

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/LP3837FX
The Operator is: Factory Services UK Limited t/a SugaRich
The Installation is: Knowsley Feed Mill
This Variation Notice number is: EPR/LP3837FX/V003

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication by the European Commission of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries published on 4th December 2019 in the Official Journal of the European Union. In this decision document, we set out the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. Where this has not already been done, it also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and with other permits issued to Installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document, we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. Achieving all three objectives is not always easy, and we would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future.

How this document is structured

1. Our decision
2. How we reached our decision
3. The legal framework
4. Annex 1 – Review of operating techniques within the Installation against BAT Conclusions.
5. Annex 2 – Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review
6. Annex 3 – Improvement Conditions

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow the Operator to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice that updates the whole permit.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of “tailor-made” or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusion techniques

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 04/05/2021 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate where the operation of their installation currently meets, or how it will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the relevant BAT Conclusions document.

The Notice required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- describes the techniques that will be implemented before 4 December 2023, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standards, or
- justifies why standards will not be met by 4 December 2023, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the Installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standards are not applicable to those processes, or
- justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised BAT standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT-AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice required that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that BAT-AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 30/07/2022.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that determination.

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the Installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous experience in the regulation of the installation we consider that the Operator will be able to comply with the techniques and standards described in the BAT Conclusions other than for those techniques and requirements described in BAT Conclusion 6. The operator does not currently comply with the requirements of BATc 6. In relation to this BAT Conclusion, the operator has committed compliance by 4 December 2023. We have therefore included Improvement Conditions IC3 and IC4 in the Consolidated Variation Notice to ensure that the requirements of the BAT Conclusions are delivered before 4 December 2023.

2.3 Requests for further information during determination

Although we were able to consider the Regulation 61 Notice response generally satisfactory at receipt, we did in fact need more information in order to complete our permit review assessment, and issued further information requests on 09/05/2022, 11/05/2022 and 26/07/2022. Requesting further information regarding BATc 6, 8, 9, 10, 14, water emissions, and the RHS Baseline assessment. A response was received on 24/05/2022, 20/07/2022 and 08/08/2022. A copy of the further information requests were placed on our public register.

3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an *installation* as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

Annex 1: decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries, were published by the European Commission on 4 December 2019.

There are 37 BAT Conclusions.

BAT 1 – 15 are General BAT Conclusions (Narrative BAT) applicable to all relevant Food, Drink and Milk Installations in scope.

BAT 16 – 37 are sector-specific BAT Conclusions, including Best Available Techniques Associated Emissions Levels (BAT-AELs) and Associated Environmental Performance Levels (BAT-AEPLs):

BAT 16 & 17	BAT Conclusions for Animal Feed
BAT 18 – 20	BAT Conclusions for Brewing
BAT 21 – 23	BAT Conclusions for Dairies
BAT 24	BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production
BAT 25 & 26	BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing
BAT 27	BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing
BAT 28	BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling
BAT 29	BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing
BAT 30 – 32	BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining
BAT 33	BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from Fruit and Vegetables
BAT 34	BAT Conclusions for Starch Production
BAT 35 – 37	BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA – Not Applicable

CC – Currently Compliant

FC – Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT Conclusions)

