## **Transcript of Iraq Fatality Investigations Direction's Hearing 03 November 2022**

**Inspector/Chair:** Dame Anne Rafferty

Counsel to the Inquiry/Inquiry Team: Natasha Jackson (NJ), Phil Judd, Richard

Bottomley, Katharine Bailey, Sophie Warner.

Legal representatives: Caroline Clements (CC), Nick Davies (ND), Lewis Cherry, Zainab

Al Qurnawi, Paul Taylor, Stephen Brown.

**UK Government Ministry of Defence:** Lisa Scarlett, Glyn Lowen, Thomas O'Flaherty,

Elizabeth Inwang, Rhian Roberts.

Witnesses: SO81

Start time: 10:00AM

End time: 10:32AM

- 1 Good morning. This Iraq Fatality Investigations Directions Hearing is public and recorded. A
- 2 transcript will be on the website in English and Arabic in due course. Please don't refer to
- 3 confidential information during the hearing if you feel it necessary please alert me or name
- 4 British military witnesses who are or might be participating in these Investigations.

5

6 New faces

7

- 8 We have some new faces on this call. Mine is one. I was appointed Inspector in July 2022. I
- 9 had a career at the Bar in criminal law, ten years in Silk then onto the High Court Bench in
- 2000. In 2011 I went to the Court of Appeal and I retired in July 2020. Commitments I've taken
- on since include the Judicial Appointments Commission outreach scheme, the King's Counsel
- 12 Appointments panel, and over nine months in 2021 I ran the Highgate School Inquiry into
- alleged sexual abuse and harassment.

14

- 15 The second new face is far more important. Let me introduce Sophie Warner, replacing Celine
- Al-Asadi as Assistant to the Inspector. She is a solicitor at BDB Pitmans seconded to us full-
- time.

19 My role 20 21 I conduct an investigation (Terms of Reference 04 November 2020) into the deaths of Radhi Nama and Mousa Ali, Iraqi civilians who died shortly after their May 2003 capture and 22 23 detention at Camp Stephen the British military base in Basra. Given the factual similarity 24 between the two incidents, some overlap in personnel involved and that they were within a 25 week of each other, I'll investigate and report on them together. 26 27 I also investigate the death of Ahmed Jabbar Karim Ali, Part 1 of which was concluded by Sir 28 George Newman in September 2016. Part II arises out of evidence of a practice of 'wetting' 29 civilians to deter looters by forcing them into bodies of water. Baroness Hallett's Outline 30 Statement of 03 November 2021 (on the IFI website) sets out the rationale for deferring Part II 31 until completion of the investigation into the death of Saeed Radhi Shabram Wawi Al-Bazooni. 32 Given that the Shabram Investigation concerned death by drowning in the presence of British 33 military personnel, she thought it might have a bearing on Ali Part II. The Shabram Report was 34 issued in September 2020 so we can now conclude Ali Part II, alongside Investigation into the 35 deaths of Radhi Nama and Mousa Ali. 36 37 I am well aware that a number of witnesses serve or have served in the British military, and might find engaging with these inquiries distressing or difficult. These investigations concern 38 39 the deaths of civilians, and their families are owed a full and fearless inquiry. I shall do all I can to run the Investigations sensitively and rigorously, and will always have an eye to how 40 41 witnesses can fully engage. Requests for productive adjustments to the process on an individual 42 basis will be received – and welcomed - against that backdrop. 43 44 Let me briefly update you on progress since the last Directions Hearing in April 2022 45 First, thank you to those witnesses who have agreed to help, and to their legal representatives 46 47 here on their behalf.

Second, the wheels of my appointment moved at a pace few would describe as brisk, which
meant the directions of 27 April 2022 slipped. Let me thank all participating witnesses, and
their legal representatives, who worked towards public hearings in July 2022. No one knows
better than I do the frustration of being ready, under time pressure, then finding the timetable
has changed. I might have been away from the Bar for years but the memory doesn't fade. So
let me particularly recognise your understanding and phlegmatic approach, and your continued
help. The team and I are doing all we can to conclude this Investigation expeditiously. Thank
you, once again.
This hearing is to address:
1. Anonymity
(a) Anonymity Order
(b) Preservation of confidentiality of information disclosed to other legal
representatives
(c) Ciphers and redaction
2. The purpose of these Investigations
3. Progress of these Investigations and witness participation
4. A timetable for finalising evidence from witnesses and public hearings
Anonymity
Anonymity Order
Let me clarify anonymity as it applies to these Investigations. The Directions Hearing of April
2022 led to an Order that general public anonymity applies to all military witnesses in the
Investigations, subject to representations. There were none, so the Order has been effective
since 4 November 2022 and I intend to leave it in place.
since 7 November 2022 and I intend to leave it in place.
Its practical effect ensures that an individual may not be named in the public domain; witnesses'
names will not appear in documents on the IFI website (which will include my final report, and
any substantive evidence appended); during public hearings, witnesses' names will not be used.

