Case Nos: 2200622/2022, 2200629/2022, 2201317/2022 2203232/2022, 2203725/2022, 2203742/2022 2203743/2022 & 2203744/2022



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimants
(1) Mr A Cozzini

v Respondent
Gentrian Limited

- (2) Mr R Udhwani
- (3) Mr C W Lau
- (4) Ms A Ramesh
- (5) Mr M Kunkler
- (6) Mr C Jones
- (7) Mr O Chalkley
- (8) Mr H Binning

Heard at: Central London Employment Tribunal On: 31 August 2022

**Before**: Employment Judge Norris, sitting alone (via CVP)

## Representation:

Claimants – In person

Respondent – Did not appear/not represented

## **JUDGMENT**

- 1. The Respondent has not entered a response to the Claimants' claims, which accordingly succeed in default pursuant to Rule 21 (Schedule 1, Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013).
- 2. The Respondent is ordered to pay to the Claimants the following sums without deduction (all figures are based on net pay):

(1) Mr Cozzini	£16,211.36;
(2) Mr Udhwani	£6,000.00;
(3) Mr Lau	£3,441.20;
(4) Ms Ramesh	£5,733.27;
(5) Mr Kunkler	£91,740.16;
(6) Mr Jones	£23,037.31;
(7) Mr Chalkley	£23,346.55;
(8) Mr Binning	£14,431.50.

 The Tribunal makes a declaration under section 12(3) Employment Rights Act 1996 ("Act") that the Respondent has failed to provide itemised payslips to Mr Kunkler and Mr Jones, contrary to section 8 of the Act. Case Nos: 2200622/2022, 2200629/2022, 2201317/2022 2203232/2022, 2203725/2022, 2203742/2022

2203743/2022 & 2203744/2022

4. The Claimants' contracts of employment were with the Respondent. The Tribunal does not have the power to add its director as a party to the proceedings and nor does it have jurisdiction to consider a claim for the tort of deception.

5. The Tribunal has considered whether it is appropriate to order an employer penalty under section 12A(1) Employment Tribunals Act 1996. In light of section 12A(2) (the requirement to have regard to an employer's ability to pay) no such order is made.

> **Employment Judge Norris** Date: 31 August 2022 JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

> > 01/09/2022

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Reasons for the decision having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a written request is received from any party within 14 days of the sending of this record of the decision.

Note: