

Protecting and improving the nation's health

exposure prophylaxis (PEP) for varicella/shingles

June 2019 **Updated guidelines on post**

About Public Health England

Public Health England exists to protect and improve the nation's health and wellbeing, and reduce health inequalities. We do this through world-leading science, knowledge and intelligence, advocacy, partnerships and the delivery of specialist public health services. We are an executive agency of the Department of Health and Social Care, and a distinct delivery organisation with operational autonomy. We provide government, local government, the NHS, Parliament, industry and the public with evidence-based professional, scientific and delivery expertise and support.

Public Health England Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SE1 8UG

Tel: 020 7654 8000 www.gov.uk/phe

Twitter: @PHE_uk

Facebook: www.facebook.com/PublicHealthEngland

Prepared by: Gayatri Amirthalingam, Kevin Sawn, Mary Ramsay on behalf of the VZIG

Expert Working Group.

For queries relating to this document, wease contact: Immunisation.lead@phe.gov.uk



© Crown copyright 2019

You may re-use this intermation (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0. To view this licence, visit OGL or email psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk. Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

Published June 2019
PHE publications
gateway number: GW-509



PHE supports the UN Sustainable Development Goals



Contents

About Public Health England	
Changes from the previous guidelines	4
June 2019 August 2018 Executive summary	4 4 5
Pregnant Women Immunosuppressed individuals Neonates 1. Background	6 6 6 7
2. Immunosuppressed individuals	8
Efficacy and safety of VZIG and aciclovir in immunosuppressed individuals Recommendation for Immunosuppressed Contacts Reason for starting antivirals at day 7 after exposure Immunosuppressed patients presenting with chickennox Off label use of aciclovir and valaciclovir Contraindications and precautions to aciclovir and valaciclovir Subsequent exposure to chickenpox or shingles 3. Pregnant contacts	8 9 10 10 10 11 12
Why PEP is recommended for pregnant women Safety of PEP in pregnancy Efficacy of PEP in pregnant women Recommendation for Pregnant Contacts Off label use of aciclovir and valuciclovir Contraindications and or cautions to aciclovir and valaciclovir Potential side effects of aciclovir and valaciclovir Subsequent exposure to chickenpox or shingles during the same pregnancy Pregnant women presenting with chickenpox 4. Neonates	12 12 12 13 14 14 14 15 15
Duration of restrictions 5. References	16 17
Appendix 1 Membership of expert working group	19

Changes from the previous guidelines

June 2019

Updated title to reflect that this includes VZIG and anti-viral guidance.

Antivirals recommended for immunosuppressed individuals, except for those where oral aciclovir /valaciclovir may be contraindicated.

VZIG recommended for those with a significant exposure before 20 weeks of pregnancy.

Preferential use of anti-virals rather than VZIG as post-exposure proply axis (PEP) for pregnant women exposed from 20 weeks although the decision on choice of PEP should take into account patient and health professional professional as the ability to offer and provide PEP in a timely manner.

August 2018

Strengthening guidance on use of aciclovir in susceptible women with a significant exposure from weeks 20 of pregnancy from consider to 'recommend'.

Use of valaciclovir as an alternative pasiclovir in women exposed from weeks 20 of pregnancy.

Restriction of VZIG extended to include all immunosuppressed individuals, except for those where oral aciclovity valaciclovir may be contraindicated.

Executive summary

Chicken pox (varicella) infection in neonates, immunosuppressed individuals and pregnant women can result in severe and even life-threatening varicella disease. Post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) is recommended to attenuate disease and reduce the risk of complications such as pneumonitis, rather than to prevent infection in these at-risk individuals.

