

From: Elizabeth Sinnott [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 November 2022 11:08
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: S62A?2022/0012Land east of Station, Elsenham

Dear Sir

21st November 2022

S62A?2022/0012Land east of Station,

Elsenham

I am writing to strongly object to the above mentioned development.

The destruction of Grade 1 and Grade 2 agricultural land when food production is vital in this time of shortages due to the war in Ukraine and climate change, is unbelievable. How will future generations be fed if this is allowed to continue? The infrastructure in this area is also at saturation point - schools are full, doctors have reached over subscription of patients and the roads are an absolute disgrace. The hospital facilities, considering we have London's third airport in the district, are bordering on being criminally inadequate - and that is speaking from experience within my own family needs. The train services are also extremely poor hence most people in this area have to use the diabolic pothole ridden roads. The only way to exit this area is via Grove Hill through the one-way traffic light system and Chapel Hill via Lower Street in Stansted, or narrow country lanes via Elsenham level crossing or through the single file bridge leading to Patmore End which lorries and large vehicles cannot negotiate. Any further development will then necessitate more agricultural land being forfeited for new roads, more traffic and greater pollution.

As the Council seems to be open to additional 'add-on' developments which exceed the amount of development originally put forward, it only suggests the council is totally untrustworthy to maintain the local environment and developers have the council in their financial pockets. If a stand is not made now it is clear that this last comment is true.

Yours faithfully
Elizabeth Sinnott (Mrs)

[REDACTED]