

From: Liz Lynch [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 September 2022 14:01
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Berden Hall Farm (Pelham Solar)

Application number on S62A/22/0006 (and UTT/22/2046/PINS)

I am writing to object to the proposal by Statera to construct a solar farm on 177 acres of land at Berden Hall Farm.

My name is Elizabeth Lynch [REDACTED]

The reason for my objection is as follows:

The site is not flat and is not suitable for a solar farm

- There is a significant slope which rises up from Ginns Road to the top of the site. The OS Map shows the contours of the Northern boundary of the site (parallel to Ginns Road) to be 111m above sea level. However, the top of the site is 125m above sea level i.e. around 12m higher. As the panels are over 3m high, it follows that the panels will be completely visible to walker, cyclist, rider or road user as they travel along Ginns Road. It will be impossible to mitigate the significant visual impact of this industrial development by planting hedges adjacent to Ginns Road. Hedges do not provide adequate screening in winter.

The cumulative effect of the solar farm and the adjacent industrial battery storage facility is unacceptable.

- Paragraph 155 of the National Planning Policy Framework makes it clear that the adverse impacts of solar farms must be addressed satisfactorily and that the cumulative landscape and visual impacts of the proposed development must be considered.
- The cumulative impact of the hugely visible and poorly screened battery storage facility (built by Statera) and the proposed solar farm will completely industrialise this rural area.
- The size of the proposed solar farm is excessive. The location (i.e. next to the battery storage facility and the National Grid) has not been chosen because of its suitability but because it will be cheaper to develop.

Statera have not demonstrated that the use of high quality agricultural land is necessary

- Eddie Hughes MP, a Minister at the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government confirmed in June 2021 that the statements made by Eric Pickles in 2015 are still applicable. Therefore, Uttlesford must consider whether the use of agricultural land has been shown to be necessary.
- Uttlesford's Policy ENV5 also says that development of the best and most versatile agricultural land will only be permitted where opportunities have been assessed for accommodating development on previously developed sites or within existing development limits. Where development of agricultural land is required, developers should seek to use areas of poorer quality except where other sustainability considerations suggest otherwise.

- As the land identified for development is high-quality agricultural land its use must be justified by the most compelling evidence.
- In the FAQ document published by Statera on their development website: [REDACTED] the developer states:

Question: What other locations did you consider? Answer: None!

- 19 October 2014, , Liz Truss (then a DEFRA Minister) said the following:

“English farmland is some of the best in the world and I want to see it dedicated to growing quality food and crops. I do not want to see its productive potential wasted and its appearance blighted by solar farms. Farming is what our farms are for and it is what keeps our landscape beautiful.

I am committed to food production in this country and it makes my heart sink to see row upon row of solar panels where once there was a field of wheat or grassland for livestock to graze. That is why I am scrapping farming subsidies for solar fields. Solar panels are best placed on the 250,000 hectares of south facing commercial rooftops where they will not compromise the success of our agricultural industry”.

The local roads are not suitable for such large construction vehicles

- I note that the construction period will run for 6-months and an average of up to 50 construction workers are forecast to be on site during peak times.
- The supporting text for Uttlesford Policy ENV15 states development will only be permitted in locations where the local road network is capable of handling any additional traffic generated by the proposal.
- Statera state that construction traffic will travel west on the A120 up to Little Hadham, and through Clapgate and Patmore Heath on Albury Road and that vehicles will turn onto Ginns Road and travel through Stocking Pelham before arriving at the site access point just before the entrance to Berden. There could be up to 20 lorries per day arriving and departing during the peak construction period. These roads are not suitable for large numbers of lorries and are currently in a state of disrepair from the existing traffic volume.
- This is EXACTLY THE SAME access route that it proposed for the construction of (i) a new battery storage plant at Green’s Farm (see the application to East Herts DC 3/21/0969/FUL) and (ii) a new battery storage plant at Crabb’s Green (see the application to East Herts DC 3/22/0806/FUL).
- The road between Little Hadham and Berden is a small country road. At some points, it is barely wide enough to accommodate two regular cars. Cars currently need to stop in order to allow tractors to pass. It is completely unsuitable for articulated lorries or large HGVs.
- An access point off the road is entirely unsuitable for vehicles of this size.
- All vehicles will pass directly in front of the pre-school in Stocking Pelham – I am concerned about the safety of primary school children and I strongly believe the planning team should share those concerns.

As with all other Energy based, submitted, planning requests for this location, I respectfully request that the planning teams look through the outright falsehoods presented by developers. All requests allege to be ECO based but have the single ulterior motive of greed. If there was no existing National Grid or Battery storage capabilities in the vicinity this location would not have been selected.

If Solar power is seen to be a primary energy solution within the UK then an overriding principle should be to permit development in a non-intrusive manner on poor quality land. Decisions must be taken with long-term effects to established communities as a primary factor. This can be achieved.

Regards

Elizabeth Lynch

