

EU RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN (RMP) FOR Sitagliptin phosphate monohydrate

RMP version to be assessed as part of this application:

RMP Version number: 10.1

Data lock point for this RMP: 30-Jun-2020

Date of finalization: 29-JUL-2020

Rationale for submitting an updated RMP:

This RMP was updated to include clinical trial exposure to sitagliptin in patients 10-17 years of age.

Summary of significant changes in this RMP:

RMP Section	UPDATE INFORMATION
PART I: MODULE SI - EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE INDICATION(S) AND TARGET POPULATION(S)	Updated due to the new release of IDF Diabetes Atlas and CDC Diabetes Report.
PART II: MODULE SIII - CLINICAL TRIAL EXPOSURE	Added Table SIII.2.7: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin in Patients 10-17 years of Age.
PART II: MODULE SIV - POPULATIONS NOT STUDIED IN CLINICAL TRIALS	Deleted Patients below 18 years of age from Table SIV.3.1: Exposure of Special Populations Included or not in Clinical Trial Development Programs.
PART II: MODULE SV - Post-authorization Experience	Updated patient exposure data



Other RMP versions under evaluation:

There are no previously-submitted versions of this RMP that are still under evaluation by the Agency.

Details of the currently approved RMP:

Version number: 10.0

Approved with procedure: WS1357

Date of approval (opinion date): 29-Nov-2018

QPPV name: Guy Demol, MD

QPPV oversight declaration: The content of this RMP has been reviewed and approved by the marketing authorization holder's QPPV. The electronic signature is available on file.

**THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.
DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE WITHOUT WRITTEN
PERMISSION FROM THE SPONSOR. CONFIDENTIAL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	3
LIST OF TABLES.....	6
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	8
PART I: PRODUCT(S) OVERVIEW.....	10
PART II: SAFETY SPECIFICATION.....	12
PART II: MODULE SI - EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE INDICATION(S) AND TARGET POPULATION(S).....	12
PART II: MODULE SII - NON-CLINICAL PART OF THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION.....	16
PART II: MODULE SIII - CLINICAL TRIAL EXPOSURE.....	18
SIII.1 Clinical Development Overview.....	18
SIII.2 Completed Clinical Research Studies as of 30 June 2013.....	19
SIII.3 P082 (TECOS).....	23
PART II: MODULE SIV - POPULATIONS NOT STUDIED IN CLINICAL TRIALS.....	25
SIV.1 Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program.....	25
SIV.2 Limitations to Detect Adverse Reactions in Clinical Trial Development Program.....	28
SIV.3 Limitations in Respect to Populations Typically Under-represented in Clinical Trial Development Program.....	28
PART II: MODULE SV - POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPERIENCE.....	29
SV.1 Post-Authorization Exposure.....	29
SV.1.1 Method Used to Calculate Exposure.....	29
SV.1.2 Exposure.....	29
PART II: MODULE SVI - ADDITIONAL EU REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION.....	30
PART II: MODULE SVII - IDENTIFIED AND POTENTIAL RISKS.....	31
SVII.1 Identification of Safety Concerns in the Initial RMP Submission.....	31
SVII.1.1 Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP.....	31
SVII.1.2 Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP.....	31

SVII.2	New Safety Concerns and Reclassification With a Submission of an Updated RMP	31
SVII.3	Details of Important Identified Risks, Important Potential Risks, and Missing Information	31
SVII.3.1	Presentation of Important Identified Risks and Important Potential Risks	31
SVII.3.2	Presentation of the Missing Information.....	37
PART II: MODULE SVIII - SUMMARY OF THE SAFETY CONCERNS		39
PART III: PHARMACOVIGILANCE PLAN (INCLUDING POST-AUTHORIZATION SAFETY STUDIES).....		40
III.1	Routine Pharmacovigilance Activities	40
III.2	Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities.....	40
III.3	Summary Table of Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities.....	40
PART IV: PLANS FOR POST-AUTHORIZATION EFFICACY STUDIES.....		41
PART V: RISK MINIMISATION MEASURES (INCLUDING EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RISK MINIMISATION ACTIVITIES).....		42
V.1	Routine Risk Minimization Measures	42
V.2	Additional Risk Minimization Measures.....	42
V.3	Summary of Risk Minimization Measures.....	42
PART VI: SUMMARY OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN BY PRODUCT		43
I.	Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Januvia	43
I.A	The Medicine and What It Is Used For	43
I.B	Risks Associated With the Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise the Risks.....	44
I.B.1	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	44
I.B.2	Summary of Important Risks	45
I.B.3	Post-Authorization Development Plan	46
I.B.3.1	Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization.....	46
I.B.3.2	Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan	46
II.	Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Xelevia.....	46
II.A	The Medicine and What It Is Used For	46
II.B	Risks Associated With the Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise the Risks.....	47
II.B.1	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	48
II.B.2	Summary of Important Risks	49

II.B.3	Post-Authorization Development Plan	49
II.B.3.1	Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization.....	49
II.B.3.2	Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan	49
III.	Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Tesavel.....	50
III.A	The Medicine and What It Is Used For	50
III.B	Risks Associated with The Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise The Risks	51
III.B.1	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	51
III.B.2	Summary of Important Risks	52
III.B.3	Post-Authorization Development Plan	53
III.B.3.1	Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization.....	53
III.B.3.2	Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan	53
IV.	Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Ristaben	53
IV.A	The Medicine and What It Is Used For	53
IV.B	Risks Associated with The Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise The Risks	54
IV.B.1	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	55
IV.B.2	Summary of Important Risks	56
IV.B.3	Post-Authorization Development Plan	56
IV.B.3.1	Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization.....	56
IV.B.3.2	Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan	56
REFERENCES	57
ANNEXES	59

LIST OF TABLES

Table Part I.1:	Product Overview	10
Table SII.1:	Summary of Important Safety Findings from Non-clinical Studies.....	16
Table SIII.2.1:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Study: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	20
Table SIII.2.2:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Duration: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	21
Table SIII.2.3:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Dose: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	21
Table SIII.2.4:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Age Category and Gender: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	21
Table SIII.2.5:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Race: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	22
Table SIII.2.6:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Special Population: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy	22
Table SIII.3.1:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Duration: P082 (All Patients as Treated).....	24
Table SIII.3.2:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Race: P082 (All Patients as Treated).....	24
Table SIII.3.3:	Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Age Category and Gender: P082 (All Patients as Treated)	24
Table SIV.1.1:	Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program	25
Table SIV.3.1:	Exposure of Special Populations Included or not in Clinical Trial Development Programs.....	28
Table SV.1.2.1:	Post-authorization (non-study) Exposure: Units Distributed and Patient-years of Treatment, Cumulative through 31-May-2020.....	29
Table SVII.3.1.1:	Details of Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer	32
Table SVIII.1:	Summary of Safety Concerns	39
Table III.3.1:	On-Going and Planned Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities	40

Table IV.1:	Planned and On-Going Post-Authorization Efficacy Studies that are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization or that are Specific Obligations.....	41
Table V.1.1:	Description of Routine Risk Minimisation Measures by Safety Concern.....	42
Table V.3.1:	Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk Minimisation Activities by Safety Concern.....	42
Table I.B.1.1:	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	45
Table I.B.2.1:	Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer	45
Table I.B.2.2:	Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation.....	46
Table II.B.1.1:	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	48
Table II.B.2.1:	Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer	49
Table II.B.2.2:	Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation.....	49
Table III.B.1.1:	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	52
Table III.B.2.1:	Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer	52
Table III.B.2.2:	Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation.....	53
Table IV.B.1.1:	List of Important Risks and Missing Information.....	55
Table IV.B.2.1:	Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer	56
Table IV.B.2.2:	Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation.....	56

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADR	Adverse Drug Reaction
AE	Adverse Experience
ATC	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification system
ATMP	Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product
BID	Twice A Day
CCDS	Company Core Data Sheet
CCSI	Company Core Safety Information
CHMP	Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use
CMDh	Co-ordination Group for Mutual Recognition and Decentralized Procedures – Human
CT	Computed Tomography
DUS	Drug Utilization Study
ECG / EKG	Electrocardiogram
EEA	European Economic Area
EMA	European Medicines Agency
EPAR	European Public Assessment Report
EPITT	European Pharmacovigilance Issues Tracking Tool
EU	European Union
HGB	Hemoglobin
HLGT	High Level Group Term
HLT	High Level Term
ICH	International Conference on Harmonization
IM	Intramuscular(ly)
INN	International Nonproprietary Name
IV	Intravenous(ly)
MAA	Marketing Authorization Applicant
MAH	Marketing Authorization Holder
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
N/A	Not Applicable
PAES	Post-authorization Efficacy Study
PASS	Post-authorization Safety Study
PO	Oral(ly) or by mouth
PRAC	Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee
PSUR	Periodic Safety Update Report
PT	Preferred Term
QD	Once Daily

QOD	Every Other Day
QPPV	Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilance
QWK	Weekly
RMP	Risk Management Plan
SC	Subcutaneous
SOC	System Organ Class
SmPC	Summary of Product Characteristics
TIW	Three Times Per Week
WBC	White Blood Cell Count

PART I: PRODUCT(S) OVERVIEW

Table Part I.1: Product Overview

Active substance(s) (INN or common name)	Sitagliptin phosphate monohydrate (hereafter referred to as sitagliptin)
Pharmacotherapeutic group(s) (ATC Code)	A10BH01
Marketing Authorization Holder or Applicant	<p><u>MAH</u> Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. Waarderweg 39 BN Haarlem, The Netherlands</p> <p><u>Applicant</u> Merck Sharp & Dohme (Europe) Inc. 5 Clos du Lynx B-1200 Brussels, Belgium</p>
Number of medicinal products to which this RMP refers	4
Invented name(s) in the European Economic Area (EEA)	Januvia/Xelevia/Tesavel/Ristaben
Marketing authorization procedure	Centralized procedure
Brief description of the product	<p>Chemical class: Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-4) inhibitor</p> <p>Summary of mode of action: Sitagliptin is a dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-4) inhibitor. It acts as an antihyperglycemic agent to improve glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. It is believed to exert its actions in patients with type 2 diabetes by slowing the inactivation of incretin hormones. By increasing and prolonging active incretin levels, sitagliptin increases insulin release and decreases glucagon levels in the circulation in a glucose-dependent manner.</p> <p>Important information about its composition: Each tablet contains sitagliptin phosphate monohydrate, equivalent to 25 mg, 50 mg, or 100 mg sitagliptin, respectively.</p>
Hyperlink to the Prescribing Information	<p>Current prescribing information: Updated product information for sitagliptin is not included within this procedure, hence reference is made to the latest-approved product information in module 1.3, eCTD sequence 0192, as approved under procedure WS1803.</p>
Indication(s) in the EEA	<p>Current: For patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, sitagliptin is indicated to improve glycemic control:</p> <p>as monotherapy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.

Table Part I.1: Product Overview

	<p>as dual oral therapy in combination with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • metformin when diet and exercise plus metformin alone do not provide adequate glyceemic control. • a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glyceemic control and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance. • a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma PPARγ agonist (thiazolidinedione) when use of a PPARγ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus the PPARγ agonist alone do not provide adequate glyceemic control. <p>as triple oral therapy in combination with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glyceemic control. • a PPARγ agonist and metformin when use of a PPARγ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glyceemic control. <p>Sitagliptin is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus stable dosage of insulin do not provide adequate glyceemic control.</p>
Dosage in the EEA	<p>Current:</p> <p>The dose of sitagliptin is 100 mg once daily. When sitagliptin is used in combination with metformin and/or a PPARγ agonist, the dose of metformin and/or PPARγ agonist should be maintained, and sitagliptin administered concomitantly. When sitagliptin is used in combination with a sulfonylurea or with insulin, a lower dose of the sulfonylurea or insulin may be considered to reduce the risk of hypoglycaemia. If a dose of sitagliptin is missed, it should be taken as soon as the patient remembers. A double dose should not be taken on the same day.</p> <p>For patients with moderate renal impairment (GFR \geq 30 to < 45 mL/min), the dose of Januvia is 50 mg once daily.</p> <p>For patients with severe renal impairment (GFR \geq 15 to <30 mL/min) or with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (GFR < 15 mL/min), including those requiring haemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, the dose of Januvia is 25 mg once daily. Treatment may be administered without regard to the timing of dialysis.</p>
Pharmaceutical form(s) and strengths	<p>Current:</p> <p>Film-coated tablet: 25mg, 50mg, 100mg</p>
Is/will the product be subject to additional monitoring in the EU?	<p>No</p>

PART II: SAFETY SPECIFICATION

PART II: MODULE SI - EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE INDICATION(S) AND TARGET POPULATION(S)

Indication: For adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in adjunct to diet, exercise and other therapies.

Incidence:

The number of adults with newly diagnosed T2DM is increasing. In the United States (US), the number of incident cases among adults, age 18-79 years, has more than tripled over the past several decades, increasing from 493,000 in 1980 to more than 1.5 million in 2018 [Ref. 5.4: 04DQ3X],[Ref. 5.4: 05HY26]. The incidence rate of diagnosed diabetes was 6.9 per 1000 persons in US adults aged 18 or older in 2018 [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26]. Compared to adults aged 18 to 44 years, incidence rates of diagnosed diabetes were higher among adults aged 45 to 64 years and those aged 65 years and older (4.3 per 1,000 in adults aged 18-44 years, 9.9 per 1,000 in adults aged 45-64 years, and 8.8 per 1,000 in adults aged 65 years or older) [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26].The incidence was similar between men and women [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26]. In the United Kingdom (UK), the crude incidence rate of T2DM has increased from 169 per 100,000 in 1991 to 515 per 100,000 in 2010. The incidence was higher in men (536 per 100,000) versus women (495 per 100,000), and it also increased with age, with the highest incidence rate seen in 70-79 age groups at 1,486 per 100,000. [Ref. 5.4: 03RTYQ]

Prevalence:

An estimated 463 million adults worldwide, representing 9.3% of adults 20-79 years, had diabetes in 2019. By 2030 a projected 578 million, and by 2045, 700 million adults aged 20–79 years, will have diabetes. In Europe, an estimated 59.3 million (8.9%) adults aged 20-79 years have diabetes. The prevalence proportions were 7.6% in France, 15.3% in Germany, 8.3% in Italy, 10.5% in Spain and 5.6% in UK [Ref. 5.4: 05JV08]

Demographics of the population with T2DM and risk factors for the disease:

Diabetes estimates for 2019 show a typically increasing prevalence of diabetes by age. Similar trends are predicted for the years 2030 and 2045. Prevalence is lowest among adults aged 20–24 years (1.4% in 2019). Among adults aged 75–79 years diabetes prevalence is estimated to be 19.9% in 2019 and predicted to rise to 20.4% and 20.5% in 2030, and 2045, respectively [Ref. 5.4: 05JV08].

The prevalence of diabetes for women 20-79 years is estimated to be 9.0% which is slightly lower than among men (9.6%). The diabetes prevalence is expected to increase to 10.8% in women and to 11.1% in men in 2045 [Ref. 5.4: 05JV08].

In the US, prevalence of diagnosed diabetes was higher among American Indians/Alaska Natives (14.7%), people of Hispanic ethnicity (12.5%) and non-Hispanic blacks (11.7%) than among Asians (9.2%) and non-Hispanic whites (7.5%) [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26]. The distribution

of self-reported race in the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) was 82% White, 10% Asian Indian, and 8% Afro-Caribbean [Ref. 5.4: 03Q3XC].

Common risk factors for T2DM include increasing age, smoking, hypertension, being overweight or obese, physical inactivity, family history of T2DM, race/ethnicity (e.g. African American, Latino, Native American, Asian American, and Pacific islander), impaired glucose metabolism (“prediabetes”), and gestational diabetes [Ref. 5.4: 03TPR6, 03RRZ9, 043NNR].

The main existing treatment options:

Current pharmacologic treatment of T2DM includes several classes of glucose-lowering agents [Ref. 5.4: 05HGYT]:

- Metformin (Biguanides);
- Sulfonylureas (SUs);
- Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors;
- Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors;
- Meglitinides;
- Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors;
- Thiazolidinediones (TZDs);
- Dopamine agonist (ie, bromocriptine);
- Bile acid sequestrant (ie, colesevelam);
- Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists;
- Amylin-mimetics;
- Insulin and insulin analogues.

Current guidelines from the European Association for the Study of Diabetes and the American Diabetes Association recommend a stepwise and individualized treatment approach [Ref. 5.4: 05HGYT], [Ref. 5.4: 05JSPF]. The guidelines recommend metformin as the first-line antihyperglycemic medication, unless the patient cannot tolerate it or it is contraindicated. If, after approximately 3 months, the glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (A1C) target is not achieved, therapy should be augmented to a 2-drug combination followed by the addition of other glucose lowering agents approximately every 3 months if A1C goal is still not achieved.

Natural history of the indicated condition in the T2DM population, including mortality and morbidity:

T2DM, the predominant type of diabetes accounting for >90% of all diabetes, is a progressive disease involving three key defects: insulin resistance; insulin secretory dysfunction; and hepatic glucose overproduction [Ref. 5.4: 03Q3X2]. Glucose disposal into insulin-sensitive tissues in response to insulin defines insulin sensitivity. Insulin resistance (loss of insulin sensitivity) and consequent hyperinsulinemia are early stages in the pathophysiology of T2DM. Progressive weight gain and obesity are potent inducers of insulin resistance. Insulin secretion by pancreatic beta cells progressively declines, with decomposition of the beta cells. Overproduction of glucose by the liver is the third pathogenic feature. Increased hepatic insulin resistance, beta cell dysfunction, and hyperglucagonemia contribute to hepatic glucose overproduction, primarily by altering rates of glucose uptake, glycogenolysis, and gluconeogenesis in the liver [Ref. 5.4: 03R2DJ],[Ref. 5.4: 03R2DK].

Hyperglycemia can cause both microvascular complications including nephropathy, neuropathy, and retinopathy, and macrovascular complications including coronary artery disease (CAD) leading to angina or myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease (PAD) contributing to stroke, diabetic encephalopathy and diabetic foot [Ref. 5.4: 043NNR] [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

Microvascular complications:

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) among patients with diabetes can be true diabetic nephropathy, but can also be caused indirectly by diabetes due mostly to hypertension, but also polyneuropathic bladder dysfunction, increased incidence of relapsing urinary tract infections or macrovascular angiopathy. Based on data from the UK, one-fifth of people with diabetes will develop chronic kidney disease [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

Diabetic neuropathy is an impairment of normal activities of the nerves throughout the body and can alter autonomic, motor and sensory functions. The reported prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy ranges from 16% to as high as 66% [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

Diabetic retinopathy is the leading cause of vision loss in working-age adults (20 to 65 years) and approximately one in three people living with diabetes have some degree of diabetic retinopathy and one in ten will develop a vision threatening form of the disease. The prevalence of any retinopathy in persons with diabetes is 35% while proliferative retinopathy is 7% [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

Macrovascular complications:

People with diabetes are at increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Diabetes is also associated with high blood pressure and cholesterol levels, which lead to increased risk of cardiovascular complications such as angina, coronary artery disease (CAD), myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral arterial disease (PAD), and congestive heart failure. Overall, it is estimated that every year 14 to 47 per 1,000 middle-aged people with diabetes (50-69

years) living in high and middle income countries have a CVD event. Among these, 2-26 per 1,000 are coronary artery disease events, and 2-18 per 1,000 are strokes [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

Diabetic foot is another severe chronic complication, and it consists of lesions in the deep tissues associated with neurological disorders and peripheral vascular disease in the lower limbs. In high income countries, the annual incidence of foot ulceration among people with diabetes is about 2%, being the most common cause of non-traumatic amputation, approximately 1% of people with diabetes suffer lower-limb amputation [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S].

As for mortality, the World Health Organization (WHO) reports that hyperglycemia is the third highest risk factor for premature mortality, after high blood pressure and tobacco use [Ref. 5.4: 04F7LB]. In the United States, diabetes was the seventh leading cause of death in 2017 [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26]. Worldwide, approximately 4.2 million people aged between 20 and 79 years died from diabetes in 2019, accounting for 11.3% of global all-cause mortality among people in this age group. In the USA, more than 188,969 people died from diabetes in 2019, one of the highest numbers of deaths due to diabetes of any country in the world. In Europe, diabetes contributed to 465,900 of the deaths among adults aged 20-79 years, with 18,656 deaths in France, 50,096 in Germany, 15,656 in Italy, 15,394 deaths in Spain and 13,951 in UK among adults aged 20-79 years, according to IDF 2019 report [Ref. 5.4: 05JV08].

Important co-morbidities:

Besides diabetes-related complications discussed in the previous section, common comorbidities observed in patients with diabetes are listed below: [Ref. 5.4: 05HGYT]

- Diabetes is associated with increased risk of cancers of the liver, pancreas, endometrium, colon/rectum, breast, and bladder.
- Diabetes is associated with a significantly increased risk and rate of cognitive decline and an increased risk of dementia.
- Diabetes is associated with the development of nonalcoholic chronic liver disease and with hepatocellular carcinoma.
- People with diabetes are at an approximately two-fold higher risk of developing acute pancreatitis.
- Age-specific hip fracture risk is significantly increased in people with diabetes in both sexes.
- Hearing impairment, both in high frequency and low/mid-frequency ranges, is more common in people with diabetes than in those without, perhaps due to neuropathy and/or vascular disease.
- Prevalence of clinically significant psychopathology diagnoses is considerably more common in people with diabetes than in those without the disease.

PART II: MODULE SII - NON-CLINICAL PART OF THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION

Table SII.1: Summary of Important Safety Findings from Non-clinical Studies

Key Safety Findings (from non-clinical studies)	Relevance to Human Usage
<p>Genotoxicity/Carcinogenicity</p> <p>Sitagliptin was not genotoxic in vitro or in vivo in a battery of assays to detect mutagenicity, direct DNA damage, or clastogenicity.</p> <p>The carcinogenic potential of sitagliptin was determined in mice at doses of 50, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg/day for 2 years. Sitagliptin was not carcinogenic in mice at a dose up to 500 mg/kg/day. The carcinogenic potential in rats was assessed at doses of 50, 150, and 500 mg/kg/day for 2 years. Sitagliptin increased the incidence of hepatic tumors in rats dosed with 500 mg/kg/day, a maximum tolerated dose in rats which produces chronic liver injury. This finding was considered secondary to the chronic liver injury observed in rats at 500 mg/kg/day.</p> <p>Data reported in the literature suggests that DPP-4 may be involved in the regression of the malignant phenotype in cancer cells, and that the transformation of normal cells to cancer cells may be accompanied by a loss of DPP-4 expression. Currently, it seems that these effects occur independently of the enzyme activity of DPP-4, as in several experiments it was shown that the effects were also achieved with inactive DPP-4. It therefore seems that, combined with the results of the 2-year carcinogenicity studies, as yet there are no indications for an increased carcinogenic risk associated with inhibition of DPP-4 enzyme activity.</p>	<p>Due to sitagliptin being non-genotoxic, the fact that there was a sufficiently high safety margin for the hepatic tumors, and that the increased incidence of hepatic tumors was considered secondary to the chronic liver injury in rats at 500 mg/kg/day, as well as the fact that hepatotoxicity was not observed in clinical studies at doses providing systemic exposure 8-fold that following the recommended dose of 100 mg/day, this increase in hepatic tumors is not considered relevant to humans. There was no increase in the incidence of tumors in any other tissues in the rat carcinogenicity study.</p>
<p>Pancreatitis</p> <p>Pancreatitis has been raised as a potential issue with DPP-4 and GLP-1 compounds for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. To address this issue, preclinical toxicity studies in mice, rats, dogs, and monkeys were reviewed for evidence of pancreatitis. There was no evidence of sitagliptin-related pancreatitis observed. To address the potential issue of an increase in susceptibility to pancreatitis in diabetic animals, H&E slides of the pancreas from a high fat diet/streptozotocin (HFD/ STZ) mouse model of diabetes were evaluated for pancreatitis and ductal proliferation.</p> <p>The HFD/STZ mice were dosed with up to 840 mg/kg/day of sitagliptin for 10 weeks, with no sitagliptin-related pancreatic changes. In addition, in a 1 year study in hIAPP transgenic mice (a transgenic mouse expressing human islet amyloid polypeptide [hIAPP] under the rat insulin-2 gene promoter fragment and characterized by</p>	<p>The H&E stained pancreas from the HFD/STZ mouse model of diabetes shows no evidence of sitagliptin-related changes (pancreatitis or changes in ductal proliferation). In a 1 year study in hIAPP transgenic mice and a 3 month study in ZDF rats, no test-article related pancreatitis or increase in pancreatic ductal cell proliferation were observed. There is no preclinical or clinical signal for pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer.</p>

Table SII.1: Summary of Important Safety Findings from Non-clinical Studies

Key Safety Findings (from non-clinical studies)	Relevance to Human Usage
<p>glucose intolerance and hyperglycemia) (a model similar to the transgenic rodent model of diabetes used by Matveyenko et al. (2009) [Ref. 5.4: 03TNK2], and the only hIAPP transgenic rodent model available for use by the public at the time of this study), evaluation of H&E stained pancreata showed no sitagliptin-related changes. Ductal proliferation was increased in sitagliptin, metformin and sitagliptin + metformin groups compared to untreated hIAPP mice, but was equivalent to that in wild type controls.</p> <p>No pancreatic ductal abnormalities were observed in any group [Ref. 5.4: 03RLWN]. However, the hIAPP mice from this study were euglycemic and not hyperglycemic as were the hIAPP rats used by Matveyenko et al. (2009) [Ref. 5.4: 03TNK2]. To address this concern an additional 3 month study with sitagliptin and metformin has been conducted using the Zucker diabetic fatty (ZDF) rat model of diabetes; these animals are clearly hyperglycemic. In this study the pancreas was evaluated for evidence of pancreatitis and a quantitative evaluation of ductal cell proliferation was conducted. There was no evidence of pancreatitis or increase in ductal cell proliferation in this study.</p> <p>In a publication by Chadwick et al. (2013) [Ref. 5.4: 03TVF0] the authors conclude that the recent publications raising concerns about pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer attributed to incretin therapies are background findings and independent of drug, diet, or glycemic status.</p>	

PART II: MODULE SIII - CLINICAL TRIAL EXPOSURE

SIII.1 Clinical Development Overview

Sitagliptin was originally approved in the EU with an indication in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus to improve glycemic control in combination with metformin, when diet and exercise plus metformin do not provide adequate glycemic control. For patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in whom use of a PPAR γ agonist (thiazolidinedione) is appropriate, sitagliptin is indicated in combination with the PPAR γ agonist when diet and exercise plus the PPAR γ agonist alone do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Since its approval, the indications were expanded as follows:

1. For adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, sitagliptin is indicated to improve glycemic control as triple oral therapy in combination with a PPAR γ agonist and metformin when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these medicinal products do not provide adequate glycemic control. (This indication was supported by the results of a 54-week efficacy and safety study, P052. This trial was a Phase III clinical study designed to assess the glycemic efficacy and tolerability of sitagliptin added to the combination of metformin and rosiglitazone compared with placebo in patients with inadequate glycemic control receiving dual combination therapy.)
2. For adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, sitagliptin is indicated to improve glycemic control as monotherapy in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone, and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance. (This indication was supported by the results of study P049, a Phase III, multicenter, double-blind, randomized study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of sitagliptin compared with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycemic control.)
3. Sitagliptin is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus a stable dose of insulin do not provide adequate glycemic control. (This indication was supported by the results of study P051, a 24-week Phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial to study the safety and efficacy of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and inadequate glycemic control with insulin therapy [alone or in combination with metformin]).
4. For adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, sitagliptin is indicated to improve glycemic control as dual oral therapy in combination with a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glycemic control, and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance. (This indication was supported by the results of study P035, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the addition of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and inadequate glycemic control with glimepiride alone or in combination with metformin.)

5. For adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, sitagliptin is indicated to improve glycemic control as triple oral therapy in combination with a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these medicinal products do not provide adequate glycemic control. (This indication was supported by the results of study P035, a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the addition of sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and inadequate glycemic control with glimepiride alone or in combination with metformin.)

The original population approved for use of sitagliptin excluded patients with moderate to severe renal insufficiency. This was later changed to allow treatment of patients with moderate (creatinine clearance [CrCl] ≥ 30 to < 50 mL/min), severe (CrCl < 30 mL/min), and end-stage renal disease requiring hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, with a modified dose of sitagliptin, based on three studies: 1. a study comparing sitagliptin 25 or 50 mg once daily to glipizide 2.5 to 20 mg/day in patients with moderate to severe renal impairment (CrCl < 50 ml/min); 2. a study comparing sitagliptin 25 mg once daily to glipizide 2.5 to 20 mg/day in patients with ESRD who were on dialysis; 3. a study comparing sitagliptin 25 or 50 mg once daily to placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic renal impairment (eGFR < 50 ml/min).

SIII.2 Completed Clinical Research Studies as of 30 June 2013

The clinical research development program for sitagliptin for the treatment of T2DM in adults includes 34 Phase II/III studies complete as of 30-June-2013 (see Table SIII.2.1). This group of studies includes patients receiving doses of sitagliptin higher and lower than the clinical dose (100 mg), and patients who were initially randomized to placebo either in the base study or the Phase A portion of the study, and later switched to sitagliptin in an extension study or in the Phase B portion of the study. For patients in this group of studies, exposure includes data collected prior to and after initiation of glycemic rescue therapy. Across the 34 clinical research studies, 10,753 patients were exposed to sitagliptin. The mean duration of exposure to sitagliptin (any dose) in this group of patients was 291.1 days, with a cumulative exposure of 8570.0 patient years.

Details of exposure to sitagliptin by study, duration, dose, age and gender, race, and in special populations are shown below in Table SIII.2.2 through Table SIII.2.6.

Data from the Japanese studies of sitagliptin reside in separate databases and hence were not included. Results from the Phase II/III Japanese studies are generally consistent with results from studies included below.

