

Permitting decisions- Surrender

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for Kelvin Energy operated by Enfinium Kelvin Limited.

The permit number is [EPR/CP3233FB/S004](#).

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state. We consider in reaching this decision that we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision-making process. It:

- highlights key issues in the determination

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit.

Key issues of the decision

The name of the company has changed from Verus Energy Oak Ltd to Efinium Kelvin Energy Ltd, prior to this surrender application. This change of name had not been reflected in the permit through an admin variation. However, since we have checked that Verus Energy Oak Ltd and Efinium Kelvin Energy Ltd are the same company, in that they have the same company registration number on Companies House, we have decided to accept and determine this surrender application.

The application states that the site never started operation and we have verified this information. We are satisfied that no activities were carried out under the permit to cause any pollution.

Therefore, the site condition report submitted with this surrender application is unchanged from the site condition report submitted with the original application.

Decision considerations

Confidential information

A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

Identifying confidential information

We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

Pollution risk

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility: the site never started operation under the permit.

Satisfactory state

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state, having regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation: the site never started operation under the permit.

Growth duty

We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to accept this permit surrender.