In response to a severe national shortage of varicella zoster immunoglobulin (VZIG) due to manufacturing issues from the sole UK supplier in July 2018, an expert working group convened by the Immunisation Division at PHE reviewed the evidence on the safety and efficacy of antiviral agents as an alternative for post-exposure plophylaxis (PEP). Restrictions on the use of VZIG were implemented to prioritise stock for the most vulnerable groups whilst supplies were limited and antivitals were advised as suitable alternatives in immunosuppressed contacts and pregnant women exposed from 20 weeks. A series of evaluations have commenced it gather further evidence on the efficacy of antivirals as PEP in pregnant women and immunosuppressed groups as well as an acceptability survey of health professionals and patients on antiviral use.

In April 2019, the expert working group reconcened to review the VZIG supply situation and available data from the ongoing evaluations. The group considered a number of factors relating to current and future immune globulin supply in informing their advice. This included:

- (1) The fact that VZIG is culterly procured by PHE from a sole supplier and the limited availability of a suitable alternative IG product. Interruptions in supply have occurred in the past and the remains a potential risk in the future
- (2) Global supplies of a range of immunoglobulin products have been limited in recent years and supplies are likely to remain constrained in the future

Therefore, the ability to identify suitable alternative products not derived from blood is important.

In light of the resumption of VZIG supplies and available evidence on safety and efficacy of antivirals, updated interim guidance on PEP has been published.

The key recommendations are:

Pregnant Women

- 1) VZIG should be issued to VZ antibody negative pregnant contacts exposed in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy, ie up to and including 20+0 weeks. There is limited evidence on the effectiveness of oral antiviral agents at preventing congenital varicella.
- 2) For susceptible women exposed after 20 weeks, ie from 20+1 weeks to delivery, either VZIG or oral aciclovir at 800mg 4 times a day from days 7 to 14 after exposure is recommended. Valaciclovir 1000mg 3 times a day from days 7 to 14 after exposure can be used as a suitable alternative. This recommendation is based on neextring evidence on the safety of aciclovir in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the efficacy of aciclovir in preventing clinical chickenpox in healthy and immunosuppressed contacts and relative sub-optimal efficacy of VZIG as PEP in pregnant women.

All pregnant women who are exposed to chickenpox or stringles should be assessed for susceptibility as described in national guidelines. All here is no history of chickenpox, women should be tested for varicella and bodies using a quantitative assay with <100mIU/ml denoted susceptibility. The decision on choice of PEP for women exposed from 20 weeks of pregnancy should take into account patient and health professional preference as well as the about to offer and provide PEP in a timely manner.

Immunosuppressed individuals

Based on the current evidence, oral aciclovir or valaciclovir is recommended for susceptible immune suppressed individuals unless there are significant concerns of renal toxicity or mala sorption.

Neonates

There are no changes to the guidance1 for neonates and VZIG continues to be recommended.

PHE is keeping the situation under constant review. This interim guidance will remain in place until further notice.

1. Background

Public Health England (PHE) guidelines^[1] recommend post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) with Varicella Zoster Immunoglobulin (VZIG) for individuals at high risk of severe chickenpox who are known to be susceptible. These groups are immunosuppressed individuals, neonates in the first week of life, and pregnant women. For those not meeting the criteria for VZIG (based on level of exposure; antibody status and/or level of risk of severe disease) antivirals were advised.

In response to a significant national shortage of VZIG, due to manufacturing issues from the sole UK supplier, urgent restrictions were put in place on the issuing of VZIG from July 2018. Based on an urgent review by an expert working group convened by PHE, from August 2018, it was recommended that VZIG be restricted to susceptible pregnant women exposed in the first 20 weeks and neonates. For susceptible immunosuppressed individuals (as defined in 2016 PHE Quidelings^[1]) and pregnant women exposed from 20 weeks, antivirals (either aciclovir ar valaciclovir) were recommended unless there was a specific contraindication.

In April 2019, the expert working group reconvenes to review the supply situation and available data from ongoing studies evaluating the efficacy of antivirals as PEP. In light of the resumption of VZIG supplies, the existing restrictions were reviewed.

