

# England Coast Path Stretch: Shotley Gate to Felixstowe Ferry – table 3.3.1 and 3.3.3 amendments June 2021

## Report SGF 3: Priory Park to Shore Lane, Nacton

### Part 3.3: Proposals Tables

See Part 3 of Overview for guidance on reading and understanding the tables below

#### 3.3.1 Section Details – Map(s) SGF 3a to SGF 3b: Priory Park to Shore Lane, Nacton

Key notes on table:

1. Column 2 – an asterisk (\*) against the route section number means see also table 3.3.2: Other options considered.
2. Column 4 – ‘No’ means no roll-back is proposed for this route section. ‘Yes – normal’ means roll-back is proposed and is likely to follow the current feature (e.g. cliff edge/beach) for the foreseeable future as any coastal change occurs.
3. Column 4 – ‘Yes – see table 3.3.3’ means roll-back is proposed, but refer to that table below about our likely approach to implementing it for this route section. This is because a more complex situation exists in this case and consideration must be given to how roll-back may happen in relation to excepted land, a protected site etc.
4. Column 5a - Certain coastal land types are included automatically in the coastal margin where they fall landward of the trail if they touch it at some point. The relevant land type (foreshore, cliff, bank, barrier, dune, beach, flat or section 15 land – see Glossary) is shown in this column where appropriate. “No” means none present on this route section.
5. Columns 5b and 5c – Any entry in these columns means we are proposing to align the landward boundary of the coastal margin on this route section with the physical feature(s) shown in 5b, for the reason in 5c. No text here means that for this route section the landward edge of the margin would be that of the trail itself - or if any default coastal land type is shown in 5a, that would be its landward boundary instead.

1	2	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6
<b>Map(s)</b>	<b>Route section number(s)</b>	<b>Current status of route section(s)</b>	<b>Roll-back proposed? (See Part 7 of Overview)</b>	<b>Landward margin contains coastal land type?</b>	<b>Proposal to specify landward boundary of margin (See maps)</b>	<b>Reason for landward boundary proposal</b>	<b>Explanatory notes</b>
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S011*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S012*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S013*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S014*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Edge of steps and vegetation	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S015*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S016*	Not an existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S017*	Other existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S018*	Other existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	

1	2	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6
Map(s)	Route section number(s)	Current status of route section(s)	Roll-back proposed? (See Part 7 of Overview)	Landward margin contains coastal land type?	Proposal to specify landward boundary of margin (See maps)	Reason for landward boundary proposal	Explanatory notes
SGF 3b	SGF-3-S019*	Other existing walked route	No	No	Fence line	Clarity and cohesion	

### 3.3.3 Roll-back implementation – more complex situations: Map(s) SGF 3a to SGF 3b: Priory Park to Shore Lane, Nacton

Map(s)	Route section number(s)	Feature(s) or site(s) potentially affected	Our likely approach to roll-back
SGF 3a and 3b	SGF-3-S001 to SGF-3-S010 and SGF-3-S020 SGF-3-S025	Entire section from Priory Park to Shore Lane, Nacton	If it is no longer possible to find a viable route seaward of Priory Park (see Report SGF 2) and across excepted land at Orwell Park we will choose a new route after detailed discussions with all relevant interests. In reaching this judgement we will have full regard to the need to seek a fair balance between the interests of potentially affected owners and occupiers and those of the public.

In relation to all other sections where roll-back has been proposed, any adjustment of the trail is likely to follow the current feature (e.g. cliff edge/beach) for the foreseeable future as any coastal change occurs.