



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

BETWEEN

MR R GODFREY

Claimant

- and -

MIZUHO INTERNATIONAL PLC

Respondent

JUDGMENT

The claimant's application dated **23 September 2021** for reconsideration of the Judgment in this case dated 8 September 2021 ("**the Judgment**") is refused.

REASONS

1. The Claimant made, in time, an application for reconsideration of the Judgment dated 8 September 2021, on the alleged basis that the interests of justice required it. Unfortunately, because of the administrative problems caused indirectly by the pandemic, the application and response to it were only copied to me a few days ago – I apologise for the tribunal’s delay in dealing with it.
2. The arguments raised by the Claimant in his application are all ones which were raised and considered at the hearing, and which were rejected for the reasons given accompanying the Judgment.
3. I note in particular:
 - a. The additional documents provided by the Claimant recording the symptoms of his condition are consistent with the record of those symptoms that was before the tribunal, as with the account the Claimant gave of his symptoms/the effect of his condition – all of which the tribunal accepted as accurate.
 - b. The interview note of 20/3/13 was before the tribunal and the subject of submissions by both parties – as was the timing of its disclosure by the Respondent.
 - c. The arguments the Claimant makes in this application as to the ‘merits’ of his claim are the same, or very similar, to the arguments he made to the tribunal and which were considered by me then.
4. For the above reasons, there is no proper basis for a reconsideration of the Judgment.

Oliver Segal QC
Employment Judge

Date: 14 March, 2022

JUDGMENT & REASONS SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14/03/2022..