

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2022 14:49
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Ref S62A/2022/0012 Land East of Station, Elsenham

[REDACTED]
12 November 2022

Ref S62A/2022/0012 Land East of Station, Elsenham

I am strongly opposed to this application by Bloor Homes for an additional 200 houses bolting on to the 350, currently under construction.

I have lived in the village of Henham for 45 years and watched how prime agricultural land has been swallowed up by developments. Our schools, doctors and roads are all overflowing. Contrary to the developers claims our public transport for 'local destinations' is extremely poor, and non existent on a Sunday, meaning more cars on the village roads. There are commuter trains to London but how can this development be called sustainable if workers need to be commuting and school children require private transport?

Very limited facilities exist in Elsenham mean shopping involves journeys further afield or even more delivery vehicles trekking down narrow lanes. The one doctors surgery is already under strain. Even the local primary schools are full meaning primary school children need to be driven daily to and from school.

Traffic is a constant issue in neighbouring villages as more cars try to negotiate our rural roads. One set of traffic lights in Stansted does nothing to alleviate the daily traffic jams. The drip, drip of new housing in these areas means numbers have already exceeded the number of new dwellings deemed unsustainable on this site in 2016.

We need to be realistic about how many more people can be squeezed into an already overburdened area.

For these reasons I am completely **opposed** to this new application.

Lloyd Tripp