This document summarises the current advice of the expert working group on the use of VZIG and antivirals as PEP. The group considered a number of factors relating to current and future immunoglob (lin supply in informing their advice. This included:

- 1. The fact that VIIC is currently procured by PHE from a sole supplier and the limited availability or a suitable alternative IG product. Interruptions in supply have occurred in the past and this remains a potential risk in the future.
- Global supplies of a range of immunoglobulin products have been limited in recent years and supplies are likely to remain constrained in the future. Therefore, the ability to identify suitable alternative products not derived from blood is important.

2. Immunosuppressed individuals

All immunosuppressed individuals as defined in Chapter 6 (Immunisation against infectious disease – the Green Book) [10] are at risk of severe chickenpox and should be assessed for the need for prophylaxis following a significant exposure. However, many adults and older children with immunosuppression will have immunity due to past infection and therefore a reisk assessment of the degree of immunosuppression as described in the PHE guidelines^[1] should be undertaken to inform the need for VZV IgG testing and/or post-exposure propphylaxis.

Efficacy and safety of VZIG and aciclovir in immunosuppressed individuals

Efficacy of VZIG in preventing severe complications of chickenpox in immunosuppressed individuals was demonstrated in a follow up of 122 children in high risk groups who received VZIG following an exposure, including to seronegative children. Of the 27 exposed in the household, 18 seroconverted (14 with symptoms). Seroconversion in hospital exposures was considerably lower (6 of 43 with 3 developing symptoms). Of the 17 symptomatic cases, any 2 were severe but in both of these VZIG was administered outside of the optimal window.

Efficacy of aciclovir for post exposure proplytexis in immunocompromised individuals has been evaluated in a small number of lenospective studies. The findings from these have varied from reporting no breakthrough varicella infections following aciclovir while others report a rate of 3–22%. [1] This a retrospective observational study evaluating the effectiveness of aciclovir post exposure prophylaxis in 141 contacts exposed to varicella in a paediatric setting between 2000 and 2007 in a Japanese hospital, the rate of secondary infection was 2.1% in all contacts and 3.1% for immunocompromised contacts. [13] This compares with a secondary infection rate of 18% in those not receving any post exposure prophylaxis (RR 8.5 (95%CI: 1.6-45.9)).

There is an ongoing UK observational study of immunosuppressed children with underlying haematology or oncology diagnoses who are exposed to VZV and require PEP. As practice is variable across the UK, a proportion of these children receive VZIG while others receive acyclovir. Of the 73 patients recruited as of 1st April 2019, interim analysis has indicated that aciclovir is at least equivalent, if not better than VZIG with respect to preventing clinical chickenpox (unpublished data).

With respect to safety, aciclovir has a good safety profile for immunosuppressed individuals and this compares with the potential (albeit low) risk from VZIG, as a blood product.

The 2002 RCPCH guidelines for the 'Immunisation of the Immunocompromised Child' recommended that aciclovir or VZIG can be offered to these individuals.^[7] As such, it has been routine practice for in approximately 50% of paediatric oncology centres across the UK to preferentially use antivirals for PEP in their paediatric population.

Recommendation for Immunosuppressed Contacts

Based on the current evidence, oral aciclovir is the first choice of PEP for susceptible immunosuppressed individuals.

Immunosuppressed individuals who are exposed to chickenpox or shingles should still be assessed for susceptibility as described in the national guidelines. ^[1] To those identified as susceptible, and who would otherwise be offered VZIG, an ivire (oral aciclovir or valaciclovir) should be given from day 7 to day 14 after exposure. The day of exposure is defined as the date of the rash if the index is a household contact and date of first or only contact if the exposure is on multiple or single occasion(s) respectively.

If the patient presents after day 7 of exposure, a 7 day course of antivirals can be started up to day 14 after exposure, if necessary.

The dose of aciclovir is based on the Children's BNF [14] for the attenuation of infection if VZIG is not indicated (see table). There is limited evidence for dosing for valaciclovir prophylaxis but given the improved bia valability, fewer daily doses and better side effect profile, valaciclovir may be preferred. The dosage of valaciclovir is based on the therapeutic dose for chickenpox.