NC – Not Compliant

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
GENERAL BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 1-15)			
1	<p>Environmental Management System - Improve overall environmental performance.</p> <p>Implement an EMS that incorporates all the features as described within BATc 1.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 1. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 1.</p> <p>The operator has an environmental management system which is externally accredited to ISO14001.</p>
2	<p>EMS Inventory of inputs & outputs. Increase resource efficiency and reduce emissions.</p> <p>Establish, maintain and regularly review (including when a significant change occurs) an inventory of water, energy and raw materials consumption as well as of waste water and waste gas streams, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that incorporates all of the features as detailed within the BATCs.</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 2. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 2.</p> <p>The operator has an environmental management system which is externally accredited to ISO14001.</p>
3	<p>Monitoring key process parameters at key locations for emissions to water.</p> <p>For relevant emissions to water as identified by the inventory of waste water streams (see BAT 2), BAT is to monitor key process parameters (e.g. continuous monitoring of waste water flow, pH and temperature) at key locations (e.g. at the inlet and/or outlet of the pre-treatment, at the inlet to the final treatment, at the point where the emission leaves the installation).</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 3 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>The only discharges to surface water is run off from yards and buildings – uncontaminated run off to Knowsley Brook. Effluent from vehicle wash and potentially contaminated site drainage are discharged to United Utilities sewer via an interceptor. United Utilities also monitor and provide sample results quarterly to the site and National SHE Manager.</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
4	<p>Monitoring emissions to water to the required frequencies and standards.</p> <p>BAT is to monitor emissions to water with at least the frequency given and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 4 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>The only discharges to surface water is run off from yards and buildings – uncontaminated run off to Knowsley Brook. Effluent from vehicle wash and potentially contaminated site drainage are discharged to United Utilities sewer via an interceptor. United Utilities also monitor and provide sample results quarterly to the site and National SHE Manager.</p>
5	<p>Monitoring channelled emissions to air to the required frequencies and standards.</p> <p>BAT is to monitor channelled emissions to air with at least the frequency given and in accordance with EN standards.</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 5 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>There are no channelled emissions to air from the installation.</p>
6	<p>Energy Efficiency</p> <p>In order to increase energy efficiency, BAT is to use an energy efficiency plan (BAT 6a) and an appropriate combination of the common techniques listed in technique 6b within the table in the BATc.</p>	FC	<p>The operator has stated that an Energy Efficiency Plan will be put in place to ensure compliance with BATc6 and that Solar Panels have been assessed for installation.</p> <p>We consider that the operator will be future compliant with BATc 6. Improvement conditions IC3 and IC4 have been included in the permit to achieve compliance (see Annex 3).</p>
7	<p>Water and wastewater minimisation</p> <p>In order to reduce water consumption and the volume of waste water discharged, BAT is to use BAT 7a and one or a combination of the techniques b to k given below.</p> <p>(a) water recycling and/or reuse</p>	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 7. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 7.</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	(b) Optimisation of water flow (c) Optimisation of water nozzles and hoses (d) Segregation of water streams Techniques related to cleaning operations: (e) Dry cleaning (f) Pigging system for pipes (g) High-pressure cleaning (h) Optimisation of chemical dosing and water use in cleaning-in-place (CIP) (i) Low-pressure foam and/or gel cleaning (j) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas (k) Cleaning of equipment as soon as possible		Animal Feed Manufacture is essentially a dry process, with low water usage and limited potential for water saving and application of BAT techniques. The site utilises a small mobile high-pressure jet wash for cleaning the inside of feed containers used for collections.
8	Prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances In order to prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances, e.g. in cleaning and disinfection, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. (a) Proper selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants (b) Reuse of cleaning chemicals in cleaning-in-place (CIP) (c) Dry cleaning (d) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas	CC	The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 8. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 8. Dry Cleaning is utilised by methods such as brushes, scrapers and Hoover systems. However, when disinfectants and sanitisers are required the operator has a document within their EMS which refers to the selection and use of cleaning materials. This document contains information on the selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants and considers the selection of less harmful substitutes when applicable.
9	Refrigerants In order to prevent emissions of ozone-depleting substances and of substances with a high global warming potential from cooling	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 9 is not applicable to this Installation as there is no refrigerants are used in the production or manufacturing of product.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	and freezing, BAT is to use refrigerants without ozone depletion potential and with a low global warming potential.		
10	<p>Resource efficiency In order to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Anaerobic digestion (b) Use of residues (c) Separation of residues (d) Recovery and reuse of residues from the pasteuriser (e) Phosphorus recovery as struvite (f) Use of waste water for land spreading 	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 10. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 10.</p> <p>The resource efficiency of the production process on site is high for this activity with losses of around 3%. The loss of feed material occurs through suction process where fine particles can be drawn into the system and sticky materials sticking to the loose packaging.</p> <p>The site is also trialling new systems in order to reduce the amount of feed materials being lost during the process, which should also reduce the amount of packaging getting into the finished product.</p>
11	<p>Waste water buffer storage In order to prevent uncontrolled emissions to water, BAT is to provide an appropriate buffer storage capacity for waste water.</p>	NA	<p>We are satisfied that BATc 11 is not applicable to this Installation.</p> <p>The discharge volumes from this installation are low, the minimal process effluent produced is discharged to sewer, additional buffer storage capacity is not applicable.</p> <p>However, there are systems and controls in place to ensure that any spillages are managed to ensure there is no surface water contamination. Discharge to sewer also passes through a class 1 interceptor which has an oil monitor fitted.</p>

