Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016 (as amended)

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/ZP3835HA

The Operator is:

The Installation is:

Harper's Home Mix Limited
Holsworthy Animal Feed
This Variation Notice number is:

EPR/ZP3835HA/V002

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication by the European Commission of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries published on 4th December 2019 in the Official Journal of the European Union. In this decision document, we set out the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. Where this has not already been done, it also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and with other permits issued to Installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document, we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. Achieving all three objectives is not always easy, and we would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future.

How this document is structured

- 1. Our decision
- 2. How we reached our decision
- 3. The legal framework
- 4. Annex 1 Review of operating techniques within the Installation against BAT Conclusions.
- 5. Annex 2 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review
- 6. Annex 3 Improvement Conditions

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow the Operator to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice that updates the whole permit.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusion techniques

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 05/05/2021 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate where the operation of their installation currently meets, or how it will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the relevant BAT Conclusions document.

The Notice required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- describes the techniques that will be implemented before 4 December 2023, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standards, or
- justifies why standards will not be met by 4 December 2023, and confirmation of the date when the
 operation of those processes will cease within the Installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT
 standards are not applicable to those processes, or
- justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised BAT standards described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT-AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice required that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that BAT-AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 30/07/2021.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that determination.

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the Installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous experience in the regulation of the installation we consider that the Operator will be able to comply with the techniques and standards described in the BAT Conclusions other than for those techniques and requirements described in BAT Conclusion 1, and 6. The operator does not currently comply with the requirements of BATc 1 and 6. In relation to this/these BAT Conclusion(s), the operator has committed compliance by 4 December 2023. We have therefore included Improvement Condition IC 2 in the Consolidated Variation Notice to ensure that the requirements of the BAT Conclusions are delivered before 4 December 2023.

2.3 Requests for further information during determination

Although we were able to consider the Regulation 61 Notice response generally satisfactory at receipt, we did in fact need more information in order to complete our permit review assessment, and issued a further information request on 05/01/2022 requesting further information on BATc's 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 and, further details regarding the onsite combustion, an updated site plan and the completed Baseline Report Response Tool. A copy of the further information request was placed on our public register.

3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

Annex 1: decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for the Food, Drink and Milk Industries, were published by the European Commission on 4 December 2019.

There are 37 BAT Conclusions.

BAT 1 – 15 are General BAT Conclusions (Narrative BAT) applicable to all relevant Food, Drink and Milk Installations in scope.

BAT 16 – 37 are sector-specific BAT Conclusions, including Best Available Techniques Associated Emissions Levels (BAT-AELs) and Associated Environmental Performance Levels (BAT-AEPLs):

| BAT Conclusions for Animal Feed |
|---|
| BAT Conclusions for Brewing |
| BAT Conclusions for Dairies |
| BAT Conclusions for Ethanol Production |
| BAT Conclusions for Fish and Shellfish Processing |
| BAT Conclusions for Fruit and Vegetable Processing |
| BAT Conclusions for Grain Milling |
| BAT Conclusions for Meat Processing |
| BAT Conclusions for Oilseed Processing and Vegetable Oil Refining |
| BAT Conclusions for Soft Drinks and Nectar/Fruit Juice Processed from |
| Fruit and Vegetables |
| BAT Conclusions for Starch Production |
| BAT Conclusions for Sugar Manufacturing |
| |

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA - Not Applicable

CC – Currently Compliant

FC - Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT Conclusions)

