



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL
PROPERTY CHAMBER
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

Case reference : **LON/00AW/LDC/2021/0102**

HMCTS Code : **P:PAPERREMOTE**

Property : **114 Gloucester Road, London,
SW7 4SD**

Applicant : **Dorrington Developments Limited**

Representative : **Kinleigh Folkard and Hayward
(Jeanette Evans)**

Respondents : **The Leaseholders
114 Gloucester Road,
London SW7 4SD
An application for dispensation
from the consultation
requirements of s.20 Landlord and
Tenant Act 1985**

Type of application : **An application for dispensation
from the consultation
requirements of s.20 Landlord and
Tenant Act 1985**

Tribunal member : **Judge D Brandler**

**Date and venue of
hearing** : **5th October 2021
10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR
(remotely)**

Date of decision : **5th October 2021**

DECISION

Covid-19 pandemic: description of hearing

This has been a remote hearing on the papers which has not been objected to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was P:PAPERREMOTE. A face-to-face hearing was not held because it was not practicable and no-one requested the same and all issues could be determined on paper. The documents that I was referred to are in a series of exhibits numbered 1-8, no consolidated bundle having been prepared by the applicant. The order made is described at the end of these reasons.

Decision

1. The Tribunal grants the applicant dispensation from the statutory consultation requirements in respect of works required at 114 Gloucester Road, London SW5 4SD ("The building") to carry out remedial electrical works.

Background to the Application

2. The tribunal did not inspect the building as it considered the documentation and information before it in the appeal bundle enabled the tribunal to proceed with this determination and also because of the restrictions and regulations arising out of the Covid-19 pandemic.
3. This has been a paper hearing which has been consented to by the parties. The tribunal had before it various electronic/digital documents produced by the applicant.
4. Contrary to the Directions, the Applicant failed to produce a single, digital, indexed and paginated Adobe PDF bundle. The documents that were provided are as follows: an application naming the leaseholder of flat 2 as the Respondent, an additional list of leaseholders and their addresses, a copy of the residential lease for flat 3, the commercial lease, a quotation from AEC limited dated 14/03/2021, a quotation from 'elite net electrical' dated 22/03/2021, an email quotation from Rama Property Refurbishment dated 18/03/2021 headed 'plant room strip out', a quotation from Millane Contract Services Ltd dated 22/03/2021 headed 'Strip out plant room works'.
5. The Directions dated 29/04/2021 listed the matter for determination in the week commencing 06/07/2021. That date became ineffective because the applicant failed to provide a bundle of documents by 22/06/2021. In the Tribunal's letter to them dated 01/07/2021, the Tribunal advised the applicant to submit the bundle by 9 a.m. on Monday 05/07/2021 and that failure to do so may mean that the case be struck out. The documents were not received before 07/07/2021.
6. In relation service, the applicant was directed to send to the respondent and any residential sublessees by email, hand delivery or first class

post, by 11/05/2021, a copy of the application and the directions. The applicant was further directed to inform the Tribunal by 15/05/2021 that this had been done, and when. By an email to the Tribunal dated 15/05/2021 the applicant stated, "*Please find email confirmation that the attached was hand delivered, and placed in the property on 10th May 2021.*" The link could not be opened. It is not clear whether the applicant placed the application in the communal areas or served on each address.

7. The applicant landlord seeks dispensation under section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 ("the 1985 Act") from the consultation requirements imposed on the landlord by section 20 of the 1985 Act in respect of urgent electrical upgrade works required in the lift motor room. It is said that UK Power and EDF have both deemed the current supply to be inadequate to any further works required to the lift, where upgrade works are currently paused.
8. The application is said to be urgent because the lift upgrade works are stayed pending the electrical upgrade.
9. This application deals with the discrete issue of the electrical upgrade. No information has been provided to clarify whether consultation has been carried out in relation to the lift works themselves, or in relation to the other issues mentioned in the various quotations submitted as part of this application. Those issues did not form part of the application, and are not considered today.
10. There was no explanation as to why, if the lift was in the process of being upgraded, there had been no investigation into the electrical supply, and why that had come as a surprise when carrying out such major works.
11. At the date of application, the works had not been carried out. There is no evidence to suggest that works have been carried out since then.

The leaseholders' case

12. No objections have been received by the Tribunal. However, it was unclear whether each leaseholder had been adequately served with the application and the directions.

Reasons for Decision

13. The only issue for the Tribunal to decide is whether or not it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements.

This application does not concern the issue of whether or not service charges will be reasonable or payable.

14. Having read the evidence and submissions from the applicant and having noted that there have been no objections by the respondents, the Tribunal determines the dispensation issues as follows.
15. Section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 (as amended) and the Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003 require a landlord planning to undertake major works, where a leaseholder will be required to contribute over £250 towards those works, to consult the leaseholders in a specified form.
16. Should a landlord not comply with the correct consultation procedure, it is possible to obtain dispensation from compliance with these requirements by such an application as is this one before the Tribunal. Essentially the Tribunal must be satisfied that it is reasonable to do so.
17. The leading authority in relation to s.20ZA dispensation requests is *Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson* [2013] 1 WLR 854 (“Benson”) in which the Supreme Court set out guidance as to the approach to be taken by a tribunal when considering such applications. This was to focus on the extent, if any, to which the lessees were prejudiced in either paying for inappropriate works or paying more than would be appropriate, because of the failure of the landlord to comply with the consultation requirements. In his judgment, Lord Neuberger said as follows;
 44. Given that the purpose of the Requirements is to ensure that the tenants are protected from (i) paying for inappropriate works or (ii) paying more than would be appropriate, it seems to me that the issue on which the LVT should focus when entertaining an application by a landlord under section 20ZA(1) must be the extent, if any, to which the tenants were prejudiced in either respect by the failure of the landlord to comply with the Requirements.
 45. Thus, in a case where it was common ground that the extent, quality and cost of the works were in no way affected by the landlord’s failure to comply with the Requirements, I find it hard to see why the dispensation should not be granted (at least in the absence of some very good reason): in such a case the tenants would be in precisely the position that the legislation intended them to be – ie as if the Requirements had been complied with.

18. Accordingly, the Tribunal had to consider whether there was any prejudice that may have arisen out of the conduct of the applicant and whether it was reasonable for the Tribunal to grant dispensation following the guidance set out above.
19. The Tribunal is of the view that, taking into account that there were no objecting leaseholders, it could not find prejudice to any of the leaseholders of the property by the granting of dispensation relating to the remedial electrical works. However, the evidence did not explain why such works would not have been included in any consultation in relation to the upgrade of the major works to the lift. Nor was it clear whether service had been effective.
20. We therefore make the following conditions of such dispensation
 - (a) That the applicant shall be responsible for formally serving a copy of the Tribunal's decision on all leaseholders. Furthermore, the applicant shall place a copy of the tribunal's decision on dispensation together with an explanation of the leaseholders' appeal rights on its website (if any) within 7 days of receipt and shall maintain it there for at least 3 months, with a sufficiently prominent link to both on its home page. Copies must also be placed in a prominent place in the common parts of the buildings. In this way, leaseholders who have not returned the reply form may view the tribunal's eventual decision on dispensation and their appeal rights.
21. As stated above, the only issue for the Tribunal to decide is whether or not it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements. **This application does not concern the issue of whether or not service charges will be reasonable or payable.**

Judge D Brandler

5th October 2021

APPENDIX 1
RIGHTS OF APPEAL

1. If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
2. The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.
3. If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed despite not being within the time limit.
4. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

‘;

APPENDIX 2
RELEVANT LEGISLATION

Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

20ZA. Consultation requirements: supplementary

- (1) Where an application is made to the appropriate tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003.

Part 2 - consultation requirements for qualifying works for which public notice is not required

Notice of intention

1. (1) The landlord shall give notice in writing of his intention to carry out qualifying works—
 - (a) to each tenant; and
 - (b) where a recognised tenants' association represents some or all of the tenants, to the association.
- (2) The notice shall—
 - (a) describe, in general terms, the works proposed to be carried out or specify the place and hours at which a description of the proposed works may be inspected;
 - (b) state the landlord's reasons for considering it necessary to carry out the proposed works;
 - (c) invite the making, in writing, of observations in relation to the proposed works; and
 - (d) specify—

- (i) the address to which such observations may be sent;
 - (ii) that they must be delivered within the relevant period;
and
 - (iii) the date on which the relevant period ends.
- (3) The notice shall also invite each tenant and the association (if any) to propose, within the relevant period, the name of a person from whom the landlord should try to obtain an estimate for the carrying out of the proposed works.

Inspection of description of proposed works

- 2.** (1) Where a notice under paragraph 1 specifies a place and hours for inspection—
- (a) the place and hours so specified must be reasonable; and
 - (b) a description of the proposed works must be available for inspection, free of charge, at that place and during those hours.
- (2) If facilities to enable copies to be taken are not made available at the times at which the description may be inspected, the landlord shall provide to any tenant, on request and free of charge, a copy of the description.

