From: Wayne Lysaght-Mason

**Sent:** 18 August 2022 11:18

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc:

**Subject:** Berden Hall Farm (Pelham Solar)

Application number on S62A/22/0006 (and UTT/22/2046/PINS)

I am writing to object to the proposal by Statera to construct a solar farm on 177 acres of land at Berden Hall Farm.

My name is Wayne Lysaght-Mason

I live at



The reason for my objection is as follows:

## 1. The land will not remain in agricultural use

Statera suggest that the majority of the land on the site is Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land which is "best and most versatile" agricultural land. In 2022 the majority of the proposed site was for wheat production; something needed to reduce imports and hence decrease carbon emissions.

- This is productive farm land which should be used for farming.
- It is predicted that we will need to produce 56 per cent more food by 2050 due to increasing populations. We have not increased food production by 56 per cent in the last 30 years, and if we continue to build on farmland we have no hope of achieving it in the next 30 years either.
- New research from the CPRE has found almost 14,500 hectares of the country's best agricultural land has been permanently lost to development in just 12 years. The research has found that there has been a huge rise in BMV agricultural land set aside for housing and industry between 2010 and 2022, from 60 hectares to more than 6,000 hectares per year.

## 2. Statera have not demonstrated that the use of high quality agricultural land is necessary

- Eddie Hughes MP, a Minister at the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government confirmed in June 2021 that there the statements made by Eric Pickles in 2015 are still applicable. Therefore, Uttlesford must consider whether the use of agricultural land has been shown to be necessary.
- Uttlesford's Policy ENV5 also says that development of the best and most versatile agricultural land will only be permitted where opportunities have been assessed for accommodating development on previously developed sites or within existing development limits. Where development of agricultural land is required, developers should seek to use areas of poorer quality except where other sustainability considerations suggest otherwise.

• As the land identified for development is high-quality agricultural land its use must be justified by the most compelling evidence.

## 3. The cumulative effect of the solar farm and the adjacent industrial battery storage facility is unacceptable.

- Paragraph 155 of the National Planning Policy Framework makes it clear that the adverse impacts of solar farms must be addressed satisfactorily and that the cumulative landscape and visual impacts of the proposed development must be considered.
- The cumulative impact of the hugely visible and poorly screened battery storage facility (built by Statera) and the proposed solar farm will completely industrialise this rural area.
- The size of the proposed solar farm is excessive. The location (i.e. next to the battery storage facility) has not been chosen because of its suitability but because it will be cheap for the developer.

## 4. There has been no meaningful consultation with local residents

• The only consultation with residents has been in the form of an "exhibition" held for a single afternoon in March. The MAJORITY of residents who will be affected by the development were not invited to the exhibition. A review of the mailing list used by Statera demonstrates that only 71 properties in Stocking and Berden were contacted (of which 22 were in Stocking Pelham and just 49 were in Berden). Stocking Pelham has approximately 70 properties and Berden has very close to 200.