the variety. Subject to meeting the required certification standards a small portion of the seed is sown for observation and measurement. The remainder is stored under controlled and monitored storage conditions as part of the official reference collection.

4.2 If during the normal tests there is any evidence that a seed stock is deteriorating in storage, or that stocks have low quantity, a request will be made to the maintainer asking for a replacement stock of the variety. This replacement stock must be authenticated, by comparing plots established from the replacement seed with that of the definitive seed, over a maximum of two recording cycles.

4.3 If the replacement seed sample cannot be visually distinguished from the definitive reference stock, it will be accepted as representing the variety. If there are visual differences, the new sample will be recorded, and will be accepted as representing the variety if there are no significant ( $P=0.05$ ) differences in the first recording cycle, or no significant ( $P=0.05$ ) differences over two recording cycles in a COYD analysis (see associated document UPOV TGP/8/1 for details). It may then be accepted as definitive and substituted for the existing definitive stock in the reference collection. These procedures may be modified, where, in the opinion of the technical officer, differences are the result of environmental or cultural factors.

4.4 A replacement sample or an additional replacement sample will be considered sufficiently uniform after one recording cycle, if the level of off-types is the same or less than the number at 1% population standard and 95% acceptance probability, and the standard deviations of the measured characters are not significantly greater at the 0.1% ( $P=0.001$ ) significance level than that of the mean standard deviations of the control varieties. Over 2 recording cycles the additional



replacement sample will be considered sufficiently uniform if the Combined Over Years Uniformity (COYU) analysis (see associated document UPOV TGP/8/1 for details) is not significantly greater at the 0.1% ( $P=0.001$ ) significance level than that of the reference varieties. These procedures may be modified, where, in the opinion of the technical officer, differences are the result of environmental or cultural factors.

4.5 In the event of the replacement sample not meeting the required acceptance standards, an additional replacement sample is requested. Plots will be established from any additional replacement seed sample and compared over a maximum of two recording cycles. If the additional replacement sample does not meet the acceptance criteria set out in 4.3, the variety will be deleted from the reference collection and the Variety Lists reviewed.

## **5 Procedures for the Inclusion of New Common Knowledge Varieties into the Reference Collection**

5.1 When a new variety enters into common knowledge, it must be included in the reference collection if seed is available. A request for seed will be sent by the Test Centre to the maintainer of the variety and an official description will be requested from the Testing Authority which registered the variety. If an official description is provided, seed received will be assumed to be definitive if the sample conforms to the official description. Small differences in the expression of quantitative characteristics are likely to be the result of recording in a different environment and will be considered as conforming to the description. If no official description is available, seed will be assumed to be definitive.

5.2 If the seed does not conform to the official description, a request for definitive seed will be sent to the Testing Authority that added the variety to its Variety List or granted Plant Breeders' Rights. This seed will then be used to validate the sample of seed from the maintainer. The standards for this validation will be as for authentication of replacement (see Section E4).

## **6 Release of Reference Samples for Authorised Purposes**

6.1 Seed of reference samples can be supplied by the Test Centre, on request, to UK and UPOV DUS Testing Authorities and UK and OECD Seed Certification Agencies, provided the recipient is notified in writing that this material, or any material derived from it, must not be supplied to a third party or used for any other purpose than as a reference for official DUS testing or seed certification.

6.2 Provision of reference samples, other than in 6.1, to any other parties must be authorised by APHA.

# Section F- Procedures for Assessment of New Additional DUS Characters

## 1 Purpose

1.1 This section sets out the procedures for assessment of new additional DUS characters for varieties of Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa* L.) entered for Variety Listing and/or Plant Breeders' Rights trials.

## 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to applications where additional DUS characteristics, which have been previously approved by the NLSC, are requested for use in the examination of DUS.

## 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for liaising with the applicant to produce a proposed procedure for the conduct of new tests. This procedure must ensure that Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability requirements will be met.

3.2 All new additional characteristics must be authorised by the NLSC in consultation with the PVSC.

## 4 Reference Varieties

4.1 The reference varieties must include varieties from which the candidate variety is not distinct, as well as other varieties for control purposes.

4.2 Appendix I sets out which varieties are considered as reference varieties for these procedures.

4.3 Seed of reference varieties will be supplied by the Test Centre.

## 5 Procedures

5.1 Details of the proposed special test or assessments will be submitted to the NLSC.

5.2 The NLSC may commission a test or trial to further investigate a proposal. The applicant will be advised by APHA of arrangements and costs.

5.3 Where the test for a character is approved by the NLSC it should be subsequently listed in Section D5.2 or 5.3 as appropriate.

# Section G - Procedures for DUS Decisions

## 1 Purpose

1.1 This section sets out the standards used to assess distinctness, uniformity and stability of varieties of Parsnip.

## 2 Scope

2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa* L.) entered for Variety Listing and/or Plant Breeders' Rights tests and those being tested for Foreign Authorities.

## 3 Responsibilities

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for applying the criteria for DUS, set out in this procedure.

3.2 The Test Centre is responsible for producing the DUS report in accordance with these procedures and for ensuring that they are in accordance with UPOV guidelines.

## 4 Reference Varieties

4.1 Appendix I sets out which varieties are considered as reference varieties for these procedures.

## 5 Distinctness

5.1 In accordance with associated document UPOV TG/1/3, varieties can be considered distinct where they have a different expression in a grouping character.

5.2 Distinctness is normally assessed in two independent test cycles, but a candidate variety could be considered to be distinct after one test cycle if there are no similar varieties. A third independent test cycle may be undertaken if distinctness is not established after two test cycles.

5.3 In accordance with associated document UPOV TG/1/3 varieties can be considered distinct where they have a different expression in a grouping character.

5.4 The distinctness standard applied for qualitative characters is a difference of one state, unless otherwise indicated in Section D. For pseudo-qualitative characters the distinctness standard is a difference of 1, 2 or 3 states, depending on the characteristic.

5.5 If a candidate is clearly different in a visually observed quantitative character, it is considered to be distinct, without the need for a repeated observation.

5.6 Where varieties are grown in close proximity under the same conditions, and a direct comparison can be made, a candidate is considered to be distinct if a clear visual difference is observed in a quantitative character.

5.7 Where varieties are not grown in close proximity, a candidate is considered to be distinct if a difference of two states (see table in section D 5.2 and D 5.3) is recorded in a visually observed quantitative character.

The standard for measured or counted quantitative characters, is, at least, a 5% ( $P=0.05$ ) significant difference in one character over two or three growing cycles in a Combined Over Years Distinctness (COYD) analysis. Please see associated document UPOV TGP/8/1 for details.

5.8 Where COYD cannot be applied, alternative methods should be considered.

5.9.1 When the number of varieties grown does not provide sufficient degrees of freedom for use of the standard COYD analysis, alternative methods should be adopted. If there is sufficient historical data (at least 5 years and sufficient degrees of freedom) then the long-term LSD is applied. This LSD is calculated using up to 10 years of the most recent data. If there is insufficient historical data, the 2 x 1% method should be used.

5.9.2 Where the candidate has a full complement of data for two test cycles, but there is only data for control varieties for one test cycle, the use of FITC (Fitted Constant program in DUST) may be applied. This situation may arise due to the loss of plant material within plots in any one year or where suitable control varieties were not grown in both test cycles. The standard applied for Distinctness in such cases is  $P=0.01$ .

## 6 Uniformity

6.1 Uniformity is assessed for all characteristics used to establish distinctness.

### Uniformity based on the assessment of 'Off-types'

6.2 The assessment of 'off-types' is undertaken in both test cycles and the total number of 'off-types' combined should not exceed the number allowed using the population standards.

