Application for consent to release a GMO

Part A2: Data or results from any previous releases of the GMO

Give information on data or results from any previous releases of this GMO by you either inside or outside the European Community [especially the results of monitoring and the effectiveness of any risk management procedures].

The GMOs that are the subject of this application have not been released before.

Part A3: Details of previous applications for release

Give details of any previous applications to release the GMO made to the Secretary of State under the 2002 Regulations or to another Member State under the Deliberate Release Directive 2001/18/EC.

There have been no previous applications to release these GMOs.

Part A4: Risk assessment and a statement on risk evaluation

Summary

Environmental risks

The overall risk of harm to the environment arising from this trial is assessed as extremely low.

Human health risks

The overall risk of harm to human health arising from this trial is assessed as extremely low.

Risk assessment

Conclusions on the Potential Environmental Impact from the Release or the Placing on the Market of GMOs

i. Likelihood of the genetically modified higher plant (GMHP) becoming more persistent than the recipient or parental plants in agricultural habitats or more invasive in natural habitats.

The phenotype of the gene-edited and genetically modified barley lines in this field trial, including morphology, pollination, and seed-set do not appear to differ from non-transgneic barley cv. Golden Promise plants. We, therefore, expect no difference in the dissemination of pollen and seeds compared to non-transgneic barley cv. Golden Promise plants. The major trait in the barley lines is that their abilities in interaction with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) will be altred. Changes in the extent of mycorrhizal colonisation and soil mycorrhizal hyphae in genetically modified and gene-edited lines are not expected to have any adverse environmental effect over the time span of this trial or thereafter, and will not affect the subsequent ability of mycorrhizal interactions to form in these soils.

ii. Any selective advantage or disadvantage conferred to the GMHP.

It is not expected the gene-edited and genetically modified barley lines in this field trial have a growth chrachterstic that likely to confer a selective advantage or disadvantage. However, the overexpression of genetically modified lines (OxHvNSP2 and OxMtNSP2) by their abilities to override phosphate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation can take advantage of the association with AMF even in the current highly phosphorus-rich soils in the UK.

iii. Potential for gene transfer to the same or other sexually compatible plant species under conditions of planting the GMHP and any selective advantage or disadvantage conferred to those plant species.

Barley cv. Golden Promise is naturally an inbreeding self-pollinating crop with very low rates of cross-pollination with other barley plants. However, the pollination of Golden Promise by its wild relative *Hordeum bulbosum* through breeding in glasshouses can lead to haploid plants. There are no sexually compatible wild barley relatives present on the release site as no barley, other cereals or grasses will be cultivated or allowed to grow within 20 metres of the trial.

iv. Potential immediate and/or delayed environmental impact resulting from direct and indirect interactions between the GMHP and target organisms, such as predators, parasitoids and pathogens (if applicable).

The target organisms of this field trial are the naturally occurring arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact on biomass and yield following the use of commercial arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) mixed

inoculum on the performance of the gene-edited and genetically modified barley cv. Golden Promise lines. The CRISPR mutant gene-edited lines used in this release have aborted or significantly reduced colonization by AMF. Conversely, the *NSP2* genetically modified overexpressing lines show higher mycorrhizal colonisation, even at high soil phosphorus concentrations which usually act to suppress mycorrhization. Plots containing *NSP2* overexpression lines (OxHvNSP2 and OxMtNSP2) may show a slightly increased extent of soil-borne mycorrhizal fungal mycelium, while plots containing the genetically edited line including *symrk-2*, *ccamk-1*, *ccamk-2*, *cyclops-2*, *cyclops-3*, *ram1-1*, *ram1-2*, *nsp1-1*, *nsp1-4*, *nsp2-2*, *and nsp2-4* will likely have reduced quantities of these fungi. Changes in the extent of mycorrhizal colonisation and soil mycorrhizal hyphae in genetically modified and gene-edited lines are not expected to have any adverse environmental effect over the time span of this trial or thereafter, and will not affect the subsequent ability of mycorrhizal interactions to form in these soils.

v. Possible immediate and/or delayed environmental impact resulting from direct and indirect interactions of the GMHP with non-target organisms, (also taking into account organisms which interact with target organisms), including impact on population levels of competitors, herbivores, symbionts (where applicable), parasites and pathogens.

Barley interacts with a range of pests and fungal pathogens and may also interact with multiple fungi, bacteria, and protists in the rhizosphere. Mycorrhizal fungal colonisation has been demonstrated to reduce plant susceptibility to pests and pathogens. As a result of reduced colonisation by mycorrhizal fungi, gene-edited lines may show slightly increased susceptibility to foliar pathogens, while genetically modified lines are likely to show reduced susceptibility to pathogens. There are no adverse environmental effects predicted by changes to these interactions. Other interactions are not expected to be affected in any way by the traits carried by the plants.

vi. Possible immediate and/or delayed effects on human health resulting from potential direct and indirect interactions of the GMHP and persons working with, coming into direct contact with, or in the vicinity of the GMHP release(s).

No toxic, allergenic, or harmful effects on human health are envisaged. The gene-edited and genetically modified barley have exhibited a difference in the expression pattern of a number of genes involved in the plant metabolites. None of these genes are known to be toxic or harmful to human health, nor are they known to exert any toxic or allergenic effects.

vii. Possible immediate and/or delayed effects on animal health and consequences for the food/feed chain resulting from consumption of the GMO and any products derived from it if it is intended to be used as animal feed.

The gene-edited plant lines used in the field trial are considered to be transgene free and free of CAS9 editing machinery system. The gene edition in the symbiosis pathway genes does not lead to encoding any new proteins that are harmful to animal health. The genetically modified barley have exhibited a difference in the expression pattern of a number of genes involved in the plant metabolites. None of these genes are known to be toxic or harmful to human health, nor are they known to exert any toxic or allergenic effects. Any unknown hazards arising from the expression and ingestion of foreign proteins by domestic or farm animals will not be realised because the barley plants will not be used for animal feed. The site is enclosed and all care will be taken to ensure that no seed remains on the surface. Various bird-scaring devices will be used to keep birds out during the growing season.

viii. Possible immediate and/or delayed effects on biogeochemical processes resulting from potential direct and indirect interactions of the GMO and target and non-target organisms in the vicinity of the GMO release(s).

Biogeochemical processes are not expected to be affected by the cultivation of the genetically modified plants.

ix. Possible immediate and/or delayed, direct and indirect environmental impacts of the specific cultivation, management and harvesting techniques used for the GMHP where these are different from those used for non-GMHPs.

The site will be prepared according to standard agronomic practices for sping barley cultivation. The trial will receive standard farm practice as regard to herbicides, fungicides, nitrogen, sulphur and other fertilisers except some of the plots will not receive phosphorus fertiliser. The site will be monitored regularly throughout the trial. Due to the potential for minor increases in foliar pathogen susceptibility in the gene-edited lines, plots containing these lines will require additional monitoring and the application of approved fungicide(s) where appropriate. There are no expected adverse environmental effects. Harvest will occur by the end of September depending on weather conditions. The trial will be moved within the field year to year to prevent the plants being affected by pathogens. The plot will be left fallow after harvesting, monitored for the remainder of the year, and sprayed with non-selective herbicides. A sample of plants may be hand-harvested, conditioned, and threshed to supply seeds for

research purposes. All such small samples removed from the trial site will be stored in containment prior to use and will eventually be autoclaved before disposal. The site will be harvested by the plot combine. Grain that is not required for analysis or to provide seed for future trials will be disposed of by incineration, autoclaving, or deep burial at a local authority-approved landfill site using an approved contractor, while any material remaining after analysis will be autoclaved before disposal. All straw will be chopped and left on site. The combine will be cleaned prior to leaving the site so that all traces of plant material from the trial will remain in the trial area. All transport of material will be logged.

	Step1: Potential hazards which may be caused by the characteristics of the novel plant	Step 2: Evaluation of how each hazard could be realised in the receiving environments	Step 3: Evaluation of the magnitude of harm caused by each hazard if realised	Step 4: Estimation of how likely/often each hazard will be realised as harm	Step 5: Modification of management strategies to obtain lowest possible risks from the deliberate release	Step 6: Overall estimate of risk of harm caused by the release for each hazard
а	Increased	Increased	Barley is an annual	It is highly unlikely	Harvested seeds will	Overall risk is
	invasiveness in	invasiveness may	species that requires	that intended or	be transported from	negligible.
	natural habitats or	arise from intended	active management	unintended effects of	the site in sealed	
	persistence in	or unintended effects	to out-compete	the genetic	containers.	
	agricultural	of the genetic	weedier plants. Left	modification will	Machinery will be	
	habitats.	modification that	unmanaged, barley	result in major	cleaned thoroughly	
		result in barley	and survive in nature	changes in	prior to removal from	
		plants with a more	and thus has a low	invasiveness or	the site. there will be	
		'weedy' habit that	baseline of	persistence. If it	a barley pollen	
		are better able to	invasiveness and	were to occur, this	barrier of 3 metre	
		establish and thrive	persistence. Even if	hazard would be	surrounding the	
		in uncultivated	intended or	realised only if seeds	perimeter of the trial	
		environments or to	unintended effects of	or pollen possessing	Surrounding the trial	
		persist in agricultural	the genetic	genes encoding	site is a 20 metre	
		habitats.	modification resulted	these traits were to	area in which no	
			in major changes in	spread from the trial	cereals or wild	
			invasiveness or	site and become	grasses will be	
			persistence, it is	established	allowed to grow.	

considered that this elsewhere. This is Steel mesh security very unlikely as type fencing will be would not result in barley pollen is used to enclose the significant environmental harm relatively heavy so site to prevent for agricultural or does not travel far, animal access. and it has a short Various bird-scaring unmanaged ecosystems. Barley half-life. Cereals and devices will be used is a benign plant that to keep birds out grasses will not be can be easily allowed to grow during the growing managed by within 20 m of the season. cultivation or trial site, and herbicides. The spontaneous magnitude of harm if crossing between the hazard were barley and its closest wild relatives in the realised is, therefore, UK has not been considered to be observed. Seed low. removal from the site will be rigorously managed. The chances of modified barley plants establishing themselves outside the trial site are considered to be negligible.

b	Selective advantage: improved resistance to <i>P. infestans.</i>	None of the genes introduced confer characteristics that add intrinsic competitive abilities to improve resistance to P. infestans.	Negligible.	Very unlikely.		No risk.
С	Selective advantage: improved resistance to potato cyst nematodes	None of the genes introduced confer characteristics that add intrinsic competitive abilities to improve resistance to potato cyst nematodes.	Negligible.	Very unlikely.		No risk.
d	Selective advantage: resistance to sulfonylureas and	Such selectable markerd do not exist in the plants for this field trial. Beside,	Negligible. Plants containing the CSR selectable marker can be readily	Very unlikely.	Non-selective herbicide treatment will be used.	No risk

	imidazolinones provided by the selectable marker gene (<i>CSR</i>)	non-selective herbicide treatment will be used in the context of this field trial.	eliminated by other effective herbicides, such as glyphosate.			
e	Selective advantage or disadvantage conferred to sexually compatible plant species	Selective advantage or disadvantage may result from intended or unintended effects of the genetic modification. This hazard could be realised in the receiving environment via outcrossing to sexually-compatible species outside the trial site.	The traits of altered associations with AMF do not change morphology, pollination, and seed-set. Barley cv. Golden Promise is naturally an inbreeding self-pollinating crop with very low rates of cross-pollination with other barley plants. The magnitude of harm if the hazard were realised is, therefore, considered to be low.	This hazard would be realised only if pollen possessing genes encoding these traits were to spread from the trial site and become established. This is very unlikely as barley pollen is relatively heavy so does not travel long distances, and it has a short half-life. Cereals and grasses will not be allowed to grow within 20m of the trial site, and spontaneous crossing between wheat and its closest wild relatives in the	There will be a barley pollen barrier of 3 metre surrounding the perimeter of the trial. There are no sexually compatible wild barley relatives present on the release site as no barley, other cereals or grasses will be cultivated or allowed to grow within 20 metres of the trial from the outer edge of the pollen barrier. Steel mesh security type fencing will be used to enclose the site to prevent animal access.	Overall risk is extremely low.

				UK has not been observed. The likelihood of this hazard resulting in environmental harm is, therefore, considered to be extremely low.		
f	Potential	The intended effect	Changes in the	The target	The commercial	Overall risk is
	environmental	is to alter the	extent of mycorrhizal	organisms of this	arbuscular	negligible.
	impact due to	association of	colonisation and soil	field trial are the	mycorrhizal fungi	Potentially, there
	interactions	GMHP to arbuscular	mycorrhizal hyphae	naturally occurring	(AMF) mixed	may be a positive
	between the novel	mycorrhizal fungi	in genetically	arbuscular	inoculum will be	impact on foliar
	plant and target	(AMF) in low and	modified and gene-	mycorrhizal fungi.	sued. There will be	microflora in some
	organisms	high phusphrus	edited lines are not	Plots containing	two phosphorus	plots.
		concentrations in the	expected to have	NSP2	treatments;	
		soil. Therefore, the	any adverse	overexpression lines	application and no	
		gene-edited lines	environmental effect	may show a slightly	application of	
		may show a	over the time span of	increased extent of	phosphorus fertiliser	
		selective	this trial or	soil-borne	to evaluate the effect	
		disadvantage of	thereafter, and will	mycorrhizal fungal	of AMF.	
		AMF colonization	not affect the	mycelium, while		
		while the genetically	subsequent ability of	plots containing the		
		modified	mycorrhizal	genetically edited		
		overexpression lines	interactions to form	line will likely have		
		by their abilities to	in these soils.	reduced quantities of		
		override phosphate	Therefore, the	these fungi. These		

		suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation can take advantage of the association with AMF even in the phosphorus-rich soils. This could have an environmental	magnitude of the harm Overla risk is negligible	altered interactions are not harmful to the plants.		
		impact if changes in interactions with				
		AMF resulted in the				
		plants being better able to thrive in the				
		current fertiliser				
		practices.				
g	Potential	Changes in the	Mycorrhizal fungal	As a result of	Due to the potential	Overall risk is
	environmental	plants' interactions	colonisation has	reduced colonisation	for minor increases	negligible.
	impact due to	with non-target	been demonstrated	by mycorrhizal fungi,	in foliar pathogen	
	interactions	organisms including	to reduce plant	gene-edited lines	susceptibility in the	
	between the novel	a range of pests and	susceptibility to	may show slightly	gene-edited lines,	
	plant and non-	fungal pathogens	pests and	increased	plots containing	
	target organisms	could result from the	pathogens. There	susceptibility to foliar	these lines will	
		intended effects of	are no adverse	pathogens, while	require additional	
		the genetic	environmental	genetically modified	monitoring and the	
		modification. This	effects predicted by	lines are likely to	application of	

		could have an environmental impact if changes in interactions with non-target organisms resulted in the plants being better able to thrive in uncultivated environments or to persist in agricultural habitats.	changes to these interactions. Other interactions are not expected to be affected in any way by the traits carried by the plants.	show reduced susceptibility to pathogens.	approved fungicide(s) where appropriate. There are no expected adverse environmental effects	
h	Potential effect on human or animal	By contact or ingestion of GM	11 out of 13 lines in this field trial do not	Some contact between the GM	No plant material from the trial will	Overall risk is extremely low.
	health due to the	plant material.	contain even any	plants and humans	enter the food or	-
	introduced genes		transgene. The	is inevitable. People	animal feed chain.	
			genetic modification	operating farm	Any unexpected	
			in all the lines are	machinery and	occurrences that	
			not expted to result	scientists working in the trial site will	could potentially result in adverse	
			in the synthesis of the products that are	come into physical	environmental	
			harmful to human	contact with the	effects or the	
			health. The gene-	plants. However, it is	possibility of adverse	
			edited and gene-	extremely unlikely	effects on human	
			modified barley have	that they will ingest	health will be notified	
			exhibited a	any plant material. It	to the GM	

			difference in the	is more likely that	inspectorate	
			expression pattern of	small mammals such	immediately	
			a number of genes	as mice,		
			involved in the plant	invertebrates, and		
			metabolites. None of	birds may come into		
			these genes are	contact and/or ingest		
			known to be toxic or	plant material.		
			harmful to human			
			health, nor are they			
			known to exert any			
			toxic or allergenic			
			effects. Any			
			unknown hazards			
			with respect to			
			human health arising			
			from the expression			
			and ingestion of			
			foreign proteins will			
			not be realised			
			because the barley			
			plants will not be			
			consumed by			
			humans.			
-	Potential effects on	No detrimental effect	Soil fertility is not	Any effect is	Conventional	Overall impact is
"	biogeochemical	on the soil is	expected to be	expected to be	agricultural practice,	negligible.
	processes	OII 1116 3011 13	affected any	comparable to that	except some of the	negligible.
	hiocesses		anecieu any	Comparable to that	evecht sourie or the	

	(changes in soil decomposition of organic material)	expected from the introduced genes.	differently due to the cultivation of the genetically modified barley plants as compared to spring barley cv. Golden Promise	of non-genetically modified spring barley cv. Golden Promise under conventional agricultural practice.	plots, will not receive phosphorus fertiliser.	
j	Possible environmental impact due to changes in cultivation practice	No major differences in the cultivation and management of the GMHP will occur except some of the plots will not receive phosphorus fertiliser. The magnitude of any effects arising from changes in cultivation practice will be negligible.	The magnitude of any effects arising from changes in cultivation practice will be negligible.	The freuency that this hazard may be realised is low. The trial comprises only 108 x [1.5 x 4.25 metre] plots, and will be sown for only five growing seasons.	Conventional agricultural practice	Overall risk is extremely low.

Part A5: Assessment of commercial or confidentiality of information contained in this application.

Identify clearly any information that is considered to be commercially confidential. A clear justification for keeping information confidential must be given.

The information provided in this application does not need to be kept confidential.

Part A6: Statement on whether detailed information on the description of the GMO and the purpose of release has been published

Make a clear statement on whether a detailed description of the GMO and the purpose of the release have been published, and the bibliographic reference for any information so published.

This is intended to assist with the protection of the applicant's intellectual property rights, which may be affected by the prior publication of certain detailed information, e.g. by its inclusion on the public register.

The GMOs in the release have been described in the manuscript by Li et al. which has been submitted to the Journal *Cell* in December 2021. However, details of the proposed release and its purpose have not yet been published.