## **Published Standard Number 1 – Applications (National)**

Application number	Application type	Number of applications	Performance	Target days	Average days
1	Major timetable (National) New MRLs. All other MA applications (excl. MAPI and Copycats)	34	100%	180.0	10
2	Standard timetable (National Type II variations. New MA - MAPIs and Copycats. New VHRs)	58	100%	120.0	13
3	Shortened timetable (National Renewals (MA and VHR) Type IB variations. New ATC (type B). Out of Scope MRLs)	220	100%	60.0	13
4	Minor timetable (National) Type IA variations. Administrative Type IB variations. New ATC (Type A/S). ATC variations and renewals.	928	98.8%	30.0	25
5	Parallel Assessment with EU Procedures	328	100%	-	13
6	Shared Assessment with International Partners	0	-	-	0
7	Batch timetable (National) specific Batch Control	54	100%	20.0	2
8	Autogenous Vaccines. New & Variations	1	100%	45.0	43

# Published Standard Number 1 – Applications (Other)

Application number	Application Type	Number of applications	Performance
9	Mock-up period completed within 20 days (or up to 40 days for parallel applications involving different QRD sources)	355	97.5%
10	Validation	997	100%
11	Issue of authorised documentation	1457	100%

## Published Standard Number 1 – Applications (European - NI)

Application number	Application Type	Number of applications	Performance
12	New Decentralised (DCP)	32	100%
13	New Mutual Recognition (MRP)	1	100%
14	MRP Variations (Type IB & II) and Renewals	170	100%

### **Published Standard Number 2 – Public Assessment Reports**

Application number	Application type	Total number	Performance
15	Publishing Summary of Product Characteristics (SPCs)	75	100%
16	Publishing Public Assessment Reports (PuARs)	10	100%
17	Updating PuARs	5	100%

## **Published Standard Number 3 – Quality of Documentation**

Application	number	Application type	Number of applications	Performance
18	Unreturr	ned Documents	2319	97.8%

#### **Published Standard Number 4 – Product Defects**

Task number	Task	Number of tasks	Performance	Target Days	Average Days
19	Product Defects reports	37	100%		_
	High risk <5 days	2	-		
	Low risk <10 days	35	-		

#### Published Standard Number 5 – Import, Export and Batch Release Schemes

Application number	Application Type	No of Apps	Performance	Target Days	Average Days
20	Applications for new products	138	100%	15/25	1.4
21	Applications for previously imported products	146	100%	15	3.1
22	All other urgent applications	239	100%	-	3.1
	Urgent	1		2	-
	Non Urgent	238		10	-
23	Instant Import Certificates	21,944	-	-	-
24	Export	304	100%	10	6.6
25	Batch Release	1495	99.7%	10	4.4

### **Published Standard Number 6 – Pharmacovigilance**

Task number	Task	No.	Performance
26	Human, Animal & Environmental AERs	4816	99.4%
27	PSURs	938	100%
28	Inspections	12	100%

#### **Published Standard Number 7– Inspections**

Task number	Task	No.	Performance	Target Days
29	Inspections within 3 years (GMP)	25	100%	-
	Within 5 years (GDP) of last inspection	18	Joint with above	-
30	Inspection Deficiency Reports	47	100%	30.0
31	(GMP) Certificates or (GDP) final reports sent	46	100%	90.0
32	Approval of new Feed business operators and SQP retailer sites	26	100%	45.0
33	Final inspection report to Feed business operators and SQP retailers	260	100%	30.0

Our inspection procedures enable us to extend our GMP inspections beyond 3 years and our GDP inspections beyond 5 years where there are exceptional circumstances, provided a documented risk-assessment is carried out. Risk-assessments have been conducted for all sites where it has not been possible for us to inspect them within 3 years due to covid-19 related restrictions, which were in place until March 2022.

#### Key:

100% Excellent

>97% - 100% Excellent, but some targets missed

92% - 97% Effective

< 91% Ineffective

#### **Additional information**

The VMD continuously monitors all targets and puts in place countermeasures, where possible, to ensure targets are met.

However, sometimes a performance standard may fall into the effective or ineffective category and there are a number of reasons why this may happen, for example high volume of applications, staff resource, complexity of applications requiring additional input and so on.