Exposure data from the cardiovascular outcomes trial (TECOS), which completed in 2015, can be found in Section SIII.3. Results from the remaining 6 MAH-sponsored trials in adults completed after 30-Jun-2013 are generally consistent with the existing safety and efficacy profile of sitagliptin.

**Table SIII.2.1: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Study: June 2013
Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic
Rescue Therapy**

Population	Subjects	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
Protocol 010	572	432.4	677.1
Protocol 014	441	362.2	437.3
Protocol 015	28	28.3	2.2
Protocol 019	175	149.3	71.5
Protocol 020	464	503.6	639.8
Protocol 021	655	422.4	757.5
Protocol 023	411	315.8	355.3
Protocol 024	588	483.4	778.3
Protocol 028	65	300.2	53.4
Protocol 035	222	274.7	167.0
Protocol 036 Blinded Cohort	551	509.0	767.9
Protocol 036 OLC	117	142.3	45.6
Protocol 040	352	117.2	113.0
Protocol 047	102	143.0	39.9
Protocol 049	528	155.7	225.1
Protocol 051	322	155.2	136.8
Protocol 052	170	347.7	161.8
Protocol 053	96	194.0	51.0
Protocol 061	104	80.8	23.0
Protocol 063	210	328.1	188.7
Protocol 064	261	283.5	202.6
Protocol 066	261	199.7	142.7
Protocol 068	244	242.8	162.2
Protocol 073	64	315.5	55.3
Protocol 074	197	157.1	84.7
Protocol 077	94	12.1	3.1
Protocol 079	626	230.2	394.6
Protocol 102	922	326.9	825.2
Protocol 121	367	152.2	153.0
Protocol 128	157	176.2	75.7
Protocol 229	210	339.6	195.3
Protocol 251	241	192.8	127.2
Protocol 403	326	163.6	146.1
Protocol 801	94	117.5	30.2
Protocol 803	516	198.1	279.8
Total OLC = open label cohort	10753	291.1	8570.0

Clinical Trial Exposure by Duration of Exposure

Table SIII.2.2: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Duration: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Duration of Exposure	Subjects	Subject Time (years)
> 0 days	10753	8570.0
> 1 month (4 weeks)	10270	8554.2
> 3 months (12 weeks)	9614	8448.3
> 6 months (24 weeks)	7492	7642.8
> 12 months (48 weeks)	3939	5478.8
> 18 months (72 weeks)	1583	3007.8

Each subject is counted once on each applicable duration category row.

Clinical Trial Exposure by Dose

Table SIII.2.3: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Dose: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Dose of Exposure	Subjects	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
Any dose	10753	291.1	8570.0
<25 mg	265	99.0	71.8
25 mg	599	166.8	273.5
27.5 mg	1	1.0	0.0
30 mg	4	13.8	0.2
37.5 mg	10	6.3	0.2
50 mg	1999	59.4	325.3
75 mg	30	13.7	1.1
100 mg	9581	279.4	7329.8
125 mg	9	2.3	0.1
150 mg	193	4.4	2.3
175 mg	1	1.0	0.0
200 mg	1004	205.6	565.3
>200 mg	48	3.0	0.4

Each subject is counted once on each applicable dose category row.

Table SIII.2.4: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Age Category and Gender: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Age Category (years)	Subjects			Mean Duration (days)			Subject Time (years)		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
<65	4687	3964	8651	292.8	297.9	295.1	3757.0	3233.2	6990.3
≥65	1119	983	2102	285.2	262.3	274.5	873.8	705.9	1579.8
Total	5806	4947	10753	291.3	290.8	291.1	4630.9	3939.1	8570.0

Clinical Trial Exposure by Racial / Ethnic Origin

Table SIII.2.5: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Race: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Race	Subjects	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
American Indian Or Alaska Native	242	231.4	153.3
Asian	2189	241.3	1446.1
Black Or African American	550	283.3	426.5
Multi-Racial	645	323.4	571.1
Native Hawaiian Or Other Pacific Islander	25	274.0	18.8
Unknown	818	384.8	861.9
White	6271	296.4	5088.5
NULL ^a	13	105.8	3.8
Total	10753	291.1	8570.0

Race is classified as unknown for patients whose reported race was Hispanic. These patients come from studies (010, 014, 019, 020, 021, 023, 024, 035, 036, 047, 052, 053) in which ethnicity data were not collected separately from race.

^aRace is classified as null for patients whose race data are missing.

Clinical Trial Exposure in Special Populations

Table SIII.2.6: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Special Population: June 2013 Sitagliptin Population, Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Population	Subjects	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
Renal impairment (baseline serum creatinine >ULN) [*]	338	288.8	267.3
Hepatic impairment (baseline ALT>ULN or AST>ULN)	2335	297.7	1903.2
Cardiac impairment (any cardiac SOC medical history)	1491	312.8	1276.9

A subject is counted for each applicable population.

^{*}Subject population included mild to severe renal impairment, including ESRD.

Table SIII.2.7: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin in Patients 10-17 years of Age

Population	Subjects	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
Protocol 083 [*]	95	309.4	80.5

^{*} To evaluate the safety of sitagliptin as initial oral therapy in patients 10-17 years of age (inclusive)

Treatment with sitagliptin as initial oral antihyperglycemic therapy did not provide clinically meaningful improvement in glycemic control in pediatric patients (10 to 17 years old) with T2DM, therefore it is not indicated for use in this population.

SIII.3 P082 (TECOS)

P082 (TECOS: A Randomized, Placebo Controlled Clinical Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular Outcomes after Treatment with Sitagliptin in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Inadequate Glycemic Control) was a Phase III, multinational, placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group pragmatic clinical trial. The first patient's first visit was on 16 December 2008, and the last-data-available date was 30 March 2015.

Eligible patients had T2DM and HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$ (48 mmol/mol) and $\leq 8.0\%$ (64 mmol/mol) with stable doses of either monotherapy or dual combination therapy with metformin, pioglitazone, or a sulfonylurea, or, after a protocol amendment, with stable doses of insulin (i.e. $\pm 20\%$ of the scheduled total daily insulin dose), alone or in combination with metformin, for at least 3 months (i.e. no adjustments to oral antihyperglycemic therapy in the past 3 months). In addition, patients were at least 50 years of age, with preexisting documented vascular disease in coronary, cerebral, or peripheral arteries.

Baseline demographics were well-balanced between the treatment groups. Mean age at randomization was 65.4 years in the sitagliptin group and 65.5 years in the placebo group; 71% of patients in both groups were male. The treatment groups were well balanced at baseline with regard to duration of diabetes (mean 11.6 years in both groups), history of diabetes complications, antihyperglycemic agents ($>80\%$ taking metformin and approximately 45% taking a sulfonylurea in both groups), and HbA1c (mean and median 7.2% in both groups). Within the sitagliptin group and the placebo group, respectively, 74% and 75% had coronary artery disease, 25% and 24% had cerebrovascular disease, and 17% of patients in both treatment groups had pre-existing peripheral artery disease. The two treatment groups had similar rates of use across different classes of medication. In both treatment groups, mean estimated glomerular filtration rate at baseline was 75 mL/min/1.73m².

A total of 14,735 patients were randomly allocated to treatment either with sitagliptin once daily or placebo in a 1:1 ratio; of these, 14,671 were included in the intent to treat (ITT) population and 14,540 were included in the population of all patients as treated (APaT). For patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥ 50 mL/min/1.73 m², the starting dose of sitagliptin or placebo was 100 mg q.d.; for patients with eGFR 30 to <50 mL/min/1.73 m², the starting dose of sitagliptin or matching placebo was 50 mg q.d.

For the APaT population, in the sitagliptin group (n = 7266) and in the placebo group (n = 7274) the mean (SD) duration of treatment was 31.7 months (14.2) and 31.0 months (14.6), respectively; and the median (Q1, Q3) duration of treatment was 32.0 months (24.5, 41.7) and 30.9 months (24.2, 41.2). The minimum duration of treatment was 0 months in both groups; the maximum duration was 67 months and 65 months, respectively.

Exposure to sitagliptin by treatment duration, age, gender and race in P082 is shown in Table SIII.3.1 through Table SIII.3.3.

Table SIII.3.1: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Duration: P082 (All Patients as Treated)

Duration of Exposure	Sitagliptin (N=7266)
<4 months	407 (5.6%)
≥4 months-<8 months	252 (3.5%)
≥8 months-<12 months	206 (2.8%)
≥12 months-<18 months	267 (3.7%)
≥18 months-<24 months	351 (4.8%)
≥24 months-<30 months	1784 (24.6%)
≥30 months-<36 months	1091 (15.0%)
≥36 months-<42 months	1148 (15.8%)
≥42 months-<48 months	746 (10.3%)
≥48 months	1014 (14.0%)

Table SIII.3.2: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Race: P082 (All Patients as Treated)

Race	n	Mean Duration (days)	Subject Time (years)
American Indian or Alaska Native	36	826.4	81.5
Asian	1,639	949.9	4,262.4
Black or African American	205	882.6	495.4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	1,309.6	17.9
White	4,908	892.7	11,995.0
Other ¹	473	867.3	1,123.2
Total	7,266	903.6	17,975.3

Table SIII.3.3: Clinical Trial Exposure to Sitagliptin by Age Category and Gender: P082 (All Patients as Treated)

Age Category (years)	Sex		Total
	Male	Female	
<65			
n	2,384	906	3,290
Mean duration (days)	941.4	918.3	935.1
Subject time (years)	6,144.9	2,277.8	8,422.7
≥65			
n	2,685	1,136	3,821
Mean duration (days)	875.5	854.7	869.3
Subject time (years)	6,436.0	2,658.4	9,094.4
Total ¹			
n	5,156	2,110	7,266
Mean duration (days)	909.3	889.7	903.6
Subject time (years)	12,835.4	5,139.8	17,975.3

PART II: MODULE SIV - POPULATIONS NOT STUDIED IN CLINICAL TRIALS

SIV.1 Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program

Table SIV.1.1: Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program

Exclusion Criterion	Reason for Exclusion	Is it Considered to be Missing Information?	Rationale (if not Included as Missing Information)
History of type 1 diabetes mellitus	The mechanism of action of sitagliptin precludes its being an effective antihyperglycemic agent in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.	No	The sitagliptin EU SmPC clearly states that sitagliptin should not be used in patients with type 1 diabetes (Section 4.4).
History of ketoacidosis	The mechanism of action of sitagliptin precludes its being an effective antihyperglycemic agent in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. A history of ketoacidosis may suggest diagnosed or undiagnosed type 1 diabetes.	No	The sitagliptin EU SmPC clearly states that sitagliptin should not be used in patients with type 1 diabetes or for the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis (Section 4.4).
Pregnancy or lactation	Due to limited data on the safety of use of sitagliptin during pregnancy, pregnant women were excluded from all clinical trials.	Yes	---
History of malignancy	In a clinical trial setting, recurring malignancy or treatment for malignancy may confound the assessment of safety and tolerability of sitagliptin.	No	Sitagliptin has no known deleterious effect, and does have altered efficacy, in patients with a history of malignancy

Table SIV.1.1: Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program

Exclusion Criterion	Reason for Exclusion	Is it Considered to be Missing Information?	Rationale (if not Included as Missing Information)
<p>Patients with moderate and severe renal impairment was an exclusion in the majority of clinical trials, with the exception of Protocols 28, 63, 73, and 838 which investigated the safety and efficacy of sitagliptin in subjects with mild to severe renal impairment.</p>	<p>Renal function exclusion criteria varied across studies, based on renal exclusion criteria related to the use of metformin as background therapy, and on the need to adjust the dose of sitagliptin in subjects with moderate or severe renal insufficiency.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Sitagliptin has been studied in different trials both in patients with normal renal function and in patients with impaired renal function (up to ESRD). For patients with mild renal impairment (glomerular filtration rate [GFR] ≥ 60 mL/min to < 90 mL/min), no dosage adjustment for sitagliptin is required. A dose adjustment of sitagliptin is required for patients with moderate to severe renal impairment including ESRD. For patients with moderate renal impairment (GFR ≥ 45 mL/min. to < 60 mL/min.), no dosage adjustment for sitagliptin is required. For patients with moderate renal impairment (GFR ≥ 30 mL/min to < 45 mL/min), the dose of sitagliptin is 50 mg once daily. For patients with severe renal impairment (GFR ≥ 15 mL/min to < 30 mL/min) or with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (GFR < 15 mL/min), including those requiring hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, the dose of sitagliptin is 25 mg once daily. Sitagliptin may be administered without regard to the timing of dialysis.</p>
<p>ALT or AST > 2.0-fold the ULN</p>	<p>In the setting of a clinical trial, impaired hepatic function may interfere with data interpretation.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>This is typically a standard safety-related clinical trial exclusion criterion. Sitagliptin has no known deleterious effect and does not have altered efficacy in patients with a history of hepatic impairment.</p>
<p>Congestive Heart Failure was excluded in the majority of clinical trials.</p>	<p>In the setting of a clinical trial, a recent coronary event or intervention may interfere with data interpretation.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Sitagliptin has no known deleterious effect, and does not have altered efficacy in patients with congestive heart failure</p>
<p>For the majority of clinical trials, with the exception of TECOS: Within the past 3 or 6 months:</p>	<p>In the setting of a clinical trial, a recent coronary event or intervention may interfere with data interpretation.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>This is typically a standard safety-related clinical trial exclusion criterion. TECOS (trial P082 studied cardiovascular outcomes in patient with T2DM).</p>

Table SIV.1.1: Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Program

Exclusion Criterion	Reason for Exclusion	Is it Considered to be Missing Information?	Rationale (if not Included as Missing Information)
Acute coronary syndrome (e.g. MI or unstable angina) Coronary artery intervention (e.g., CABG or PTCA) Stroke or transient ischemic neurologic disorder New or worsening signs or symptoms of heart disease.			
Use of recreational or illicit drugs or recent history of drug abuse or increased alcohol consumption	Acute alcohol intoxication and alcoholism may interfere with the adequate conduct of the clinical trial.	No	Sitagliptin has no known deleterious effects in acute alcohol intoxication.

SIV.2 Limitations to Detect Adverse Reactions in Clinical Trial Development Program

The clinical development programme is unlikely to detect certain types of adverse reactions such as rare adverse reactions, adverse reactions with a long latency, or those caused by prolonged or cumulative exposure.

SIV.3 Limitations in Respect to Populations Typically Under-represented in Clinical Trial Development Program

Table SIV.3.1: Exposure of Special Populations Included or not in Clinical Trial Development Programs

Type of Special Population	Exposure
Pregnant women	There have been no clinical studies evaluating sitagliptin in pregnant women (including women with gestational diabetes) or lactating women. As of 25-OCT-2017, there were 31 reports of exposure during pregnancy in the sitagliptin and MK-0431A clinical development programs. These include 15 reports in women treated with sitagliptin (with or without metformin), 3 in women treated with either sitagliptin or placebo (codes unbroken), and 13 in women treated with placebo or an active comparator.
Breastfeeding women	
Patients with relevant comorbidities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients with hepatic impairment • Patients with renal impairment • Patients with cardiovascular impairment • Immunocompromised patients • Patients with a disease severity different from inclusion criteria in clinical trials 	Hepatic, renal and cardiovascular impairment exposure can be found in Table SIII.2.6. Immunocompromised patients were not included in the pre-authorization clinical development program
Population with relevant different ethnic origin	See Table SIII.2.5 and Table SIII.3.2 for race breakdown in clinical trials.
Subpopulations carrying relevant genetic polymorphisms	Not included in the pre-authorization clinical development program

PART II: MODULE SV - POST-AUTHORIZATION EXPERIENCE

SV.1 Post-Authorization Exposure

SV.1.1 Method Used to Calculate Exposure

A summary of the worldwide unit distribution of sitagliptin for the cumulative period from market introduction to 31-May-2020 is provided below. Estimates of patient exposure are also provided. The estimation was based upon the following assumptions of one tablet daily and each distributed unit corresponds to one patient-day of treatment. Patient exposure estimates were calculated from the Company’s internal distribution data from the Worldwide Financial Repository System (WFRS) and the Financial Sharing Area databases. Patient exposure estimates were calculated from expanded distribution categories to provide a more accurate estimate of patient exposure worldwide.

It is important to note that the estimated patient-years of treatment (PYT) are not equivalent to the absolute number of patients treated. It should also be noted that the overall PYT estimates are likely to underestimate the true number of patients exposed to sitagliptin, since PYT estimates are calculated number of patients who could have been treated for one year based on the tablets distributed. However, since most patients do not stay on therapy for a whole year, even for chronic conditions, the real number of patients is likely to be higher.

SV.1.2 Exposure

The estimated number of doses of sitagliptin distributed worldwide from product launch through 31-May-2020 is 24,450,902,278. This corresponds to 66,988,773 estimated patient years of treatment (PYT).

Table SV.1.2.1: Post-authorization (non-study) Exposure: Units Distributed and Patient-years of Treatment, Cumulative through 31-May-2020

Unit Strength/Unit Size	Distribution (Number of Tablets)	Exposure (Patient-years of treatment)
12.5mg ^a	35,317,605	96,761
25mg	1,118,393,484	3,064,092
50mg	8,698,091,762	23,830,388
100mg	14,599,099,427	39,997,533
Total	24,450,902,278	66,988,773

^a The 12.5mg tablet strength is only available in Japan.

PART II: MODULE SVI - ADDITIONAL EU REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SAFETY SPECIFICATION

Potential for Misuse for Illegal Purposes

Sitagliptin is available only through prescribing physicians. Sitagliptin is not a drug with known psychotropic, mood-altering or analgesic properties; therefore, it is highly unlikely that sitagliptin would be sought out for illegal use.

PART II: MODULE SVII - IDENTIFIED AND POTENTIAL RISKS

SVII.1 Identification of Safety Concerns in the Initial RMP Submission

Not applicable

SVII.1.1 Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP

Not applicable

SVII.1.2 Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP

Not applicable

SVII.2 New Safety Concerns and Reclassification With a Submission of an Updated RMP

Not applicable.

SVII.3 Details of Important Identified Risks, Important Potential Risks, and Missing Information

SVII.3.1 Presentation of Important Identified Risks and Important Potential Risks

There are no important identified risks in the sitagliptin RMP. The one important potential risk of pancreatic cancer is discussed

Data from the 2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population and the additional data from the results of P082 (TECOS) are included.

Table SVII.3.1.1: Details of Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer

Frequency With 95% CI	All Adverse Events
-----------------------	--------------------

Person-time Adjusted Analysis of Subjects with Pancreatic Cancer Adverse Events
(Incidence > 0% in One or More Treatment Groups):
2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population,
Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

Treatment	Number of Subjects With ≥ 1 Event / Subject-Years Follow-up Time (100-Subject-Years Incidence Rate)	Difference from Non-Exposed in Incidence Rate (95% CI) [†]
Subjects in Population		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	7726	
Non-Exposed	6885	
Selected Pancreatic Cancer Adverse Events		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	3/6388 (0.05)	-0.02
Non-Exposed	3/5378 (0.06)	
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	3/6388 (0.05)	-0.02
Non-Exposed	3/5378 (0.06)	
Adenocarcinoma pancreas		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	0/6388 (0.00)	-0.02
Non-Exposed	1/5378 (0.02)	
Pancreatic carcinoma		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	2/6388 (0.03)	-0.01
Non-Exposed	2/5378 (0.04)	
Pancreatic carcinoma metastatic		
Sitagliptin 100 mg	1/6388 (0.02)	0.01
Non-Exposed	0/5378 (0.00)	

[†] Based on Miettinen & Nurminen method stratified by study and computed only for those endpoints with at least four patients having events in one or more treatment groups.
For subjects with ≥ 1 event, follow-up time is computed up to the time of the first event. For subjects without an event, follow-up time is computed up to the end of the treatment period + 14 days.