NC - Not Compliant

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | GENERAL BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 1-15) | | |
| 1 | Environmental Management System - Improve overall environmental performance. Implement an EMS that incorporates all the features as described within BATc 1. | FC | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc1. We have assessed the information provided we are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc1. The Operator has been unable to effectively demonstrate that the sites EMS incorporates all the features as described in BATc 1. We consider that the operator will be future compliant with BATc1. Improvement condition IC2 has been included in the permit to achieve compliance (see Annex 3). |
| 2 | EMS Inventory of inputs & outputs. Increase resource efficiency and reduce emissions. Establish, maintain and regularly review (including when a significant change occurs) an inventory of water, energy and raw materials consumption as well as of waste water and waste gas streams, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that incorporates all of the features as detailed within the BATCs. | CC | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 2. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 2. The Operator demonstrated how the site will monitor water consumption and characterise waste water and gas streams. In addition the Operator maintains and regularly reviews an inventory of water, energy and raw material consumption as well as of wase water and waste gas streams. |
| 3 | Monitoring key process parameters at key locations for emissions to water. For relevant emissions to water as identified by the inventory of waste water | NA | The site does not use water in the production of animal feed as such there is no process |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 6 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | streams (see BAT 2), BAT is to monitor key process parameters (e.g. continuous monitoring of waste water flow, pH and temperature) at key locations (e.g. at the inlet and/or outlet of the pre-treatment, at the inlet to the final treatment, at the point where the emission leaves the installation). | | effluent produced and there are no direct emissions of effluent to surface water. The only discharge to surface water is uncontaminated surface water originating from roof and yard areas via an interceptor. |
| | | | Effluent arising from boiler blow down and wash water from the vehicle wash areas is discharged to the sewer via an interceptor. For the emissions to sewer; chloride is not a key parameter of concern for the animal feed sector. |
| | | | We are therefore satisfied that BATc 3 is not applicable for this site. |
| 4 | Monitoring emissions to water to the required frequencies and standards. BAT is to monitor emissions to water with at least the frequency given [refer to BAT 4 table in BATc] and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. | NA | No process effluent is produced and there are no direct emissions of effluent to surface water. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 4 is not applicable for this site. |
| 5 | Monitoring channelled emissions to air to the required frequencies and standards. BAT is to monitor channelled emissions to air with at least the frequency given and in accordance with EN standards. | CC | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 5. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 5. |
| | | | The monitoring of particulate emissions is |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 7 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | | | currently undertaken to MCERTS standards at the product coolers - emission points A1, A2, A3 and A4 as per the previous permit requirements. The Operator has confirmed that the new BAT AELs are achievable for each of the coolers. |
| | | | The grinders onsite are situated internally with no external emission points to air. As such the BAT AELs do not apply to them. |
| 6 | Energy Efficiency In order to increase energy efficiency, BAT is to use an energy efficiency plan (BAT 6a) and an appropriate combination of the common techniques listed in technique 6b within the table in the BATc. | FC | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 6. We have assessed the information provided. We are not satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 6. The operator has not provided an energy efficiency plan to support compliance with BATc 6a. The Operator has noted energy efficiency techniques used on site which include boiler and hot well optimisation, energy efficient motors and investigating the use of solar energy. We consider that the operator will be future compliant with BATc 6. Improvement condition IC2 has been included in the permit to achieve compliance (see Annex 3). |
| 7 | Water and wastewater minimisation | NA | Animal Feed manufacture is essentially a dry process, with low water usage and limited |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 8 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| | In order to reduce water consumption and the volume of waste water discharged, BAT is to use BAT 7a and one or a combination of the techniques b to k [for detail of each technique, refer BAT 7 table in BATc]. | | potential for water saving & application of BAT techniques. The site does not use water in the production of animal feed and uses dry cleaning techniques only (vacuum and sweeping). We are therefore satisfied that BATc 7 is not |
| | | | applicable for this site. |
| 8 | Prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances In order to prevent or reduce the use of harmful substances, e.g. in cleaning and disinfection, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. (a) Proper selection of cleaning chemicals and/or disinfectants (b) Reuse of cleaning chemicals in cleaning-in-place (CIP) (c) Dry cleaning (d) Optimised design and construction of equipment and process areas | СС | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 8. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 8. Cleaning of production areas is undertaken by dry cleaning methods only such as vacuuming. The use of disinfectants are limited to those approved by DEFRA for agricultural use. All chemicals that are used on site are stored appropriately. |
| 9 | Refrigerants In order to prevent emissions of ozone-depleting substances and of substances with a high global warming potential from cooling and freezing, BAT is to use refrigerants without ozone depletion potential and with a low global warming potential. | NA | No refrigerants are used in the permitted process. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 9 is not applicable for this site. |
| 10 | Resource efficiency In order to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below: (a) Anaerobic digestion | СС | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 10. We have assessed the information provided and we are |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 9 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | (b) Use of residues (c) Separation of residues (d) Recovery and reuse of residues from the pasteuriser (e) Phosphorus recovery as struvite (f) Use of waste water for land spreading | | satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 10. The Operator has demonstrated that minimal waste is produced from the process. Where possible and within feed safety QA residues and by-products can be re-worked into the process. In the event that waste feed is unsuitable for re-use, it is sent for Anaerobic digestion (AD). |
| 11 | Waste water buffer storage In order to prevent uncontrolled emissions to water, BAT is to provide an appropriate buffer storage capacity for waste water. | СС | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 11. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 11. |
| | | | The site discharges boiler blow down and wash water from the onsite vehicle wash direct to sewer. Surface runoff from yard areas and roof areas is discharged to a surface water drain via an interceptor which discharges to a tributary of the River Deer. To further reduce risk of uncontrolled releases, chemicals are stored in appropriate containers (such as the supplier's primary packaging or bulk storage tanks) in bunded areas or on hardstanding in secure storage areas. There are no open drains inside the process buildings and spill kits are available in the unlikely event that an |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 10 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| | | | environmental incident may occur. |
| 12 | Emissions to water – treatment In order to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given in BAT 12 [for detail of each technique, refer BAT 12 table 1] | NA | Due to the low volumes of effluent produced, effluent treatment is not required. We are therefore satisfied that BATc12 is not applicable for this site. |
| 13 | Noise management plan In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review a noise management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements: - a protocol containing actions and timelines; - a protocol for conducting noise emissions monitoring; - a protocol for response to identified noise events, eg complaints; - a noise reduction programme designed to identify the source(s), to measure/estimate noise and vibration exposure, to characterise the contributions of the sources and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures. Note: BAT 13 is only applicable where a noise nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiated. | NA | A NMP is only required for sites where a noise nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiate. There is no history of noise complaints at the site. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 13 is not applicable for this site |
| 14 | Noise management In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce noise emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. (a) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings (b) Operational measures (c) Low-noise equipment (d) Noise control equipment | cc | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 14. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 14. The operator has stated that the site will utilise a number of noise reduction techniques including; |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 11 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement for Food, Drink and Milk Industries | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| | (e) Noise abatement | | Daily site inspections Regular maintenance of plant The use of automatic greasing units on all outside conveyor and elevators. |
| 15 | Odour Management In order to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce odour emissions, BAT is to set up, implement and regularly review an odour management plan, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), that includes all of the following elements: - a protocol containing actions and timelines; - a protocol for conducting odour monitoring. - a protocol for response to identified odour incidents eg complaints; - an odour prevention and reduction programme designed to identify the source(s); to measure/estimate odour exposure: to characterise the contributions of the sources; and to implement prevention and/or reduction measures. Note: BAT 15 is only applicable to cases where an odour nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiated. | NA | An OMP is only required for sites where a noise nuisance at sensitive receptors is expected and/or has been substantiate. There is no history of odour complaints at the site. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 15 is not applicable for this site |
| | ANIMAL FEED BAT CONCLUSIONS (BAT 16-17) | | |
| 16 | Energy efficiency – Green fodder only In order to increase energy efficiency in green fodder processing, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques specified in BAT 6 and of the techniques given below. (a) Use of predried fodder (b) Recycling of waste gas from the dryer | NA | The site does not process green fodder. We are therefore satisfied that BATc 16 is not applicable for this site. |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 12 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of B Industries | AT Conclusion | requirement fo | or Food, Drink a | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | (c) Use of waste | e heat for pre-dr | ying | | | | |
| | Applicable in ac | dition to BAT6 | | | | | |
| 17 | Emissions to air – particulates In order to reduce channelled dust emissions to air, BAT is to use one of the techniques given; a. bag filter, b. cyclone. | | | | | СС | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 17. We have assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated |
| | Parameter | Specific process | Unit | (average ov | T-AEL er the sampling eriod) | | compliance with BATc 17. The existing permit contains no emission limits |
| | | | | New plants | Existing plants | | for the product coolers. As these are |
| | Dust | Grinding | mg/Nm ³ | <2-5 | <2-10 | | existing plants we believe it is appropriate to set the new ELV at the top of the range. The |
| | | Pellet cooling | | <2-20 | | | |
| | Animal Feed Environmental Performance Levels | | | | | | The product grinders vent internally within the process building as such the BAT AELs do not apply. |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 13 of 21

| BATC No. | Summary of BAT Conclu Industries | ision requirement for Foo | od, Drink and Milk | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|
| | Environmental Performa | nce Level – Energy Cons | sumption for Animal Feed | CC | The operator has provided information to support compliance with BATc 17. We have |
| | Product | Unit | Specific energy consumption (yearly average) | | assessed the information provided and we are satisfied that the operator has demonstrated compliance with BATc 17 |
| l _ | Compound food | MWh/tonne of products | 0.01-0.10 (1)(2)(3) | | 5611,p.188.136 11.11. 27.116 1. |
| l EPL | Dry pet food | | 0.39-0.50 | | The operator reports that they can currently achieve 0.033 MWh/Tonne, which is within the accepted EPL range for compound food |
| | Wet pet food | | 0.33-0.85 | | |
| | (2) The specific energy consum | can be achieved when pelleting is not applied aption level may not apply when fish and other is 0.12 MWh/tonne of products for installation nella decontamination. | er aquatic animals are used as raw material. | | |
| | Environmental performa | nce level – Waste water o | discharge for Animal Feed | NA | The site does not produce wet pet food. |
| EPL | Product | Unit | Specific waste water discharge (yearly average) | | We are therefore satisfied this EPL is not |
| | Wet pet food | m3/tonne of products | 1.3-2.4 | | applicable for this site. |