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
12	<p>Emissions to water – treatment</p> <p>In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below.</p> <p>Preliminary, primary and general treatment</p> <p>(a) Equalisation</p> <p>(b) Neutralisation</p> <p>(c) Physical separate (eg screens, sieves, primary settlement tanks etc)</p> <p>Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (secondary treatment)</p> <p>(d) Aerobic and/or anaerobic treatment (eg activated sludge, aerobic lagoon etc)</p> <p>(e) Nitrification and/or denitrification</p> <p>(f) Partial nitrification - anaerobic ammonium oxidation</p> <p>Phosphorus recovery and/or removal</p> <p>(g) Phosphorus recovery as struvite</p> <p>(h) Precipitation</p> <p>(i) Enhanced biological phosphorus removal</p> <p>Final solids removal</p> <p>(j) Coagulation and flocculation</p> <p>(k) Sedimentation</p> <p>(l) Filtration (eg sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration)</p> <p>(m) Flotation</p>	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 12 is not applicable to this Installation. There are no direct discharges of process effluent arising from this site. Effluent volumes produced are low and do not warrant additional treatment.
13	<p>Noise management plan</p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review</p>	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 13 is not applicable to this Installation as no noise nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiated for this site.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	<p>a noise management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a protocol containing actions and timelines; - a protocol for conducting noise emissions monitoring; - a protocol for response to identified noise events, eg complaints; - a noise reduction programme designed to identify the source(s), to measure/estimate noise and vibration exposure, to characterise the contributions of the sources and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures. 		
14	<p>Noise management</p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings (b) Operational measures (c) Low-noise equipment (d) Noise control equipment (e) Noise abatement 	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 14. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 14.</p> <p>Operator uses a combination of relevant procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site has a maintenance programme and onsite engineers. • All doors are kept closed during operational hours, this is also a FEMAS requirement. • There is limited movement at night by plant equipment outside of buildings. • Standard maintenance is carried out during day-time hours. • Site has two packaging extraction fans which are low-noise. • Operational noise assessments are undertaken. • Equipment identified as high-noise (Pulverisor/grinder) is enclosed within a brick enclosure within the main building.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
15	<p>Odour Management</p> <p>In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review an odour management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a protocol containing actions and timelines; - a protocol for conducting odour monitoring. - a protocol for response to identified odour incidents eg complaints; - an odour prevention and reduction programme designed to identify the source(s); to measure/estimate odour exposure: to characterise the contributions of the sources; and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures. 	NA	We are satisfied that BATc 15 is not applicable to this Installation as no odour nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiated for this site.
ANIMAL FEED BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 16-17)			
16	<p>Energy efficiency – Green fodder only</p> <p>In order to increase energy efficiency in green fodder processing, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques specified in BAT 6 and of the techniques given below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Use of predried fodder (b) Recycling of waste gas from the dryer (c) Use of waste heat for pre-drying <p>Applicable in addition to BAT6</p>	NA	Not applicable for this site.