6.3 Off-type plants in the glasshouse or field are identified and marked for exclusion from recording.

6.4 For cross-pollinated varieties, the assessment of uniformity should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the UPOV-General Introduction to DUS. [CPVO-TP/218/2, 27/02/2013 – 4.2 Uniformity (a)]

6.5 For the assessment of uniformity for single cross hybrids and self-pollinated varieties (inbred lines), a population standard of 2% and an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 200 plants, 7 off-types are allowed. In the case of a sample size of 30 plants, 2 off-types are allowed. [CPVO-TP/218/2, 27/02/2013 – 4.2 Uniformity (b)]

6.6 Providing that the number of off-types in the first test cycle does not exceed the maximum permitted number of off-types for two test cycles, then applicant may submit a new seed sample (Resubmission) in the second test cycle with the aim of meeting the off-type standard. Distinctness will be assessed on data from the original seed submitted in the first test cycle and on data from the resubmitted seed in the second test cycle. The resubmitted seed will be authenticated against the original seed in side-by-side plots

6.7 After the variants have been excluded, the characteristics listed in Section D5 are used to assess the uniformity of the remaining plants, according to the methods described.

Uniformity based on the assessment of general variation where no measurements are recorded:

6.8 Uniformity of continuous variation (quantitative characters) is assessed visually according to the following scale:

Score 1-5 unacceptable (1 is worst)

Score 6-9 acceptable (9 is best)

A candidate with a visual uniformity score of 6 or more is satisfactory.

Uniformity based on the assessment of general variation where measurements are recorded:

6.9 Provided a variety meets the off-type standard, it can be considered sufficiently uniform after two, or three, test cycles if, for all measured characters necessary for distinctness, the Combined Over Years Uniformity (COYU) analysis is not significantly greater than that of the reference varieties at the 0.1% ( $P=0.001$ ) significance level (see document UPOV TGP/8/1). In all cases an examination of data from individual test cycles is carried out to investigate the uniformity problem indicated by the COYU result. Decisions on whether any outlier plants (off-types) identified by data analysis should be excluded from the calculation of variety means and standard deviations, should be taken by the Test Centre.

## 7 Stability

7.1 A variety is considered sufficiently stable when there is no evidence to indicate that it lacks uniformity or fails to conform to the essential characteristics of its description in different submissions or in different tests.

## 8 DUS Report and Variety Description

8.1 Upon completion of the DUS examination, the DUS Summary Report will be submitted to APHA and will be discussed at the relevant DUS Test Centre Meeting. This report will specify any non-routine characteristics used for establishing distinctness.

8.2 The final DUS report including the full variety description for positive reports will be submitted to APHA. The characteristics to be used in the description are identified in Section D.

# Appendix 1 – Reference Collection Varieties

## 1 Variety Listing and Plant Breeders Rights

1.1 The DUS reference collection, for any given category of plant variety comprises the following at the time when the application for the candidate is made:

1.1.1 All other candidate varieties already in DUS test in the UK or entering testing at the same time as the candidate.

1.1.2 All varieties with UK PBR.

1.1.3 All varieties on the OECD variety list that are listed by countries with comparable climatic conditions to the UK.

1.1.4 All varieties protected under National PBR (UPOV contracting parties) with comparable climatic conditions to the UK

1.1.5 Any varieties nominated by the applicant as being comparable i.e. known to be similar.

1.1.6 Any other varieties considered to be comparable i.e. known to be similar by the appropriate Test Centre or DUS Centre Group.

1.1.7 Other available comparable varieties in common knowledge.



© Crown copyright 2021

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence v.3.

To view this licence visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) or email [PSI@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:PSI@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk)

This publication is available at [www.gov.uk/government/publications](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications)

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at

[webmaster@apha.gov.uk](mailto:webmaster@apha.gov.uk)

[www.gov.uk/apha](http://www.gov.uk/apha)

The Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) is an executive agency of the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, and also works on behalf of the Scottish Government and Welsh Government.