80 81 Preservation of confidentiality of information disclosed to other legal representatives 82 The Order does not extend to material not published or publicly referred to as part of the 83 84 Investigations. However, where material is *disclosed* to other witnesses' legal representatives, disclosure is contingent upon confidentiality undertakings which all legal representatives have 85 86 given. Those include not to disclose, publish or pass on any document, witness statement or 87 other material, absent my written permission. The combination of these measures – the general 88 public anonymity Order and the confidentiality undertakings – reasonably protects the rights and, importantly, the safety, of participating witnesses. I have taken particular account of the 89 90 fundamental rights and protections they enjoy under the European Convention on Human Rights as well as the interests of open justice. 91 92 93 Should a witness seek to disclose their own identity publicly, to the press or otherwise, I can't 94 stop them. I will however require prior notification and an explanation if they enjoy anonymity 95 pursuant to an application. 96 97 Ciphers and redactions 98 99 The team has allocated ciphers to all participating witnesses to preserve anonymity. All 100 witnesses' legal representatives should have those for their clients; if not, would you email 101 Sophie Warner. 102 If alongside our final reports any documents are published which could name witnesses, names 103 104 will be removed and ciphers substituted. This will apply to witness statements as well as 105 historic evidence and any documentation classified 'key'. Redactions will be processed by the 106 Ministry of Defence then checked by the IFI team before the documents are made public. 107 108 If there are any questions about anonymity, ciphers, or redactions, there will be an opportunity 109 to be raise them after these introductory remarks, or by email to Sophie Warner.

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

If a witness who engages with the Investigations for whatever reason does not want to be protected by anonymity, would they and/or their legal representative confirm their position to me either at the end of these remarks, or, again, via email to Sophie Warner. The purpose of the IFI / Article 2 investigation The April 2022 Directions Hearing set out the purpose and background of these investigations. Most of you here today were also there, and the transcript is on the IFI website. Let me repeat: I am very conscious of the passage of time. These Investigations fulfil an important role for the families of the deceased, entitled to a full and fearless inquest into what happened to someone they loved. They also ask something of those whose evidence is founded in what they lived through in tough times. I know that. The team and I will have that well in mind from now on. The progress of these Investigations / witness participation Practical challenges Since the Directions Hearing in April 2022, the team has secured the cooperation of one further witness. Unfortunately, the team continues to meet not inconsiderable difficulties in making contact with military and civilian witnesses, not unexpected, 20 years since the incidents. However, we are exhausting all reasonable and proportionate avenues to find and engage witnesses. This requires considerable co-ordination between the Inquiry team and the Ministry of Defence, as well as in some cases legal representatives, and I – like you - would like to see it concluded by the end of the year. A number of witnesses we have approached, or might be able to approach, suffer Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder as a result of their experiences serving in the British military and fear that engaging with these Investigations would cause significant distress. The team and I 141 are astute to welfare and I will consider individualised adjustments designed to let witnesses 142 participate. 143 The April 2022 Directions Hearing on locating and securing the engagement of potential 144 145 civilian witnesses, all of whom in my Investigations are Iraqi, continue to apply. Let me speak for the team and add my own voice in thanking QC Law for their considerable help in making 146 contact with witnesses as well as offering representation. Mr Mousa Ali's son, also a witness 147 to events, remains unwilling to participate in my Investigation having previously expressed 148 149 willingness. Let me repeat the invitation publicly offered during the previous Directions 150 Hearing: I would warmly welcome his evidence should he choose to provide it. 151 152 Finalising evidence 153 Following the April Directions Hearing, my team worked hard to provide confidential 154 155 disclosure bundles to witnesses (limited to their previous accounts and containing certain select 156 documents), with a list of questions. We are very, very grateful to all participating witnesses 157 for giving us written statements by agreed deadlines. We are also very, very grateful for legal 158 representatives' unstinting co-operation which meant the statements provide a stand-alone 159 narrative account. 160 161 I might ask witnesses written clarificatory or additional questions prior to public hearings. 162 The team continues to work with the Ministry of Defence and Services Legacy Police 163 164 Investigations to ensure we have considered all relevant documents, witness evidence and 165 reports, including those produced as part of previous investigations. We might find that new evidence warrants being addressed by some participating witnesses. If I do pose any written 166 167 questions to witnesses, it will be after careful thought about proportionality and it will certainly be sensitive to the burden on witnesses to respond. 168 169

170

171

**Timetabling** 

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

ND:

You'll have understood by now how anxious I am to conclude this Investigation, for the sake of the families of the deceased and for all the witnesses. The delay visited upon us, coupled with my coming to these Investigations with new eyes means bringing myself up to speed with diligence and care will take some time. The IFI are working to publish the final report on these Investigations by summer 2023. Witnesses' representatives can expect to be contacted before 02 December 2022 with either (a) confirmation that the witness statement has been accepted in its final form; or (b) a request for a supplementary witness statement to address matters arising. I intend to set the deadline for any supplementary witness statements at 13 January 2023, ample time – accounting for the holiday and New Year period. I would also like provisionally to schedule public hearings for the week commencing 20 February 2023. They will take place remotely, but if legal representatives want to argue for a different forum, alert me in good time prior to the hearings and I'll think about it. In any event, given some representatives are in Iraq, and the general anonymity order, provision will be made for witnesses and interested parties to attend the public hearing remotely. For those of you to whom the general anonymity order applies, the team will liaise with your legal representatives so you can participate preserving that anonymity and cannot be identified. The public hearings will be transcribed. At the moment, I can't give a precise time-estimate for the duration of the hearings, but our working assumption is two days, with a timetable circulated closer to the time to a limited distribution list of witnesses' legal representatives. Does anyone attending have any questions?

Good morning Dame Anne, Nick Davies here, Partner at Maddox Legal. We act for witnesses SO89 and SO90. At the April 2022 Direction's Hearing my colleague had requested substantive disclosure of additional documentation from previous investigations. We are aware of a packet of documents from a previous investigation which we have not had sight of. My clients have previously been the subject of criminal prosecutions and there still remains a risk to them that they may face criminal prosecution. Baroness Hallett said she would make a decision on our submission, but we did not receive a substantive response. The obvious risk to my clients is that they face risk of a criminal prosecution and certainly if they are going to be asked to give supplemental witness statements, we would, once again, request disclosure of this material.

212

213

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

## Dame Anne Rafferty:

- Let me just see if I have got it. You represent SO89 and SO90, what you have done is asked
- 215 for more substantive disclosure. Your clients were previously seen as "suspects" and they are
- 216 feeling anxious. I believe I know the answer to your question, but I am going to ask Natasha to
- 217 give you the current state of play.

218

- 219 **NJ**:
- Thanks Mr Davies for your question. Have you seen a copy of Baroness Hallett's ruling dated
- 221 29 April 2022?

222

- 223 **ND**:
- I believe I have. Did you say April 2022?

225

- 226 **NJ**:
- Yes, that is correct. We can arrange for a copy to be sent to you. I believe Baroness Hallett
- ruled on your query in this document. May I invite you to consider this ruling and write to us
- 229 if you feel it does not fully cover your position?

- 231 **ND**:
- Okay, I shall consider the ruling.

233	
234	Dame Anne Rafferty:
235	That was what I was going to refer you to, Mr Davies. You will realise from the tenor of my
236	Opening Statement that at all stages just ask the question - ask, contact, and I will do all I can
237	with the team to consider and answer your queries. Don't hold back.
238	
239	ND:
240	Many thanks.
241	
242	CC:
243	Good morning Dame Anne, I'm Caroline from the Government Legal Department.
244	
245	Dame Anne Rafferty:
246	Good morning. Caroline Clements, I believe?
247	
248	CC:
249	Yes, that is correct Dame Anne. The GLD are acting for witnesses SO81 – SO86. My question
250	is a follow up point on disclosure. If witnesses are going to be giving oral evidence at public
251	hearings and documents may be put before them during these hearings, will we receive advance
252	disclosure of those documents?
253	
254	Dame Anne Rafferty:
255	Ms Clements this is going to be another instance of 'I think I know the answer but I am going
256	to defer to the expert'. Natasha?
257	
258	NJ:
259	Thanks for your question Caroline. Yes, that is the plan. There will be disclosure of hearing
260	bundles ahead of the public hearings. We will be able to provide further details on this closer
261	to the time.
262	
263	CC:

264 Thanks Natasha. The hearings are listed for two days. I am assuming you do not intend to call 265 all the witnesses to give evidence. Will you be providing a list of witnesses that you will not 266 be questioning at the public hearings? 267 268 **Dame Anne Rafferty:** 269 We have provisionally scheduled public hearings for the week of 20 February 2023, but what you will see as we get closer to this date is an idea of the shape these hearings will take. We 270 271 are taking a working model of two days, and we will work round that. We are trying to give 272 legal representatives advance notice of our plans. 273 NJ: 274 275 We will give notice at the earliest possible date regarding whether there are witnesses who do 276 not need to give evidence at the public hearings. We have the 02 December 2022 deadline for 277 requesting supplementary witness statements, so we should be able to give an indication then. 278 CC: 279 280 Thank you. 281 282 **Dame Anne Rafferty:** I don't believe there are any more questions, but I will give it a beat in case there is something. 283 284 It doesn't seem as if there is anything else. May I turn to the team in case there is anything I 285 have omitted? 286 NJ: 287 288 Nothing from our perspective Dame Anne. 289 290 **Dame Anne Rafferty:** 291 Good. Can I repeat both my thanks and the team's to you all for joining us remotely today. 292 Thank you and good morning.

END: 10:32AM