Seriousness	Serious Adverse Events
-------------	------------------------

**Subjects With Pancreatic Cancer Serious Adverse Events by Seriousness Criterion
(Incidence > 0% in One or More Treatment Groups):
2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population,
Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy**

	Seriousness Criterion	Sitagliptin 100 mg		Non-Exposed	
		n	(%)	n	(%)
Subjects in population		7,726		6,885	
With one or more serious adverse events	Overall	3	(0.0)	3	(0.0)
	Cancer	3	(0.0)	3	(0.0)
	Congenital defect	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Death	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Disability	0	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Hospitalization	3	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Life threatening	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	OME	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Overdose	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)					
Adenocarcinoma pancreas	Overall	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Cancer	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Congenital defect	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Death	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Disability	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Hospitalization	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Life threatening	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	OME	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Overdose	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Pancreatic carcinoma	Overall	2	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Cancer	2	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Congenital defect	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Death	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Disability	0	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Hospitalization	2	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Life threatening	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	OME	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Overdose	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Pancreatic carcinoma metastatic	Overall	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Cancer	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Congenital defect	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Death	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Disability	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Hospitalization	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Life threatening	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	OME	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Overdose	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

Every subject is counted once on each applicable row.

OME = other important medical event

Outcomes	All Adverse Events
----------	--------------------

**Subjects With Pancreatic Cancer Adverse Events by Outcome
(Incidence > 0% in One or More Treatment Groups):
2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population,
Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy**

	Outcome	Sitagliptin 100 mg		Non-Exposed	
		n	(%)	n	(%)
Subjects in population		7,726		6,885	
With one or more adverse events	Overall	3	(0.0)	3	(0.0)
	Fatal	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Not Resolved	2	(0.0)	3	(0.0)
	Resolved	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Resolving	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Sequelae	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Unknown	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)				
Adenocarcinoma pancreas	Overall	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Fatal	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Not Resolved	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Resolved	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Resolving	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Sequelae	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Unknown	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Pancreatic carcinoma	Overall	2	(0.0)	2
Fatal		0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Not Resolved		1	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
Resolved		1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Resolving		0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Sequelae		0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Unknown		0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
Pancreatic carcinoma metastatic		Overall	1	(0.0)	0
	Fatal	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Not Resolved	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Resolved	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Resolving	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Sequelae	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Unknown	0	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

Every subject is counted once on each applicable row.
Outcome: Resolved = RECOVERED/RESOLVED, Resolving = RECOVERING/RESOLVING, Sequelae = RECOVERED/RESOLVED WITH SEQUELAE, Not resolved = NOT RECOVERED/NOT RESOLVED.

Severity and nature of risk	All Adverse Events
-----------------------------	--------------------

Subjects With Pancreatic Cancer Adverse Events by Maximum Intensity
(Incidence > 0% in One or More Treatment Groups):
2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population,
Including Data After Initiation of Glycemic Rescue Therapy

	Intensity Grading	Sitagliptin 100 mg		Non-Exposed	
		n	(%)	n	(%)
Subjects in population		7,726		6,885	
With one or more adverse events	Total	3	(0.0)	3	(0.0)
	Mild	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Moderate	0	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Severe	2	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)					
Adenocarcinoma pancreas	Total	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Moderate	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
Pancreatic carcinoma	Total	2	(0.0)	2	(0.0)
	Mild	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Moderate	0	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
	Severe	1	(0.0)	1	(0.0)
Pancreatic carcinoma metastatic	Total	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)
	Severe	1	(0.0)	0	(0.0)

Every subject is counted a single time for each applicable specific adverse event, and is classified according to the highest non-missing intensity grading.

Background Incidence/Prevalence	<p>Incidence:</p> <p>United States (US): The incidence rate of pancreatic cancer in patients treated with sulfonylureas is 52.9 per 100,000 person-years. The incidence rate of pancreatic cancer in patients treated with metformin is 26.5 per 100,000 person-years. [Ref. 5.4: 04K85L]</p> <p>United Kingdom (UK):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 78.76 per 100,000 person-years [95% CI: 71.54, 86.51] in patients with diabetes (and 11.46 per 100,000 person-years [95% CI: 10.88, 12.06] in patients without diabetes) at least 25 years old [Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7]. 70 per 100,000 person years among adults aged 18 years or older [Ref. 5.4: 03TBX7]. In a UK cohort study, patients with T2DM (0.3%) were 3 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer than non-diabetic people (0.1%). [Ref. 5.4: 03RH5X]
Risk Groups or Risk Factors	<p>The risk of pancreatic cancer was significant for type 2 diabetes patients (adjusted HR 1.80 [95% CI: 1.52, 2.14]), thus 80% increase in the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, the risk was significant among patients with increasing age, history of chronic pancreatitis and tobacco use. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and T2DM with the adjusted HR was 12.12 [95% CI: 6.02, 24.40], they were 12 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer. The effect of T2DM and chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic cancer risk was at least additive after adjusting for known risk factors. Incidence was highest in patients with more than 5 year duration of type 2 diabetes. [Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7].</p>
Potential Mechanisms	<p>There are no conclusive data to identify a mechanism by which DPP-4 inhibitors may cause pancreatic cancer.</p>
Preventability	<p>Data not available</p>
Impact on the Risk-Benefit Balance	<p>There is an increased risk of pancreatic cancer in patients with T2DM. In pre-clinical studies there were no indications for an increased carcinogenic risk associated with inhibition of DPP-4 enzyme activity. In sitagliptin clinical studies there were no significant differences between treatment groups and in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies. Sitagliptin has positive impact on the long-term complications of diabetes, patient quality of life, and the prevention of the long-term microvascular complications of diabetes due to the important improvements in glycemic control.</p>
Potential Public Health Impact of Safety Concern	<p>The frequency of pancreatic cancer with sitagliptin is very low (see above), and this risk is expected to have minimal public health impact.</p>
Evidence Source	<p>In clinical studies (2011 Sitagliptin Pooled Safety Population; P082) there were no significant differences between treatment groups in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies; however, the clinical trials were not specifically designed to fully investigate pancreatic cancer as a safety concern.</p>
MedDRA terms	<p>Pancreatic neoplasms malignant (excl. islet cell and carcinoid) HLT</p>

In P082, occurrence of confirmed charter-defined malignancies of the pancreas between the sitagliptin and placebo groups was analyzed as an endpoint of interest. Charter-defined pancreatic malignancy was classified as such if the patient had either evidence of a new pancreatic malignancy or the first recurrence (during the study period) of a previous pancreatic cancer.

There was no significant difference between treatment groups in the incidence of charter-defined pancreatic malignancies. However, in the ITT population, there were numerically fewer such events in the sitagliptin group than the placebo group.

In the analysis of the per-protocol (PP) population, 9/7257 patients in the sitagliptin group had a total of nine events of charter-defined pancreatic malignancy (0.1%; 0.05 per 100

person-years), and 10/7266 patients in the placebo group had a total of ten such events (0.1%; 0.05 per 100 person-years) (HR 0.91; 95% CI 0.37 to 2.25; p=0.846).

In the analysis of the ITT population, 9/7332 patients in the sitagliptin group had a total of nine events of charter-defined pancreatic malignancy (0.1%; 0.04 per 100 person-years); and 14/7339 patients in the placebo group had a total of 15 such events (0.2%; 0.07 per 100 person-years) (HR 0.66; 95% CI 0.28 to 1.51; p=0.322).

In the PP population, Kaplan-Meier curves and estimates for time to first charter-defined pancreatic malignancy showed no difference between the groups over time. In the ITT population, Kaplan-Meier curves and estimates for time to first charter-defined pancreatic malignancy in the two treatment groups started to diverge between month 12 and 18; thereafter, the percentage of patients with an event was numerically less over time in the sitagliptin group than in the placebo group through month 54.

Yearly through 3 years, only a small numerical difference was observed between treatment groups with regard to cumulative incidence of events of charter-defined pancreatic malignancy.

SVII.3.2 Presentation of the Missing Information

Missing information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Evidence Source:

There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of sitagliptin use during pregnancy or lactation.

Sitagliptin was not teratogenic in rats at oral doses up to 250 mg/kg or in rabbits given up to 125 mg/kg during organogenesis (up to 32 and 22 times, respectively, the human exposure based on the recommended daily adult human dose of 100 mg/day). In rats, a slight increase in the incidence of fetal rib malformations (absent, hypoplastic and wavy ribs) was observed at oral doses of 1000 mg/kg/day (approximately 100 times the human exposure based on the recommended daily adult human dose of 100 mg/day). Slight decreases in mean preweaning body weights of both sexes and postweaning body weight gains of males were observed in the offspring of rats given oral dose of 1000 mg/kg/day. However, animal reproduction studies are not always predictive of the human response.

Population in Need of Further Characterisation:

There are not enough data from the use of sitagliptin in pregnant women to conclude regarding the safety of use in pregnancy. Studies in animals have shown toxic effects on fetuses at high doses above those used in humans. Sitagliptin is not recommended for use in pregnancy, as stated in the Product Information.

Sitagliptin was detected in breast milk when studies were performed in rats. No studies have been performed to find out whether sitagliptin is released into breast milk of nursing mothers who are taking this medicine. It is recommended that nursing mothers not take sitagliptin while they are breast feeding.

PART II: MODULE SVIII - SUMMARY OF THE SAFETY CONCERNS

Table SVIII.1: Summary of Safety Concerns

Summary of safety concerns	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	Pancreatic cancer
Missing information	Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

PART III: PHARMACOVIGILANCE PLAN (INCLUDING POST-AUTHORIZATION SAFETY STUDIES)

III.1 Routine Pharmacovigilance Activities

The MAH maintains systems and standard practices for routine pharmacovigilance (PhV) activities to collect reports of suspected adverse reactions (including spontaneous reports, reports from clinical studies, reports of pregnancy/lactation exposures, overdoses and medication errors); prepare reports for regulatory authorities (e.g. individual case safety reports, periodic safety update reports [PSUR], etc.), and maintain continuous monitoring of the safety profile of approved products (including signal detection, issue evaluation, updating of labeling, and liaison with regulatory authorities). The MAH maintains a Pharmacovigilance System Master File which contains details of these systems and standard practices.

