

# FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference	:	CHI/29UN/LDC/2021/0114
Property	:	34-35 Dalby Square CT9 2EP
Applicant	:	34-35 Dalby Square RTM Company Limited
Representative	:	
Respondent		
Landlord	:	Paul de Vos
Type of Application	:	To dispense with the requirement to consult lessees about major works section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985
Tribunal Member(s)	:	D Banfield FRICS Regional Surveyor
Date of Decision	:	24 January 2022 without a hearing (rule 6A of the Tribunal Procedure Rules 2013 as amended by The Tribunal Procedure (Coronavirus) Amendment Rules 2020 SI 2020 No 406 L11.

# DECISION

The Tribunal grants dispensation from the remaining consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of works of structural repair to the property.

In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.

The Applicant is to send a copy of this decision to the lessees

# Background

- 1. The Applicant seeks dispensation under Section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 from the consultation requirements imposed on the landlord by Section 20 of the 1985 Act. A Notice of Intention has been served on the Lessees and the dispensation now sought is from the remainder of the consultation requirements.
- 2. The Applicant explains that 34-35 Dalby square RTM limited assigned structural engineer has provided an evaluation that states the structural issues in the building need to be fixed immediately for the safety of the residents. The works to be carried out under the agreement are as follows:
  - To remove bathroom wall in Flat 2 and floor joists
  - To support unsupported chimney breast
  - To install padstones and new steels to support chimney breast
  - To construct new floor with new joists To construct new stud wall to bathroom
  - To remove ceiling to gain access to unsupported wall and support with new joists
  - To install new padstones and new steel to the underside of the floorboards
  - To lay new floorboards and repair ceiling
  - To remove all debris
- 3. The Tribunal made Directions on 21 December 2021 indicating that the Tribunal is satisfied that the matter is urgent, it is not practicable for there to be a hearing and it is in the interests of justice to make a decision disposing of the proceedings without a hearing (rule 6A of the Tribunal Procedure Rules 2013 as amended by The Tribunal Procedure (Coronavirus) Amendment Rules 2020 SI 2020 No 406 L11.
- 4. The Tribunal required the Applicant to send to the Lessees the Tribunal's Directions, a copy of the Application and a form for the Lessees to complete indicating whether they agreed with or objected to the application. It was indicated that those Lessees who agreed with the application or failed to respond would be removed as Respondents.
- 5. One response was received in favour of the application and the lessees are therefore removed as respondents as indicated above.
- 6. Before making this determination, the papers received were examined to determine whether the issues remained capable of determination without an oral hearing and it was decided that they were given that no objections had been received.

7. The only issue for the Tribunal is whether it is reasonable to dispense with any statutory consultation requirements. This decision does not concern the issue of whether any service charge costs will be reasonable or payable.

### The Law

8. The relevant section of the Act reads as follows:

S.20 ZA Consultation requirements:

Where an application is made to a Leasehold Valuation Tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying longterm agreement, the Tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

- 9. The matter was examined in some detail by the Supreme Court in the case of Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson. In summary the Supreme Court noted the following;
  - i. The main question for the Tribunal when considering how to exercise its jurisdiction in accordance with section 20ZA is the real prejudice to the tenants flowing from the landlord's breach of the consultation requirements.
  - ii. The financial consequence to the landlord of not granting a dispensation is not a relevant factor. The nature of the landlord is not a relevant factor.
  - iii. Dispensation should not be refused solely because the landlord seriously breached, or departed from, the consultation requirements.
  - iv. The Tribunal has power to grant a dispensation as it thinks fit, provided that any terms are appropriate.
  - v. The Tribunal has power to impose a condition that the landlord pays the tenants' reasonable costs (including surveyor and/or legal fees) incurred in connection with the landlord's application under section 20ZA (1).
  - vi. The legal burden of proof in relation to dispensation applications is on the landlord. The factual burden of identifying some "relevant" prejudice that they would or might have suffered is on the tenants.
  - vii. The court considered that "relevant" prejudice should be given a narrow definition; it means whether noncompliance with the consultation requirements has led

the landlord to incur costs in an unreasonable amount or to incur them in the provision of services, or in the carrying out of works, which fell below a reasonable standard, in other words whether the non-compliance has in that sense caused prejudice to the tenant.

- viii. The more serious and/or deliberate the landlord's failure, the more readily a Tribunal would be likely to accept that the tenants had suffered prejudice.
  - ix. Once the tenants had shown a credible case for prejudice, the Tribunal should look to the landlord to rebut it.

### Evidence

10. There being no objections no further information was required of the Applicant above that already submitted with the application.

### Decision

- 11. Dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 of the Act may be given where the Tribunal is satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with those requirements. Guidance on how such power may be exercised is provided by the leading case of Daejan v Benson referred to above.
- 12. Clearly these works are urgent and should not be unduly delayed by the time taken to follow the consultation procedures laid down.
- 13. A Notice of Intention has been served on the Lessees and competitive quotations obtained for the works. No lessee has objected to the application and no prejudice of the type referred to in the Daejan case has been identified.
- 14. In view of the above **the Tribunal grants dispensation from the remaining consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of works of structural repair to the property.**
- 15. In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.
- 16. The Applicant is to send a copy of this decision to the lessees

D Banfield FRICS 24 January 2022

### **RIGHTS OF APPEAL**

- 1. A person wishing to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) must seek permission to do so by making written application by email to <u>rpsouthern@justice.gov.uk</u> to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
- 2. The application must arrive at the Tribunal within 28 days after the Tribunal sends to the person making the application written reasons for the decision.
- 3. If the person wishing to appeal does not comply with the 28 day time limit, the person shall include with the application for permission to appeal a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then decide whether to extend time or not to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed.
- 4. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates, state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.