FDM Permit Review 2021 06/05/2021 Page 14 of 21

Annex 2: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review

Updating permit during permit review consolidation

We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as a part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.

This included some other changes to the permit to ensure cross-sector consistency, including:

- An updated introductory note
- Site plan
- Table S1.1 overhaul
 - o Activity Reference (AR) renumbering
 - Updated listed activities
 - Addition of production capacity
 - Directly associated activities (DAAs) standardisation
- Standardisation of reporting parameters.

Capacity Threshold

The Environment Agency is looking to draw a "line in the sand" for permitted production capacity; a common understanding between the Operator and regulator for the emissions associated with a (maximum) level of production, whereby the maximum emissions have been demonstrated as causing no significant environmental impact.

We have included a permitted production level (capacity) within table S1.1 of the permit for the section 6.8 listed activity and we need to be confident that the level of emissions associated with this production level have been demonstrated to be acceptable.

The Operator has completed a H1 assessment of emissions for typical figures of production at the time of permitting.

The H1 assessment is not valid for the maximum capacity stated within the permit or if production is now higher. We have included an improvement condition within the permit (IC4) which requires the operator to revisit their H1 risk assessment for particulate emissions to air at the capacity limit figure that is now stated within table S1.1 of the permit.

Emissions to Air

We asked the operator to list all emission points to air from the installation in the Regulation 61 notice. And to provide a site plan indicating the locations of all air emission points.

The operator has provided an up to date air emission plan.

Emission point A2 for the boiler has been added to the permit. Emission points A7-A10 have been included in Table S3.1 having previously been omitted. The emission points are vents from the onsite storge of kerosene and diesel.

Implementing the requirements of the Medium Combustion Plant Directive

We asked the Operator to provide information on all combustion plant on site in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows:

- Number of combustion plant (CHP engines, back-up generators, boilers);
- Size of combustion plant rated thermal input (MWth)
- Date each combustion plant came into operation

| | Boiler 1 | Boiler 2 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Rated thermal input (MW) of the medium | 1.5 MWth | 1.4 MWth |
| combustion plant. | | |
| 2. Type of the medium combustion plant | Boiler | Boiler |
| (diesel engine, gas turbine, dual fuel engine, | | |
| other engine or other medium combustion | | |
| plant). | | |
| 3. Type and share of fuels used according to | Fuel Oil (Kerosene) | Fuel Oil (Kerosene) |
| the fuel categories laid down in Annex II. | | |
| 4. Date of the start of the operation of the | September 2018 | February 2019 |
| medium combustion plant or, where the | | |
| exact date of the start of the operation is | | |
| unknown, proof of the fact that the operation | | |
| started before 20 December 2018. | | |

We have reviewed the information provided and we consider that the declared combustion plant qualify as "existing" medium combustion plant.

