



**FIRST - TIER TRIBUNAL
PROPERTY CHAMBER
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

V: CVPREMOTE

Case Reference : **CAM/00MD/LSC/2020/0039**

Property : **11-15 Rockall Court
Langley
Slough
SL3 8EZ.**

Applicant : **Imtiaz Mehdi Mohamed and Nasim
Mohamed**

Represented by : **Ms C Tuplin, solicitor**

Respondent : **Meadfield Court Management Company
Limited**

Represented by : **Miss G Whiting of Counsel**

Type of Application : **Application for the determination of the
reasonableness and payability of service
charges**

Tribunal Members : **Tribunal Judge Stephen Evans
Ms Marina Krisko FRICS**

**Date and venue of
Hearing** : **28 and 29 June 2021**

Date of Decision : **9 August 2021**

DECISION

Covid-19 pandemic: description of hearing

This has been a remote audio hearing which was not objected to by the Parties. The form of remote hearing was V:CVPREMOTE. A face-to-face hearing was not held because it was not practicable and all issues could be determined in a remote hearing. The documents we were referred to were in a bundle of 835 pages, plus some additional documents, , the contents of which we had read in full in advance of the hearing.

DECISION

The Tribunal determines that:

- (1) The items detailed in paragraphs 42-50, 55, 67-68, 70, 74-76, 79-81, 82-84, 87, 90-91, 94-97, 100, 102, 106, 110-111, 118-119, 124, 127, 130, 139-140, 145, 148-151, 153, 156, 162-163, 167, 171, 177-179, 181-182, 184-188, 190-191, 198, 203-204, 212, 215 and 217 below were reasonably incurred and reasonable in amount;**
- (2) The items detailed in paragraphs 51-53, 56-66, 73, 85, 98, 115-116, 128, 134, 143-144, 146, 157-159, 161, 175-176, 192, 196, 205-208, 216 and 220 below were reasonably incurred but not reasonable in amount, and are reduced accordingly;**
- (3) The Tribunal is not satisfied that the items detailed in paragraphs 54, 69, 71, 72, 77, 78, 89, 92, 93, 103-105, 107, 108, 117, 120, 122, 131-133, 135, 136, 147, 152, 154, 160, 164-166, 168-170, 180, 183, 189, 193, 194, 197, 200, 210, 211, 218, 219, 222, 224 and 225 below were reasonably incurred or reasonable in amount, and so are not payable;**
- (4) A fraction of 1/12th (1/24th for each of the Applicants' flats) is payable by the Applicants in relation to paragraphs (1) and (2) above;**
- (5) Pursuant to s.20C of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985/ para. 5A of Sch.11 to CLARA 2002, 50% of the any costs incurred by the Respondent in connection with these proceedings shall not be regarded as relevant costs to be taken into account in determining the amount of any service charge payable by the Applicants.**
- (6) The Respondent shall reimburse the Applicants the application and hearing fee in the sum of £300.**

Background