Case Number: 1402632/2020 and 1402561/2020



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

V

**Claimants:** Mrs J Pawlowski Respondent:
Oasis Fashions Limited (in

Oasis Fashions Limited (in administration) (1)

Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (2)

## **JUDGMENT**

**Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013 – rule 47** 

The claimant's claim is dismissed under rule 47.

## **REASONS**

- 1. The claimant was employed by the first respondent, a national British fashion retailer which went into administration on 15 April 2020. The claimant brought an employment tribunal claim making claims for a protective award (for failure to consult), for a redundancy payment, notice pay and arrears of pay. The claim was stayed pending consent from the administrators of the respondent.
- 2. In the ET3 and grounds of resistance presented on 27 September 2021, the administrators of the respondent granted consent for the claimant's claim against the respondent to proceed. The respondent disputes the claimant's claim for a protective award. It says that the duty to consult under section 188 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 did not arise in respect of the claimant because she worked at an establishment where the respondent was proposing to dismiss fewer than 20 employees.
- 3. The tribunal wrote to the claimant on 25 March 2022 to say that the claimant's claims would be decided at a hearing to be conducted by video on 15 July 2022. The tribunal made case management orders for the claimant to provide details of the amounts claimed, documents in support of her claim and a witness statement. In a letter dated 1 June 2022 the tribunal wrote to the claimant to say that the date of the hearing had been changed to 14 July 2022.
- 4. The claimant did not provide any information in accordance with the case management orders. She did not attend and was not represented at the

Case Number: 1402632/2020 and 1402561/2020

hearing on 14 July 2022. She did not contact the tribunal to say why she would not be attending. The claim has not been actively pursued, and it seems that the claimant has decided not to pursue her claim.

5. In the circumstances, the claim is dismissed under rule 47 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013. This rule relates to non-attendance at a hearing.

**Employment Judge Hawksworth** 

Date: 21 July 2022

Sent to the parties on: 26 July 2022

For the Tribunal Office

## Public access to employment tribunal decisions:

All judgments and any written reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.