For existing MCP with a rated thermal input of less than or equal to 5 MW, the emission limit values set out in tables 1 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex II MCPD shall apply from 1 January 2030.

We have included the appropriate emission limit values for existing medium combustion plant as part of this permit review. See Table S3.1 in the permit. We have also included a new condition 3.1.4 within the permit which specifies the monitoring requirements for the combustion plant in accordance with the MCPD.

Both boilers are reconditioned boilers that have been added to the site to replace previous combustion plant. Boiler 1 was purchased as a reconditioned boiler, boiler 2 was reconditioned on site. As the cost of the reconditioning of the boilers was less than 50% of the cost of replacing the boilers we consider them both to be existing boilers. As part of the determination the Operator undertook a H1 assessment for the emissions from the boilers. The H1 assessment is a precautionary assessment that uses the 'worst case' estimates. The assessment is based on both boilers running continuously at 100% load, where as in reality the boilers will operate at lower load and not continuously. Whilst the emissions didn't screen out as insignificant, we are confident that the emissions from the boilers will not have a significant impact on the Environmental Quality Standards being achieved beyond the Installation boundary.

Particulate Emissions

BAT-AELs are derived for those substances identified as key environmental issues during the BREF review process.

If the operator has identified current compliance against BAT-AELs we will implement the relevant emission limit value (ELV) from the date of permit issue. This is relevant for emission points A3, A4, A5 & A6 against BAT 17 for particulate emissions from the coolers.

We have added an improvement condition (IC3) for size fractionation of particulate emissions because a BAT-AEL applies for dust emissions to air. The justification for this IC is that there are a number of activities within the FDM sector which may result in release of particulates to air eg drying, milling and grinding. Overall there is little available information on how much fine particulates are released. This IC is a one-off exercise requiring operators to monitor and report on the fractions of fine particulate (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) emissions and increase our understanding of potential health effects. Where BAT-AELS may apply to multiple emission points eg grain milling, we may accept limited representative monitoring rather than expecting them to monitor every single emission point.

<u>Emissions to Water and implementing the requirements of the Water</u> <u>Framework Directive</u>

We asked the Operator to provide information on all emissions to water at the installation in the Regulation 61 Notice as follows;

- Identify any effluents which discharge directly to surface or groundwater;
- Provide an assessment of volume and quality, including results of any monitoring data available;
- and for any discharges to water / soakaway whether a recent assessment of the feasibility of connection to sewer has been carried out.

The operator has previously provided assessments for all emissions to water at the installation. The operator declares there has been no change to activities and subsequent effluents generated at the installation since this risk assessment was taken. Consequently, we agree that the original risk assessments remain valid at this time.

Soil & groundwater risk assessment (baseline report)

The IED requires that the operator of any IED installation using, producing or releasing "relevant hazardous substances" (RHS) shall, having regarded the possibility that they might cause pollution of soil and groundwater, submit a "baseline report" with its permit application. The baseline report is an important reference document in the assessment of contamination that might arise during the operational lifetime of the regulated facility and at cessation of activities. It must enable a quantified comparison to be made between the baseline and the state of the site at surrender.

At the definitive cessation of activities, the Operator has to satisfy us that the necessary measures have been taken so that the site ceases to pose a risk to soil or

groundwater, taking into account both the baseline conditions and the site's current or approved future use. To do this, the Operator has to submit a surrender application to us, which we will not grant unless and until we are satisfied that these requirements have been met.

The Operator submitted a site condition report [Environmental Permit Application – Section B – Site Condition Report, Dated 3 December 2009] during the original application received on 21/09/2010. The site condition report included a report on the baseline conditions as required by Article 22. We reviewed that report and considered that it adequately described the condition of the soil and groundwater at that time.

Following a loss of kerosene on site in 2018 the Operator undertook extensive remediation works and monitoring of the surrounding groundwater and soils during 2019 and 2020. Following the completion of the remediation works the monitoring showed no exceedances of the Limit of Detection (LoD) for samples taken in May and June 2020. The continued trend of reducing concentrations can conclude that there is a low risk of impact to groundwater from any residual contamination remaining in soils and bedrock.

The Operator submitted a report which referenced the site condition report and baseline report. We have reviewed the information and we consider that it adequately describes the current condition of the soil and groundwater. Consequently, we are satisfied that the baseline conditions have not changed.

Hazardous Substances

Hazardous substances are those defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures

The operator has provided a short risk assessment on the hazardous substances stored and used at the installation. The risk assessment was a stage 1-3 assessment as detailed within EC Commission Guidance 2014/C 136/03.

The stage 1 assessment identified the hazardous substances used / stored on site. The stage 2 assessment identified if hazardous substances are capable of causing pollution. If they are capable of causing pollution they are then termed Relevant Hazardous Substances (RHS). The Stage 3 assessment identified if pollution prevention measures are fit for purpose in areas where hazardous substances are used / stored. This includes drains as well.

The outcomes of the three stage assessment identified that pollution of soil and/or ground water to be unlikely.

Climate Change Adaptation

The operator has considered if the site is at risk of impacts from adverse weather (flooding, unavailability of land for land spreading, prolonged dry weather / drought).

The operator has stated that the installation is not likely to be or has previously not been affected by climate change.

Underground Structures

| The operator has | confirmed there a | re no underground | structures at the | installation. |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | | | |

Annex 3: Improvement Conditions

Based on the information in the Operator's Regulation 61 Notice response and our own records of the capability and performance of the installation at this site, we consider that we need to set improvement conditions so that the outcome of the techniques detailed in the BAT Conclusions are achieved by the installation. These improvement conditions are set out below - justifications for them is provided at the relevant section of the decision document (Annex 1 or Annex 2).

The following improvement conditions have been marked complete and removed from the permit.

| Superseded Improvement Conditions | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Reference | Reason for inclusion | |
| IC1 | The Operator shall assess options to reduce the potential for fugitive or accidental emissions from current storage tanks and storage areas in particular with regards to bunding, drainage and bulk purchasing of raw materials. This shall include but not necessarily be limited to the fuel tanks, molasses intake pipes, intermediate bulk container (IBC) storage and storage of water treatment chemicals. | |
| | The Operator shall compare the options with the requirements of the Environment Agency Guidance Note for the Food and Drink Sector (EPR S6.10) and the Control of Pollution (Oil Storage) Regulations 2001 and identify any required improvements. | |
| | A written summary of the assessment including a proposed timescale for implementing any improvements identified shall be sent to the Environment Agency. | |
| | The timetable shall be implemented upon approval by the Environment Agency. | |

The following improvement conditions have added to the permit as a result of the variation.

| Improvement programme requirements V002 | | |
|---|---|---|
| Reference | Reason for inclusion | Justification of deadline |
| IC2 | The operator shall submit, for approval by Environment Agency, a report setting out progress to achieving the 'Narrative' BAT where BAT is currently not achieved, but will be achieved before 4 December 2023. The report shall include, but not be limited to, the following: 1) Methodology for achieving BAT 2) Associated targets /timelines for reaching compliance by 4 December 2023 3) Any alterations to the initial plan (in progress reports). The report shall address the BAT Conclusions for Food, Drink and Milk Industries with respect to BAT conclusions 1 and 6. Refer to BAT Conclusions for a full description of the BAT requirement. | Progress report 12 months from date of permit issue: 06/05/2023 |
| IC3 | The Operator shall submit a written report to the Environment Agency of monitoring carried out to determine the size distribution of particulate matter in the exhaust gas emissions to air from emission points A3, 4 A5 & A6, identifying the fractions within the PM10 and PM2.5 ranges. The monitoring shall be carried out under representative operating conditions and shall be in accordance with EN ISO 23210 unless otherwise agreed with the Environment Agency. | 06/05/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency |
| IC4 | The operator shall review and update the H1 risk assessment for particulate emissions to air at the capacity levels stated within table S1.1 of this permit. The H1 shall be submitted to the Environment Agency for review. | 06/05/2023 or other date as agreed in writing with the Environment Agency |