EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant:	**Mr Said Mezali**
Respondent:	**Shorterm Limited**
Heard at:	**Watford Hearing Centre**
On:	**10 June 2022**
Before:	**Employment Judge Tobin**

Attendance
Claimant: In person
Respondent: Mr D Stanley (counsel)

JUDGMENT

This has been a hybrid hearing which has been consented to by the parties. The claimant attended the hearing centre in person, the respondent participated by video link through HMCTS Cloud Video Platform. A full face-to-face hearing was not held because the relevant matters could be determined in a part-in person and part-remote hearing.

Upon hearing the claimant and hearing the respondent's representative and Ms C Davis for the respondent, the Judgment of the Employment Tribunal is that:

1. **The claimant's claims in respect of outstanding wages and non-payment of expenses have been dealt with in Manchester County Court proceedings, under Claim No: D6QZ89NR.**

2. **The claimant's complaint in respect of an itemised pay statement is refused as such wages and expenses that were deemed payable arose from the orders made from the aforementioned Manchester County Court proceedings. In such circumstances, the Employment Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to make an order under s8 Employment Rights Act 1996.**

3. Proceedings are now dismissed.

_____
Employment Judge Tobin
Dated: 10 June 2022
JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

 25 June 2022

N Gotecha

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

<u>Note</u>
Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions
All judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at _www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions_ shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.