

**From:** Andy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 November 2022 16:03  
**To:** Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Objection to further development of land east of Station, Elsenham

Dear Sir/Madam

Ref: S62A/2022/0012 Land east of Station, Elsenham

I wish to strongly object to this unacceptable development opportunity in an unsuitable location with already severe traffic problems.

As a local resident we are already subjected to severe and unacceptable traffic delays around Elsenham and Stansted, the extensive queues and delays at Grove Hill, Lower Street and Chapel Hill are particularly annoying and frustrating and do not reflect those situations modelled in previous Transport assessments.

In 2016 the then Secretary of State concluded that a proposal for 800 dwellings on land included in the current application was not sustainable. How can it be that the steady trickle of piecemeal developments already allowed or applied for, totalling over a potential 1000 dwellings, can now be considered sustainable. One of the main issues back in 2016 was the additional traffic volumes, we have those now and local residents are having to live with the consequences! Even more development is only going to make a dreadful situation worse.

In addition to the traffic problems the local area does not have the facilities to cope with even more houses, the local dentist in Stansted is full, only accepting private patients, the doctors surgery in Elsenham is under great pressure and Elsenham primary school is full.

Public transport is poor with limited bus services meaning most residents use their cars. There is a small Tesco express in Elsenham which is not in easy walking of the Bloor homes development, most local residents already have to use cars to make a major shopping trip adding to the overall problem with traffic.

I strongly object to this opportunistic application for further development in my local area.

Regards

Andrew Eardley  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]