Routine Pharmacovigilance Activities Beyond Adverse Reactions Reporting and Signal Detection:

Not applicable

III.2 Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities

Not applicable

III.3 Summary Table of Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities

Table III.3.1: On-Going and Planned Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities

Study / Status	Summary of Objectives	Safety Concerns Addressed	Milestones	Due Dates
Category 1 - Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are conditions of the marketing authorization				
None				
Category 2 – Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional marketing authorization or a marketing authorization under exceptional circumstances (key to benefit risk)				
None				
Category 3 - Required additional pharmacovigilance activities				
None				

PART IV: PLANS FOR POST-AUTHORIZATION EFFICACY STUDIES

There are no ongoing or proposed post-authorization efficacy studies (PAES) for sitagliptin.

Table IV.1: Planned and On-Going Post-Authorization Efficacy Studies that are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization or that are Specific Obligations

Study / Status	Summary of Objectives	Efficacy Uncertainties Addressed	Milestones	Due Date
Efficacy studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization:				
None				
Efficacy studies which are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional marketing authorization or a marketing authorization under exceptional circumstances:				
None				

PART V: RISK MINIMISATION MEASURES (INCLUDING EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RISK MINIMISATION ACTIVITIES)

Risk Minimisation Plan

V.1 Routine Risk Minimization Measures

Table V.1.1: Description of Routine Risk Minimisation Measures by Safety Concern

Safety Concern	Routine Risk Minimisation Activities
Pancreatic cancer	No risk minimization proposed
Exposure during pregnancy and lactation	SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation

V.2 Additional Risk Minimization Measures

Routine risk minimization activities as described in Part V.1 are sufficient to manage the safety concerns of the medicinal product.

V.3 Summary of Risk Minimization Measures

Table V.3.1: Summary Table of Pharmacovigilance Activities and Risk Minimisation Activities by Safety Concern

Safety Concern	Risk minimisation Measures	Pharmacovigilance Activities
Pancreatic cancer	None	Routine Pharmacovigilance
Exposure during pregnancy and lactation	SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation	Routine Pharmacovigilance

PART VI: SUMMARY OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN BY PRODUCT

I. Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Januvia

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Januvia. The RMP details important risks of Januvia, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Januvia's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Januvia's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Januvia should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Januvia should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Januvia's RMP.

I.A The Medicine and What It Is Used For

Januvia is authorised for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Januvia is indicated to improve glycaemic control:

as monotherapy

- in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.

as dual oral therapy in combination with

- metformin when diet and exercise plus metformin alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control.
- a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.
- a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma PPAR γ agonist (thiazolidinedione) when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus the PPAR γ agonist alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control.

as triple oral therapy in combination with

- a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycaemic control.
- a PPAR γ agonist and metformin when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycaemic control.

Januvia is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus stable dosage of insulin do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Refer to SmPC for the full indication.

It contains sitagliptin as the active substance and it is given orally.

Further information about the evaluation of Januvia's benefits can be found in Januvia's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website, under the medicine's webpage:

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/000722/human_med_000865.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124

I.B Risks Associated With the Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise the Risks

Important risks of Januvia, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Januvia's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size — the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimisation* measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Januvia is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

I.B.1 List of Important Risks and Missing Information

Important risks of Januvia are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Januvia. Potential risks are concerns for which an

association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine).

Table I.B.1.1: List of Important Risks and Missing Information

List of Important Risks and Missing Information	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	Pancreatic cancer
Missing information	Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Januvia has been marketed for 12 years since 2006 with over 55 million patient-years of treatment. The safety profile has been well-characterized during that time and adverse reactions that have been reported from clinical trials, non-interventional studies and post-approval safety surveillance analysis are included in the SmPC. There are no studies planned or warranted to further characterize any identified or potential risk that would alter the established risk-benefit profile for Januvia. There are also no additional risk minimization activities planned or warranted beyond communication of the safety profile in the SmPC and the Patient Leaflet. There are no important safety concerns (important identified or potential risks) for which additional pharmacovigilance activities is to be planned.

In conclusion, continued spontaneous safety surveillance will be sufficient to monitor the safety profile and labeling will provide sufficient routine risk minimization.

I.B.2 Summary of Important Risks

Table I.B.2.1: Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In clinical studies (2011 Sitagliptin in Combination with Metformin Pooled Safety Population; P082) there were no significant differences between treatment groups in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies; however, the clinical trials were not specifically designed to fully investigate pancreatic cancer as a safety concern.
Risk factors and risk groups	The risk of pancreatic cancer was significant for type 2 diabetes patients (adjusted HR 1.80 [95% CI: 1.52, 2.14]), thus 80% increase in the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, the risk was significant among patients with increasing age, history of chronic pancreatitis and tobacco use. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and T2DM with the adjusted HR was 12.12 [95% CI: 6.02, 24.40], they were 12 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer. The effect of T2DM and chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic cancer risk was at least additive after adjusting for known risk factors. Incidence was highest in patients with more than 5 year duration of type 2 diabetes [Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7].
Risk minimisation measures	None

Table I.B.2.2: Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation
----------------------------	--

I.B.3 Post-Authorization Development Plan

I.B.3.1 Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Januvia.

I.B.3.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan

There are no studies required for Januvia.

II. Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Xelevia

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Xelevia. The RMP details important risks of Xelevia, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Xelevia's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Xelevia's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Xelevia should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Xelevia should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Xelevia's RMP.

II.A The Medicine and What It Is Used For

Xelevia is authorised for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Xelevia is indicated to improve glycemic control:

as **monotherapy**

- in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.

as **dual oral therapy** in combination with

- metformin when diet and exercise plus metformin alone do not provide adequate glycemic control.
- a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glycemic control and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.
- a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma PPAR γ agonist (thiazolidinedione) when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus the PPAR γ agonist alone do not provide adequate glycemic control.

as **triple oral therapy** in combination with

- a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycemic control.
- a PPAR γ agonist and metformin when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Xelevia is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus stable dosage of insulin do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Refer to SmPC for the full indication.

It contains sitagliptin as the active substance and it is given orally.

Further information about the evaluation of Xelevia's benefits can be found in Xelevia's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website, under the medicine's webpage:

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/000762/human_med_001156.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124

II.B Risks Associated With the Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise the Risks

Important risks of Xelevia, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Xelevia's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size — the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;

- The medicine’s legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimisation* measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Xelevia is not yet available, it is listed under ‘missing information’ below.

II.B.1 List of Important Risks and Missing Information

Important risks of Xelevia are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Xelevia. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine).

Table II.B.1.1: List of Important Risks and Missing Information

List of Important Risks and Missing Information	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	Pancreatic cancer
Missing information	Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Xelevia has been marketed for 12 years since 2006 with over 55 million patient-years of treatment. The safety profile has been well-characterized during that time and adverse reactions that have been reported from clinical trials, non-interventional studies and post-approval safety surveillance analysis are included in the SmPC. There are no studies planned or warranted to further characterize any identified or potential risk that would alter the established risk-benefit profile for Xelevia. There are also no additional risk minimization activities planned or warranted beyond communication of the safety profile in the SmPC and the Patient Leaflet. There are no important safety concerns (important identified or potential risks) for which additional pharmacovigilance activities is to be planned.

In conclusion, continued spontaneous safety surveillance will be sufficient to monitor the safety profile and labeling will provide sufficient routine risk minimization.

II.B.2 Summary of Important Risks

Table II.B.2.1: Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In clinical studies (2011 Sitagliptin in Combination with Metformin Pooled Safety Population; P082) there were no significant differences between treatment groups in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies, however, the clinical trials were not specifically designed to fully investigate pancreatic cancer as a safety concern.
Risk factors and risk groups	The risk of pancreatic cancer was significant for type 2 diabetes patients (adjusted HR 1.80 [95% CI: 1.52, 2.14]), thus 80% increase in the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, the risk was significant among patients with increasing age, history of chronic pancreatitis and tobacco use. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and T2DM with the adjusted HR was 12.12 [95% CI: 6.02, 24.40], they were 12 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer. The effect of T2DM and chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic cancer risk was at least additive after adjusting for known risk factors. Incidence was highest in patients with more than 5 year duration of type 2 diabetes[Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7].
Risk minimisation measures	None

Table II.B.2.2: Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation
----------------------------	--

II.B.3 Post-Authorization Development Plan

II.B.3.1 Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Xelevia.

II.B.3.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan

There are no studies required for Xelevia.

III. Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Tesavel

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Tesavel. The RMP details important risks of Tesavel, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Tesavel's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Tesavel's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Tesavel should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Tesavel should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Tesavel's RMP.

III.A The Medicine and What It Is Used For

Tesavel is authorised for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Tesavel is indicated to improve glycaemic control:

as **monotherapy**

- in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.

as **dual oral therapy** in combination with

- metformin when diet and exercise plus metformin alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control.
- a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.
- a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma PPAR γ agonist (thiazolidinedione) when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus the PPAR γ agonist alone do not provide adequate glycaemic control.

as **triple oral therapy** in combination with

- a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycaemic control.
- a PPAR γ agonist and metformin when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycaemic control.

Tesavel is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus stable dosage of insulin do not provide adequate glycaemic control.

Refer to SmPC for the full indication.

It contains sitagliptin as the active substance and it is given orally.

Further information about the evaluation of Tesavel's benefits can be found in Tesavel's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website, under the medicine's webpage:

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/000910/human_med_001087.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124

III.B Risks Associated with The Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise The Risks

Important risks of Tesavel, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Tesavel's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size — the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimisation* measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Tesavel is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

III.B.1 List of Important Risks and Missing Information

Important risks of Tesavel are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Tesavel. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information

refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine).

Table III.B.1.1: List of Important Risks and Missing Information

List of Important Risks and Missing Information	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	Pancreatic cancer
Missing information	Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Tesavel has been marketed for 12 years since 2006 with over 55 million patient-years of treatment. The safety profile has been well-characterized during that time and adverse reactions that have been reported from clinical trials, non-interventional studies and post-approval safety surveillance analysis are included in the SmPC. There are no studies planned or warranted to further characterize any identified or potential risk that would alter the established risk-benefit profile for Tesavel. There are also no additional risk minimization activities planned or warranted beyond communication of the safety profile in the SmPC and the Patient Leaflet. There are no important safety concerns (important identified or potential risks) for which additional pharmacovigilance activities is to be planned.