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement															
17	<p>Emissions to air – particulates</p> <p>In order to reduce channelled dust emissions to air, BAT is to use one of the techniques given; a. bag filter, b. cyclone.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="275 491 1055 791"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Parameter</th> <th rowspan="2">Specific process</th> <th rowspan="2">Unit</th> <th colspan="2">BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>New plants</th> <th>Existing plants</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Dust</td> <td>Grinding</td> <td rowspan="2">mg/Nm³</td> <td><2-5</td> <td><2-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pellet cooling</td> <td colspan="2"><2-20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameter	Specific process	Unit	BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)		New plants	Existing plants	Dust	Grinding	mg/Nm ³	<2-5	<2-10	Pellet cooling	<2-20		NA	We are satisfied that BATc 17 is not applicable to this Installation as there are no channelled air emissions from the site.
Parameter	Specific process				Unit	BAT-AEL (average over the sampling period)												
		New plants	Existing plants															
Dust	Grinding	mg/Nm ³	<2-5	<2-10														
	Pellet cooling		<2-20															
Animal Feed Environmental Performance Levels																		
EPL	<p>Environmental Performance Level – Energy Consumption for Animal Feed</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="275 919 1055 1155"> <thead> <tr> <th>Product</th> <th>Unit</th> <th>Specific energy consumption (yearly average)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Compound food</td> <td rowspan="3">MWh/tonne of products</td> <td>0.01-0.10 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dry pet food</td> <td>0.39-0.50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wet pet food</td> <td>0.33-0.85</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(1) The lower end of the range can be achieved when pelleting is not applied. (2) The specific energy consumption level may not apply when fish and other aquatic animals are used as raw material. (3) The upper end of the range is 0.12 MWh/tonne of products for installations located in cold climates and/or when teat treatment is used for Salmonella decontamination.</p>	Product	Unit	Specific energy consumption (yearly average)	Compound food	MWh/tonne of products	0.01-0.10 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Dry pet food	0.39-0.50	Wet pet food	0.33-0.85	CC	<p>The operator has provided information to support compliance with the energy EPL. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with the energy consumption for Animal Feed.</p> <p>The compound food figure of 0.01-0.10 is appropriate for this installation.</p> <p>The site provided a calculation of their specific energy consumption, this is 0.043 MWh/t, which is well within the target, reflecting the good energy management in place at this installation.</p>					
Product	Unit	Specific energy consumption (yearly average)																
Compound food	MWh/tonne of products	0.01-0.10 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾																
Dry pet food		0.39-0.50																
Wet pet food		0.33-0.85																

BATC No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement						
EPL	Environmental performance level – Waste water discharge for Animal Feed	NA	Not applicable – Dry process only.						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="271 456 528 555">Product</th> <th data-bbox="539 456 801 555">Unit</th> <th data-bbox="801 456 1066 555">Specific waste water discharge (yearly average)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="271 555 528 608">Wet pet food</td> <td data-bbox="539 555 801 608">m3/tonne of products</td> <td data-bbox="801 555 1066 608">1.3-2.4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Product	Unit	Specific waste water discharge (yearly average)	Wet pet food	m3/tonne of products	1.3-2.4
	Product			Unit	Specific waste water discharge (yearly average)				
Wet pet food	m3/tonne of products	1.3-2.4							

Annex 2: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review

Updating permit during permit review consolidation

- Activity name
- Introductory note (updated)
- Site plan
- Table S1.1 overhaul
 - Activity Reference (AR) renumbering
 - Updated listed activities
 - Addition of production capacity
 - Directly associated activities (DAAs) standardisation

We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as a part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.

Capacity Threshold

The Environment Agency is looking to draw a “line in the sand” for permitted production capacity; a common understanding between the Operator and regulator for the emissions associated with a (maximum) level of production, whereby the maximum emissions have been demonstrated as causing no significant environmental impact.

We have included a permitted production level (capacity) within table S1.1 of the permit for the section 6.8 listed activity and we need to be confident that the level of emissions associated with this production level have been demonstrated to be acceptable.

Emissions to Water and implementing the requirements of the Water Framework Directive

We asked the Operator to provide information on all emissions to water at the installation in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows;

- Identify any effluents which discharge directly to surface or groundwater;
- Provide an assessment of volume and quality, including results of any monitoring data available;
- and for any discharges to water / soakaway whether a recent assessment of the feasibility of connection to sewer has been carried out.

The operator has previously provided assessments for all emissions to water at the installation. The operator declares there has been no change to activities and subsequent effluents generated at the installation since this risk assessment was taken. Consequently, we agree that the original risk assessments remain valid at this time.

Soil & groundwater risk assessment (baseline report)

The IED requires that the operator of any IED installation using, producing or releasing “relevant hazardous substances” (RHS) shall, having regarded the possibility that they might cause pollution of soil and groundwater, submit a “baseline report” with its permit application. The baseline report is an important reference document in the assessment

of contamination that might arise during the operational lifetime of the regulated facility and at cessation of activities. It must enable a quantified comparison to be made between the baseline and the state of the site at surrender.

