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## Beauty Box Sterling Limited

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

The Judgment of the Employment Tribunal is:
(First) That the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant Cherie, Stevenson, whose date of birth is the 7/August/1985 was employed by the respondent from the $1^{\text {st }}$ of October 2019 to the $22^{\text {nd }}$ of January 2022 on which latter date she was
dismissed for reason of redundancy in terms of section 139(1)(a) of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
(Second) That the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant whose gross and net weekly wage was £144 per week, who was 36 years of age and who had accrued 2 complete years of service as at the Effective Date of Termination of her employment, the $22^{\text {nd }}$ of January 2022, is entitled in terms of section 162(2)(b) of the Employment Rights Act 1996 to receive, and the respondent shall pay to the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant, a redundancy payment of $£ 288$ (being 2 weeks x £144 gross per week).
(Third) That the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant was entitled to receive 2 weeks statutory notice in terms of section 86(1)(b) of the Employment Rights Act 1996, that the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant was dismissed by the respondent without being permitted to work her notice period, is entitled to receive, and the respondent shall pay to the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant, 2 weeks pay in lieu of notice in the sum of $£ 288$ being ( 2 weeks x $£ 144$ net per week).
(Fourth) That contrary to the provisions of section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996, the respondent made an unauthorised deduction from the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant's last wage payment in January 2022 in respect of 3 days, worked by the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant on the $28^{\text {th }}, 29^{\text {th }}$ and $30^{\text {th }}$ of April 2021 but not paid for by the respondent, in the sum of $£ 144$; and the respondent shall make payment to the $1^{\text {st }}$ named claimant in the equivalent sum of $£ 144$ being 3 days at $£ 48$ net per day.
(Fifth) That the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant Karyn King, whose date of birth is the $3^{\text {rd }}$ of the $2^{\text {nd }} 1989$, was employed by the respondent from on or about the $20^{\text {th }}$ of July 2016 to the $20^{\text {th }}$ of January 2022 on which latter date she was dismissed for reason of redundancy in terms of section 139(1)(a) of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
(Sixth) That the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant whose gross weekly wage was $£ 385$ per week and whose net weekly wage was $£ 308$ per week, and who was

32 years of age and had accrued 5 complete years of service as at the Effective Date of Termination of her employment on the $20^{\text {th }}$ of January 2022, is entitled in terms of section 162(2)(b) of the Employment Rights Act 1996 to receive, and the respondent shall pay to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant, a redundancy payment of $£ 1,925$ being ( 5 weeks at $£ 385$ gross per week.)
(Seventh) That the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant was entitled to receive 5 weeks statutory notice in terms of section 86(1)(b) of the Employment Rights Act 1996, was dismissed by the respondent without being permitted to work her notice period and is entitled to receive, and the respondent shall pay to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant, 5 weeks pay in lieu of notice in the sum of $£ 1,540$ (being $5 \times £ 308$ net per week.)
(Eighth) That contrary to the provisions of section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996, the respondent made an unauthorised deduction from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant's last wage payment in January of 2022, in respect of 3 days worked by the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant on the $28^{\text {th }}, 29^{\text {th }}$ and $30^{\text {th }}$ of April 2021 but not paid for by the respondent, in the sum of $£ 264$ being $3 \times £ 88$ net per day and the respondent shall make payment to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ named claimant in the equivalent sum of $£ 264$.
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