Duty to have regard to observations in relation to proposed works

- 3.** Where, within the relevant period, observations are made, in relation to the proposed works by any tenant or recognised tenants' association, the landlord shall have regard to those observations.

Estimates and response to observations

- 4.** (1) Where, within the relevant period, a nomination is made by a recognised tenants' association (whether or not a nomination is made by any tenant), the landlord shall try to obtain an estimate from the nominated person.
- (2) Where, within the relevant period, a nomination is made by only one of the tenants (whether or not a nomination is made by a

recognised tenants' association), the landlord shall try to obtain an estimate from the nominated person.

- (3) Where, within the relevant period, a single nomination is made by more than one tenant (whether or not a nomination is made by a recognised tenants' association), the landlord shall try to obtain an estimate—
 - (a) from the person who received the most nominations; or
 - (b) if there is no such person, but two (or more) persons received the same number of nominations, being a number in excess of the nominations received by any other person, from one of those two (or more) persons; or
 - (c) in any other case, from any nominated person.

- (4) Where, within the relevant period, more than one nomination is made by any tenant and more than one nomination is made by a recognised tenants' association, the landlord shall try to obtain an estimate—
 - (a) from at least one person nominated by a tenant; and
 - (b) from at least one person nominated by the association, other than a person from whom an estimate is sought as mentioned in paragraph (a).

- (5) The landlord shall, in accordance with this sub-paragraph and sub-paragraphs (6) to (9)—
 - (a) obtain estimates for the carrying out of the proposed works;
 - (b) supply, free of charge, a statement (“the paragraph (b) statement”) setting out—
 - (i) as regards at least two of the estimates, the amount specified in the estimate as the estimated cost of the proposed works; and
 - (ii) where the landlord has received observations to which (in accordance with paragraph 3) he is required to have regard, a summary of the observations and his response to them; and

- (c) make all of the estimates available for inspection.
- (6) At least one of the estimates must be that of a person wholly unconnected with the landlord.
- (7) For the purpose of paragraph (6), it shall be assumed that there is a connection between a person and the landlord—
 - (a) where the landlord is a company, if the person is, or is to be, a director or manager of the company or is a close relative of any such director or manager;
 - (b) where the landlord is a company, and the person is a partner in a partnership, if any partner in that partnership is, or is to be, a director or manager of the company or is a close relative of any such director or manager;
 - (c) where both the landlord and the person are companies, if any director or manager of one company is, or is to be, a director or manager of the other company;
 - (d) where the person is a company, if the landlord is a director or manager of the company or is a close relative of any such director or manager; or
 - (e) where the person is a company and the landlord is a partner in a partnership, if any partner in that partnership is a director or manager of the company or is a close relative of any such director or manager.
- (8) Where the landlord has obtained an estimate from a nominated person, that estimate must be one of those to which the paragraph (b) statement relates.
- (9) The paragraph (b) statement shall be supplied to, and the estimates made available for inspection by—
 - (a) each tenant; and
 - (b) the secretary of the recognised tenants' association (if any).
- (10) The landlord shall, by notice in writing to each tenant and the association (if any)—

- (a) specify the place and hours at which the estimates may be inspected;
 - (b) invite the making, in writing, of observations in relation to those estimates;
 - (c) specify—
 - (i) the address to which such observations may be sent;
 - (ii) that they must be delivered within the relevant period; and
 - (iii) the date on which the relevant period ends.
- (11) Paragraph 2 shall apply to estimates made available for inspection under this paragraph as it applies to a description of proposed works made available for inspection under that paragraph.

Duty to have regard to observations in relation to estimates

5. Where, within the relevant period, observations are made in relation to the estimates by a recognised tenants' association or, as the case may be, any tenant, the landlord shall have regard to those observations.

Duty on entering into contract

6. (1) Subject to sub-paragraph (2), where the landlord enters into a contract for the carrying out of qualifying works, he shall, within 21 days of entering into the contract, by notice in writing to each tenant and the recognised tenants' association (if any)—
- (a) state his reasons for awarding the contract or specify the place and hours at which a statement of those reasons may be inspected; and
 - (b) there he received observations to which (in accordance with paragraph 5) he was required to have regard, summarise the observations and set out his response to them.

- (2) The requirements of sub-paragraph (1) do not apply where the person with whom the contract is made is a nominated person or submitted the lowest estimate.
- (3) Paragraph 2 shall apply to a statement made available for inspection under this paragraph as it applies to a description of proposed works made available for inspection under that paragraph.