Case No: 1602442/2020



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

**Claimant:** Cheryl Davenport

Respondent 1: Carole Humphreys

Respondent 2: Anastasia Mousoulu-Humphreys Respondent 3: Adler Manufacturing Services Ltd

Respondent 4: Tom Goodman t/a Goodman Cleaning Services
Respondent 5: Pamela Goodman t/a Goodman Cleaning Services

**Heard at:** Cardiff **On:** 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> March 2022

Before: Employment Judge H. V. Dieu

### Representation

Claimant: In person

Respondent 1 and 2: Mr Lamb (lay representative)

Respondent 3: Ms Webber (counsel)

Respondent 4: In person

Respondent 5: Tom Goodman (4th Respondent)

# **JUDGMENT**

- The Tribunal finds that a transfer under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 on the 1<sup>st</sup> May 2020 did not take place. Accordingly, the Claimant remained employed by the Second Respondent.
- 2. The claims against the remaining Respondents are dismissed.
- 3. The Claimant's claim for unfair dismissal is well founded and the Second Respondent should pay the claimant £2,617.07 in respect of redundancy pay <u>and</u> £1,731.56 in respect of compensatory award (calculated as £1,231.56 notice pay and £500 loss of statutory rights).
- 4. The Claimant's claim for accrued unpaid holiday pay is well founded. The Second Respondent should pay the Claimant the sum of £394.92.
- 5. The Claimant's claim for the failure to provide a written statement of particulars of employment is well founded. The Second Respondent should

Case No: 1602442/2020

pay the Claimant the sum of £205.26.

6. The Claimant's claim for failure to inform and consult under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (Reg. 13) is not well found and is dismissed.

- 7. The Claimant's claim for unauthorised deductions from her wages is not well founded and is dismissed.
- 8. The Claimant's claim for wrongful dismissal is well founded but no compensation will be awarded due to the successful unfair dismissal claim.
- 9. The recoupment provisions apply to this judgment.

Employment Judge H. V. Dieu

Date 30th March 2022

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON 31 March 2022

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE Mr N Roche

#### Notes

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

### Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.