# Daniel Morgan Independent Panel Recommendations Annex - Progress Update January 2022



# DANIEL MORGAN INDEPENDENT PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS

MPS Numbering	Recommendation	RAG status on progress	Progress Update
1	The Panel has received advice from an independent forensic science expert it consulted, Dr Kathryn Mashiter that useful work could still be carried out on this document. It therefore recommends that the Metropolitan Police considers the operational benefits of submitting the diary for a forensic handwriting analysis in order to ascertain whether the entries were made by Daniel Morgan, as well as ESDA414 testing to ascertain if there is evidence of writing by someone other than Daniel Morgan.	Complete	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>Work on this Recommendation is complete.</li> </ul>
2	The Panel recommends that the Metropolitan Police consider the desirability and explore the possibility of obtaining samples of DNA from former Police Officer Z31's relatives, to compare it with the outstanding DNA recovered from the axe.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>Forensic update anticipated early 2022.</li> </ul>
3	It is recommended that the Metropolitan Police introduce systems to ensure that the management arrangements which applied during the Abelard Two Investigation can never be replicated in any future investigation, and that proper management arrangements, in compliance with the Association of Chief Police Officers' Murder Manual, exist on all occasions.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>The MPS Specialist Crime Command has a structure, in line with national policy and framework with regular meeting processes t and with independent oversight.</li> <li>The new national Major Crime Investigation I Standardised Administrative Procedure (MII 2021 and give clear guidance on the governation)</li> </ul>
4	The HOLMES system is both an investigative tool and a quality assurance mechanism, but it requires significant resources if it is to be used properly. The Panel recommends that the Metropolitan Police conduct an investigation into the adequacy of resources for administering HOLMES in major crime investigations carried out by the Metropolitan Police.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>MPS has undertaken a capacity and capa HOLMES.</li> <li>The new National Major Incident Room S (MIRSAP) manual was published 1<sup>st</sup> Novemb up and use of HOLMES as a management tool</li> </ul>
5	The Metropolitan Police should ensure that the role of the Family Liaison Officer is never carried out by the Senior Investigating Officer of an investigation. There is an inherent conflict between these two roles.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>The new National Major Crime Investigation clearly sets out guidance that the role of Ser Liaison Officer (FLO) are distinct with their or</li> <li>The College of Policing own the Family liaiso and minor amendments are anticipated to full</li> </ul>

a well-established investigative response d guidance. There is a clear governance s to review decision making, both internally

n Manual (MCIM) and Major Incident Room MIRSAP) were both published in November nance and scrutiny of the SIO role.

bability review of resources deployed to

Standardised Administrative Procedures aber 2021, containing guidance on MIR set pol.

on Manual (MCIM 2021) published Nov 2021 Senior Investigating Officer (SIO) and Family own individual strategy.

ison Authorised Professional Practice (APP) fully address the recommendation.

MPS Numbering	Recommendation	RAG status on progress	Progress Update
6	It is recommended that the Metropolitan Police establish a process to inform police officers about the recovery options available to them when material is unlawfully disclosed. <u>Lead organisation: MPS</u>	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>Following consultation with the MPS, the College and Guidance and legal advice has been sought t and proposals for change.</li> <li>The MPS DLS, College of Policing and NPCC work</li> </ul>
7	It is recommended that the Crown Prosecution Service's additional guidance should be amended to include a requirement that the Prosecutor should consider whether the information was disclosed with a view to one or both parties securing future profit from the use of that material. Moreover, the additional guidance should also be amended to note that the advantage to the parties disclosing the document(s) may not be purely financial but, as in the case of former DCS David Cook and Michael Sullivan, could be reputational and could have improved their employability in the future. <u>Lead organisation: CPS</u>	CPS lead organisation	<ul> <li>CPS owned recommendation</li> <li>MPS continue to engage fully with CPS</li> </ul>
8	Guidance should be issued by the Metropolitan Police to enable officers to determine whether it is appropriate, necessary and lawful to disclose investigative material to journalists. That guidance should include a requirement to record by whom, to whom and when any such evidence was disclosed, who authorised the disclosure, the reasons for the disclosure of the material, and the express conditions upon which the information is disclosed.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>New guidance is being drafted for the MPS Gene</li> <li>Whilst the MPS are leading on this recommendation coordinating with the MPS.</li> </ul>
9	The Government should act on its stated intention in 2013 to require licensing measures, introduce legislation to ensure the creation and use of standards, and implement the recommendation in the 2016 review concerning the regulation of private investigators.	HO lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office led recommendation</li> <li>MPS continue to liaise with Home Office as approximately a statement of the statement of the</li></ul>
10	Given the potential seriousness of such offences, it is recommended that the Government take an early opportunity to amend the Data Protection Act 2018 to provide for sentences of imprisonment for offenders. Lead organisation: Home Office	HO lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office led recommendation</li> <li>MPS continue to engage with Home Office as apprendicted and the second second</li></ul>

ollege of Policing has agreed to amend APP aght to capture current legislation available
working towards new guidance
General investigation Policy.
mmendation, the College of Policing are
appropriate.
as appropriate.

MPS Numbering	Recommendation	RAG status on progress	Progress Update
11	The Panel is concerned that the policies and procedures relating to the use of informants by law enforcement agencies still allow scope for corrupt practices, and it recommends that the Investigatory Powers Commissioner takes this into consideration during inspections.	IPCO lead organisation	<ul> <li>IPCO led recommendation</li> <li>The MPS continue to engage with IPCO as appendix of the process of th</li></ul>
12	The Metropolitan Police must ensure that the necessary resources are allocated to the task of tackling corrupt behaviour among its officers. Without proper resources there can be no effective fight against corruption. Since the Independent Office for Police Conduct has responsibility for investigating such matters, it must also be properly resourced to do so.	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>An HMICFRS inspection is currently in progra Report and will consider this explicit area of receipt.</li> <li>The College has also undertaken to review any implications in due course.</li> </ul>
13	It is recommended that Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire & Rescue Services conduct a thematic investigation of the operation of the practices and procedures introduced following the adoption of the Code of Ethics in 2014 to determine whether sufficient resources are available to ensure appropriate protection of those police officers and police staff who wish to draw alleged wrongdoing to the attention of their organisations.	HMICFRS lead organisation	<ul> <li>HMICFRS led recommendation</li> <li>The MPS await outcome of the HMICFRS updation any impact on law enforcement and necessinspection of six national forces (including the second sec</li></ul>
14	All police officers and police staff should be obliged to register in confidence with the Chief Officer of their police force, at either their point of recruitment to the police force or at any point subsequent to their recruitment, their membership of any organisation, including the Freemasons, which might call their impartiality into question or give rise to the perception of a conflict of loyalties. Lead organisation: COP/ Home Office / NPCC	COP/Home Office/ NPCC lead organisation	<ul> <li>College of Policing/Home Office/NPCC Led recommender</li> <li>College of Policing outline that nationally the exist to address such conflicts.</li> <li>Joint work is ongoing with representatives free Home Office</li> </ul>
15	Security clearance processes for police officers and police staff are fundamental to any anti- corruption strategy. Regular updating of the security status of each individual is essential to identify any concerns and to enable action to be taken in respect of such concerns. Notwithstanding the assurance received by the Panel from the Metropolitan Police in December 2020, the Metropolitan Police should remain vigilant at all times to ensure not only	On target	<ul> <li>MPS led recommendation</li> <li>The new 2021 College of Policing Authorised applies to police forces in England and Wales of (it is available for adoption by other police for with this national guidance.</li> </ul>

# ppropriate

anges have been implemented since the pecifically the Regulation of Investigatory ert Human Intelligence Sources (Criminal

gress in the MPS in response to the DMIP of work. Their report will be considered on

ny HMICFRS recommendations for national

date from the Home Office to then consider cessary action, noting a second HMICFRS the MPS) has also been commissioned.

#### nendation

here are a number of policies that already

from the MPS, the College, the NPCC and

sed Professional Practice (APP) on Vetting es defined in section 1 of the Police Act 1996 forces or agencies). The MPS is compliant

Image: Interview of the extent of thexten of the extent of the extent of the extent				
and effective processes to establish whether its staff are currently engaged in crime.       Image: Comparison of the staff are currently engaged in crime.       Image: Comparison of the staff are currently engaged in crime.         16       In the interest of transparency and public accountability, all public institutions should be under a duty to cooperate fully with independent scrutiny bodies created by Government, such as the Panel.       Home Office led recommendation         16       In the interest of transparency and public accountability, all public institutions should be organisation: Home Office led recommendation in the service of the staff are current advice in the service of the set advice or compulsion to cooperate fully with independent scrutiny bodies created by Government, accessing the creation of a statutory duty of candour, to be owed by all law enforcement agencies to those whom they serve, subject to protection of national security and relevant data protection legislation.       CoP/Home Office       College of Policing/Home Office/NPCC led organisation         17       The Panel recommends the creation of a statutory duty of candour, to be owed by all law enforcement agencies to those whom they serve, subject to protection of national security and relevant data protection legislation.       CoP/Home Office/OFFICE lead organisation       College of Policing/Home Office/NPCC lead organisation         18       Prior to the establishment of any future non-statutory inquines or panel, there should be an honest and full discussion between the relevant applic or the discussion or material as usual to analysis explaining how the projected deadline has been identified, and why that is a reasonable time within which the work should be completed.       Home O		Recommendation		Progress Update
under a duty to cooperate fully with independent scrutiny bodies created by Government, such as the Panel. <ul> <li>Wome Office lead organisation: Home Office</li> <li>The reform of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir OPC, and investigations conducte addition to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir OPC, and investigations conducte addition to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir OPC, and investigation sconducte addition to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison conducte addition to cooperation with inquir of Police (Conduct) Re Behaviour a computison conducte addition.         17       The Panel recommends the creation of a statutory duty of candour, to be owed by all law enforcement agencies to those whom they serve, subject to protection of national security and relevant data protection legislation.       COP/Home Office / POlice (CoP (Code of Ethics is under review led E interview led E regrammed vok K is to enable a realistic, informed assessment of the nature and ful discussion between the relevant police force(s) and the scope and depth of the work required. Framework procedures, capable of being customised, for the disclosure of naterial to such pares should be available, so as void excession edaps in eaching agreement for access to material. Deadlines should only be established when the relevant inquiry or panel has had the super should be available. So as void</li></ul>		and effective processes to establish whether its staff are currently engaged in crime.		<ul> <li>The current national HMICFRS inspection is c Baroness Casey's review will consider vetting</li> </ul>
enforcement agencies to those whom they serve, subject to protection of national security and relevant data protection legislation.       COP/Home Office / NPCC       COP/Home Office / NPCC         18       Prior to the establishment of any future non-statutory inquiries or panel, there should be an honest and full discussion between the relevant police force(s) and the sponsoring Government department, to enable a realistic, informed assessment of the nature and volume of documentation in all its forms, and of the scope and depth of the work required. Framework procedures, capable of being customised, for the disclosure of material to such panels should be valiable, so as to avoid excessive delays in reaching agreement for access to material. Deadlines should be supported with an analysis explaining how the projected deadline has been identified, and why that is a reasonable time within which the work should be completed.       Home Office / COP         19       Arrangements must be made in future to ensure that any Panel has timely access to the material required to do its work. Organisations that promise to make 'exceptional and full disclosure' should be prepared to do so both within the letter and the spirit of such a promise.       Home Office lead organisation       Home Office lead organisation	16	under a duty to cooperate fully with independent scrutiny bodies created by Government, such as the Panel.		<ul> <li>The reform of Police (Conduct) Regulations Behaviour a compulsion to cooperate as a w IOPC, and investigations conducted by force addition to cooperation with inquiries and fo</li> <li>Wider national work is being undertaken N Ethics.</li> </ul>
honest and full discussion between the relevant police force(s) and the sponsoring Government department, to enable a realistic, informed assessment of the nature and volume of documentation in all its forms, and of the scope and depth of the work required. Framework procedures, capable of being customised, for the disclosure of material to such panels should be available, so as to avoid excessive delays in reaching agreement for access to material. Deadlines should be established when the relevant inquiry or panel has had the opportunity to review the programme of work it is required to do. Any such deadline should be supported with an analysis explaining how the projected deadline has been identified, and why that is a reasonable time within which the work should be completed.Home Office / COPHome Office led recommendation19Arrangements must be made in future to ensure that any Panel has timely access to the material required to do its work. Organisations that promise to make 'exceptional and full disclosure' should be prepared to do so both within the letter and the spirit of such a promise.Home Office lead organisationHome Office lead organisation	17	enforcement agencies to those whom they serve, subject to protection of national security and relevant data protection legislation.	/ NPCC lead	The Home Office are reviewing this recommended
19Arrangements must be made in future to ensure that any Panel has timely access to the material required to do its work. Organisations that promise to make 'exceptional and full disclosure' should be prepared to do so both within the letter and the spirit of such a promise.Home Office lead organisationHome Office led recommendation0MPS continue to engage with Home	18	honest and full discussion between the relevant police force(s) and the sponsoring Government department, to enable a realistic, informed assessment of the nature and volume of documentation in all its forms, and of the scope and depth of the work required. Framework procedures, capable of being customised, for the disclosure of material to such panels should be available, so as to avoid excessive delays in reaching agreement for access to material. Deadlines should only be established when the relevant inquiry or panel has had the opportunity to review the programme of work it is required to do. Any such deadline should be supported with an analysis explaining how the projected deadline has been identified, and why that is a reasonable time within which the work should be completed.	lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office/College of Policing led recommendation</li> <li>MPS continue to engage with College of Policing</li> </ul>
material required to do its work. Organisations that promise to make 'exceptional and full disclosure' should be prepared to do so both within the letter and the spirit of such a promise. Home Office lead organisation • MPS continue to engage with Home				
	19	material required to do its work. Organisations that promise to make <i>'exceptional and full disclosure'</i> should be prepared to do so both within the letter and the spirit of such a promise.		

