

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant:	Mrs K. Nasreen
Respondents:	(1) Dr A. Malik (2) Dr A. Malik and Mr I. Ali t/a Malik Law Chambers (in intervention)
Heard at:	East London Hearing Centre (by CVP)
On:	11 – 13 January 2022
Before: Members:	Employment Judge Massarella Mrs S. Barlow Ms P. Alford
Appearances For the Claimant: For the Responder	Mr Schuscheim (Adviser at the Whitechapel Legal Advice Clinic) It: Mr Z. Mian (Counsel), on part of Day 1 and part of Day 2
	only

JUDGMENT

The judgment of the Tribunal is that: -

- 1. by consent, Ms M. Ahmed and Mr O. Parkash are removed from the proceedings, pursuant to Rule 34;
- 2. accordingly, the name of the Second Respondent ('R2') is amended to: 'Dr A. Malik and Mr I. Ali t/a Malik Law Chambers (in intervention)';
- 3. the Claimant's employer was R2;
- 4. she was summarily dismissed on 19 February 2018;
- 5. the decision to dismiss was taken by Dr Malik ('R1'), who was a partner in R2 at all material times;
- 6. alternatively, if he was not a partner in R2 at the material time, he was an agent of R2, within the meaning of ss.109 and 110 Equality Act 2010 ('EqA');

- 7. the dismissal was because of the Claimant's pregnancy-related illness and was an act of direct pregnancy discrimination, contrary to s.18(2)(a) Equality Act 2010;
- 8. R1 and R2 are jointly and severally liable for the unlawful discrimination;
- 9. the Claimant was unfairly dismissed by R2;
- 10. R2 made unauthorised deductions from the Claimant's wages: it failed to pay salary for the period 1-20 January 2018, and failed to pay statutory sick pay from 21 January 2018 to 19 February 2018;
- 11. the Claimant's claim in respect of unpaid holiday pay is dismissed on withdrawal;
- 12. a remedy hearing to determine the amount of compensation to which the Claimant is entitled will take place remotely (by CVP) on 14 February 2022 at 10 a.m. A separate order is attached, dealing with the arrangements for the hearing.

Employment Judge Massarella

13 January 2022