



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Lauren Fillery

Respondent: London Sash Window Repairs Ltd

Heard at: London South (remote hearing) **On:** 14 October 2022

Before: Employment Judge B Smith (sitting alone)

Representation

Claimant: Jessica Northcott (Lay Representative)

Respondent: Jacob Tidy (Solicitor)

JUDGMENT

1. The claimant's application to amend the claim to include a claim for unfair dismissal and other claims which do not form a cause of action within the jurisdiction of the Employment Tribunals is refused.
2. The claim for unlawful deduction from wages is dismissed upon withdrawal.
3. The claim for notice pay is dismissed upon withdrawal.
4. The claim for holiday pay is dismissed upon withdrawal.
5. The claim for breach of regulation 12 of the Working Time Regulations 1998 is not well-founded and is dismissed.

Employment Judge Barry Smith
17 October 2022

Note

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Lauren Fillery

Respondent: London Sash Window Repairs Ltd

Heard at: London South (remote hearing) **On:** 14 October 2022

Before: Employment Judge B Smith (sitting alone)

Representation

Claimant: Jessica Northcott (Lay Representative)

Respondent: Jacob Tidy (Solicitor)

JUDGMENT

1. The claimant's application to amend the claim to include a claim for unfair dismissal and other claims which do not form a cause of action within the jurisdiction of the Employment Tribunals is refused.
2. The claim for unlawful deduction from wages is dismissed upon withdrawal.
3. The claim for notice pay is dismissed upon withdrawal.
4. The claim for holiday pay is dismissed upon withdrawal.
5. The claim for breach of regulation 12 of the Working Time Regulations 1998 is not well-founded and is dismissed.

Employment Judge Barry Smith
17 October 2022

Note

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.