s considering this specific thematic area and ng.

ns 2020 includes, within the 'Standards' of witness to investigations carried out by the rce Professional Standards Departments in formal proceedings.

NPCC lead on the refresh of the Code of

and NPCC.

#### nendation

lead

mendation and working with the HMICFRS

llege of Policing.

## ion

licing and Home Office.

# DANIEL MORGAN INDEPENDENT PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS

MPS	Recommendation	RAG status on	Progress Update
Numbering		progress	
20	All independent panels and inquiries examining police investigations should be given full access to the associated HOLMES accounts at their secure premises when they begin their work. Lead organisation: Home Office/ NPCC	Home Office NPCC lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office/College of Policing/NPCC led recomment</li> <li>The availability of remote access to HOLN technological and security developments since</li> <li>MPS continue to engage with the Home Office</li> </ul>
21	In order to avoid most of the delays and difficulties inherent in this case, and in so many other unsolved cases, there is a need for a review of the processes for archiving historic material with a view to creating a system which can produce national and local documents as required. Lead organisation: Home Office	Home Office lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office led recommendation</li> <li>It is recognised that we are now in a digital we paper copies of documents is becoming ledelectronically.</li> <li>CoP are launching a new APP regarding guidated CoP are undertaking consultation with stakely based on current MPS model which is seen as</li> </ul>
22	In any future Panel inquiry, arrangements should be made for the storage of sensitive material in the Panel's premises, in a similar manner to provision made for inquiries being conducted under the Inquiries Act 2005.	Home Office lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office led recommendation</li> <li>The MPS continue to engage with the Home</li> </ul>
23	It is recommended that, whenever a major incident remains under investigation or inquiry, documents should be retained in digitised form, subject to appropriate security measures and made available to those who subsequently and justifiably require access to them.	Home Office lead organisation	<ul> <li>Home Office led recommendation</li> <li>The MPS continue to engage with the Home</li> </ul>

### mendation

LMES has improved considerably due to ince the Panel was first constituted.

ffice, and NPCC.

al world where the requirement to digitise g less necessary as they are usually held

idance surrounding archived material – the keholders including the Home Office. This is as best practice.

ne Office.

ne Office.