In conclusion, continued spontaneous safety surveillance will be sufficient to monitor the safety profile and labeling will provide sufficient routine risk minimization.

III.B.2 Summary of Important Risks

Table III.B.2.1: Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In clinical studies (2011 Sitagliptin in Combination with Metformin Pooled Safety Population; P082) there were no significant differences between treatment groups in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies, however, the clinical trials were not specifically designed to fully investigate pancreatic cancer as a safety concern.
Risk factors and risk groups	The risk of pancreatic cancer was significant for type 2 diabetes patients (adjusted HR 1.80 [95% CI: 1.52, 2.14]), thus 80% increase in the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, the risk was significant among patients with increasing age, history of chronic pancreatitis and tobacco use. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and T2DM with the adjusted HR was 12.12 [95% CI: 6.02, 24.40], they were 12 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer. The effect of T2DM and chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic cancer risk was at least additive after adjusting for known risk factors. Incidence was highest in patients with more than 5 year duration of type 2 diabetes[Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7].
Risk minimisation measures	None

Table III.B.2.2: Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation
----------------------------	--

III.B.3 Post-Authorization Development Plan

III.B.3.1 Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Tesavel.

III.B.3.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan

There are no studies required for Tesavel.

IV. Summary of the Risk Management Plan for Ristaben

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Ristaben. The RMP details important risks of Ristaben, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Ristaben's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Ristaben's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Ristaben should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Ristaben should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Ristaben's RMP.

IV.A The Medicine and What It Is Used For

Ristaben is authorised for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Ristaben is indicated to improve glycemic control:

as **monotherapy**

- in patients inadequately controlled by diet and exercise alone and for whom metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.

as **dual oral therapy** in combination with

- metformin when diet and exercise plus metformin alone do not provide adequate glycemic control.
- a sulfonylurea when diet and exercise plus maximal tolerated dose of a sulfonylurea alone do not provide adequate glycemic control and when metformin is inappropriate due to contraindications or intolerance.
- a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma PPAR γ agonist (thiazolidinedione) when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus the PPAR γ agonist alone do not provide adequate glycemic control.

as **triple oral therapy** in combination with

- a sulfonylurea and metformin when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycemic control.
- a PPAR γ agonist and metformin when use of a PPAR γ agonist is appropriate and when diet and exercise plus dual therapy with these agents do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Ristaben is also indicated as add-on to insulin (with or without metformin) when diet and exercise plus stable dosage of insulin do not provide adequate glycemic control.

Refer to SmPC for the full indication.

It contains sitagliptin as the active substance and it is given orally.

Further information about the evaluation of Ristaben's benefits can be found in Ristaben's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website, under the medicine's webpage:

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/001234/human_med_001331.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124

IV.B Risks Associated with The Medicine and Activities to Minimise or Further Characterise The Risks

Important risks of Ristaben, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Ristaben's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size — the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;

- The medicine’s legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute *routine risk minimisation* measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Ristaben is not yet available, it is listed under ‘missing information’ below.

IV.B.1 List of Important Risks and Missing Information

Important risks of Ristaben are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Ristaben. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine).

Table IV.B.1.1: List of Important Risks and Missing Information

List of Important Risks and Missing Information	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	Pancreatic cancer
Missing information	Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Ristaben has been marketed for 12 years since 2006 with over 55 million patient-years of treatment. The safety profile has been well-characterized during that time and adverse reactions that have been reported from clinical trials, non-interventional studies and post-approval safety surveillance analysis are included in the SmPC. There are no studies planned or warranted to further characterize any identified or potential risk that would alter the established risk-benefit profile for Ristaben. There are also no additional risk minimization activities planned or warranted beyond communication of the safety profile in the SmPC and the Patient Leaflet. There are no important safety concerns (important identified or potential risks) for which additional pharmacovigilance activities is to be planned.

In conclusion, continued spontaneous safety surveillance will be sufficient to monitor the safety profile and labeling will provide sufficient routine risk minimization.

IV.B.2 Summary of Important Risks

Table IV.B.2.1: Important Potential Risk: Pancreatic Cancer

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In clinical studies (2011 Sitagliptin in Combination with Metformin Pooled Safety Population; P082) there were no significant differences between treatment groups in the incidence of pancreatic malignancies, however, the clinical trials were not specifically designed to fully investigate pancreatic cancer as a safety concern.
Risk factors and risk groups	The risk of pancreatic cancer was significant for type 2 diabetes patients (adjusted HR 1.80 [95% CI: 1.52, 2.14]), thus 80% increase in the risk of pancreatic cancer. In addition, the risk was significant among patients with increasing age, history of chronic pancreatitis and tobacco use. Patients with chronic pancreatitis and T2DM with the adjusted HR was 12.12 [95% CI: 6.02, 24.40], they were 12 times more likely to develop pancreatic cancer. The effect of T2DM and chronic pancreatitis on pancreatic cancer risk was at least additive after adjusting for known risk factors. Incidence was highest in patients with more than 5 year duration of type 2 diabetes[Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7].
Risk minimisation measures	None

Table IV.B.2.2: Missing Information: Exposure during pregnancy and lactation

Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC: Section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy, and lactation
----------------------------	--

IV.B.3 Post-Authorization Development Plan

IV.B.3.1 Studies Which are Conditions of the Marketing Authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Ristaben.

IV.B.3.2 Other Studies in Post-Authorization Development Plan

There are no studies required for Ristaben.

REFERENCES

- [Ref. 5.4: 03Q3X2] DeFronzo RA. Lilly lecture 1987. The triumvirate: β -cell, muscle, liver. A collusion responsible for NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1988;37:667-87.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03Q3XC] Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HAW, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. *BMJ* 2000;321:405-12.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03R2DJ] Consoli A, Nurjhan N, Capani F, Gerich J. Predominant role of gluconeogenesis in increased hepatic glucose production in NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1989;38:550-7.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03R2DK] DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Simonson DC. Fasting hyperglycemia in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: contributions of excessive hepatic glucose production and impaired tissue glucose uptake. *Metabolism* 1989;38(4):387-95.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03RH5X] Ogunleye AA, Ogston SA, Morris AD, Evans JMM. A cohort study of the risk of cancer associated with type 2 diabetes. *Br J Cancer* 2009;101(7):1199-201.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03RLWN] Aston-Mourney K, Subramanian SL, Zraika S, Samarasekera T, Meier DT, Goldstein L, et al. One year of sitagliptin protects against islet amyloid-associated beta-cell loss and induces ductal proliferation without pancreatitis or pancreatic malignancy in mice (unpublished manuscript).
- [Ref. 5.4: 03RRZ9] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National diabetes fact sheet, 2011: national estimates and general information on diabetes and prediabetes in the United States.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03RTYQ] Holden S, Barnett AH, Peters JR, Jenkins-Jones S, Poole CD, Morgan CL, et al. The incidence of type 2 diabetes in the United Kingdom from 1991 to 2010. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013:1-9.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03TBX7] Romley JA, Goldman DP, Solomon M, McFadden D, Peters AL. Exenatide therapy and the risk of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer in a privately insured population. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2012;14(10):904-11.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03TBZ7] Brodovicz KG, Kou TD, Alexander CM, O'Neill EA, Engel SS, Girman CJ, et al. Impact of diabetes duration and chronic pancreatitis on the association between type 2 diabetes and pancreatic cancer risk. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2012;14(12):1123-8.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03TNK2] Matveyenko AV, Dry S, Cox HI, Moshtaghian A, Gurlo T, Galasso R, et al. Beneficial endocrine but adverse exocrine effects of sitagliptin in the human islet amyloid polypeptide transgenic rat model of type 2 diabetes: interactions with metformin. *Diabetes* 2009;58:1604-15.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03TPR6] Chen L, Magliano DJ, Zimmet PZ. The worldwide epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus—present and future perspectives. *Nat*

- Rev Endocrinol 2012;8:228–36.
- [Ref. 5.4: 03TVF0] Chadwick KD, Fletcher AM, Parrula MC, Bonner-Weir S, Mangipudy RS, Janovitz E, et al. Occurrence of spontaneous pancreatic lesions in normal and diabetic rats: a potential confounding factor in the nonclinical assessment of glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1-based therapies. *Diabetes* 2014;63(4):1303-14.
- [Ref. 5.4: 043NNR] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2014: estimates of diabetes and its burden in the United States [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2014. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pubs/statsreport14/national-diabetes-report-web.pdf>.
- [Ref. 5.4: 04DQ3X] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual Number (in Thousands) of New Cases of Diagnosed Diabetes Among Adults Aged 18-79 Years, United States, 1980-2014 [Internet]. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/incidence/fig1.htm>.
- [Ref. 5.4: 04F7LB] International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes atlas: seventh edition 2015 [Internet]. Brussels, BE: International Diabetes Federation; 2015. Available from: http://www.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/file/IDF_Atlas%202015_UK.pdf
- [Ref. 5.4: 04K85L] Funch D, Gydesen H, Tornoe K, Major-Pedersen A, Chan KA. A prospective, claims-based assessment of the risk of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer with liraglutide compared to other antidiabetic drugs. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2014 Mar;16(3):273-5.
- [Ref. 5.4: 04XV7S] Karuranga S, da Rocha Fernandes J, Huang Y, Malanda B, editors. IDF Diabetes Atlas - eighth edition. Brussels (Belgium): International Diabetes Federation; 2017. 147 p. Sponsored by Lilly Diabetes, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., novo nordisk.
- [Ref. 5.4: 05HGYT] American Diabetes Association. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: standards of medical care in diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care.* 2020 Jan;43(suppl 1):S111-34.
- [Ref. 5.4: 05HY26] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2020: estimates of diabetes and its burden in the United States. Washington (DC): Department of Health and Human Services (HHS); 2020. 32 p.
- [Ref. 5.4: 05JSPF] Buse JB, Wexler DJ, Tsapas A, Rossing P, Mingrone G, Mathieu C, et al. 2019 Update to: management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care.* 2020 Feb;43:487-93.
- [Ref. 5.4: 05JV08] International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas: ninth edition 2019. Brussels (Belgium): International Diabetes Federation (IDF); 2019. 175 p.

ANNEXES