	Oral Mciolovir	Oral Valaciclovir
Children under	10 ng 4g 4 times daily, days 7	Not recommended
2 years age	to Mafter exposure	
Children 2-17	mg/kg (up to a maximum of	20 mg/kg (up to a maximum
years of age	800mg), 4 times daily days 7	1000mg) 3 times daily, days
	to 14 after exposure	7 to 14 after exposure
Adults	800mg 4 times daily, from	1000mg 3 times daily, from
	days 7 to 14 after exposure	days 7 to 14 after exposure

Individuals on long term aciclovir/valaciclovir prophylaxis, for example posthaematopoietic stem cell transplant may require their dose of aciclovir to be temporarily increased to the dosage as given in the table on previous page.

Reason for starting antivirals at day 7 after exposure

In a study evaluating the comparative effectiveness of 7 days course of aciclovir given either immediately after exposure or starting at day 7 after exposure to healthy children, the incidence and severity of varicella infection was significantly higher in those given aciclovir immediately (10/13 (77%) who received aciclovir immediately developed clinical varicella compared with 3/14 (21%) who started aciclovir at day 7).^[8]

A 7 day post exposure exposure prophylaxis course of aciclovir/valaciclovir is therefore recommended to start from day 7 after exposure.

Immunosuppressed patients presenting with chickenpox

If, despite having taken prophylactic aciclovir/valaciclovir, an immune subpressed patient presents with a chickenpox rash, they should be changed out a therapeutic dose. starting from the day of onset of the rash. If severe chicker pax develops, the patient may need to be hospitalised and given IV aciclovit.

Off label use of aciclovir and valaciclovir

Although aciclovir and valaciclovir are not licensed or post-exposure prophylaxis for chickenpox, their use in the treatment of chickenpox is well established. Clinicians are able to prescribe medicines outside the torus of the licence when it is in the best interest of the patient on the basis of a allable evidence. This evidence has been considered and recommended by the CHE convened expert working group (see Appendix 1 for membership).

Further advice on off-label prescribing is on the MHRA website: www.gov.uk/drug-screet -update/off-label-or-unlicensed-use-of-medicines-prescribers-responsibilities#plassribing-in-a-patients-best-interests

When current practice supports the use of a medicine outside the terms of its licence, the MHRA advise that it may not be necessary to draw attention to this when seeking consent from patients. However, it is good practice to give as much information as patients or carers require or which they may see as relevant.

Contraindications and precautions to aciclovir and valaciclovir

In individuals with renal impairment or intestinal malabsorption, for example inflammatory bowel disease, VZIG may be considered. The dose of aciclovir may need to be adjusted in patients with renal impairment. See the British National Formulary (BNF) for more information.

Subsequent exposure to chickenpox or shingles

Patients who have a second or further exposures, should be risk assessed in line with national guidelines. ^[1] Given the rates of seroconversion with both VZIG and aciclovir, patients should have a repeat VZV antibody test prior to considering a course of aciclovir/valaciclovir. Given the short half life of aciclovir/valaciclovir compared with VZIG, if there is a second exposure immediately after a course of antivirals, a second risk assessment and course should be given in the same way starting 7 days after the subsequent exposure.

Withdrawn April 2022

3. Pregnant contacts

Why PEP is recommended for pregnant women

Chickenpox infection during the first 20 weeks of pregnancy can lead to fetal varicella syndrome, which includes microcephaly, cataracts, growth retardation limb hypoplasia, and skin scarring. Chickenpox can cause severe maternal disease and this risk is greatest in the second or early in the third trimester.

The rationale for PEP in pregnant women is twofold: reduction in severity of maternal disease and theoretical reduction in the risk of fetal infection for women contracting varicella in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy. In late pregnancy, PEP may also reduce the risk of neonatal infection. However, given the risks of severe reonatal varicella in the first week of life, VZIG is also given to infants born within 7 days of onset of maternal varicella.