At the definitive cessation of activities, the Operator has to satisfy us that the necessary measures have been taken so that the site ceases to pose a risk to soil or groundwater, taking into account both the baseline conditions and the site's current or approved future use. To do this, the Operator has to submit a surrender application to us, which we will not grant unless and until we are satisfied that these requirements have been met.

The Operator submitted a site condition report [Site Condition Report QA Number 11001 dated January 2011] during the original application received on 07/02/2012. The site condition report included a report on the baseline conditions as required by Article 22. We reviewed that report and considered that it adequately described the condition of the soil and groundwater at that time.

The Operator has resubmitted the aforementioned site condition report consequently, we are satisfied that the baseline conditions have not changed.

Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances are those defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures

The stage 1 assessment identifies the hazardous substances used / stored on site. The stage 2 assessment identifies if hazardous substances are capable of causing pollution. If they are capable of causing pollution they are then termed Relevant Hazardous Substances (RHS). The Stage 3 assessment identifies if pollution prevention measures are fit for purpose in areas where hazardous substances are used / stored. This includes drains as well.

The operator provided a an updated site condition report with a risk assessment as part of R61 response however, this assessment did not provide a sufficient level of detail to so was not an appropriate risk assessment, as detailed within EC Commission Guidance 2014/C 136/03.

We have therefore included IC5 to satisfy this requirement.

Climate Change Adaptation

The operator has stated that the installation is not likely to be or has previously not been affected by climate change.

Underground Structures

The operator has confirmed there are no underground structures at the installation.

Annex 3: Improvement Conditions

Based on the information in the Operator's Regulation 61 Notice response and our own records of the capability and performance of the installation at this site, we consider that we need to set improvement conditions so that the outcome of the techniques detailed in the BAT Conclusions are achieved by the installation. These improvement conditions are set out below - justifications for them is provided at the relevant section of the decision document (Annex 1 or Annex 2).

If the consolidated permit contains existing improvement conditions that are not yet complete or the opportunity has been taken to delete completed improvement conditions then the numbering in the table below will not be consecutive as these are only the improvement conditions arising from this permit variation.

Improvement programme requirements V003		
Reference	Reason for inclusion	Justification of deadline
IC3	<p>The operator shall submit, for approval by Environment Agency, a report setting out progress to achieving the 'Narrative' BAT where BAT is currently not achieved but will be achieved before 4 December 2023. The report shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Methodology for achieving BAT 2) Associated targets /timelines for reaching compliance by 4 December 2023 3) Any alterations to the initial plan (in progress reports). <p>The report shall address the BAT Conclusions for Food, Drink and Milk Industries with respect to BAT 6. Refer to BAT Conclusions for a full description of the BAT requirement.</p>	07/09/2023
IC4	<p>The operator shall submit, for approval by the Environment Agency, a report demonstrating compliance against BAT 6. Refer to BAT Conclusions for a full description of the BAT requirement.</p>	04/12/2023

<p>IC5</p>	<p>The operator shall submit to the Environment Agency for approval a risk assessment considering the possibility of soil and groundwater contamination at the installation where the activity involves the use, production or release of a hazardous substances (as defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures).</p> <p>A stage 1-3 assessment should be completed (as detailed within the EC Commission Guidance 2014/C 136/-3) as follows; Stage 1 – Identify hazardous substance(s) used / stored on site. Stage 2 – Identify if the hazardous substance(s) are capable of causing pollution. If they are capable of causing pollution, they are then termed Relevant Hazardous Substances (RHS). Stage 3 – Identify if pollution prevention measures & drains are fit for purpose in areas where hazardous substances are used / stored.</p> <p>If the outcomes of Stage 3 identifies that pollution of soil / ground water to be possible. The operator shall produce and submit a monitoring plan to the Environment Agency for approval detailing how the substance(s) will be monitored to demonstrate no pollution. The operator shall commence monitoring of the RHS within a timescale as agreed by the Environment Agency.</p>	<p>07/09/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency</p>
------------	---	--