# Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)

## Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/GP3293LW The Operator is: Barfoot Energy Limited

The Installation is: Sefter Farm

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/GP3293LW/V005

#### What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication by the European Commission of updated decisions on BAT Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for the Waste Treatment industry sector published on 10 August 2018 in the Official Journal of the European Union. In this decision document, we set out the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing Best Available Techniques (BAT) Conclusions (BATc) for Waste Treatment as detailed in document reference C(2018) 5070. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. Where this has not already been done, it also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and with other permits issued to Installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental

protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document, we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. Achieving all three objectives is not always easy, and we would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future.

#### How this document is structured

- 1. Our decision
- 2. How we reached our decision
- 3. The legal framework
- 4. Annex 1 Review of operating techniques within the Installation against BAT Conclusions.
- 5. Annex 2 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review
- 6. Annex 3 Improvement Conditions

#### 1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow the Operator to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice that updates the whole permit.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

#### 2 How we reached our decision

### 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusion techniques

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 21/10/2019 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate where the operation of their installation currently meets, or how it will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the relevant BAT Conclusions document.

The Notice required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17 August 2022, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standards, or
- justifies why standards will not be met by 17 August 2022, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the Installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standards are not applicable to those processes, or
- justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised BAT standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT-AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice required that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that BAT-AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 21/04/2020.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review.

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

# 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the Installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous experience in the regulation of the installation we consider that the Operator will be able to comply with the techniques and standards described in the BAT Conclusions other than for those techniques and requirements described in BAT Conclusion 1, 2, 8, 10, 12, 16 and 34.

In relation to these BAT Conclusions, we do not fully agree with the Operator in respect of their current stated capability as recorded in their response to the Regulation 61 Notice.

We have therefore included Improvement Condition IC2 in the Consolidated Variation Notice to ensure that the requirements of the BAT Conclusions are delivered before 17 August 2022.

#### 3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

#### **Annex 1: decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions**

BAT Conclusions for the Biowaste Sector, were published by the European Commission on 10<sup>th</sup> August 2018. There are 30 BAT Conclusions. This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA – Not Applicable

**CC – Currently Compliant** 

FC – Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT conclusions)

NC - Not Compliant

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features:  I. commitment of the management, including senior management; II. definition, by the management, of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the environmental performance of the installation; III. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; IV. implementation of procedures paying particular attention to:  (a) structure and responsibility, (b) recruitment, training, awareness and competence, (c) communication, (d) employee involvement, (e) documentation, (f) effective process control, (g) maintenance programmes, (h) emergency preparedness and response, (i) safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation;	FC	<ul> <li>Environment Agency assessment</li> <li>The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 1. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 1.</li> <li>There are key elements missing from the EMS including:         <ul> <li>IV(g) maintenance programmes, including winter plant protection procedures;</li> <li>VIII consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the plant at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life;</li> <li>XI an inventory of waste water and waste gas streams;</li> <li>Management of change procedures.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	<ul> <li>V. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: <ul> <li>(a) monitoring and measurement (see also the JRC Reference Report on Monitoring of emissions to air and water from IED installations – ROM),</li> <li>(b) corrective and preventive action,</li> <li>recruitment, training, awareness and competence,</li> <li>(c) maintenance of records,</li> <li>(d) independent (where practicable) internal or external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 1. Improvement condition IC2 is incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3).  The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.  See also BATc 3.
	<ul> <li>VI. review, by senior management, of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness;</li> <li>VII. following the development of cleaner technologies;</li> <li>VIII. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the plant at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life;</li> <li>IX. application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis;</li> <li>X. waste stream management (see BAT 2);</li> <li>XI. an inventory of waste water and waste gas streams (see BAT 3);</li> <li>XII. residues management plan (see description in Section 6.5);</li> </ul>		

BAT Conclusion No	XIII. accident management plan (see description in Section 6.5); XIV. odour management plan (see BAT 12) XV. noise and vibration management plan (see BAT 17).	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
2	In order to improve the overall environmental performance of the plant, BAT is to use all of the techniques listed below:  (a) Set up and implement waste characterisation and pre-acceptance procedures; (b) Set up and implement waste acceptance procedures; (c) Set up and implement a waste tracking system and inventory; (d) Set up and implement an output quality management system; (e) Ensure waste segregation; (f) Ensure waste compatibility prior to mixing or blending of waste; (g) Sort incoming solid waste	FC	Environment Agency assessment  The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 2. We have assessed the information provided.  We have assessed the information provided in the site EMS. We are satisfied that compliance with BATc 2 has been largely demonstrated however we consider that aspects of BATc 2 (a) have not been adequately addressed with respect to characterisation of the following non-standard waste streams: EWC 03 03 02, 03 03 08, 03.03.10, 04.01.01, 04.01.05, 04.01.07, 07.12.13, 19.05.01, 19.05.02, 19.05.03 and 20.01.28.  We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 2 (a) Improvement

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			condition IC2 is incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3). The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.
3	In order to facilitate the reduction of emissions to water and air, BAT is to establish and to maintain an inventory of waste water and waste gas streams, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that incorporates all of the following features:  (i) information about the characteristics of the waste to be treated and the waste treatment processes, including: (a) simplified process flow sheets that show the origin of the emissions; (b) descriptions of process-integrated techniques and waste water/waste gas treatment at source including their performances;  (ii) information about the characteristics of the waste water streams, such as: (a) average values and variability of flow, pH, temperature, and conductivity;	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 3. We have assessed the information provided. We are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 3.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	(b) average concentration and load values of relevant substances and their variability (e.g. COD/TOC, nitrogen species, phosphorus, metals, priority substances /micropollutants); (c) data on bioeliminability (e.g. BOD, BOD to COD ratio, Zahn-Wellens test, biological inhibition potential (e.g. inhibition of activated sludge)) (see BAT 52); (iii) information about the characteristics of the waste gas streams, such as: (a) average values and variability of flow and temperature; (b) average concentration and load values of relevant substances and their variability (e.g. organic compounds, POPs such as PCBs); (c) flammability, lower and higher explosive limits, reactivity; (d) presence of other substances that may affect the waste gas treatment system or plant safety (e.g. oxygen, nitrogen, water vapour, dust).		
4	In order to reduce the environmental risk associated with the storage of waste, BAT is to use all of the techniques given below:  (a) Optimised storage location; (b) Adequate storage capacity; (c) Safe storage operation; (d) Separate area for storage and handling of packaged hazardous waste.	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 4. We have assessed the information provided. We are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 4.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
5	In order to reduce the environmental risk associated with the handling and transfer of waste, BAT is to set up and implement handling and transfer procedures.  Handling and transfer procedures aim to ensure that wastes are safely handled and transferred to the respective storage or treatment. They include the following elements:  • handling and transfer of waste are carried out by competent staff; • handling and transfer of waste are duly documented, validated prior to execution and verified after execution; • measures are taken to prevent, detect and mitigate spills; • operation and design precautions are taken when mixing or blending wastes (e.g. vacuuming dusty/powdery wastes).  Handling and transfer procedures are risk-based considering the likelihood of accidents and incidents and their environmental impact.	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 5. We have assessed the information provided. We are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 5.
6	For relevant emissions to water as identified by the inventory of waste water streams (see BAT 3), BAT is to monitor key process parameters (e.g. waste water flow, pH, temperature, conductivity, BOD) at key locations (e.g. at the inlet and/or outlet of the pre-treatment, at the inlet to the final treatment, at the point where the emission leaves the installation).	NA	Environment Agency assessment There are no emissions to water directly or indirectly.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			We are satisfied that BATc 6 does not apply to the installation.
7	BAT is to monitor emissions to water with at least the frequency given in BATc 7, and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.	NA	Environment Agency assessment There are no emissions to water directly or indirectly. We are satisfied that BATc 7 does not apply to the installation.
8	BAT is to monitor channelled emissions to air with at least the frequency given in BATc 8, and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.	FC	Environment Agency assessment  The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 8. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 8.  Biofilters and scrubbers are not installed at the facility. The operator uses active carbon filters to remove odorous compounds from floating covers in the digestate storage lagoon and liquid waste reception tank. Channelled emissions and BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) and associated EN

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			monitoring standards are applicable to these points.  We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 8. Improvement conditions IC1 are IC2 are incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3).  The operator is required to complete the improvement conditions and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.
10	<ul> <li>BAT is to periodically monitor odour emissions.</li> <li>Odour emissions can be monitored using: <ul> <li>EN standards (e.g. dynamic olfactometry according to EN 13725 in order to determine the odour concentration or EN 16841-1 or -2 in order to determine the odour exposure);</li> <li>when applying alternative methods for which no EN standards are available (e.g. estimation of odour impact), ISO, national or other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	FC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 10. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 10. The applicability of BATc 10 is restricted to cases where a an odour nuisance at sensitive

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.  The monitoring frequency is determined in the odour management plan (see BAT 12).		receptors is expected or has been substantiated. Odour nuisance has been substantiated at localised receptors.  The current odour management plan does not include a protocol for monitoring in accordance with BATc 10.  We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 10. Improvement condition IC2 is incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3).  The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.  See also BATc 12.
11	BAT is to monitor the annual consumption of water, energy and raw materials as well as the annual generation of residues and waste water, with a frequency of at least once per year.	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 11. We have assessed the information provided. We are satisfied that

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Monitoring includes direct measurements, calculation or recording, e.g. using suitable meters or invoices. The monitoring is broken down at the most appropriate level (e.g. at process or plant/installation level) and considers any significant changes in the plant/installation.		the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 11.
12	In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review an odour management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:  • a protocol containing actions and timelines; • a protocol for conducting odour monitoring as set out in BAT 10; • a protocol for response to identified odour incidents, e.g. complaints; • an odour prevention and reduction programme designed to identify the source(s); to characterise the contributions of the sources; and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures.	FC	Environment Agency assessment  The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 12. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 12.  The operator has an approved odour management plan but the site generates odour complaints.  The current odour management plan does not include a protocol/frequencies for monitoring in accordance with BATc 10.  We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 12. Improvement condition IC2 is incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3).

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.  See also BATc 10.
13	In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below:  (a) Minimising residence times; (b) Using chemical treatment; (c) Optimising aerobic treatment	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 13. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 13.
14	In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce diffuse emissions to air, in particular of dust, organic compounds and odour, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below:  (a) Minimising the number of potential diffuse emission sources; (b) Selection and use of high-integrity equipment; (c) Corrosion prevention; (d) Containment, collection and treatment of diffuse emissions;	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 14. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 14.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	<ul><li>(e) Dampening;</li><li>(f) Maintenance;</li><li>(g) Cleaning of waste treatment and storage areas;</li><li>(h) Leak detection and repair (LDAR) programme</li></ul>		
15	BAT is to use flaring only for safety reasons or for non-routine operating conditions (e.g. start-ups, shutdowns) by using both of the techniques given below:  (a) Correct plant design; (b) Plant management	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 15. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 15. See also BATc 16.
16	In order to reduce emissions to air from flares when flaring is unavoidable, BAT is to use both of the techniques given below:  (a) Correct design of flaring devices; (b) Monitoring and recording as part of flare management	FC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 16. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 16.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			The operator has stated they are BAT compliant.  Open or shrouded flares must operate to ensure they are capable of the destruction of trace elements. Where open flares are in operation on existing plants they must be replaced with an enclosed flare at the end of their operational life.
			Compliance reports show that use of the flare is minimised and not used routinely. However it is unclear if it is capable of achieving the appropriate residency time and temperature of 0.3 seconds at 1000°C. The operator must provide evidence to demonstrate that required residency time and temperatures are being achieved to ensure efficient destruction of VOCs.
			Limited monitoring of the flare is undertaken via SCADA system and includes date, duration and number of flaring events. It is unclear if

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			the monitoring additionally includes the quantity of gas sent to flaring.  Annual submission of flare activity events will be submitted.  We are satisfied that the Installation will be future compliant with BATc 14. Improvement condition IC2 is incorporated into the permit (see Annex 3).  The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate compliance with the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.
17	In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise and vibration emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review a noise and vibration management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements:  I. a protocol containing appropriate actions and timelines; II. a protocol for conducting noise and vibration monitoring;	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 17. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 17.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	<ul> <li>III. a protocol for response to identified noise and vibration events, e.g. complaints;</li> <li>IV. a noise and vibration reduction programme designed to identify the source(s), to measure /estimate noise and vibration exposure, to characterise the contributions of the sources and to implement prevention and /or reduction measures.</li> </ul>		
18	In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise and vibration emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below:  (a) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings; (b) Operational measures; (c) Low noise-equipment; (d) Noise and vibration equipment; (e) Noise attenuation	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 18. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 18.
19	In order to optimise water consumption, to reduce the volume of waste water generated and to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce emissions to soil and water, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below:  (a) Water management; (b) Water recirculation;	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 19. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 19.

		with the BAT Conclusion requirement
(c) Impermeable surface; (d) Techniques to reduce the likelihood and impact of overflows and failures from tanks and vessels; (e) Roofing of waste storage and treatment areas; (f) Segregation of water streams (g) Adequate drainage infrastructure; (h) Design and maintenance provisions to allow detection and repair of leaks (i) Appropriate buffer storage capacity		
In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to treat waste water using an appropriate combination of the techniques given below:  **Preliminary and primary treatment, e.g.** (a) Equalisation (b) Neutralisation (c) Physical separation, e.g. screens, sieves, grit separators, grease separators, oil-water separation or primary settlement tanks  **Physico-chemical treatment, e.g.** (d) Adsorption (e) Distillation /rectification	NA	Environment Agency assessment There are no process waste wasters emitted directly or indirectly to controlled waters. We are satisfied that BATc 20 does not apply to the installation.
	(d) Techniques to reduce the likelihood and impact of overflows and failures from tanks and vessels;  (e) Roofing of waste storage and treatment areas;  (f) Segregation of water streams  (g) Adequate drainage infrastructure;  (h) Design and maintenance provisions to allow detection and repair of leaks  (i) Appropriate buffer storage capacity  In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to treat waste water using an appropriate combination of the techniques given below:  **Preliminary and primary treatment, e.g.**  (a) Equalisation  (b) Neutralisation  (c) Physical separation, e.g. screens, sieves, grit separators, grease separators, oil-water separation or primary settlement tanks  *Physico-chemical treatment, e.g.**  (d) Adsorption	(d) Techniques to reduce the likelihood and impact of overflows and failures from tanks and vessels;  (e) Roofing of waste storage and treatment areas;  (f) Segregation of water streams  (g) Adequate drainage infrastructure;  (h) Design and maintenance provisions to allow detection and repair of leaks  (j) Appropriate buffer storage capacity  In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to treat waste water using an appropriate combination of the techniques given below:  **Preliminary and primary treatment, e.g.**  (a) Equalisation  (b) Neutralisation  (c) Physical separation, e.g. screens, sieves, grit separators, grease separators, oil-water separation or primary settlement tanks  **Physico-chemical treatment, e.g.**  (d) Adsorption  (e) Distillation /rectification  (f) Precipitation

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	(h) Chemical reduction (i) Evaporation (j) lon exchange		
	(k) Stripping  Biological treatment, e.g. (l) Activated sludge process (m) Membrane bioreactor		
	<ul> <li>(n) Nitrification / denitrification when the treatment includes a biological treatment</li> <li>Solids removal, e.g.</li> <li>(o) Coagulation and flocculation</li> <li>(p) Sedimentation</li> <li>(q) Filtration (e.g. sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration)</li> <li>(r) Flotation</li> </ul>		
	See also: Table 6.1: BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for direct discharges to a receiving water body  See also:		

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment  Table 6.2: BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for indirect discharges to	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	a receiving water body		
21	In order to prevent or limit the environmental consequences of accidents and incidents, BAT is to use all of the techniques given below, as part of the accident management plan (see BAT 1):  (a) Protection measures; (b) Management of incidental /accidental emissions; (c) Incident /accident registration and assessment system	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 21. All sites will be required to have undertaken a DSEAR assessment in compliance with the regulations. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 21.
22	In order to use materials efficiently, BAT is to substitute materials with waste.  Waste is used instead of other materials for the treatment of wastes (e.g. waste alkalis or waste acids are used for pH adjustment, fly ashes are used as binders).	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 22. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 22.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
23	In order to use energy efficiently, BAT is to use both of the techniques given below:  (a) Energy efficiency plan; (b) Energy balance record	сс	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 23. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 23.
24	In order to reduce the quantity of waste sent for disposal, BAT is to maximise the reuse of packaging, as part of the residues management plan (see BAT 1).  Packaging (drums, containers, IBCs, pallets, etc.) is reused for containing waste, when it is in good condition and sufficiently clean, depending on a compatibility check between the substances contained (in consecutive uses). If necessary, packaging is sent for appropriate treatment prior to reuse (e.g. reconditioning, cleaning).	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 24. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 24.
33	In order to reduce odour emissions and to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to select the waste input.  The technique consists of carrying out the pre-acceptance, acceptance and sorting of the waste input (see BAT 2) so as to ensure the suitability of the waste input for the waste treatment, e.g. in terms of nutrient balance, moisture or toxic compounds which may reduce the biological activity.	CC	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 33. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 33.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
34	In order to reduce channelled emissions to air of dust, organic compounds and odorous compounds, including H <sub>2</sub> S and NH <sub>3</sub> , BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below:  (a) Adsorption; (b) Biofilter; (c) Fabric filter; (d) Thermal oxidation; (e) Wet scrubbing  See also: Table 6.7: BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for channelled NH <sub>3</sub> , odour, dust and TVOC emissions to air from the biological treatment of waste.	NC BATc34, Table 6.7 FC	Environment Agency assessment  The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 34. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 34.  Biofilters and scrubbers are not installed at the facility. The operator uses active carbon filters to remove odorous compounds from floating covers in the digestate storage lagoon and liquid reception tank. The filters have not been assessed for longevity or replacement time/frequency, in addition these carbon filters are channelled emissions and must meet the relevant BAT AEL.  The waste reception building does not have an appropriate odour abatement system fitted in accordance with BAT requirements.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			The operator has made no reference to monitoring point source emissions of the relevant parameters stated in BATc 34 (Table 6.7 NH <sub>3</sub> , odour and H <sub>2</sub> S).  We have set a BAT-AEL for ammonia as specified in the Waste Treatment BREF and BAT Conclusions for the existing carbon filters and waste reception building (post completion of IC1 and IC2).  In addition to the BAT-AEL, we have inserted the requirement to monitor odour
			concentration, hydrogen sulphide and ammonia on a 6-monthly frequency in Table S3.2 and S3.3 for the waste reception building (following completion of IC1 and IC2).  Improvement condition IC1 and IC2 have been included in the permit to achieve compliance.
			The operator is required to complete the improvement condition and demonstrate

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			compliance with BAT-AEL by the compliance date, 17 August 2022.  As part of the Environment Agency approach to reduce emissions in the biowaste treatment sector, we have included the following additional improvement conditions (to be implemented after completion of IC1 and IC2 as outlined above):  Improvement condition for the review of effectiveness of abatement plant  Improvement condition IC6 requires the operator to review abatement plant on site, in order to determine whether existing measures have been effective and adequate to prevent and/or minimise emissions released to air.  Where further improvements are identified, the operator is required to implement these measures.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
35	In order to reduce the generation of waste water and to reduce water usage, BAT is to use all of the techniques given below:  (a) Segregation of water streams; (b) Water recirculation; (c) Minimisation of the generation of leachate	СС	Environment Agency assessment The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 35. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 35.
36	In order to reduce emissions to air and to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to monitor and/or control the key waste and process parameters.  Monitoring and/or control of key waste and process parameters, including:  • waste input characteristics (e.g. C to N ratio, particle size);  • temperature and moisture content at different points in the windrow;  • aeration of the windrow (e.g. via the windrow turning frequency, O <sub>2</sub> and/or CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in the windrow, temperature of air streams in the case of forced aeration);  • windrow porosity, height and width.	NA	Environment Agency assessment We are satisfied that BATc 36 does not apply to the installation.
37	In order to reduce diffuse emissions to air of dust, odour and bioaerosols from open-air treatment steps, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given below:	NA	Environment Agency assessment We are satisfied that BATc 37 does not apply to the installation.

BAT Conclusion No	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Waste Treatment  (a) Use of semi permeable membrane covers;	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	(b) Adaptation of operations to the meteorological conditions		
38	In order to reduce emissions to air and to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to monitor and/or control the key waste and process parameters.  This includes monitoring and/or control of key waste and process parameters:  • pH and alkalinity of the digester feed;  • digester operating temperature;  • hydraulic and organic loading rates of the digester feed;  • concentration of volatile fatty acids (VFA) and ammonia within the digester and digestate;  • biogas quantity, composition (e.g. H <sub>2</sub> S) and pressure;  • liquid and foam levels in the digester.	CC	Environment Agency assessment  The operator provided information to support compliance with BATc 38.  We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 38.
39	In order to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to use both of the techniques given	NA	Environment Agency assessment
	below:  (a) Segregation of the waste gas streams; (b) Recirculation of waste gas		We are satisfied that BATc 39 does not apply to the installation.

### Annex 2: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review

#### **Existing Medium Combustion Plant**

We asked the Operator to provide information on all combustion plant on site in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows:

- Number of combustion plant (CHP engines, back-up generators, boilers);
- Size of combustion plant rated thermal input (MWth)
- Date each combustion plant came into operation
- Confirmation as to whether or not the combustion plant is subject to a capacity market agreement (2014 or 2015 auction) or whether or not a Feedin Tariff preliminary accreditation application was received prior to 1 December 2016

The Operator did not provide information regarding medium combustion plant in their response to the Regulation 61 Notice.

For existing MCP with a rated thermal input of less than or equal to 5 MW, the emission limit values set out in tables 1 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex II MCPD shall apply from 1 January 2030.

We have included the appropriate emission limit values for existing medium combustion plant as part of this permit review. See Table S3.1 in the permit.

We carried out an assessment and consider plant qualify as "existing" medium combustion plant.

For existing MCP with a rated thermal input of less than or equal to 5 MW, the emission limit values set out in tables 1 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex II MCPD shall apply from 1 January 2030.

We have included the appropriate emission limit values for existing medium combustion plant as part of this permit review. See Table S3.1 in the permit.

#### **Bioaerosols monitoring requirements**

We asked the Operator to confirm the following aspects regarding the site operations in the Regulation 61 Notice:

- Whether or not the operational processes of biodegradable waste are in open processes within 250 metres of human receptors.
- Whether or not there is a channelled or point source release within 250 metres that are open sources e.g. biofilters within 250 metres of human receptors; and
- The existing permit contains bioaerosols monitoring requirements, the microbiological markers, associated bioaerosols limits and the monitoring standards

The Operator did not provide information regarding bioaerosols monitoring in their response to the Regulation 61 Notice. We carried out an assessment of the site location and the distance of site processes from sensitive receptors as part of this determination.

There are no external site operational processes and/or channelled /point sources within 250 metres of a sensitive receptor. Monitoring of bioaerosols is not required at the Installation.

#### Soil & groundwater risk assessment (baseline report)

The IED requires that the operator of any IED installation using, producing or releasing "relevant hazardous substances" (RHS) shall, having regarded the possibility that they might cause pollution of soil and groundwater, submit a "baseline report" with its permit application. The baseline report is an important reference document in the assessment of contamination that might arise during the operational lifetime of the regulated facility and at cessation of activities. It must enable a quantified comparison to be made between the baseline and the state of the site at surrender.

At the definitive cessation of activities, the Operator has to satisfy us that the necessary measures have been taken so that the site ceases to pose a risk to soil or groundwater, taking into account both the baseline conditions and the site's current or approved future use. To do this, the Operator has to submit a surrender application to us, which we will not grant unless and until we are satisfied that these requirements have been met.

The Operator submitted a qualitative site condition report 'Site Condition Report dated: September 2011' together with the original application which was received by the Environment Agency on 11/04/2012, this predated the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) and changes introduced by the EPR. This included a description of the condition of the site, a risk assessment and consideration of the possibility of soil and groundwater contamination.

The operator selected not to provide baseline data. We reviewed that report and considered that it adequately described the condition of the soil and groundwater at that time.

The Operator has accepted 'zero contamination' beneath the site. This means that when the Operator applies to surrender the Permit, any contamination by substances used at, produced or released from the facility would be considered to have resulted from the operation of the installation. This is in accordance with the Environment Agency Guidance H5 – Site Condition Report

#### Waste types

We have specified the permitted waste types, descriptions and quantities, which can be accepted at the regulated facility. The wastes are specified in Table S2.2 in the permit.

We are satisfied that the Operator can accept these wastes for the following reasons:

- they are suitable for the proposed activities
- the proposed infrastructure is appropriate
- the environmental risk assessment is acceptable.

#### Other wastes (non-standard waste codes)

The following wastes in the current permit are not specified in the our revised biowaste treatment permit templates. We have retained these wastes in the current permit provided the Operator undertakes a detailed characterisation of the wastes prior to acceptance for treatment at the site in accordance with BATc 2a.

Waste code	Description
03 03 02	green liquor sludge
03 03 08	wastes from sorting of paper and cardboard destined for recycling – cardboard, newspaper, tissues, paper.
03 03 10	fibre rejects, fibre-, filler- and coating-sludges from mechanical separation
04 01 01	fleshings and lime split wastes
04 01 05	tanning liquor free of chromium
04 01 07	sludges not containing chromium
07 02 13	waste plastic- must conform to BS EN 13432
19 05 01	non-composted fraction of municipal and similar wastes
19 05 02	non-composted fraction of animal and vegetable wastes
19 05 03	off-specification compost from source segregated biodegradable waste.
20 01 38	untreated wood where no non-biodegradable coating or preserving substance is present

We consider that the Operator will be future compliant with BATc 2a. Improvement condition IC2 has been included in the permit to achieve compliance (see Annex 3).

We made this decision with respect to waste types in accordance with the Framework Guidance Note – Framework for assessing suitability of wastes going to anaerobic digestion, composting and biological treatment (July 2013).

#### Excluded wastes (99 waste codes)

We have excluded the following waste streams ending with "99" code(s) because more suitable waste codes are already in the European Waste Catalogue (EWC) that accommodate the waste described:

Waste code	Description
02 01 99	Residues from commercial mushroom cultivation
02 02 99	sludges from gelatine production, animal gut contents

Waste code	Description
02 03 99	sludge from production of edible fats and oils to include seasoning residues, molasses residues, residues from production of potato, corn or rice starch
02 04 99	other wastes
02 07 99	spent grains, hops and whisky filter sheets/ cloths, yeast and yeast like residues, sludge from production process.
02 07 99	wastes not otherwise specified (malt husks, malt sprouts, yeast and yeast-like residues only)
19 05 99	composting liquors

Our technical guidance on waste classification WM3 specifically sets out clear instructions for the use of the European Waste Catalogue (EWC), particularly with regard to "99" codes.

The guidance specifies that the Operator must:

- Identify the source generating the waste in chapters 01 to 12 or 17 to 20 and identify the appropriate six-digit code of the waste (excluding codes ending with 99 of these chapters).
- If no appropriate waste code can be found in chapters 01 to 12 or 17 to 20, the chapters 13, 14 and 15 must be examined to identify the waste.
- If none of these waste codes apply, the waste must be identified according to chapter 16.
- If the waste is not in chapter 16, the 99 code (wastes not otherwise specified)
  must be used in the section of the list corresponding to the activity identified in
  step one as a last resort.

We made this decision with respect to "99" codes in accordance with the Technical Guidance WM3: Waste Classification – Guidance on the classification and assessment of waste [1st Edition v1.1, May 2018].

#### Secondary containment and lagoon storage infrastructure design

We asked the Operator via the Regulation 61 Notice to:

- describe any secondary containment and whether it currently meets the relevant standard in the "Containment systems for the prevention of pollution (C736)" report, where there are above-ground storage or primary containment on site; or
- explain why the current site infrastructure design and construction is fit for purpose, where it is concluded that secondary containment is not required or does not need to meet the standards in the C736 report, to enable a baseline standard so as to establish a quantified comparison; and
- describe how the construction of the lagoons meets the relevant standard in CIRIA C736 report, where there are storage lagoons used for the storage of digestate on site.

#### Risk assessment for secondary containment and storage lagoons

In accordance with the CIRIA C736, the general framework for the risk assessment of containment adopts a three-step approach as follows:

**Step 1** applies the source–pathway–receptor model to the site to assess the hazard presented by the inventory to the surrounding environment. The assessment of the source–pathway–receptor is combined to provide a **site hazard rating**. However, in many cases the nature and quantity of the inventory and knowledge of nearby sensitive receptors such as water bodies or designated habitats may be sufficient to determine that there is negligible (low site hazard rating) or, conversely, a high (high site hazard rating) risk.

**Step 2** considers the likelihood of a loss of containment. This will depend on several factors such as the reliability of the operations and inspections undertaken on site, the conditions of the primary storage vessels and the degree they are protected from impact damage etc. Security will also be a consideration. The likelihood of a loss of containment is combined with the site hazard rating to provide a **site risk rating**.

**Step 3** the site risk rating leads to a recommendation for an appropriate class of containment.

The operator submitted a secondary containment report (Report 20-081-R-001, dated 17/04/2020) which consisted of an assessment of the existing site secondary containment and storage lagoon in comparison to the CIRIA C736 standard. The report however did not include a site specific risk assessment in accordance with Chapter 2 of CIRIA C736 using the PROjEN AD Containment Classification Tool.

Consequently, we are unable to establish the overall site risk rating and appropriate class of containment for the site.

In addition, the report outlines a number of non-compliances and required remedial actions under C736 standards including:

- Preliminary "flood" risk modelling shows that major tank breach could result in spillage and pollution risk off-site (exiting either side of the building at the north of the plant site);
- no secure retaining bund along the northern margin of the containment area (and therefore no freeboard capacity);
- ground permeability not proven;
- Integrity and presence of secondary containment for buried pipework not known;
- existing French drain arrangement non-compliant;
- requirements for a 750mm freeboard within the lagoon could not be confirmed.

We are not satisfied that the existing site containment and storage lagoon meets the standards set out in CIRIA C736 standards

We have set improvement conditions in the permit to address the deficiencies in the existing site secondary containment (IC3) and lagoon storage infrastructure (IC4). See Improvement conditions in Annex 3 of this decision document.

### <u>Primary containment infrastructure design (tanks /vessels used for storage and/or treatment activities)</u>

We assessed primary containment as part of the permit review. This information was not requested in the Regulation 61 Notice issued to the Operator, however, it was considered prudent to address this aspect as part of the permit review process. In this instance, the required information relating to the review of primary containment infrastructure against CIRIA C736 was not previously submitted to the Environment Agency, however it was provided by the operator as supporting documentation on the 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2021.

We assessed the Operator's primary containment assessment having regard to the following guidance document as part of the permit review:

• CIRIA C736 Containment systems for the prevention of pollution

The Operator included the manufacturer's design and recommendations as part of the supporting information. We are satisfied that the existing primary containment (tanks and vessels) meets the standards set out in CIRIA C736.

#### Lagoon cover and digestate storage capacity

We asked the Operator via the Regulation 61 Notice to:

- confirm if storage lagoons are covered to prevent emission loss; and
- confirm whether or not the operational lagoon storage capacity provides a minimum of two months storage

The Operator confirmed in their response that the storage lagoon is covered with a floating cover with integral gas venting. We are satisfied that the type of cover is appropriate to minimise emissions of odour, ammonia and methane.

The Operator provided the dimensions of the storage lagoon and tank storage, which have a combined capacity of 25,600m³ (6 months of operational storage capacity). We are satisfied with the site operational storage capacity.

#### **Annex 3: Improvement Conditions**

Based on the information in the Operator's Regulation 61 Notice response and our own records of the capability and performance of the installation at this site, we consider that we need to set improvement conditions so that the outcome of the techniques detailed in the BAT Conclusions are achieved by the installation. These improvement conditions are set out below - justifications for them is provided at the relevant section of the decision document (Annex 1 or Annex 2).

If the consolidated permit contains existing improvement conditions that are not yet complete or the opportunity has been taken to delete completed improvement conditions then the numbering in the table below will not be consecutive as these are only the improvement conditions arising from this permit variation.

Deference	Poquiroment	Data
Reference	Requirement	Date
Improveme	nt condition for progress report to achieve BAT-AELs	
IC1	The operator shall submit, for approval by the Environment Agency, a report setting out progress to achieving the Best Available Techniques Conclusion Associated Emission Levels (BAT-AELs) where BAT is currently not achieved, but will be achieved before 17 August 2022. The report shall include, but not be limited to, the following:  1) Current performance against the BAT-AELs. 2) Methodology for reaching the BAT-AELs. 3) Associated targets /timelines for reaching compliance by 17 August 2022. 4) Any alterations to the initial plan (in progress reports). The report shall address the BAT Conclusions for Waste Treatment with respect to the following:	17/07/2022
	BAT 34 Table 6.7 (compliance with BAT-AELs for channelled NH <sub>3</sub> , odour, dust and TVOC emissions to air from the biological treatment of waste)  Refer to BAT Conclusions for a full description of the BAT requirement.	
Improveme	nt condition for progress report to achieve Narrative BAT	
IC2	The operator shall submit, for approval by Environment Agency, a report setting out progress to achieving the 'Narrative' BAT where BAT is currently not achieved but will be achieved before 17 August 2022. The report shall include, but not be limited to, the following:  1) Methodology for achieving BAT 2) Associated targets /timelines for reaching compliance by 17 August 2022 3) Any alterations to the initial plan (in progress reports). The report shall address the BAT Conclusions for Waste	17/07/2022
Improvemen	Treatment with respect to BAT 1, 2, 8, 10,12,16 and 34.  nt condition for secondary containment design	
	·	
IC3	The operator shall submit a written 'secondary and tertiary containment plan' and shall obtain the Environment Agency's written approval to it. The plan shall contain the results of an inspection and program of works undertaken by a competent structural engineer, in accordance with the risk assessment methodology detailed within CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, of the condition and extent of secondary and tertiary containment systems where all polluting liquids and solids are being stored, treated, and/or handled.  The inspection shall consider, but not be limited to, the	28/04/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency

Reference	Requirement	Date
	transfer pipework/pumps, temporary storage areas, and liners underlying the site.	
	The plan shall include:	
	<ul> <li>an assessment of the physical condition of all secondary and/or tertiary containment systems, using a Written Scheme of Examination and their suitability for providing containment when subjected to the dynamic and static loads caused by catastrophic tank failure;</li> <li>a program of works with timescales for the implementation of individual improvement measures necessary for the secondary and/or tertiary containment systems to comply with CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, or equivalent.</li> <li>a preventative maintenance and inspection regime.</li> </ul>	
	The plan shall be implemented in accordance with the Environment Agency's written approval.	
Improveme	nt condition for storage lagoon design	
IC4	The operator shall submit a written 'storage lagoon plan' and shall obtain the Environment Agency's written approval to it. The plan shall contain the results of an inspection and program of works undertaken by a competent structural engineer, in accordance with the risk assessment methodology detailed within CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, of the condition and extent of the site lagoon(s) where digestate or compost leachate are being stored, treated, and/or handled.	28/04/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency
	The inspection shall consider, but not be limited to, the transfer pipework/pumps, and liners underlying the storage lagoon.	
	The plan shall include:	
	<ul> <li>an assessment of the physical condition of the storage lagoon, using a Written Scheme of Examination and the suitability for providing containment when subjected to the dynamic and static loads caused by the digestate or compost leachate;</li> <li>a program of works with timescales for the</li> </ul>	
	implementation of individual improvement measures necessary for the storage lagoon to comply with CIRIA C736 (2014) guidance, or equivalent.  • a preventative maintenance and inspection regime	

Reference	Requirement	Date
	The plan shall be implemented in accordance with the	
	Environment Agency's written approval.	
Improveme	nt condition for assessment of methane slip	
IC5	The operator shall establish the methane emissions in the exhaust gas from engines burning biogas and compare these to the manufacturer's specification and benchmark levels agreed in writing with the Environment Agency. The operator shall, as part of the methane leak detection and repair (LDAR) programme, develop proposals to assess the potential for methane slip and take corrective actions where emissions above the manufacturer's specification or appropriate benchmark levels are identified.	
Improveme	nt condition for review of effectiveness of abatement plant	
IC6	The operator shall carry out a review of odour abatement plant on site, in order to determine whether the measures have been effective and adequate to prevent and where not possible minimise emissions released to air including but not limited to odour and ammonia.	28/04/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the
	The operator shall submit a written report to the Environment Agency following this review for assessment and approval.	Environment Agency
	The report shall include but not limited to the following aspects:	
	<ul> <li>Full investigation and characterisation of the waste gas streams.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Abatement stack monitoring results (not limited to odour and ammonia)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Abatement process monitoring results (not limited to odour and ammonia)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Details of air quality quantitative impact assessment including modelling and a proposal for site-specific "action levels" (not limited to odour concentration, hydrogen sulphide and ammonia).</li> </ul>	
	Odour monitoring results at the site boundary	
	<ul> <li>Records of odour complaints and odour related incidents</li> </ul>	
	Recommendations for improvement including the replacement or upgrading the abatement plant	
	<ul> <li>Timescales for implementation of improvements to the abatement plant</li> </ul>	
	The operator shall implement the improvements in line with the timescales as approved by the Environment Agency.	