In the absence of PEP, risk of developing varicella in susceptible contacts is high with 13/18 72% of seronegative pregnant women developing varicella following a significant exposure.^[5]

Safety of PEP in pregnancy

VZIG is a concentrated preparation of a tibodies against chickenpox (varicella) derived from healthy non-UK blood done is. As with any other blood product, although screened for HIV, Hepatitis B and C, then remains a very low risk of transfusion transmitted infections.

Although oral aciclo in and valaciclovir (prodrug of aciclovir) are not licensed in pregnancy, there is a tensive evidence of safety in pregnancy, including from 2 large registries of infants whose mothers were exposed to aciclovir in pregnancy. Aciclovir is also recommended for treatment of chickenpox in women who are more than 20 weeks pregnant. From follow up across 24 countries between 1984 -1999 of over 1200 pregnancies that received either oral or IV aciclovir across all stages of pregnancy, no unusual defects or patterns of defects were observed. [4] In a Danish national cohort study of 1804 exposures to antiviral agents (aciclovir, valaciclovir, famciclovir) in pregnancy, no increase in major birth defects were reported in women exposed to either aciclovir or valaciclovir in the first trimester.^[9]

Efficacy of PEP in pregnant women

The efficacy of VZIG in preventing clinical chicken pox is known to be suboptimal and the results from studies are consistent. In a study of 212 seronegative women who

were given VZIG within 10 days of exposure 41% had clinical varicella infection. ^[6] VZIG given within the first 3 days following exposure had the same efficacy (63 of 153 developed varicella). In an unpublished study from Kings College Hospital of 23 seronegative women who received VZIG <20 weeks, 8 developed clinical chickenpox; of the 7 more than 20 weeks who received VZIG, 5 developed clinical chickenpox. (overall 43%). Similarly, in a study of 20 years' experience in Italy, of 50 pregnant seronegative women follow up after receipt of VZIG as PEP, 21/50 (42%) developed clinical chickenpox. ^[5]

In a Birmingham (UK) study, outcome information was obtained on 21 exposed women with IgG levels of <150mIU/ml who received VZIG. Of these 5 (24%) developed clinical chickenpox, similar to the proportion of women developing chickenpox in the absence of treatment (6/23; 26%).^[15]

There is little if any available data on the efficacy of aciclovir in preventing chicken pox in pregnant women, and no data on the efficacy of preventing concenital varicella infection. Theoretically aciclovir should be as least as effective at preventing severe varicella infection in the mother (greatest risk in later previously) as in immunosuppressed patients where efficacy has been demonstrated. Prevention of transplacental infection of the foetus following with patential exposures in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy, using antivirals is less clear, not least as the optimal timing and duration of treatment are unknown.

Recommendation for Pregnant Connects

In light of the existing evidence on the safety of aciclovir in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the efficacy of aciclovir in preventing clinical chickenpox in healthy and immunosupplessed contacts and the relative sub-optimal efficacy of VZIG as PEP in pregnant women, the following PEP is recommended.

All pregnant women who are exposed to chickenpox or shingles should be assessed for susceptibility as described in the national guidelines. [1] If there is a previous history of chickenpox in the pregnant woman, she can be re-assured and no PEP is required. If there is no/unknown previous history of chickenpox in the pregnant woman, test for the presence of varicella antibodies in line with national guidelines. [1]

- for susceptible women (quantitative assay <100mIU/ml) exposed in the first 20 weeks of pregnancy, VZIG is recommended
- for susceptible women (quantitative assay <100mIU/ml) exposed from 20 weeks
 of pregnancy, either VZIG or aciclovir (800mg 4 times a day from days 7 to 14
 after exposure) is recommended for susceptible contacts. Oral valaciclovir
 1000mg 3 times a day can be used as a suitable alternative

The day of exposure is defined as the date of the rash if the index is a household contact and date of first or only contact if the exposure is on multiple or single occasion(s) respectively. If the woman presents later than day 7 after exposure, a 7-day course of antivirals can be started up to day 14 after exposure, if necessary. If it has not been possible to perform the quantitative assay within the recommended time frame required for issuing VZIG, aciclovir can be given.

The decision on choice of PEP for women exposed from 20 weeks of pregnancy should take into account patient and health professional preference as well as the ability to offer and provide PEP in a timely manner.

Off label use of aciclovir and valaciclovir

As oral aciclovir and valaciclovir are not licensed for use in pregnancy, their use for women exposed after 20 weeks would be 'off label'. Clinicians are able to prescribe medicines outside the terms of the licence when it is in the best interest of the patient on the basis of available evidence. This evidence has been considered and recommended by the PHE convened expert working group (see Appendix 1 for membership).

Further advice on off-label prescribing is on the MRRA website: www.gov.uk/drug-safety-update/off-label-or-inhensed-use-of-medicines-prescribers-responsibilities#prescribing-in-a-patients** ext-interests

When current practice supports the use of a medicine outside the terms of its licence, the MHRA advise that it may not be necessary to draw attention to this when seeking consent from patients. However, it is good practice to give as much information as patients or carers require or which they may see as relevant.

Contraindications and precautions to aciclovir and valaciclovir

In individuals with renal impairment or intestinal malabsorption, for example inflammatory bowel disease, VZIG may be considered. The dose of aciclovir may need to be adjusted in patients with renal impairment. See the British National Formulary (BNF) for more information.

Potential side effects of aciclovir and valaciclovir

The most commonly reported side effects from aciclovir can include dizziness, headache, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, skin rashes, photosensitivity, pruritus, urticaria and fatigue. Further information about side effects on aciclovir and valaciclovir are available in the BNF.

Subsequent exposure to chickenpox or shingles during the same pregnancy

Women who have a second exposure during pregnancy, should be risk assessed and have a repeat VZV antibody test given the rates of seroconversion with both VZIG and aciclovir, in line with national guidelines. [1] Given the short half life of aciclovir/valaciclovir compared with VZIG, if there is a second exposure immediately after a course of antivirals, a second risk assessment and course should be given in the same way starting 7 days after the subsequent exposure.

Pregnant women presenting with chickenpox

If, despite having taken prophylactic aciclovir/valaciclovir, a pregnant woman presents with a chickenpox rash, they should be changed onto a therapeutic dose (aciclovir of 800mg 5 times a day or 1000mg valaciclovir 3 times a day for 7 days, sayling from the day of onset of the rash). If severe chickenpox develops, the warran should be hospitalised and given IV aciclovir.

Refer to the Viral Rash in Pregnancy^[3] guidance for further details.

4. Neonates

There is no change in guidance for exposed neonates [2,1]

Duration of restrictions

PHE is keeping the situation under constant review. This guidance will remain in place until further notice.

