



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant Ms A Dziegielewska

Respondent Howards at the White Hart Hotel Limited

Heard at: Exeter (by remote hearing)

On: 6 May 2022

Before:

Employment Judge Goraj

Representation

The Claimant: in person

The Respondent: did not attend and no response entered

Interpreter – Ms M Leigh

JUDGMENT

The JUDGMENT OF THE TRIBUNAL is that: -

1. The respondent made unlawful deductions in breach of section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 in respect of the claimant's wages in the total sum of £845 gross which sum the respondent is ordered to pay to the claimant.
2. It is also just and equitable to increase the unlawful deductions award of £845 by 25% pursuant to section 207 A (2) of the Trade Union & Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 in the sum of £ 211.25 (£845 x 25%).

3. The claimant is therefore awarded, and the respondent is ordered to pay to her, the total sum of **£1,056.25** (£845 + £211.25)

Employment Judge Goraj
Date: 13 May 2022

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON
08 June 2022 By MR J McCormick

FOR THE OFFICE OF THE TRIBUNALS

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. Judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions>

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness