

## Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Food and drink production Princes Limited, Lord North Street, Newton Heath, Manchester, Lancashire, M40 2HJ SJ8676699835
-----------------------------------	---

Document reference of application SCR	<a href="#">Application Surrender Princes Site Report</a>
---------------------------------------	---

Date and version of application SCR	08/12/2021, version 1
-------------------------------------	-----------------------

### 1.0 Site details

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

N/A Surrender of site.

### 2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

To be completed by GWCL officers  
(Receptor)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
  - pollution incidents that may have affected land
  - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
  - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
  - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

N/A Surrender of site

### 3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

**Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?**

**Response  
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)**

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

N/A Surrender of site

### 3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment

(Source)

The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.

N/A Surrender of site

**3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?**

(Conceptual model)

Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?

If Yes, specify what additional controls/checks may be necessary

For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?

(This may consist of improved infrastructure, targeted surveillance monitoring by the operator and/or inspections by compliance teams)

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	
Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant. (Advise the permitting team on what additional information is needed)	
Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or	
Pollution of land and water is likely (Advise the permitting team on what additional controls/checks may be necessary)	
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	
Date and name of reviewer:	

## Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

<b>4.0 Changes to the activities</b> (Source)	
<b>Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?</b>	<b>Response</b> (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
N/A Surrender of site	

  

<b>5.0 Measures taken to protect land</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
<b>N/A Surrender of site</b>

  

<b>6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation</b> To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources)
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
<b>N/A Surrender of site</b>

  

<b>7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)</b>
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
<b>N/A Surrender of site</b>

## Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

### 8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk

To be completed by EM/PPC officers

Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?

Yes, decommissioning has been undertaken and all pollution risks have been removed. There are no records of land pollution events at the site.

A final inspection was undertaken on 22 October 2021 by Rachel Burton of the Environment Agency, as detailed in EPR Compliance Assessment Report. The CAR notes that:

*This CAR form is for the pre arranged visit to discuss the decommissioning and surrender of the site permit. The meeting was arranged between the EA and the consultant who is preparing the documentation for the surrender, representatives from Princes' Management Team were also on site.*

*A meeting was held first to discuss the decommissioning process and what had so far been carried out along with timescales for the work to be completed and the surrender documentation that was to be submitted to the EA. At the time of the visit the decommissioning was well under way and the majority of the site had been dismantled and all items of concern, oils, liquids and refrigerant gases had been removed from site and all services not required by the new owner had been disconnected. The infrastructure was almost all dismantled and removed off site, there have been some delays due to a break in on site that will delay the surrender application.*

*The consultant acting on Princes behalf will be submitting all the required documentation within the next few weeks along with photos to show the site being fully cleared. The surrender fee has already been paid to the EA. The new owner of the site is aware that the permit will not have been surrendered by the time they take occupation of the site.*

*The inspection raised no concerns regarding the ability for this site to surrender assuming that all the relevant information has been supplied to the EA permitting teams.*

### 9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?

(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307\_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.

Where surrender reference data is needed, applicants will only need to collect this for the measures/areas where they can't show that there has been no change in the condition of the land using the information collected during the life of the permit. Refer to Sections 3 & 4 above.

When assessing whether any baseline reference data is relevant, you must consider whether it relates to the appropriate media (e.g. soil, groundwater, gas) substances and area of the site.

This is a low risk surrender. The diesel tank was removed in 2018 and the plant has run on natural gas ever since

No reference data collected, the SPMP implemented was for infrastructure only.  
No land pollution events were reported to have occurred at the site.

### 10.0a Statement of site condition

To be completed by EM/PPC officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

Yes, an area officer has confirmed this in a final CAR form.

### 10.0b Statement of site condition

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

Yes - Section 6.2 of the Environmental Permit Surrender Report 2021-12-08

### Surrender SCR decision summary

To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS

Tick relevant decision

Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or

✓

Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:

Date and name of reviewer

Carl Highton  
10/8/22