Withdrawn April 2022

5. References

- 1 PHE Guidance for issuing varicella zoster immunoglobulin. August 2017. Available at www.gov.uk/government/publications/varicella-zoster-immunoglobulin
- 2 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Chickenpox in Pregnancy. Green Top Guidelines No. 13. January 2015. Available at www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/gtg13.pdf
- 3 PHE Guidance on viral rash in pregnancy. Colindale: PHE, 2019. www.gov.uk/government/publications/viral-rash-in-pregnancy
- 4 Stone KM, Reiff-Eldridge R, White AD, Cordero JF, Brown Z, Alexander ER, Andrews EB. Pregnancy outcomes following systemic prenatal acyclovir exposure: Conclusions from the international acyclovir pregnancy registry, 1984-1999. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol. 2004 Apr;70(4):201-7.
- Trotta M, Borchi B, Niccolai A, Venturini E, Giaché S, Sterlantino G, Colao M, Rossolini GM Bartoloni A1,6, Zammarchi L Epidemiology, management and outcome of varicella in pregnancy: a 20-year experience at the Tuscany Reference Centre for Infectious Diseases in Pregnancy. Infection, 2018 Oct;46(5):693-699. doi: 10.1007/s15010-018-1150-4. Epub 2018 May 15.
- 6 Enders G, Miller E. Varicella and Herpes zoster in pregnancy and the newborn. In Varicella Zoster Virus: Basic Virology and Clinical Management. Editors: Ann M, Arvin and Anne A Gershon. Cambridge University Press 2000; 317-347.
- 7 Immunisation of the Immunocompron sed Child. Best Practice Statement. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Abulth. February 2002. Available at http://rcpch.adlibhosting.com/files/immunisation%20of%20the%20Immunocomprom ised%20Child%C2%A02002-03
- 8 Suga S, Yoshikawa T, Yzaki T Asano Y. Effect of oral acyclovir against primary and secondary viraemia in hydrotation period of varicella. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1993 \$2,639-643
- 9 Pasternak B, Frit A. Use of Acyclovir, Valacyclovir, and Famciclovir in the First Trimester of Pregnancy and the Risk of Birth Defects. JAMA. 2010;304(8):859-866
- 10 Chapter 6. Immunisation against Infectious Diseases. Available at www.gov.uk/government/publications/contraindications-and-special-considerationsthe-green-book-chapter-6
- 11 Evans EB, Pollock TM, Cradock-Watson JE and Ridehalgh MK (1980) Human antichickenpox immunoglobulin in the prevention of chickenpox. Lancet i: 354–6.
- 12 Bate J, Baker S, Breuer J, et al. PEPtalk2: results of a pilot randomised controlled trial to compare VZIG and aciclovir as postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) against chickenpox in children with cancer. Arch Dis Child 2018;0:1–5
- 13 Shinjoh M, Takahashi T. Varicella zoster exposure on paediatric wards between 2000 and 2007: safe and effective post-exposure prophylaxis with oral acyclovir. Journal of Hospital Infection (2009) 72, 163e168

- 14 Paediatric Formulary Committee. *BNF for Children* (online) London: BMJ Group, Pharmaceutical Press, and RCPCH Publications https://bnfc.nice.org.uk/drug/aciclovir.html#indicationsAndDoses
- 15 Boxall EH, Maple PAC, Rathod P and Smit E. Follow-up of pregnant women exposed to chicken pox: an audit of relationship between level of antibody and development of chicken pox. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;30:1193–1200

Withdrawn April 2022

Appendix 1: Membership of expert working group

Chair: Professor Judy Breuer; Professor of Virology University College London, Honorary Consultant Virologist Great Ormond Street Hospital, Chair of the JCVI varicella subcommittee

Ruth Parry (secretariat): Immunisation and Countermeasures Division, PHE

Professor Liz Miller: Consultant Epidemiologist, Immunisation and Counterneasures Division, PHE

Professor Adam Finn: Professor of Paediatrics, Schools of Cellular and Molecular Medicine and of Population Health Sciences, University of Brigo

Professor Asma Khalil: Professor of Maternal Fetal Medicine Fetal Medicine Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, St George's University of London, St. George's Hospital

Dr. Philip Rice: Consultant Medical Virologist, Noncik and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Professor Mary Ramsay: Head of Immunication, Public Health England

Dr. Gayatri Amirthalingam: Consultant Didemiologist, Immunisation and Countermeasures Division, PH

Dr. Kevin Brown: Consultat Medical Virologist, Immunisation and Countermeasures Division

Professor Paul Heath: Professor of Paediatric Infectious Diseases, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Dr. Jessica Bate: Consultant Paediatric Oncologist and Honorary Senior Clinical Lecturer, University Hospital Southampton

Sharon Webb: NHS Infectious Diseases in Pregnancy Programme Manager, PHE Screening

Alan Russell: Senior Principal Pharmacist and Associate Commercial Specialist, Vaccine & Medical Countermeasures, Commercial Directorate, Department of Health and Social Care