

**From:** Andrew Chattaway [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 December 2022 07:27  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: 62A/2022/0014

Mark,

Thanks for the response.

Please can you add to my comments that the proposed Southern Link Road evidence is being advertised as fully mitigating additional traffic, unfortunately the data presented doesn't stand up. There are no human factors analysis and the proposed SLR is blatantly impractical as drivers are unlikely to choose to navigate through several housing estates to access the same traffic laden road, which they will struggle to access if heading west due to traffic, and will receive no benefit from if heading east as they'll hit the same junction.

No consideration has been given to the additional risks this will present to families living in that area and it goes against the Essex highways guidance.

It is therefore not a realistic traffic mitigation and should be discounted.

Kind Regards

Andy

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** Andrew Chattaway [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 December 2022 07:00

**To:** Section 62A Applications <[section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>

**Subject:** 62A/2022/0014

Good Morning,

I strongly object to the location of the proposed development at Land West of Thaxted Road.

Traffic congestion is already out of control on the roads leading into Saffron Walden from congestion, this will be exacerbated by other developments on this side of the town. The woefully under researched traffic light proposals to ease congestion have already been disproven following the recent installation of additional temporary traffic lights in the town.

The clean air assessment does not assess the impact of additional traffic congestion on the Thaxted road from this developed combined with additional developments on the Radwinter road.

We are still waiting for promised cycle lanes and clean air initiatives.

I would also like to be invited as an observer should the planning inspector visit the site, as previous visits have shown there is no public oversight of the inspector's decision making process or evidence used.

Kind Regards

Andrew Chattaway

