



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant Mrs N Kalair

Respondent IBM United Kingdom Limited

Heard at: Exeter (by video hearing)

On: 30 March 2022

Before:

Employment Judge Goraj

Representation

The Claimant: in person supported by her husband, Mr Kalair

The Respondent: Ms H Slarks, Counsel

JUDGMENT AT A PRELIMINARY HEARING

The JUDGMENT of the Tribunal is that: -

1. It is agreed that the correct name of the respondent is IBM United Kingdom Limited.
2. The claimant's complaints of detriment and/or unfair dismissal on the grounds of making public interest disclosure(s) are dismissed upon withdrawal by the claimant.
3. The Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to entertain the claimant's remaining claims of **disability** discrimination and/or unfair dismissal by reason of the binding settlement agreement between the parties.

Employment Judge Goraj
Date: 1 April 2022

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON
13 April 2022
Amended Judgment sent to parties on
06 June 2022 By Mr J McCormick

FOR THE OFFICE OF THE TRIBUNALS

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions>

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness