

Defence Infrastructure Organisation

Professional Inspection of Fuel Infrastructure and Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores

Technical Standard – Petroleum - 03



Version 1 – April 2021

Document Information						
DIO Sponsor:						
Bryan Dunn BEng (Ho	Date of Issue: 26 th July 2021					
Contact if different fron	n above Sponsor:					
Mark Spooner BSc (Hor Hd Mechanical Infrastruc Technical Services, Engineering and Constru Defence Infrastructure O Building 680, RAF Mildenhall, Suffolk, IP 28 8NF	ns) CEng FIMechE ture Team, uction, rganisation,					
MOD telephone: 9205 314 238 5835 Telephone: 01638 545835 Fax: 01638 54 4045 Mobile: 07771913211 Email: Mark Spooner583@mod gov uk Website: www.mod.uk/DIO						
Who should read this: Initiatives Project Manag (CO/HoEs) and represer Estate Facilities Manage Engineers (Pet) and Auth	DIO Staff and Contra ers/Commercial Offic ntatives; and Chief El ers (EFMs), Senior Es norised Persons (Pet	actors; Public, Private Partnerships, Private Finance cers, Commanding Officers / Heads of Establishment nvironment and Safety Officers (CESOs) or equivalent, state Facilities Managers (SEFMs), Authorising t) (AP(Pet)).				
When it takes effect: Immediately When it is due to expire: When Rescinded						
Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment This policy has been Equality and Diversity Impact Assessed in accordance with the Department's Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment Tool against: Part 1 Assessment Only (no diversity impact found)						
Document Name:	Professional Insp Dangerous Goods	ection of Fuel Infrastructure and Flammable s Stores				
Version No:	1.0					
Version Date:	April 2021					
Author:	Matthew Spare, Senior Mechanical Engineer (Petroleum)					
Reviewed by:	iviark Spooner, Principal Wechanical Engineer					

Version Date: Author: Reviewed by: Review Date:

28/04/2021

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Date Issued	Version	Author	Reason for Change
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Document replaces PG 06/12
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	7.3 Updates to DSEAR requirements
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	9 Site Briefing requirements added
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	10 Reporting requirements updated
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex A References updated
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex B risk assessment requirements updated
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex C NDT requirements updated
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex E NDT of steel pipelines added
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex F Guidance on grading and reporting of common defects added
22/02/2020	1.0	Matthew Spare	Annex G Standard Report updated

FOREWORD

This Technical Standard here after known as the TS is published by Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) for application across all areas of the MOD and replaces Practitioner Guide 06/12. The TS is mandated for all contracts let after publication of this document. For existing contracts, no work involving expenditure on any MOD account is to be entered into without prior authority from the appropriate MOD officer for that location or facility.

DOCUMENT AIM

This Technical Standard sets the Ministry of Defence (MOD) Standard for the professional inspection of fuel infrastructure and flammable dangerous goods stores.

DOCUMENT SYNOPSIS

This document provides procedural guidance on the inspection of fixed mechanical and electrical equipment installed at fuel infrastructure and flammable dangerous goods stores on the MOD estate. It is not a technical guide on the practical aspects of maintenance, inspection and testing of such installations, which is left to the professional skills and judgement of Competent Person(s) undertaking the work.

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Types of fuel infrastructure to be inspected
- 3. Application of the Technical Standard elsewhere
- 4. Preparation for the professional inspection
- 5. Structure of the professional inspection
- 6. Visual inspection of fuel infrastructure
- 7. Inspection of maintenance records & supporting information
- 8. Assessment of historical data from non-destructive examinations
- 9. Briefing the site team on completion of the inspection
- 10. Reporting
- 11. Qualifications of the inspector
- Annex A References
- Annex B Basic (Level 1) risk assessment of underground single skinned steel tanks
- Annex C Non-destructive testing for tanks
- Annex D Buried steel fuel pipelines: coating condition and cathodic protection monitoring
- Annex E Non-destructive examination of steel pipelines
- Annex F Guidance on the grading and reporting of common defects and issues

Annex G – Standard report for the Professional Inspection of Fuel Infrastructure and Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores

Appendix A – MMO Ancillary Tank Installation declaration

Appendix B – Ancillary Installations Asset List

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The MOD operates a range of fuel infrastructure which is maintained by Maintenance Management Organisations (MMO) under contract. An annual professional inspection of fuel facilities is mandatory within these contracts in order to comply with the requirements of JSP 317 – Joint Service Safety Regulations for the Storage and Handling of Fuels and Lubricants as the professional inspection is an integral element of the Licensing and Fuel and Gas Safety Assurance Assessment (FGSAA) regime.
- 1.2 This professional inspection shall be annual and its purpose is to:
 - a. Confirm that all currently applicable legislation and legal requirements are adhered to.
 - b. Confirm that there is a maintenance management system in place (with details) and that the fuel infrastructure is being maintained to the appropriate standard.
 - c. Provide a report based on a thorough visual inspection of the fuel infrastructure.
 - d. Review non-destructive examination data to ensure appropriate future actions are programmed as part of the asset management strategy.
 - e. Confirm that the fuel infrastructure can continue to be used until the next annual professional inspection or to precisely define the actions required in order for the installations to continue to be used.
- 1.3 It should be noted that this annual professional inspection does not include the evaluation of operating procedures or fuel quality checks, and therefore in no way provides assurance that these practices are being suitably carried out.
- 1.4 The Fuel and Gas Safety Regulator (FGSR) (which is a specialist group located in the Defence Safety Authority (DSA)) is responsible within the MOD for awarding permission to operate fuel installations and may issue a Certificate of Continued Operation contingent on the status of the installations defined by this annual professional inspection.
- 1.5 A list of relevant documents which apply to this professional inspection can be found at Annex A. Note that this list is not exhaustive.

2 TYPES OF FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE TO BE INSPECTED

- 2.1 A professional inspection is required for the following:
 - a. Storage for flammable liquids including slops and buffer tanks
 - b. Fuel transfer installations (e.g. cross-base pipelines and naval fuel jetties) including pigging facilities where appropriate
 - c. Aviation and marine fuel hydrant systems
 - d. Mechanical transport fuelling installations
 - e. Flammable dangerous goods stores
 - f. Specialist installations for example; semi-permanent installations, jerry can filling plants
 - g. Bulk storage installations for plant diesel and fuel oil
 - h. Ancillary installations; including small plant diesel, fuel oil and waste oil installations

2.2 Above ground ancillary installations shall be examined annually. The MMO must appoint a competent person to undertake the ancillary tank inspections. The competent person must be suitably qualified and experienced to undertake the task and shall have an understanding of the current regulations, British/European Standards and industry standards to enable judgement to be made for the installation's compliance with legislation and fitness for continued operational use.

The MMO is to provide a declaration to the inspector confirming that these installations either:

a. comply with current legislation, which will include:

England - The Control of Pollution (oil storage) (England) Regulations 2001, enforced by Environment Agency

Scotland - The Water Environment (Miscellaneous) (Scotland) Regulations 2017, enforced by Scottish Environmental Protection Agency

Wales - The Control of Pollution (oil storage) (Wales) Regulations 2016, enforced by Natural Resources Wales

Northern Ireland - The Control of Pollution (oil storage) (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2010, enforced by Northern Ireland Environment Agency

or

b. do not comply with current legislation but that an action plan is in place with appropriate timescales

- 2.3 A template for the declaration can be found in Appendix A.
- 2.4 The declaration from the MMO must also confirm that there is an appropriate and implemented planned maintenance regime.
- 2.5 The declaration from the MMO is to be included within the professional inspection report.
- 2.6 Those undertaking the professional inspection shall examine a minimum of 10% of the ancillary installations in order to confirm the MMO declaration and include them as part of the professional inspection report. This 10% should be recorded and a mechanism put in place to ensure that 100% of ancillary installations are inspected over a 10 year period. This must specifically highlight where the MMO is not completing their responsibilities satisfactorily.
- 2.7 For the purpose of this professional inspection, sites that have only ancillary installations must be grouped with the nearest inspected site for that contract or other area to ensure oversight by the inspector of any declaration by the MMO.
- 2.8 All underground ancillary storage shall be examined annually by the professional inspector completing this report. Each examination shall consider the requirement for appropriate non-destructive testing of the storage tank and any associated underground pipelines.
- 2.9 Service Family Accommodation and Misappropriated Service Family Accommodation with domestic size oil fuel storage are exempt from inspection under this publication (but not exempt from an appropriate maintenance regime).

3 APPLICATION OF THE TECHNICAL STANDARD ELSEWHERE

- 3.1 This Technical Standard applies to all Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) managed overseas estate fuel installations which are subject to an FGSR license or FGSAA regime.
- 3.2 The estates occupied by BF(G) apply their own local (German) regulations and where acceptable to the FGSR, the TUV inspection reports can be utilised as an equal and equivalent to the Professional Inspection.
- 3.3 The guidance given in this TS is applicable to fuel installations on MOD establishments occupied by the United States Visiting Forces (USVF).
- 3.4 Where acceptable to the FGSR, USVF professional inspection reports can be utilised as an equal and equivalent to the Professional Inspection.
- 3.5 For Deployed Operating Bases where operational conditions permit the application of peacetime regulations and contractors are engaged on works services under CONDO¹ the guidance given in this TS should be followed for permanent/semi-permanent deployed operating bases where practicable. The TS is not applicable for installations designed, executed and maintained by the Royal Engineers in an operational theatre designated as a Military Works Area².

4 PREPARATION FOR THE PROFESSIONAL INSPECTION

4.1 **DIO Site Estate Facilities Manager (SEFM) / Head of Establishment (HoE) / MMO**. In order to initiate the Professional Inspection, the DIO SEFM / HoE / MMO will advise the professional inspector of the due date, the list of assets to be inspected, inform all stakeholders, make access arrangements and offer any assistance required by the inspector.

The Site shall arrange meetings between the inspector and all relevant stakeholders to ensure the main findings of the inspection are identified and to ensure the DIO SEFM / HoE and MMO have a clear understanding and agreement of the actions required.

4.2 **Professional Inspector**. Prior to the site visit, the professional inspector is to provide notification to the DIO SEFM / HoE / MMO to inform them of the programme, information and access required to conduct the inspection.

5 STRUCTURE OF THE PROFESSIONAL INSPECTION

- 5.1 A list of fuel installations and flammable dangerous goods stores for the location to be inspected is to be provided to the inspector by the DIO SEFM / HoE / MMO.
- 5.2 The professional inspection will comprise:
 - a. A visual examination of the installations (paragraphs 24-26)
 - b. An inspection of the maintenance records and supporting information (paragraphs 27-31)
 - c. An assessment of the historical data from non-destructive examinations (paragraphs 32-36)

6 VISUAL INSPECTION OF FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE

¹ Contractors on Deployed Operations.

² Infrastructure Management on Joint Operations: Joint Warfare Publication 4-05.

- 6.1 The basic elements of the visual inspection for each of the applicable fuel installations, described in Item 6 above, are contained in the standard report format, which can be found in Annex D
- 6.2 The inspector should also ensure that the design and location of installations is in accordance with applicable standards (see References contained within Annex A). Unsafe design features or unsafe conditions are to be reported with suggested actions (see also paragraph 38).
- 6.3 Where the inspector identifies installations and/or equipment that is determined as requiring inspection but are not identified on the list of fuel installations, the DIO SEFM / HoE is to be informed immediately to enable their asset register to be updated and any funds to be secured for subsequent inspection. The inspector is to identify any such installation in the final report.

7 INSPECTION OF MAINTENANCE RECORDS & SUPPORTING INFORMATION

7.1 A sample check of the maintenance procedures and records is required to:

a. Confirm that an appropriate system of planned maintenance is in place in accordance with the MMOs agreed specification and scope of work

b. Confirm that the system of maintenance is implemented and that tasks are completed with appropriate records

c. Confirm that modifications and other works are designed and implemented to conform to current legislation and appropriate standards

- 7.2 The views of the body responsible for operating the installation (referred to hereafter as the Operating Authority) on the condition and maintenance of the installations should be taken into account in the preparation of the inspection report.
- 7.3 The inspector should confirm the availability of the risk assessment documentation and hazardous area classification plans for the site as required by the Dangerous Substances and Explosive Atmospheres Regulations 2002 (DSEAR). Where within the expertise of the Inspector, any observations based on the DSEAR records and the hazardous area classification of installations should be reported with suggested actions. The inspector should establish whether the following documentation is available:
 - a. MOD Form 5014 DSEAR Risk Assessment Stage 1
 - b. MOD Form 5014 DSEAR Risk Assessment Stage 2
 - c. Flammable Materials List & Characteristics
 - d. ATEX Equipment Register
 - e. Hazardous Area Classification Drawings of each asset or installation shown in plan view and in section or elevation as appropriate. The plan view shall show the classified hazardous areas in context to the site features within 2 metres of the hazardous zone. This should include buildings, culverts or other man-made structures and clearly indicate the required temperature class and gas group for any equipment to be used in that area. Further information on these requirements can be found in JSP 375 Vol 1 Chapter 9 Annex B.
- 7.4 The inspector should confirm the availability of a Unit Spillage Response Plan (USRP) and note the date that it was last amended and issued. The USRP should be drafted in compliance to JSP 317 Pt 2 Vol 4 Chapter 5 Spillage Response Plan.
- 7.5 The inspector should comment on the installation records, noting in particular whether there is original design data, design drawings, piping and instrumentation diagrams (P&IDs), verification dossier for the electrical installation in accordance with the requirements of BS EN 60079-14 and information on repairs, modifications and other changes. (Note: data for assets maintained under Project Aquatrine is the responsibility of the Aquatrine Service Providers. In exceptional circumstances, where access to this data is required in order to provide the necessary assurance, contact can be made with the Aquatrine Service Providers through the Aquatrine Local Representative).

8 ASSESSMENT OF HISTORICAL DATA FROM NON DESTRUCTIVE EXAMINATIONS

- 8.1 The frequency of internal inspections for storage tanks, which include thickness measurements of both bottom and shell, will vary dependant on a number of factors, including product stored, lining and environment. Guidance on typical frequencies for these inspections is contained in References at Annex A and further guidance can be obtained from Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Technical Authority if required.
- 8.2 The inspector should review historical data collected from previous non destructive examinations for the installations to help determine annual corrosion rates, future testing requirements and any other appropriate actions.
- 8.3 There are a number of underground tanks on the MOD estate. A process for the assessment of the condition of such tanks can be found in Annex B.
- 8.4 There are a number of underground pipelines on the MOD estate. A process for the assessment of the condition of such pipelines can be found in Annex C.
- 8.5 The MMO should provide any completed assessments for the inspector to evaluate. The inspector should make observations relating to the need for any further testing.

9 BRIEFING THE SITE TEAM ON COMPLETION OF THE INSPECTION

- 9.1 On completion of the inspection and before departing site, an out-brief is to be conducted with all relevant stakeholders including Head of Establishment staff, DIO and the MMO.
- 9.2 The out-brief should inform all attendees of significant deficiencies, their effect on the Certificate for Continued Use and the actions to be taken.
- 9.3 A paragraph must be included under the General heading in the Summary and Recommendations section of the inspection report stating that an out-brief has taken place with the names and roles of attendees recorded.
- 9.4 Where a sufficient out-brief could not be provided, the reasons should be clearly stated.
- 9.5 Responsibilities for the out-brief are as follows:
 - a) MMO Inform relevant interested parties of the requirement for an out-brief and agree the timing and location.
 - b) Head of Establishment staff, DIO and MMO Ensure that the relevant interested parties are available to attend the out-brief at the agreed time and location.
 - c) Inspecting Engineer Provide an out-brief to all relevant interested parties at the agreed time and location before leaving site.

In exceptional circumstances, it may be acceptable to arrange for the out-brief to be conducted via video conference call. This must be agreed with key personnel on site and undertaken within 2 working days of the inspection and prior to the issue of the report. Grade D defects requiring immediate action shall be communicated to the relevant personnel immediately regardless of the arrangements for the out-brief.

10 REPORTING

- 10.1 The inspector is required to complete and submit a report, the format of which can be found in Annex D. Key elements of the report will include:
 - a. A summary and recommendations, including:

- a determination regarding future testing requirements and associated actions
- a statement regarding the inspection out-brief, which must include the names and roles of attendees
- b. A list of categorised defects and recommendations identified by the visual inspection

c. A statement of professional judgement on the condition of the fuel installations and flammable dangerous goods stores confirming that they are either:

• Fit for continued use until the next inspection or for a period of twelve months, whichever is sooner

or

• Fit for continued use for a specified period or under other restrictions to allow defined actions to be implemented

or

- Not fit for continued use
- 10.2 Any installation where the remedial action associated with an identified defect is required to meet statutory or mandatory obligations (Grade D) will be declared either:
 - Not fit for continued use
 - or
- Fit for continued use for a restricted period to allow defined actions to be implemented

Note: The Electrical Installation Condition Report (EICR) will be reviewed and the asset categorised accordingly depending on the status and criticality of any outstanding defects.

- 10.3 When an inspection has declared an installation Not Fit For Continued Use pending corrective action, (or Fit For Continued Use for a specified time period pending corrective action), the installation shall only be considered Fit for Continued Use, following a written declaration by those completing the corrective actions, that they have been completed to the required standard. This declaration is to be kept with the Inspection Report and the MMO is then to inform FGSR by email using <u>DSA-DLSR-FGSRInspGroup@mod.gov.uk</u>
- 10.4 Those actions associated with the declaration will be confirmed as adequate by the inspector during the next inspection.
- 10.5 During an inspection if there are serious conditions identified regarding an installation for which the Inspector determines is unsafe for continued use, the information should be immediately communicated to the Operating Authority and MMO. The Operating Authority is then to inform FGSR by email using <u>DSA-DLSR-FGSRInspGroup@mod.gov.uk</u>
- 10.6 The report is to be submitted electronically direct to the MMO and copied to the DIO SEFM, the Operating Authority, Fuel & Gas Safety Regulator, DIO Technical Authority, Authorised Person Petroleum and Authorising Engineer Petroleum within four weeks of inspection completion (a detailed distribution is included within Annex D). The DIO SEFM is responsible for further distribution of the professional report and must consider stakeholders within their management chain who will require sight of the report; this may include the Head of Establishment, CESOs and other TLB staff.
- 10.7 MMOs that work to different prioritising criteria than those contained in the standard report format in Annex D, are to include a comparator either in their covering letter or as an additional column in the Table of Defects and Rated Seriousness.

11 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE INSPECTOR

11.1 The inspector should provide the level of skill and experience based on professional practice to identify safety and maintenance problems, over a wide range of installations. It is therefore the responsibility of the MMO to ensure that the inspector is competent and as a minimum is a Chartered

Mechanical Engineer with demonstrable experience in petroleum installations. Full membership of an appropriate institute recognised by the Engineering Council is required.

- 11.2 The inspector may be an employee of the MMO.The inspector should be independent from site maintenance delivery team in order to provide the necessary impartiality.
- 11.3 In exceptional circumstances and with agreement from DIO Technical Authority, Engineers with appropriate qualifications and significant relevant experience, but who are not Chartered Mechanical Engineers, may be engaged to carry out the inspection. In such cases all inspection reports will be countersigned and approved by a Chartered Mechanical Engineer experienced in petroleum installations who will take professional responsibility for the content of the report.
- 11.4 MMOs carrying out these professional inspections shall submit the names and qualifications of their prospective inspectors, plus any countersigning Chartered Mechanical Engineers taking professional responsibility, for inclusion on a register that will be maintained by DIO Technical Authority. CVs of prospective candidates should be provided to DIO Technical Authority in sufficient time in order that an assessment can be made and the individual included in the register prior to the inspection being carried out.
- 11.5 Individuals will be notified in writing whether they have been included on this register and, if successful; their names will be available to the Fuel & Gas Safety Regulator.
- 11.6 DIO Technical Authority (Fuel) for the purposes of this publication can be contacted at:

Head Mechanical and Fuels Infrastructure Engineering and Construction Technical Services Defence Infrastructure Organisation Kingston Road Sutton Coldfield B75 7RL Tel: 01638 54 5835 E-mail: mark.spooner583@mod.gov.uk

ANNEX A REFERENCES

- 1. APEA/EI Design, construction, modification, maintenance and decommissioning of filling stations
- 2. API RP 575 Guidelines and Methods for Inspection of Existing Atmospheric and Low-pressure Storage Tanks
- 3. API Standard 2000 Venting atmospheric and low pressure storage tanks- Remote Overseas Sites
- 4. API Standard 610 Centrifugal Pumps for Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries- Remote Overseas Sites
- 5. API Standard 620 Design and construction of large welded low pressure storage tanks- Remote Overseas Sites
- 6. API Standard 653 Tank Inspection, Repair, Alteration and Reconstruction
- 7. API Standard 653 Welded Storage Tanks for Oil Storage Remote Overseas Sites
- 8. API/EI 1550 Handbook on Equipment used for the Maintenance and Delivery of Clean Aviation Fuel
- 9. Associated Octel Company (now Innospec). Leaded Gasoline Tank Cleaning and Disposal of Sludge.
- 10. BS EN 13160 1 to 7 Leak detection standards
- 11. BS EN 13463 Non-electrical equipment for use in potentially explosive atmospheres
- 12. BS EN 13636 Cathodic protection of buried metallic tanks and related piping
- 13. BS EN 14015. Vertical, cylindrical, flat bottomed, above ground and welded steel tanks
- 14. BS EN 14161 Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries Pipeline transportation systems
- 15. BS EN 60079 10 Classification of hazardous areas
- 16. BS EN 60079 14 Selection, Installation and Maintenance of Electrical Apparatus for Use in Potentially Explosive Areas
- 17. BS EN 60079 17 Electrical apparatus for explosive gas atmospheres Part 17: Inspection and maintenance of electrical installations in hazardous areas (other than mines)
- 18. BS EN 61508 Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety- related systems (SIL assessment)
- 19. BS EN 61511 Functional safety. Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector
- 20. BS EN 799-5:2010 Carbon Steel Oil Storage Tanks
- 21. BS EN 858-1 Separators systems for light liquids. Note section 6.6 states the requirements for labelling
- 22. BS EN 858-2 Separators systems for light liquids. Note section 6 covers he maintenance requirements
- 23. Dangerous Substances and Explosive Atmosphere Regulations (DSEAR) 2002 (as amended)
 - a. ACOP L133 Unloading petrol from road tankers
 - b. ACOP L138 DSEAR approved code of practice and guidance.
- 24. Defence Instruction Notice 2007DIN04-123 (Replaces 2006DIN04-105) Aviation fuel quality. Removal of absorbent type elements from Aviation Fuel Filter Monitors
- 25. Defence Standard 01-5 Fuels, Lubricants and Associated Products
- 26. Defence Standard 05-52, parts 1 & 2, Markings for the identification of fuels, lubricants and associated products
- 27. Defence Standard 91 Series fuels and lubricants
- 28. Defence Standard 91-87 is for F34 (Avtur FSII Kerosene + FSII)
- 29. DEFRA Groundwater Protection Code
- 30. DEFRA Guidance note for the Control of Pollution (Oil storage) (England) Regulations
- 31. Design and Maintenance Guide 03 Storage of Dangerous Substances
- 32. DSA 02 FGSR Fuel and gas safety and environmental regulations
- 33. DSA 03 DLSR-FGSR Fuel and gas safety and environmental regulations code of practice
- 34. EEMUA Publication 159 User's Guide to the Inspection, Maintenance and Repair of Aboveground Vertical Cylindrical Steel Storage Tanks
- 35. EEMUA Publication 183 Guide for the prevention of bottom leakage from vertical, cylindrical, steel storage tanks
- 36. EEMUA Publication 186 A Practitioner's Handbook Electrical Installation, Inspection and Maintenance in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres
- 37. El 1529 Aviation fuelling hose and hose assemblies
- 38. EI 1540 Design, Construction, Commissioning and Maintenance of Aviation Fuelling Facilities
- 39. El Guidelines on environmental management at filling stations
- 40. EL/TSA Guidance on risk assessment and conceptual design of tertiary containment systems for bulk storage of petroleum, petroleum products and other fuels

41. Energy Institute / Joint Inspection Group 1530 Quality assurance requirements for the manufacture,

storage and distribution of aviation fuel to airports (A4)

- 42. Energy Institute Model Code of Safe Practice Part 15 Area classification code for installations handling flammable liquids
- 43. Health and Safety (Safety Signs and Signals) Regulations
- 44. Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 (as amended)
- 45. Health and Safety Executive Safety and environmental standards for fuel storage sites
- 46. HQ Air Command A4 Fuels Notification 06/17 Filter Water Separator (FWS) Coalescer Element Changes
- 47. HS (G) 71 Chemical Warehousing
- 48. HS G) 140 The safe use and handling of flammable liquids
- 49. HS(G) 176 The storage of flammable liquids in tanks
- 50. HS(G) 51 The storage of flammable liquids in containers
- 51. HSE RR509 management of equipment containing hazardous fluids or pressure
- 52. ISGOTT International Safety Guide for Oil Tankers and Terminals
- 53. item 28, GPP 2 is only applicable to Wales, Scotland & Northern Ireland. Also need to reference GPP 1
- 54. JSP 317 Joint service safety regulations for the handling of fuels and lubricants
- 55. JSP 319 Joint service safety regulations for the storage and handling gases
- 56. JSP 375 Vol 1 Chapter 9 (V1.3 Oct 2020)
- 57. JSP 375 Volume 3, Chapter 5 Petroleum
- 58. Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 (as amended)
- 59. OCIMF Single point mooring maintenance and operation guide (SMOG). Note covers the requirements for the testing and inspection of floating /sub-sea hoses.
- 60. Policy Instruction PI 65/2004 Aviation Fuel Quality. Separator element fitted to filter water separators
- 61. Process Safety Leadership Group (PSLG). Safety and environmental standards for fuel storage sites
- 62. Technical Standard Petroleum 01 The Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment at Petroleum Installations Mechanical and Electrical
- 63. Technical Standard Petroleum 02 Specification for Specialist Works on Petroleum Installations
- 64. Technical Standard Petroleum 04 Mechanical Transport Fuelling Installations
- 65. The Control of Pollution (Oil Storage) (England) Regulations 2001
- 66. The Control of Pollution (oil storage) (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2010
- 67. The Control of Pollution (oil storage) (Wales) Regulations 2016
- 68. The Environment Agency and The Scottish Environment Protection Agency Pollution Prevention Guidelines
 - a. Above Ground Oil Storage Tanks: GPP2
- 69. The Groundwater Regulations 1998 (as amended)
- 70. The Petroleum Consolidation Act 1928 (as amended)
- 71. The Petroleum Consolidation Act has been replaced by SI 2014-1637 The Petroleum (Consolidated) Regulation 2014
- 72. The Water Environment (Oil Storage) (Scotland) Regulations 2006
- 73. The Water Resource Act 1991
- 74. UKLPG Code of practice 7 storage of full & empty LPG cylinders and cartridges

ANNEX B – BASIC (LEVEL 1) RISK ASSESSMENT OF UNDERGROUND SINGLE SKINNED STEEL TANKS

INTRODUCTION

1. There are large numbers of underground single skinned steel tanks on the MOD estate. In order to ensure these tanks do not create explosion risks or pollution through loss of integrity, they require an appropriate inspection regime informing a pro-active schedule of maintenance and infrastructure replacement.

2. An important component of inspection and maintenance for underground single skinned steel tanks is a process that allows for data collection and analysis of the data in order to determine the appropriate non-destructive testing.

3. This document describes a Level 1 risk assessment, which comprises data collection and analysis in order to determine whether there is an increased risk of loss of integrity

4. The process described in this document is relevant for bulk fuel, slops or liquid chemical storage and motor transport fuelling installations. Vertical, splinter protected NATO type installations are excluded from the Level 1 risk assessment. Aviation fuel tanks are subject to internal inspection as defined by Section 2.2 of the extant version of the Technical Standard for 'The Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment Installed at Petroleum Installations – Mechanical and Electrical'.

5. All underground single skinned steel tanks used for the storage of fuel, slops or liquid chemicals shall be subjected to an initial Level 1 risk assessment using this guidance. These initial assessments only need to be updated if conditions assessed within the report are subject to change.

6. The results of the Level 1 risk assessments will determine, in part, the requirement for non-destructive testing and should be used by MMOs to inform prioritised and pro-active infrastructure lifecycle replacement. All high risk tanks (score >6) will require a follow up non-destructive testing to be undertaken.

7. All work is to be undertaken in accordance with JSP 375 Volume 3 Chapter 5 Petroleum and the Authorised Person (Petroleum) should be consulted prior to any non-destructive testing.

LEVEL 1 RISK ASSESSMENT

8. Data collection and analysis is non-invasive and therefore does not require any operational constraints to be imposed.

9. The MMO should make available either of the following:

a. Previously completed Level 1 risk assessments

b. Construction, ground conditions and Source Protection Zone data to permit the completion of Level 1 risk assessments

10. Construction or ground condition data may not be available but the assessment can still be completed. However, the result may be a higher risk score with follow up actions.

11. The Level 1 risk assessment should be undertaken using the standard forms provided in this guide.

12. Table 1 indicates the extent of information required to be collated. To aid completion the following paragraphs provide additional guidance.

a. Tank Description

License/FSAA issued and expiry date is available from the current Certificate of Continued Operation issued by the Fuel and Gas Safety Regulator

b. Tank Construction

External Coating – If this information is not available, then the top of the tank should be inspected at the manhole access. A bitumen enamel coating will be 2 - 4 mm thick, bitumen paint and coal tar epoxy will be less than 500 micron thick. Bitumen enamel and bitumen paint are degraded by fuels, consequently

if a spillage has occurred in the manhole then the coating may have been removed. If this is the case then write "bare" in the "other" category

Internal Lining – This information will be available only from construction, inspection or repair records

Surround – This information will be available only from construction or repair records

Proximity of Earth Rods – This can be confirmed on site by visual examination

Depth – Measure the diameter of the tank using the gauge stick and the distance from ground level to the top of the tank in the manhole. Measurements are to be recorded to the nearest 0.1m

c. Inspection and Repair

This section can be completed only by reference to the inspection records

d. Current Status

This section must be completed for all tanks. If data is not readily available, a request should be made to the Operating Authority

e. Ground Conditions

The information may be available from an existing Land Quality Assessment, Land Condition File or soil surveys carried out for works projects

f. Additional Information Provide further information if considered relevant

13. Table 2 indicates rankings that can be assigned from the information obtained from Table 1. The cumulative score will provide an indication of the likely risk of loss of integrity presented by the tank. The higher the score the higher the probability of the tank constituting a safety and/or environmental hazard.

14. After the initial preparation of a Level 1 risk assessment, the Inspector will review the results annually, where there has been a change that may potentially raise the overall score the Level 1 risk assessment is to be revised. Where the score is >6 then appropriate actions as detailed in paragraph 6 should be taken.

TABLE 1 – COLLATION OF INFORMATION

SITE:

Tank Identifier			
Tank Description			
In service/not in service			
Fuel stored			
Capacity (litres)			
Date installed (or estimated)			
Date last licensed			
Date next licence due			
NATO/national facility			
Buried/semi-buried			
Hardened/soft			
Frequency of fuel delivery			
Tank Construction			
External coating			
-bitumen enamel			
-coal tar epoxy			
-bitumen paint			
-other			
-not known			
Internal lining			
-epoxy			
-other			
-not known			
Surround			
-concrete			
-clay			
-not known Drawinsity of conthing gode			
Proximity of earthing rods			
-< om 5 10m			
-5 - 1011 -10 - 20m			
-70 - 2011 ->20m			
-not known			
Piping materials			
-steel			
-galvanised steel			
-externally coated			
-not known			
Depth			
-ground level to top of tank (m)			
-top of tank to base of tank (m)			
Inspection and Repair			
Inspection type and date			
-internal visual			
-internal NDT			
-external visual			
Level gauge calibration method and date			
Previous leaks identified and dates			
Previous leaks attributed to			
-internal corrosion			
-external corrosion			
Current Status			
Daily dips within prescribed limits*			
Dip readings erratic*			
Ground Conditions			
Soil ph			

Soil profile		
-topsoil		
-made ground		
-clav		
-sand		
-noat		
-peat		
-not known		
Ponth of water table below ground level (m)		
Source Drotection Zone (SDZ):		
Source Protection Zone (SPZ):		
-SP21 – Inner Protection Zone		
-SP22 – Outer Protection Zone		
-SP23 - Final Source Catchment Protection		
-SPZ4 – Special Zone of Interest		
Is the tank <5 km from the coast?		
Additional Information		

* Defined locally

TABLE 2 - COMPONENT RANKING

Component	Ranking				
	+2	+1	0	-1	-2
Tank surround	Clay / Not known	-	-	Concrete	-
Soil type	Sand & Gravel / Not known	Loam	-	Clay	-
Age of tank (years)	>25	20 - 25	10 - 20	5 - 10	<5
Coastal location?	-	Yes	-	No	-
Externally coated?	No / Not known	-	-	Yes	-
Internally coated?	No / Not known	-	-	Yes	-
Source Protection Zone (SPZ)	SPZ1 (Inner Protection Zone)	SPZ2 (Outer Protection Zone)	SPZ3 (Final Source Catchment Protection Zone)	SPZ4 (Special Zone of Interest)	-

A tank is deemed to be at high risk when the cumulative score exceeds the value of 6.

Example A:		Example B	
Tank surround is concrete	-1	Tank surround is clay	+2
Soil type is clay	-1	Soil type is clay -1	
Tank is 40 years old	+2	Tanks is over 25 years old	+2
Tank is inland	-1	Tank is inland	-1
Tank is not externally coated	+2	Tank is not externally coated	+2
Tank is internally coated	-1	Tank is not internally coated	+2
SPZ2	+1	SPZ1	+2
Total	+1	Total	+8

It is important to note that the factors listed above are not weighted.

ANNEX C - NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING FOR TANKS

1. Non-destructive testing, sometimes referred to as Level 2 testing should be undertaken only by a qualified contractor with relevant experience. The MMO should advise on the need for additional survey work and contractors.

2. **Tanks with restricted entry** – Where the entry to an underground single skinned tank is deemed to be unacceptable due to the high risks involved, non-destructive testing is to be undertaken from the outside. Proprietary precision tightness test methods are to be utilised which do not require product removal but do necessitate the tank being out of operation whilst the test is in progress. Test methods available can be divided into:

a. Volumetric Methods – use techniques that detect any change in height of liquid in the tank to define a leaking or tight tank. Examples of this type of test are those that measure product height:

- i. Electronically
- ii. Using Ultrasonic Testing

b. Non Volumetric Methods – do not rely on detecting a change in height measurement to determine a leaking or tight tank. Examples of this type of test are those that use a chemical tracer or those that apply vacuum and/or pressure using inert gas and:

- i. Measure pressure loss/decay over time
- ii. Measure mass decay over time
- iii. Listen for ingress of groundwater and/or air
- a. It should be noted that the chemical tracer method requires the installation of underground test probes and that any chemical additive to the fuel must have been previously tested and approved for use on the MOD Estate
- b. Regardless of the test method selected, a pass/fail certificate should be obtained that is in accordance with a recognised standard such as the United States Environmental Protection Agency Standard test procedure for evaluating leak detection methods

3. **Motor Transport Fuelling Installations** – Underground single skinned steel tanks at motor transport fuelling installations shall undergo non-destructive testing in years 20, 25, 30 and every 2 years thereafter as a minimum and more frequently if the inspector deems this necessary.

4. **Non-Destructive Testing requiring internal access** - These tanks need to be emptied, cleaned and gasfreed prior to any activities commencing.

a. Underground Horizontal Cylindrical Tanks

- i. A detailed visual inspection should be completed for all accessible areas
- ii. Corrosion and pitting in the tank walls should initially be assessed using a technique such Magnetic Flux Leakage (MFL) or Saturated Low Frequency Eddy Current (SLOFEC) or a similar technique, which is good at detecting inner and outer side metal loss. This test should cover the entire wall area
- iii. Ultrasonic scanning should be used to confirm the initial material thickness, where there is significant material loss detected by the MFL or SLOFEC inspection (typically greater than 40%) and the dished ends of the tank, the shape of which will normally preclude the use of scanners. A series of spot thickness checks on the dished ends may suffice, but a series of Ultrasonic scans will improve coverage and assist in keeping more detailed records
- iv. Welds can be tested using a technique that is suitable for the application such as vacuum box or magnetic particle inspection (MPI) and these will require coating removal. If coating removal is not programmed, techniques such as Eddy Current (ECT) may be used, which can inspect welds through paint and other similar coatings.

v. It should be noted that above ground horizontal cylindrical tanks can be subjected to nondestructive examination without the requirement for internal access. As all external surfaces should normally be accessible, a visual inspection supplemented with UT checks to determine the depth of any observed corrosion or pitting and weld testing if considered necessary, should be sufficient. Where examination of tank bottoms is not practicable, special measures may be necessary to ensure that the integrity of the tank can be verified, should concerns arise.

b. Mounded Tanks

- i. A detailed visual inspection should be completed for all accessible areas and components of the tank.
- ii. Corrosion and pitting in the tank floor should be assessed using a technique such as MFL or SLOFEC or a similar technique, which is good at detecting inner and outer side metal loss. This test should cover the entire floor area (scanners are not able to cover 100% of the floor area and will have "dead" zones).
- iii. Ultrasonic scanning should be used to confirm the initial material thickness and where there is significant material loss detected by the MFL or SLOFEC inspection (typically greater than 40%). Ultrasonic measurement of all indications is not always required with all computerised mapping systems, but a sample number of checks should be performed to confirm the accuracy of results
- iv. Ultrasonic corrosion sizing should be completed for the sump plates and all tank nozzles
- v. MPI testing should be completed on the sump and nozzle welds (coating removal required). Alternative suitable techniques that do not require coating removal may be employed.
- vi. Vacuum Box testing should be completed on all floor plate welds (coating removal required). Alternative suitable techniques that do not require coating removal may be employed such as Alternating Current Field Measurement (ACFM).
- vii. Floor to shell plate welds can be tested using MPI or vacuum box (coating removal required). Alternative suitable techniques that do not require coating removal may be employed.
- viii. MFL or SLOFEC testing should be completed on at least 5% of the tank shell plus any associated corrosion sizing with Ultrasonic testing. Sample areas at various positions around the tank should be inspected and coverage should be increased if issues are detected
- ix. Several vertical ultrasonic line scans of the shell, in accordance with the requirements of EEMUA 159, should be completed
- x. A visual inspection of the internal tank roof should be completed from ground level using a video camera to enable later analysis of potential problems associated with the outer concrete protection
- xi. Mounding material that is directly in contact with roof mounted nozzles should be temporarily removed to determine the condition of any wrapping and whether there is corrosion at the interface. The inspector may consider it necessary to remove further mounding dependant on initial inspection results.
- xii. Where there is concern or analysis of the video recording indicates potential problems with the outer concrete protection, a specialist will need be employed to carry out a detailed survey. This survey may include exposing a section of the external roof, material sampling and an examination of any membrane and the re-bar. Further guidance should be obtained from DIO Technical Authority before proceeding.

c. Above ground vertical cylindrical tanks

- 1. The inspection should be carried out or overseen by an inspector certified to API 653 or EEMUA 159 to provide the required level of quality assurance.
- 2. A detailed visual inspection should be completed for all accessible areas and components of the tank as per the EEMUA 159 / API 653 visual check list, this will include but not be limited to: -
 - Foundation Assessment: Settlement, verticality, corrosion of annular, earth bonding etc.
 - Tank Bottom: General corrosion, weld condition, buckling and ripples in plates, heating coils (if installed).
 - Tank Shell: Buckling, general plate condition, welds, nozzles and manways, handrails and stairs, wind stiffeners and paintwork.
 - Roof: Plate condition, roof supports, seal welds, and seal weld of roof- to-shell connection (frangible joint), nozzles, vents and handrails.
 - Peripheral Equipment: Earth bosses, venting device, level gauge, level float, dip hatch.
- 3. Corrosion and pitting in the tank floor should be assessed using a technique such as MFL or SLOFEC or a similar technique, which is good at detecting inner and outer side metal loss. This test should cover the entire floor area.
- 4. Ultrasonic scanning should be used to confirm the initial material thickness and where there is significant material loss detected by the MFL or SLOFEC inspection (greater than 40%). Ultrasonic measurement of indications should be performed to confirm the accuracy of results.
- 5. Electromagnetic floor scan of all floor and annular/sketch plates. To report all relevant plate defects both topside and underside greater than 40% wall loss. All indications are to be confirmed with UT scans and/or pit depth gauges, to their full extent. All reportable defects will be measured and recorded.

Note: 100% coverage with floor scanners is not possible; this is due to the design of the scanner heads and the geometry of the floor plates. The dead zones are predominately at the areas adjacent to the floor welds, at the corners of each standard plate, non-standard (Triangular) plates, around existing patch plates/support plates, sump areas and around the periphery of the tank floor area. These areas are to be inspected with hand scanners or scanned with UT as thoroughly as practically possible. For guidance, refer to the below extract from HSE Report 481.

locations within a standard floor
plate that are uninspected by the
welds and approximately 160mm x
160mm in each corner.

The GREY areas, which represent the floor scanner dead zones, are for illustrative purposes only and are not to scale.

6. Ultrasonic corrosion sizing should be completed for the sump plates and all internal and external tank nozzles.

100% UT thickness scans in and around all sump plates, with a minimum of 9 spot readings recorded and the minimum recorded thickness.

UT thickness scans on all accessible first course internal and external tank nozzles, recording readings at 12, 3, 6 and 9 o'clock.

UT thickness checks on all accessible first course external compensation pads, recording readings at 12, 3, 6 and 9 o'clock.

7. MPI testing should be completed on the sump and nozzle welds (coating removal required). Alternative suitable techniques that do not require coating removal may be employed.

For internally lined tanks: -

All internal welds selected for inspection, will be tested with an appropriate Eddy Current Technique (ECT).

8. Vacuum Box testing / Alternating Current Field Measurement (ACFM) should be completed on all floor plate welds.

Visual inspection of all internal floor welds that can be inspected through the lining, any visual concerns should be investigated with ECT.

9. Floor to shell plate welds can be tested using MPI or vacuum box (coating removal required). Alternative suitable techniques that do not require coating removal may be employed.

For internally lined tanks: -

Internal floor to shell welds will be tested with an appropriate Eddy Current Technique (ECT).

MFL testing should be completed on at least 5% of the tank shell plus any associated corrosion sizing with Ultrasonic testing. Sample areas at various positions around the tank should be inspected and coverage should be increased if issues are detected.

- 10. Several vertical ultrasonic line scans of the shell, in accordance with the requirements of EEMUA 159, should be completed.
- 11. Full visual inspection and ultrasonic corrosion sizing of the tank roof should be completed in accordance with the requirements of EEMUA 159.
- 12. Ultrasonic corrosion scan of external floor plate protrusion should be completed and dimensional measurements recorded to ensure sufficient protrusion. This will not be necessary on those older tanks with no protrusion.
- 13. Verticality and differential settlement checks should be completed in accordance with the requirements of EEMUA 159.
- 14. If bottom ripples are observed during the internal inspection, they must be evaluated against the requirements of EEMUA 159.
- 15. Remaining life calculations and interval to next inspection shall be calculated for the shell, roof and bottom plates.
- 16. The inspection report shall summarise the findings and make recommendations.
- 17. The standard API 653 can be substituted for EEMUA 159 and there may of course be variations depending on tank and circumstances.
- 18. Inspection reports shall summarise the findings, make recommendations on any remedial work, project remaining tank life and date of next examination.

- 19. All remedial work should be completed in accordance with the original design specification if known and to an accepted standard such as EEMUA 159 or API 653.
- 20. All reports associated with non-destructive examination and any subsequent work or repairs must be retained in the relevant facility jacket and made available to subsequent work teams and Professional Inspectors so that annualised corrosion rates can be interpolated and to aid consistency.
- 21. Detailed position drawings including measurements and dimensions, will be made of all reportable defects.
- 22. Photographs to be taken of all inspected areas and any relevant defects.
- 23. Provide a comprehensive final report, comprising of the following:
 - All NDT results.
 - Sketches/CAD floor, roof and shell plate GA drawings.
 - Sketches/CAD floor, roof, shell, plate and weld defect drawings.
 - Relevant photographs.
 - NDT procedure reports.
 - Remaining life calculations as per the specification of EEMUA 159 / API 653 latest editions.
 - Recommendations for repair, to be assessed as critical and non-critical repairs.
 - Suggested inspection intervals will be given, as per the specification of EEMUA 159 / API 653 latest editions.

ANNEX D – BURIED STEEL FUEL PIPELINES: COATING CONDITION AND CATHODIC PROTECTION MONITORING

INTRODUCTION

1. The professional inspection shall take account of the condition of buried steel pipelines. When making judgement on the condition the inspector is to consider similar criteria as detailed in Annex B together with evaluation of records provided by the MMO of the maintenance, testing and inspection regime. Where there are doubts or a lack of assurance regarding the condition of a buried steel fuel pipeline, the inspector shall consider recommending suitable surveys that can be used to evaluate both coating and cathodic protection systems. The information contained in this annex describes some of the methods available.

2. The following fuel systems are covered by this annex

- a. Airfield bulk fuel delivery pipelines
- b. Airfield cross-base pipelines between Bulk Fuel Installations
- c. Aircraft hydrant systems
- d. Oil fuel depot receipt/issue pipelines

3. This annex is not applicable to pipework installed at Mechanical Transport Fuelling Installations.

4. The two major causes of pipeline failure are mechanical damage and external corrosion.

5. External corrosion may be caused by damage to the protective wrapping or by failure of the cathodic protection system. Not all buried pipelines are cathodically protected and the application is dependent on the results of a geological survey, which should have been carried out during design.

6. If the pipeline is cathodically protected, previous test results (see paragraphs 16 and 17) are to be reviewed to determine whether the cell has decayed to a level at which a protective circuit has not been established. If considered likely then a further set of test results will be needed to determine the extent of restoration required.

7. If the pipeline is not cathodically protected or if test results indicate that an effective cell is not still in place then a coating survey is required to determine the condition of the wrapping.

8. The pipeline surveys described in this annex are specialised and should be undertaken only by appropriately qualified and experienced contractors. Although this annex suggests survey methods, the final decision should rest with the specialist contractor in consultation with site personnel.

9. It should be noted that proprietary equipment rather than the survey methods listed in this annex should be used to determine the location and routing of pipelines for which all survey drawings have been lost.

SELECTION OF THE TYPE OF SURVEY

10. The information required from the survey determines the technique to be used. The following surveys are available:

- a. Close Interval Potential to provide initial cathodic protection data for new pipelines to assess cathodic protection levels and areas of poor protection to identify major coating defects
- b. DC Voltage Gradient to identify specific areas of coating defects together with an estimate of the defect size
- c. Pearson Coating to identify specific areas of coating defects
- d. Signal Attenuation Coating to rapidly assess the coating condition and identify the worst areas

A Signal Attenuation Coating Survey can be followed by a more detailed DC Voltage Gradient or Pearson Coating Survey. In this way the pipeline can be appraised in a cost effective manner. A Signal Attenuation Coating Survey can take 10% of the time of a Pearson Coating Survey and 30% of the time of a DC Voltage Gradient Survey.

SURVEY METHODS

11. The Close Interval Potential Survey measures the pipe to soil potential level at intervals between 1 and 5 metres with the cathodic protection system both 'on' and 'off'. The latter is achieved by fitting all the cathodic protection stations and pipeline bonds with synchronised current interrupters. The potential is measured by a high impedance voltmeter connected to a Cu/CuSO4 reference electrode and to the pipeline at a test point by means of a trailing wire. The 'off' potential is known as the polarised potential and is indicative of the level of cathodic protection achieved. An immediate voltage drop will be apparent when the cathodic protection is switched 'off'. A further drop of at least 0.1V should occur after the initial drop to indicate the effectiveness of the system.

The information to be produced is a graph of soil to pipe voltage against pipeline distance referred to a datum point. Each graph will have two sets of readings to denote the cathodic protection system in the 'on' and 'off' mode. Defects will appear as troughs on the graph.

12. The DC Voltage Gradient Survey measures the voltage gradient between the pipe and the soil when a direct current at a pulsed frequency is applied to the pipe. The existing cathodic protection system can be used to apply the current, or temporary earth rods may be installed along the route.

Pipelines which are well coated have a high resistance to earth but those with defects can readily impart the currents through the soil and hence a voltage gradient is set up in the vicinity of the defect. The larger the defect, the greater the gradient. The voltage gradient is detected by a meter connected to two probes.

As the pipeline is walked the meter needle will deflect as a defect is found. If a deflection is noticed it is indicative of the probes measuring a voltage gradient. By relocating the probes the deflection will disappear; this indicates an equipotential being measured. The defect is therefore between the probes. After the defect has been located a series of readings laterally to the pipe are taken which, when viewed in conjunction with the signal strength, determine the magnitude of the defect.

13. The Pearson Coating Survey measures the voltage gradient between the pipe and the soil when an alternating current is applied to the pipe via either the existing cathodic protection system or a suitable exposed part of the pipeline whilst the other terminal is connected to a remote earth. The coating provides the resistance and any defect provides a current leakage path, which can then be detected at ground level. The strength of the emitted signal will vary according to location and size of coating defects.

The survey is conducted by two operators walking along the route of the pipeline, one behind the other. The distance between them depends on the diameter of the pipeline and can be 6 to 12 metres. As the leading operator approaches a coating defect the signal will gradually increase and peak as he passes over the defect. The signal will gradually reduce to a null when the defect is midway between the two operators

The information provided would be a graph of signal responses against pipeline distance referred to a datum point.

14. The Signal Attenuation Coating Survey measures the signal strength in decibels emanating from the pipeline when an alternating current is applied to the pipe, via either the existing cathodic protection system or a suitable exposed part of the pipeline. The other terminal is connected to a remote earth.

A receiver connected to an antenna is then walked along the pipeline and the signal strength recorded. A plot of signal strength against pipeline distance is then produced and from this a plot of signal loss against pipeline distance can be determined. The final output is a histogram of signal loss/distance against pipeline distance. The greater the signal loss/distance against distance value the more probability there is of a defect.

CATHODIC PROTECTION MONITORING

15. Cathodic protection is provided to buried pipelines either by impressed current or by sacrificial anodes. The effectiveness of either system can be determined from a Close Interval Potential Survey. Protection potentials are contained in BS EN 12954 Table 1.

16. A sacrificial anode system requires little maintenance or inspection beyond an evaluation of effectiveness. Should there be a reduction in the cell voltage commensurate with design life then the sacrificial anodes require replacement. The frequency of functional checks should be in accordance with BS EN 12954 Table 2 and are to comprise:

- a. Measurement of anode current and potential
- b. Measurement of the pipeline to soil potential

17. An impressed current system consists of an ac power supply, transformer/rectifier, distribution boxes, control boxes and ammeters. The frequency of functional checks should be in accordance with BS EN 12954 Table 2 and are to comprise:

a. Measurement of transformer/rectifier current and potential

b. Inspection of transformer/rectifiers for oil leaks, oil temperature, oil level (all as appropriate), cable connections, fuses, surge diverters and local earthing facilities

c. Measurement of distribution, control and junction box current and potential

d. Inspection of cable connections, fuses, shunts, resistors, ammeters and surge diverters

e. Measurement of the pipeline to soil potential

ANNEX E - NON-DESTRUCTIVE EXAMINATION OF STEEL PIPELINES

INTRODUCTION

- Non-destructive examination of steel pipelines can be viewed not only as one part of the strategy for complying with the law, but can also deliver significant benefit in reducing failures of operationally sensitive plant. These failures can result in lengthy periods of down time, risks to the health and safety of operators and can result in environmental pollution.
- 2. Non-destructive examination is a term that covers a wide range of techniques from visual inspections through to the use of highly specialist equipment, whereas non-destructive testing (NDT) is the application of measurement techniques in order to identify damage and irregularities in materials. Some techniques such as visual inspections are very cost effective and the more specialist inspections can extend the useful life of significant plant, thus reducing the need for an expensive replacement programme. Consequently, neither necessarily needs to be viewed as expensive, especially when considering the longer term.

DOCUMENTATION

- 3. For non-destructive examination to be most effective the following documentation is an example of what should be available to the inspector:
 - A data file that includes information on the original construction standards, the various material specifications, welder qualification and commissioning certificates and other construction details
 - Information on any fittings or other associated equipment
 - As-installed drawings
 - Piping and Instrumentation Drawings (P&ID drawings)
 - Reports on all types of previous inspections, whether in-service or invasive
 - Maintenance records
 - Records of repairs and/or modifications and the standards to which they were completed
 - Operating records
- 4. Non-destructive testing of pipelines should be conducted in line with the requirements of the extant Technical Standard for 'The Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment Installed at Petroleum Installations Mechanical and Electrical'. Careful consideration must be given to the statement of requirements to ensure that the required outcomes are achieved. It is also essential that one output of a non-destructive examination is a report that not only lists raw data, but also interprets that data against agreed standards, makes recommendations with timeframes for completion and explains the consequences of not completing those recommendations.

STANDARDS

- 5. Where possible, non-destructive examination (and any associated repairs) should be completed to a recognised standard and inspectors should be able to demonstrate competence through recognised qualifications and appropriate experience. The non-destructive examination itself should also be completed against the appropriate standard for the pipeline.
- One standard available for use in determining the remaining life of a steel pipeline using the results of some of the above techniques is the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Supplement ASME B31G – Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines.

DAMAGE MECHANISMS

- 7. Non-destructive examination is used to detect damage mechanisms in order to prevent uncontrolled failures, predict plant life and to determine future maintenance requirements. Damage mechanisms for pipelines can include the following:
 - Corrosion
 - Erosion
 - Fatigue
 - Mechanical damage
 - Brittle fracture

TECHNIQUES

- 8. Some of the more common techniques available for examining pipelines include the following:
 - Tightness testing (pressure and vacuum)
 - Intelligent Pigging
 - Liquid dye penetrant
 - Radiography (RT)
 - Ultrasonic Thickness (UT)
 - Long Range Ultrasonic Thickness (LRUT) / Guided Wave
 - Phased array ultrasonics
 - Magnetic flux leakage (MFL)
 - Magnetic particle inspection (MPI)
 - Acoustic emissions
 - Eddy current
- 9. The use of intelligent pigging for the in-line inspection of buried pipelines or those that are hard to access can be advantageous as they are available in various sizes and can travel significant distances in a single run. For in-line inspection, pigs will normally utilise either MFL or UT for data collection.
- 10. If the examination and testing of pipelines involves the use of an intelligent pig, the removal of the asset from service will be required and careful co-ordination with the Operating Authority will be necessary.
- 11. The term pig is a generic term signifying any independent, self-contained or tethered device, tool or vehicle that moves through the interior of the pipeline for inspecting, dimensioning or cleaning. A pig may or may not be an in-line inspection tool.
- 12. The frequency of non-destructive examination should consider factors such as the product involved, the operating conditions, the operating climate, the age of the plant and the results of past testing.

SMALL BORE PIPELINES (Nominal Bore of 50mm or Less)

- 13. Above ground small bore single skinned steel pipelines will not normally require any testing, but must be subject to a visual inspection and maintenance regime that is designed to preserve the primary containment and detect any damage or leaks.
- 14. Below ground small bore single skinned steel pipelines (without leak detection) must be tightness tested before use and every five years thereafter.
- 15. A Small Bore Buried Steel Fuel Pipeline Assessment must be completed for each small bore below ground pipeline in accordance with and using the following form.

Small Bore Buried Steel Fuel Pipeline Assessment					
Pipeline identification/asset ref.					
Pipe length					
Pipe diameter					
Wall thickness					
Product carried by pipeline					
Fill line or draw off line		Comment Defense on No			
Haa Lovel 1 rick appagement	tes, NO, N/A OF N/K	Comment Reference No.			
been undertaken?					
Has the pipework been tightness tested?		Steps must be taken, so as to minimise the risk of damage by impact so far as is reasonably practicable and the pipework must have no mechanical joints, except at a place which is accessible for inspection by removing a hatch or cover. If fitted with a leakage detection device which is used to continuously monitor for leaks, the device must be maintained in working order and tested at appropriate intervals to ensure that it works properly If made of materials which are liable to corrosion, it must be adequately protected against			
Is further assessment		corrosion.			
Pipe Material:					
Mild Steel Galvanised steel Copper/Sleeved Durapipe Other Not Known					
Jointing Method: Welded Threaded Socket					
External Coating:					
External Coating: Bitumen Enamel Tape Wrap Fusion bonded epoxy (FBE) Extruded polyethylene None Not known Is the pipe electrically isolated					
from above ground equipment by isolating joints or insulated flanges?					

Cathodic Protection:		
Sacrificial anodes		
Impressed current		
None		
Not Known		
Do monitoring records show the		
pipework is fully cathodically		
protected?		
Have any coating		Insert Coating survey (specify
surveys/inspections been		type), excavation inspections
undertaken?		(No. /Date)
Corrosion found from above		
survey/inspection?		
Has the pipe ever leaked?		If yes, give details
Local Ground Conditions:		
Local Soil type		
Cohesive (clay)		
Chalk		
Loam		
Sand		
Peat		
Other (specify)		
Not Known		
Is area well drained?		
Depth of water below ground		
level in metres, along the		
pipeline route		
Not Known		
Pine Depth		
General comments:	I	I
1 Include comments on any	other issues or observations here	

ANNEX F – GUIDANCE ON THE GRADING AND REPORTING OF COMMON DEFECTS AND ISSUES

INTRODUCTION

Although this Technical Standard prescribes the reporting style through a standard report format, much of the technical content is left to the competency and professionalism of the certified inspector. This document provides guidance to inspectors on the grading of commonly observed defects and the subsequent reporting style in order to provide consistency in these areas.

The report's defect grading regime is summarised as follows:

<u>Grade D</u> (Major Non-Conformance) – This rating may be awarded for statutory or mandatory noncompliance. For example, an above ground single skin storage tank that has no secondary containment would be a contravention of environmental legislation.

<u>Grade C</u> (Minor Non-Conformance) – This rating may be awarded for risk to safety, the environment, operational effectiveness or serious dilapidation. For example, access steps on a storage tank which have degraded to a point where there is a safety risk.

<u>Grade B</u> (Observation) – This rating may be awarded for risk of an increased cost to maintenance if delayed and where work is required to maintain the value or utility of the estate. For example, light surface corrosion to primary containment.

GUIDANCE

Commonly observed defects covered by this Guidance are as follows:

- Coating defects
- Corrosion
- Signs
- Secondary containment defects
- Housekeeping
- MTFI earthing systems

Reporting style issues covered by this Guidance are as follows:

- Multiple defects of one type associated with one item of plant
- Multiple maintenance issues associated with one item of plant or one area
- Increasing grade severity for uncompleted actions
- Acknowledging good performance
- Reporting specific issues as opposed to defects
- Highlighting the importance of completing B grade action points
- · Reporting actions that will take more than 12 months to clear
- Extensions to Certificates of Fitness for Continued Use

Commonly observed defects

Coating defects

1. Minor coating defects - grade B unless there is an underlying corrosion issue, in which case refer to the Corrosion section below.

Corrosion

- 1. Corrosion that is so light that the annual rate cannot yet be calculated or where the calculated annual rate is so small it will not affect primary containment efficacy, structural integrity or impact the structure's design code for the foreseeable future grade B.
- 2. More defined corrosion where the visual condition or the calculated annual rate indicates that it will not affect primary containment efficacy, structural integrity or impact the structure's design code for a period of at least 24 months grade B.
- Significant corrosion where the visual condition or the calculated annual rate indicates that it
 is likely to affect containment efficacy, structural integrity or impact the structure's design code
 within a period of 24 months grade C. The time period allowed should normally be 12
 months unless the visual condition or rate of corrosion dictates a shorter period is warranted.

- 4. These design codes may include such standards as API 650 and BS EN 14015 for larger vertical tanks and ASME B31 for pipelines.
- 5. Maintenance repairs of corrosion defects must be followed by the appropriate repairs to the outer coating.

<u>Signs</u>

- 1. Product signs, tank capacities and other signs that have no specific immediate safety implication or are not a statutory requirement grade B.
- 2. Signs that are a statutory requirement, such as "Ex" signs and signs that can be identified as having a specific immediate safety implication grade C. The time period allowed should normally be 12 months unless the specific immediate safety implication dictates that a shorter period is warranted.

Secondary containment defects

- 1. Weed growth on the surface of secondary containment joints that has not penetrated to the point where will impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade B
- 2. Weed growth in secondary containment joints that due to its severity, is likely to impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade C. The time period allowed should be commensurate with the damage observed.
- 3. Minor shrinking or partial lifting of joint sealant that in the inspector's view will not impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade B
- 4. Joint sealant damage that in the inspector's view will impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade C. The time period allowed should be commensurate with the damage observed.
- 5. Surface cracking either on the inner or the outer face of the secondary containment that will not impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade B
- 6. Cracks in the secondary containment that in the inspector's view will impact integrity within the following 12 months period grade C. The time period allowed should be commensurate with the damage observed.
- 7. An integrity check of the secondary containment using water can be requested if there is doubt regarding the severity of the observed defects.

<u>Housekeeping</u>

- 1. Housekeeping issues such as extraneous combustible material in flammable dangerous goods stores, should where practicable, be resolved before leaving site, removing the necessity to list as an action point.
- 2. Where the option to resolve these housekeeping issues quickly has been offered but not actioned grade B.
- If there is a significant accumulation of combustible material over a prolonged period of time that is repeatedly not cleared, this should be explained in the Summary of Recommendations sections – grade C

Reporting style

Multiple defects of one type associated with one item of plant

- 1. Where multiple defects of one type are observed on one piece of plant, they should where possible be reported as one action point.
- 2. An example of this may be different areas of corrosion observed on and around one particular storage tank. This can be reported as "Minor areas of corrosion were observed on the roof, shell, underside of the wind girders and import pipeline of Tank T1" instead of listing separate action points.

Multiple maintenance issues associated with one item of plant or one area

- 1. Where multiple maintenance issues are observed with one item of plant, with one area of an installation, or with one installation, they should where possible be reported as one action point.
- 2. An example of this method of reporting is as follows "Records indicate that pressure gauges have not been calibrated, valve stems have not been greased and automatic control valves have not been serviced across the site" instead of listing separate action points.

Increasing grade severity for uncompleted actions

- 1. Action points graded B in a previous year's report should not automatically be increased in severity to a higher grade due to non-completion.
- 2. An increase in grade should only be awarded to an action if the risk has increased commensurately. This can be in line with the guidance as outlined in Section 2.3 above.

Acknowledging good performance

- 1. Where appropriate, it should be considered good practice to acknowledge good performance in the main summary of recommendations. This will provide a measure balance to a report, the primary purpose of which is to highlight defects and shortcomings.
- 2. This can be achieved with phrases such as "Good progress has been made in addressing action points during the last 12 months" and "Those defects that remain outstanding are funded and programmed for completion in the period...."

Reporting specific issues as opposed to defects

- 1. Where specific issues arise, these can be brought to the attention of the report's audience in the Summary and Recommendations section.
- 2. An example of this type of issue would be the successive non-completion of grade B actions that do not yet warrant an increased grade severity.

Highlighting the importance of completing B grade action points

- 1. In order to address the potential problem of B grade defects not being funded or actioned, a standard phrase should be inserted into the "Summary and Recommendations" section of the report under the "General" heading.
- 2. The standard phrase to be used is as follows, "Assigning a B grade to an identified defect, which is also listed in the "Table of defects and rated seriousness" does not imply that the defect need not be addressed, but simply that there is currently not the level of risk to warrant a C grade. It should be noted that B grade actions can be elevated to a C grade in subsequent reports if the level of risk increases, for whatever reason, to warrant that more serious grade".
- 3. If there are specific areas of concern where grade B actions are repeatedly not completed due to a lack of funding, this should be specifically highlighted in the relevant section of the Summary and Recommendations.

Reporting actions that will take more than 12 months to clear

- Significant or complex projects can in many cases take considerably longer to complete than the 12 month cycle of the PG06/12 inspection. This can lead to C or D grade actions points that cannot possibly be completed within the current maximum specified period. It should be noted that grade D defects may require additional mitigation measures, such as shutdown or isolation, due to the high risk that this type of defect represents.
- 2. Where you request actions points that will involve significant lead times for planning, design and execution of the task, you should consider a phased approach for your report requirements.
- 3. A simple non-specific example of this is a desired outcome achieved by using the first 12 months to ensure that funding is approved and a plan is produced, with the second 12 months to complete the design and tender the work and the final 12 months to execute the plan.
- 4. This approach may only be appropriate where the risk of the original defect is considered to be manageable.

Extensions to Certificates of Fitness for Continued Use

- Where time limited C and D grade action points have not been completed for a particular installation within the time frame allowed, it is not unusual to be asked by site for an extension to the Certificate of Fitness for Continued Use. It should be noted that grade D defects may require additional mitigation measures, such as shutdown or isolation, due to the high risk that this type of defect represents.
- 2. A primary objective of the inspector may be seen as ensuring completion of the desired remedial work, but before any decision is taken, all the relevant factors should be carefully considered.
- 3. These factors should include, but not necessarily be limited to the following:
 - a. Was the original grading and time frame allowed unrealistic?
 - b. Has the remedial task expanded in scope beyond that originally envisaged?
 - c. Has the risk associated with the defect changed?

- d. Is there a clear commitment to fund the proposed work?
- e. Has the work package been awarded to a contractor yet?
- f. Is there a firm programme for the works with a defined completion date?
- 4. It is not a required function of the PG06-12 inspection or the role of the inspector to consider the availability of funds or the shortage of labour when making his decision.
- 5. If you are satisfied however that the risk associated with the defect has not increased to an unacceptable level, it may be appropriate to review the original limitations on the Certificate of Fitness for Continued Use.
- 6. If you choose to extend a Certificate of Fitness for Continued Use, your decision and rationale must be articulated in the Summary and Recommendations section of the report.
- 7. If you choose to extend a Certificate of Fitness for Continued Use in the period between annual inspections, your decision and rationale must be articulated in a confirmatory email to site.

ANNEX G – STANDARD REPORT FOR THE PROFESSIONAL INSPECTION OF FUEL INSTALLATIONS AND FLAMMABLE GOODS STORES

- 1. The objective of this standard report format is to:
 - a. Ensure that specific items of the inspection are always covered
 - b. Ensure that inspections are completed to a uniform minimum standard
 - c. Provide a method of reporting by which clear comparisons can be made with previous reports and comparable installations.
- 2. This standard report format is structured to enable the inspector to delete non-applicable sections.
- 3. The text in italics in this standard report format is intended to provide guidance and to indicate where site/inspection specific information is to be inserted. It is not intended to be prescriptive or to constrain the inspector from recording those observations that are considered relevant.
- 4. Photographs may be included within the report to highlight particular issues provided that site procedures for photographic equipment are adhered to and the resultant file size is not excessive.
- 5. Additional observations that the inspector wishes to make regarding subjects not covered by the standard report format should be recorded in the separate boxes marked General Comments (guidance regarding the type of comments to be included is given in italics).


INSPECTION OF FUEL INSTALLATIONS AND FLAMMABLE DANGEROUS GOODS STORES

CONTRACT:

The name of the MMO contract or organisation operating the sites

SITES COVERED BY THIS REPORT:

- 1. Site
- 2. Site

AUTHOR:The name of the Inspecting EngineerCHECKED BY:The name of the Checking Engineer

DATE OF INSPECTION: The date the inspection was carried out

CONTENTS

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Summary and Recommendations
- 3.0 Certificate of Fitness for Continued Use
- 4.0 Inspection Procedures
- 5.0 Visual Inspection of the Installations
- 6.0 Inspection of Maintenance Records and Supporting Information
 - 6.1 Spillage Response Plans
 - 6.2 Installation Records
 - 6.3 List of Maintenance Work
 - 6.4 Maintenance Register

APPENDIX A – MMO ANCILLARY TANK INSTALLATION DECLARATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this inspection is to:

- a. Confirm compliance with appropriate legislation.
- b. Confirm that there is a maintenance management system in place and that the installations are being maintained to the appropriate standard.
- c. Report on the visual condition of the installations.
- d. Review non-destructive examination data to ensure appropriate future actions are programmed.
- e. Confirm that the installations can continue to be used until the next annual inspection or to define the actions required.

Date of inspection	Enter the date that the inspection took place
Date of previous inspection	Enter the date that the previous inspection took place
Date of existing Certificate for Continued Operation/FSAA	From site records
MMO Name	The name of Maintenance Management Organisation
MMO Site Contact	Name
Email address	email address
DIO Facility Manager or Site Estates Team	Name
Leader	email address
Email address	
Operating Authority	Name
Email address	email address
Fuel and Gas Safety Regulator	DSA-DLSR-FGSRInspGroup@mod.gov.uk
Email address	
DIO Technical Authority	DIO-ptsaudits@mod.uk
Email address	
AP PET Email address	Enter the name of the lead AP PET and email address for forwarding a copy of the report
AE PET Email address	Enter the name of the AE PET for the site and email address for forwarding a copy of the report
Inspector	Name
Email address	email address

2.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This summary of recommendations and the following table of defects should be read in conjunction with the complete report, which contains further detail and actions.

This visual inspection has been carried out in accordance with the extant Technical Standard for the Inspection of Petroleum Installations and Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores and the installations inspected were identified from the schedule provided.

Insert the appropriate numbers relating to the asset types in the table below.

Asset type	Total number	Fit for continued use	Fit for continued use with restrictions	Not fit for continued use
Bulk fuel				
installations				
Semi permanent				
aviation installations				
Motor transport				
fuelling installations				
Product receipt				
enclosures				
Pipelines				
Hydrant systems				
Flammable				
dangerous goods				
stores				
Ancillary				
installations				

During the inspection, a number of defects and areas of improvement were noted and the most significant are detailed as follows:

Bulk Fuel Installations – This section should include comments on airfield BFIs, PSDs, slops tanks, buffer tanks and other bulk fuel storage.

Semi Permanent Installations – This section may refer to proprietary skid mounted aircraft equipment or other similar installations.

Product Receipt Enclosures – This section may refer either to a separate fenced enclosure or to an area within another installation, such as a BFI pump room that includes the equipment.

Marine Facilities – This section may be used where the Inspector feels that comment on any aspect of the marine off loading facilities or their records is warranted.

Pipelines – This section should include comments on cross country, cross base, naval jetty pipelines or similar and pig installations where appropriate. It does not apply to small bore pipelines (50 mm or less).

Hydrant Systems – This section should include comments on the hydrant and de-fuel line, any associated valve pits and the hydrant couplers.

Motor Transport Fuelling Installations – This section refers to fixed dispensing installations but should also include any ad hoc installations observed.

Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores – This section should include comments on any buildings, barns or open compounds inspected.

Ancillary Installations – This section should include the statement regarding who has carried out the checks on these tanks, whether there are any non compliance issues associated with Statutory Duties, whether there is an appropriate inspection and planned maintenance regime in place and whether that maintenance regime is being implemented by the MMO.

Maintenance – This section should be used to provide a general statement on the maintenance records based solely on the checks carried out during the inspection and any significant issues observed.

General – Include here anything that does not fit under the headings above. For example comments on maintenance, installation records, spillage response plans or compliance issues with specific legislation. Also, any instances of items (that require an inspection) noticed on site during the inspection, but that are not on the inspection list.

Include here a statement that an out-brief has taken place and include the names and roles of attendees.

Table of defects and rated seriousness

The defects noted during the inspection are listed in the following table. Alongside each entry is a rating to indicate the seriousness of the defect with regard to the long-term life and maintenance requirements of the facility.

Serial No.	Location	Defect	Rating
	To include the local identifier e.g. building number or asset number	A description of the defect and/or suggested remedial action	As per Section 4

3.0 CERTIFICATE OF FITNESS FOR CONTINUED USE

(Shown as example)

This certificate confirms that the petroleum installations and flammable dangerous goods stores are either:

Fit for continued use until the next inspection or for a period of twelve months, whichever is sooner

or

Fit for continued use for a specified period or under other restrictions to allow defined actions to be implemented

or

Not fit for continued use

Asset description and ID	Status	Action close out
Bulk Fuel Installation RAF Anywhere – BFI 1	Not fit for continued use until the completion of actions 5, 8, 13, 18 19, 49, 53, 54, 56, 59, 64 and 65. Thereafter fit for continued use only until [date] to allow completion of tasks 15 and 17	Date, job reference, file reference
RAF Anywhere – BFI 2	Fit for continued use	
Semi Permanent Installation	N/A	
Product Receipt Enclosure	N/A	
Cross Base Pipeline	N/A	
Hydrant System	N/A	
Motor Transport Fuelling Installation RAF Anywhere – MTFI 3	Fit for continued use	
Flammable Dangerous Goods Store RAF Anywhere – Building 4		
	Fit for continued use <u>only</u> <u>until</u> [date] to allow completion of tasks 25 and 27	
Ancillary Installations	The MMO declaration was provided and confirms that these tanks comply with current legislation and are subjected to an appropriate maintenance regime.	

	Name	Signature	Date
Inspector			
Counter-signature (if required)			

4.0 INSPECTION PROCEDURES

The following installations were inspected:

INSTALLATIONS INSPECTED	IDENTIFICATION
Bulk Fuel Installations	In each of these sections, the installations examined should be recorded using the local identifier e.g. building or asset number
Semi Permanent Installations	
Product Receipt Enclosure	
Pipelines	
Hydrant Systems	
Mechanical Transport Fuelling Installations	
Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores	
Ancillary Installations	

The inspection commenced with a visual examination of the installations listed above to check on the condition of plant, to note any defects and to look for indications that maintenance was being carried out. Any signs of recent work (such as the replacement or removal of valves, or the renewal of filter elements in separators) were noted such that the information could be used for auditing the maintenance records. Defects were also noted.

The second part of the inspection involved an examination of a sample of the maintenance management organisation's detailed records to see if the required maintenance records were present, to check that any maintenance recently carried out (as witnessed by the visual inspection) had been recorded and to check that the frequency of planned maintenance operations is suitable and sufficient and in line with relevant reference documents. Other documents associated with the Dangerous Substances Explosive Atmospheres Regulations (DSEAR) and the Unit Spillage Response Plans were reviewed

During the course of the inspection, a representative of the Operating Authority was approached to describe the operation and maintenance of the installations. In carrying out these various inspections, the views of the maintenance management organisation and operators of the installations were sought to see if there were any problems experienced relating to the operation and maintenance of the installation.

This visual inspection report includes an opinion on each part of the facility regarding its ability to perform its duty and includes a description of its visual condition. In describing a defect, a relevant rating is applied to provide an indication of the seriousness.

The rating is an indication of the seriousness of the defect; this will be arrived at by considering the number of defects, whether the defect is contrary to statute (law) and the risk of continued use of the installation. Other factors will include conformance to MOD mandated publications, manufacturer's requirements, current usage, age and historical data for the type of installation.

The grading methodology follows the JSP 434 framework.

KEY TO GRADING:

Grade D (Major Non-Conformance/s) - The Regulator may award an Immediate Prohibition Notice as a result of this rating. This rating may be awarded for statutory or mandatory non-compliance. Immediate action will be to make safe, by physical (mechanical and/or electrical isolation) or enhanced operational procedures put in place, with a programme of repair implemented and time scales defined.

Grade C (Minor Non-Conformance/s) - The Regulator may award an Immediate Prohibition Notice or an Improvement Notice as a result of this rating. This rating may be awarded for risk to safety, the environment, operational effectiveness or serious dilapidation.

Asset has continued use with a programme of repair implemented within a specified time scale.

Grade B (Observation/s) - The Regulator may award an Improvement Notice as a result of this rating. This rating may be awarded for risk of an increased cost to maintenance if delayed and where work is required to maintain the value or utility of the estate.

Asset has continued use, with these observations monitored. Funding required within three years.

Note: Within this grading structure where required actions have not been taken there is potential that where the risk is perceived to have increased, a Grade B (Observation) can become a Grade C (Minor Non-Conformance) and Grade C (Minor Non-Conformance) can become Grade D (Major Non-Conformance).

5.0 VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE INSTALLATIONS

Mounded or Below Ground BFI - Visual Inspection Report		
ID	The local identifier e.g. building	g or asset number
Type of installation	The type e.g. horizontal single or twin skin or NATO type	
	vertical cylindrical single skin splinter protected etc. and its	
	purpose e.g. aviation fuel for	helicopter refuelling. Enter the
	manufacturer of the installation	n if known
Number of tanks	Number of tanks in the BFI	
Capacity of each tank (litres)	As marked on the tank or from	the records
Product Data constructed (opprov)	As marked on the tank of from	the records
Date constructed (approx)	The date of the last lining appl	
Last inspection date	As marked on the tank or from	the records
Next inspection date	As marked on the tank of from	the records
Last clean date	As marked on the tank of from	the records
Next clean date	As marked on the tank of from	the records
Last non destructive test type & date	Ultrasonic MEL etc. report ref	& date completed
Number, make and model of FWS	From a visual inspection of equ	upment or records
Number, make and model of pumps	From a visual inspection of equ	upment or records
Number, make and model of FWM	From a visual inspection of equ	uipment or records
Last dispense meter calibration	From the maintenance records	, ;
Last electrical test date	From the maintenance records	3
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or	Comment Reference No.
	Not Known	
Condition of tanks:		
Rust on exposed metal?		
Access steps and handrails sound?		
PV valves in good condition?		
Evidence of leakage?		
Valve stems greased?		
Cathodically protected?		
Evidence of instability of mound?		
Level gauging?		
Comments:		
1 Are the access steps and asso	ciated handrails to the top of the	e tank handrails around the top
and any tank top walkways sol	und?	
2. Does the tank have PV valves	or open vents? Do the PV valv	es look maintained and if open
vents have protective mesh, ar	re they clear of paint and other o	lebris?
3. Are the tanks cathodically prot	ected and are there any reported	d problems?
4. Does the earth mound look like	e it is becoming unstable, perhap	s through the activity of rabbits
or heavy rain?		
5. Check for cracks or signs of lea	akage on weld joints, manways	and reinforcing plates.
6. Record the type of gauging e.g	g. radar, servo etc. Check for da	mage, its general condition
and whether there are reported	d problems.	
Condition of pump and filter area:		
Rust on piping?		
Rust on equipment?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Differential pressure dougoo		
accentable?		
Fvidence of leakage?		
Notices in order?		
Schematic shown?		

Neat and tidy?		
Valve stems greased?		
Commonte:		
1 Do the gauges and differential	pressure gauges appear operat	ional and calibrated?
 Do the gauges and unerential Check for cracks or signs of least 	akage on weld joints and flanges	S.
5555555555555		
Condition of dispense points:		
Pust on piping?		
Rust on equipment?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Meter acceptable?		
Pressure gauges acceptable?		
Valve stems greased?		
Hose condition acceptable?		
Comments:		
1. Do the gauges and differential	pressure gauges appear operat	ional and calibrated?
2. You should determine whether	the hoses are pressure tested,	you should record the test date
and age of hose.		
3. Is the earth system sound and	secure?	
Condition of road offload points:		
Rust on piping?		
Rust on equipment?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Valve stems greased?		
Earth system acceptable?		
Comments:		
1. Do the gauges and differential	pressure gauges appear operat	ional and calibrated?
2. You should determine whether	the hoses are pressure tested,	you should record the test date
and age of hose.		
3. Is the earth system sound and	secure?	
Condition of control room:		
Clean and tidy?		
Level gauges working?		
Fire panel working?		
Alarms functioning?		
Damaged equipment?		
ATG regularly maintained?		
ATG system backed up and stored in		
a separate safe location?		
olient nours leak detection alarms		
location?		
ATG incorporates independent		
high/high alarms that stop pumps or		
isolates the installation?		

Comments:

1. If there is an automatic tank gauging system, you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed for level and temperature are reasonable. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems

Condition of generator house:	
Generator in good condition? Leaks in generator area? Diesel bulk tank rusty? Leaks from bulk tank? Valve stems greased? Bulk tank equipment acceptable? Level gauges working? Paintwork acceptable?	

Comments:

1. Is the generator and oil tank system in a condition that gives cause for concern about its ability to function as intended?

Condition of secondary/tertiary	
containment:	
Would a failure of the storage tank he	
would a failure of the storage tank be	
detected or contained?	
Would a failure of the ancillaries be	
detected or contained?	
Risk assessment for tertiary	
containment completed?	
Do the tertiary containment measures	
appear adequate?	

Comments:

- 1. You should ask about the measures that are in place/deployable should there be a breach of the secondary containment or where there is no defined secondary containment.
- 2. The risk assessment can utilise COMAH, EA & CIRIA guidelines.

Condition of interceptor:		
Operational and in sound condition? Emptied regularly? Clean and tidy? Access available?		
Comments:		
 Record whether access was as general condition and any cont 	vailable and if possible your obs amination observed.	ervations regarding signs,

Condition of electrical equipment:	
Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes? Are tanks, FWS, pumps and supports earthed and earth straps across pipeline flanges? Are earth tapes/conductors identified with equipment description?	

Are main earth bars identified, including test point for mat/electrode? Are junction boxes for Intrinsically Safe (IS) circuits clearly identified as such?							
Comments:							
 Record whether there was a hazardous area classification plan available in accordance with the requirements of DSEAR. 							
 Check the protection rating for equipment to determine whether it complies with the hazardous zone classification and equipment register 							
General comments:							
1. Include comments on any othe	er issues or observations here.						
2. Are signs adequate?	Are signs adequate?						
3. What is the general housekeep	bing like?						
4. State whether there is an up t available	to date Certificate for Continued	Operation displayed or FSAA					

Above Grou	Ind BFI - Visual Inspection Re	eport				
	The local identifier e.g. buildin	ig or asset number				
Type of installation	The type e.g. horizontal cylind	drical or vertical, whether single				
	Skinned in a bund or integral	ly bunded and its purpose e.g.				
	the installation if known	IIII Enter the manufacturer of				
Number of tanks	Number of tanks in the BEI					
Last non destructive test type & date	Ultrasonic MEL etc. report ref & date completed					
Number make and model of FWS	From a visual inspection of equipment or records					
Number, make and model of pumps	From a visual inspection of ec	uipment or records				
Number, make and model of FWM	From a visual inspection of ec	uinment or records				
Last dispense meter calibration	From the maintenance record	ls				
Last electrical test date	From the maintenance record	ls				
Condition of tanks:		<u> </u>				
For each storage tank in the instal	lation, the following points shou	ld he addressed				
Tank No Local identifier C	anacity (litres) From records	Product From records				
Constructed From records D	ato lined From records	Last cleaned				
	ext clean	Next inspection				
Last NDT type and date Ultrason	c, MFL etc. report ret. & date co	ompleted				
Is there broken concrete or cracks the bottom of the tank? Tank – Is there rust on exposed metal a associated handrails to the top of sound? Does the visible base app cracks or signs of leakage on weld to earthing lugs sound? Is there gr surfaces of floating roof tanks? Pipework – Check for cracks or signs of leaka and is the paintwork acceptable greased? Secondary containment – You sho	? Is there obvious settlement and is the paintwork acceptable the tank, handrails around the ear sound and is the tank to vis joints, manways and reinforcing ooving, corrosion, pitting or coat for the pipework, valves and o build address each of the questic	and is there vegetation against ole? Are the access steps and top and any tank top walkways sible base seal intact? Check for g plates. Are cable connections ting failure on the visible internal Is there rust on exposed metal other fittings? Are valve stems				
Contains at least 110% of the large Impermeable to water and/or oil? Intact and without openings or valv Pipes that pass through sealed add Vent pipes, taps and valve arrange Signs of product staining? Access acceptable? Area outside the bund clear of sign Expansion joints in both bund floor a Fire resistant structural integrity, jo Expanding fire resistant sealing to Level gauge –	 st tank or 25% of total storage, 'es for drainage? equately? id so that oil will be retained? is of spillage? and walls, intact? ints and pipework penetrations' current standards? 	whichever is greatest?				
Record the type of gauging e.g. ra- whether there are reported probler	dar, servo etc. Check for dama ns.	ge, its general condition and				

PRVs – Is there thermal pressure relief act look maintained?	ross main tank and main pipewo	rk isolating valves and does it					
Vents – Does the tank have PV valves or open vents? Do the PV valves look maintained and if open vents have protective mesh, are they clear of paint and other debris?							
Access – Are the access steps and associat any tank top walkways sound?	ted handrails to the top of the tan	k, handrails around the top and					
General – Is the tank cathodically protected housekeeping like and are signs a	l and are there any reported pr dequate?	oblems? What is the general					
Tank No. Local identifierCConstructed From recordsDLast inspectionNLast NDT type and date Ultrason	apacity (litres) From records Date lined From records dext clean ic, MFL etc. report ref. & date co	Product From records Last cleaned Next inspection mpleted					
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or	Comment Reference No.					
Condition of nump and filter areas	Not Known						
Condition of pump and litter area:							
Rust on piping? Rust on equipment? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Evidence of leakage? Notices in order? Schematic shown? Neat and tidy? Valve stems greased? Filters last/next change date?							
 Do the gauges and differentia. Check for cracks or signs of le 	l pressure gauges appear operate eakage on weld joints and flange	ional and calibrated? s.					
Condition of dispense points:							
Rust on piping? Rust on equipment? Paintwork acceptable? Meter acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Valve stems greased? Hose condition acceptable? Earth system acceptable?							
Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential 2. You should determine whether record the test date and age of	l pressure gauges appear operat r the hoses are pressure tested o f hose.	ional and calibrated? r visually inspected, you should					

3. Is the earth system sound and secure?

Condition of road offload points:		
Rust on piping? Rust on equipment? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Valve stems greased? Earth system acceptable?		
Comments:		
 Do the gauges and differential You should determine whether and age of hose. Is the earth system sound and 	pressure gauges appear operat the hoses are pressure tested, y secure?	ional and calibrated? you should record the test date
Condition of control room:		
Clean and tidy? Level gauges working? Temperature gauges working? Fire panel working? Alarms functioning? Damaged equipment? ATG regularly maintained? ATG system backed up and stored in a separate safe location? Silent hours leak detection alarms routed to permanently manned location? ATG incorporates independent high/high alarms that stop pumps or isolates the installation?		
 Comments: 1. If there is an automatic tank gat the readings being displayed for 2. You should also ask the Opera 	uging system, you should ask to or level and temperature are rea ting Authority whether there are	see it working and confirm that sonable. any known problems.
Condition of generator house:		
Generator in good condition? Leaks in generator area? Diesel bulk tank rusty? Leaks from bulk tank? Valve stems greased? Bulk tank equipment acceptable? Level gauges working? Paintwork acceptable?		
Comments:	utom in a condition that since -	augo for concern chevit its
ability to function as intended?	stem in a condition that gives ca	ause for concern about its
Condition of secondary/tertiary containment:		
Would a failure of the storage tank be detected or contained? Would a failure of the ancillaries be detected or contained? Risk assessment for tertiary containment completed?		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Do the tertiary containment measures		
appear adequate?		
Comments:		
1. You should ask about the mea	sures that are in place/deployab	le should there be a breach of
the secondary containment or	where there is no defined secon	dary containment.
2. The risk assessment can utilis	e COMAH, EA & CIRIA guideline	9S.
Condition of interceptor:		
-		
Operational and in sound condition?		
Emptied regularly?		
Clean and tidy?		
Comments:		
1. Record whether access was a	vailable and if possible your obs	ervations regarding signs,
general condition and any con	tamination observed.	
Condition of electrical equipment:		[
Condition of choose equipments		
Hazardous area classification plan?		
Equipment appropriate for bazardous		
area in accordance with the ATEX		
alla in accordance with the ATEX		
Expande SWA on aland		
EXPOSED SVVA UN gianu		
terminations?		
Unauthorised equipment		
modifications or additional noies?		
Are tanks, FWS, pumps and supports		
earthed and earth straps across		
pipeline flanges?		
Are earth tapes/conductors identified		
with equipment description?		
Are main earth bars identified,		
including test point for mat/electrode?		
Are junction boxes for Intrinsically		
Safe (IS) circuits clearly identified as		
such?		
Comments:		
1 Record whether there was a	hazardous area classification	plan in accordance with the
requirements of DSFAR availa		
2 Check the protection rating for	equinment to determine whether	r it complies with the hazardous
zone classification and equinn	equipment to determine whether	
20110 010351110011 0110 6901011	lent register.	
Cararal commenta:		
General comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. Include comments on any othe	er issues or observations here.	

- Are signs adequate?
 What is the general housekeeping like?
- 4. State whether there is an up to date Certificate for Continued Operation displayed or FSAA available.

Semi Permanent Aviation Installations - Visual Inspection Report						
ID	The local identifier e.g. building or asset number					
Type of installation	Enter the manufacturer of the installation, the type e.g.					
	horizontal cylindrical skid and its purpose e.g. aviation fuel for					
	helicopter refuelling					
Capacity of tank (litres)	As marked on the tank or from the records					
Product	As marked on the tank or from	the records				
Date constructed (approx)	On the tank information plate of	or in the records				
Single skin or integral containment	Single skin with separate bund or integral containment					
Type of filters installed	E.g. coalescing, particulate etc					
Make and model of pump	From a visual inspection of equ	uipment or records				
Last dispense meter calibration	From the maintenance records	: :				
Last electrical test date	From the maintenance records	1				
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or	Comment Reference No.				
	Not Known					
Condition of tanks:						
Rust on exposed metal?						
Adequate secondary containment?						
Interstitial monitoring?						
PV valve or open vent in good						
condition?						
Evidence of leakage?						
Paintwork acceptable?						
Instruments acceptable?						
Access to tank top acceptable?						
Comments:						
1. Is the secondary containment a	at least 110% of the volume of th	e primary containment, is there				
adequate means of monitoring the interstitial space and are there sufficient instruments to fulfil						
the required tasks?						
2. Does the tank have a PV valve or open vent? Does the PV valve look maintained and if open						
vents have protective mesh, ar	e they clear of paint and other d	ebris?				
Condition of nump/dispanse area:						
Condition of pump/dispense area.						
Bust on piping?						
Rust on piping?						
Rust on equipment?						
Paintwork acceptable?						
Nieter acceptable?						
Pressure gauges acceptable?						
Differential pressure gauges						
Evidence of lookage?						
Notion in order?						
Nouce III oldel ?						
Neal & lidy?						
Filters leat/sout shange date?						
Filters last/next change date?						
Adequate secondary containment?						
Hose condition acceptable?						
⊢artn system acceptable?						
Alarms adequate?						
1 Vou should decide whether the	a dauges any differential pross	ire dauges and meters appear				

1. You should decide whether the gauges, any differential pressure gauges and meters appear operational and calibrated.

2. You should determine whether the hoses are pressure tested, record the date and age of hose.

3. Confirm that the earth system is sound and secure and that the flying lead is long enough.

4. You should confirm that the secondary containment is adequate for this part of the installation, that it can be emptied and that the relevant alarms are acceptable.

Condition of secondary/tertiary	
containment:	
Would a failure of the storage tank be	
detected or contained?	
Would a failure of the ancillaries be	
detected or contained?	
Risk assessment for tertiary	
containment completed?	
Do the tertiary containment measures	
appear adequate?	

Comments:

- 1. You should ask about the measures that are in place/deployable should there be a breach of the secondary containment or where there is no defined secondary containment.
- 2. The risk assessment can utilise COMAH, EA & CIRIA guidelines.

Condition of interceptor for installation:	
Served by an interceptor? Operational? Emptied regularly?	
Clean & tidy?	

Comments:

- 1. Record whether the area in which the installation is placed is served by an interceptor and if not, whether an appropriate environmental risk assessment has been completed.
- 2. If there is an interceptor, record whether access was available and if possible your observations regarding general condition and any contamination observed.

Condition of electrical equipment:	
Hazardous area classification plan?	
Equipment appropriate for hazardous	
area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register?	
Exposed SWA on gland	
terminations?	
Unauthorised equipment	
modifications or additional holes?	
Are tanks, FWS, pumps and supports	
earthed and earth straps across	
pipeline flanges?	
Are earth tapes/conductors identified	
with equipment description?	
Are main earth bars identified,	
including test point for mat/electrode?	
Are junction boxes for Intrinsically	
Safe (IS) circuits clearly identified as	
such?	

Comments:

- 1. Record whether there was a hazardous area classification plan in accordance with the requirements of Dangerous Substances and Explosive Atmospheres Regulations (DSEAR) available and comment on any areas of disagreement with suggested changes.
- 2. Check the protection rating to determine whether it complies with the hazardous zone classification and equipment register.

General comments:

- 1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.
- 2. Are signs adequate?
- 3. What is the general housekeeping like?
- 4. State whether there is an up to date Certificate for Continued Operation displayed or FSAA available.

Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known Comment Reference No. Condition of PRE facility: Rust on pipework? Evidence of leakage? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: . 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Comments: . Vou should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Pure succeptable? Puvalve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Comments: 1. Do the gauges acceptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable? Pure succeptable?<	Product Receipt Enclosure - Visual Inspection Report				
Condition of PRE facility: Rust on pipework? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. <		Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.		
Rust on pipework? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Pow indicators acceptable? Pow indicators acceptable? Pow indicators acceptable? Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? <t< td=""><td>Condition of PRE facility:</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Condition of PRE facility:				
Tensure gauges acceptable? Values greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Puvalve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Purp acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should ask to see it working and contim that the readings being displayed are reasonable.	Rust on pipework? Evidence of leakage?				
Values greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Posing pots acceptable? Nalves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pure gauges acceptable? Pure gauges acceptable? Pure gauges acceptable? Pure gauge acceptable? Suff the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equ	Pressure gauges acceptable?				
Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Valves greased? Zomments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on piping? Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Purp acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Purp acceptable? Purp acceptable? Valves in good condition? 2. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there a	Valves greased?				
1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Ourments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Condition of electrical equipment: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3.	Comments:				
Condition of microfilters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Tow indicators acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? So the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems.	1. Do the gauges and differentia	l pressure gauges appear opera	tional and calibrated?		
Conduiton of microinters: Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Plow indicators acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Purup acceptable? Purup acceptable? Purup acceptable? Purup acceptable? Purup acceptable? Purup acceptable? Valves greased? Conments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems.					
Rust on pipe work? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1 Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Filter. Condition of back flush tank: Image: Control of leakage? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Pressure gauges acceptable? Paintwork acceptable? Image: Control of leakage? Pump acceptable? Image: Control of mather the readings being displayed are reasonable. 1 Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and contin that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether t	Condition of microfilters:				
Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Dosing pots acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminatons?	Rust on pipe work?				
Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Pump acceptable? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Evidence of leakage?				
Pressure gauges acceptable? Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Flow indicators acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Paintwork acceptable?				
Differential pressure gauges acceptable? Flow indicators acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment egister? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Pressure gauges acceptable?				
acceptable? Flow indicators acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Differential pressure gauges				
Tow inductors acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment Modifications or additional holes?	acceptable?				
Down provide provide in the set of the full pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Puerel gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Plow indicators acceptable?				
Comments: 1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Valves greased?				
1. Do the gauges and differential pressure gauges appear operational and calibrated? 2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pump acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Comments:				
2. You should ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems with the filter. Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area classification plan? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	1. Do the gauges and differentia	al pressure gauges appear opera	tional and calibrated?		
Condition of back flush tank: Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	2. You should ask the Operating filter.	Authority whether there are any	<pre>v known problems with the</pre>		
Rust on tank? Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Condition of back flush tank:				
Rust on piping? PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Rust on tank?				
PV valve in good condition? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Rust on piping?				
Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	PV valve in good condition?				
Paintwork acceptable? Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Evidence of leakage?				
Pressure gauges acceptable? Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Paintwork acceptable?				
Level gauges acceptable? Pump acceptable? Valves greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Pressure gauges acceptable?				
Values greased? Comments: 1. Does the PV value on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Level gauges acceptable?				
Valves greased:	Valves greased?				
 Does the PV valve on the back flush tank appear to be maintained? If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Comments:				
 2. If the level gauge is part of the fuel management system, you should you should ask to see it working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes? 	1. Does the PV valve on the bac	ck flush tank appear to be mainta	ained?		
working and confirm that the readings being displayed are reasonable. 3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	2. If the level gauge is part of the	e fuel management system, you	should you should ask to see it		
3. You should also ask the Operating Authority whether there are any known problems. Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	working and confirm that the	readings being displayed are rea	sonable.		
Condition of electrical equipment: Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	3. You should also ask the Oper	rating Authority whether there are	e any known problems.		
Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Condition of electrical environment				
Hazardous area classification plan? Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Condition of electrical equipment:				
Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Hazardous area classification plan?				
hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Equipment appropriate for				
the ATEX equipment register? Exposed SWA on gland terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	hazardous area in accordance with				
terminations? Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	the ATEX equipment register?				
Unauthorised equipment modifications or additional holes?	Exposed SWA on gland				
modifications or additional holes?	Unauthorised equipment				
	modifications or additional holes?				

Are pumps and supports earthed and earth straps across pipeline flanges?	
Are earth tapes/conductors identified with equipment description? Are junction boxes for Intrinsically Safe (IS) circuits clearly identified as such?	
Comments:	

1. Record whether there was a hazardous area classification plan available in accordance with the requirements of DSEAR.

Check the protection rating to determine whether it complies with the hazardous zone classification and equipment register.

General comments:

- 1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.
- 2. Comment on any common / linked safety, alarm and shut down systems between the site and the Oil and Pipeline Agency.
- 3. What is the general housekeeping like and are signs adequate?
- 4. Include comments on pigging facilities where they are included.

Pipel	ine - V	/isual	Inspec	tion R	eport					
BFIs connected to pipeline	Use	the loc	al iden	tifiers						
Date constructed (approx)	From	From the records								
Pipeline diameter	From	the re	cords	or youi	r meas	ureme	nt			
Above or below ground	E.g. I	buried,	partia	lly buri	ed or a	bove g	ground			
Last non destructive test type & date	E.g. comp	pressu pleted	re test,	coatin	ng surv	ey etc.	report	t ref. &	date	
	Yes	, No, N No	lot Ap ot Kno	plicabl wn	le or	Со	mmen	t Refe	rence	No.
Condition of pipeline:										
Is the route marked? Is the pipeline cathodically protected? Isolation flanges acceptable? Adequate provision for thermal expansion? Leak detection system acceptable? Evidence/signs of leakage? Pipeline supports acceptable? Signs of ground settlement? Is fuel reconciliation accurate enough to detect leaks?										
 Comments: Are the routes of buried pipel pipelines been designed with Where cathodic protection is you should check both a sam flanges look in good condition State location/position of the e Where fitted, does the leak designed to buried buried. 	ines cl adequ by imp ple of n. CP sup etection	learly id ate pro press o test po pply an n syste	dentifie ovision current oints to d conti m have	ed with for the you sh ensure rol pan e any k	marke ermal e nould re e that t el. known	r posts xpansi ecord a hey ar problei	s and h on? any kn e intac ms?	nave al own pi et and t	bove g roblem hat iso	round s and Iation
List of valve pits inspected:										
Condition of valve pits:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Covers removable?										

General comments:
1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here. Include comments on pigging facilities where they are included.

1. When answering these questions you should consider whether the evidence indicates that the

isolation, drain and vent valve pits are being maintained.

Rust on exposed metal? Evidence of leakage? Paintwork acceptable? Valves acceptable? Water accumulation? Valve stems greased?

Comments:

Page 59 of 81

Hydrar	nt Pipe	eline - N	/isual	Inspec	tion R	eport				
BFIs connected to pipeline	Use t	he loca	il identi	fiers						
Date constructed (approx)	From	the rec	cords							
Pipeline diameter	From	the rec	cords o	r your i	measu	rement				
Last non destructive test type & date	E.g. p comp	oressur leted	e test,	coating	g surve	y etc. re	eport re	ef. & da	ite	
	Yes	, No, N No	lot App ot Knov	olicabl wn	e or	Co	mmen	t Refe	rence I	No.
Condition of pipeline:										
Is the route marked?										
Is the pipeline cathodically										
protected?										
Isolation flanges acceptable?										
Leak detection system										
Evidence/signs of leakage?										
Pineline supports acceptable?										
Signs of ground settlement?										
Is fuel reconciliation accurate										
enough to detect leaks?										
Comments:										
1. Are the routes of buried pip	oelines	in soft	ground	d clearl	y ident	ified wi	th mark	ker pos	ts?	
2. Where cathodic protection	is by l	impress	s curre	nt you [`]	should	record	any ki	nown p	roblem	s and
you should check both a s	ample	of test	points	to ensi	ure tha	t they a	re inta	ct and	that isc	olation
flanges look in good condi	tion.									
3. State location/position of the	ne CP	supply	and co	ntrol pa	anel.					
4. Where fitted, does the leak	detec	tion sys	stem ha	ave any	/ know	n probl	ems?			
Line vehic nite increated						1				
Line valve pils inspected.										
Condition of line valve pits:										
Covers removable?										
Rust on exposed metal?										
Evidence of leakage?										
Paintwork acceptable?										
Valves acceptable?										
Water accumulation?										
Valve stems greased?										
Comments:										
1. This section refers to the n	nain va	lve pits	s for the	e hydra	nt line	to the c	dispersa	al area	and de	e-fuel
line if appropriate.		.,						. ,		
2. You should consider wheth	ner the	eviaen	ice indi	cates t	nat the	Isolatio	on, arai	n and	vent va	ive
pils are being maintained.										
Condition of Hydrant pits:	1	2	3	1	5	6	7	8	٩	10
Condition of Hydrant pilo.	I	2	5	4	5	0	'	0	9	10
Covers removable?										
Dust caps serviceable?										
Signs of fuel leak?										
Lanyard to the pilot valve intact?										
Pilot valve operates without										
leaks? Deedmen's headle intert?										
Deauman's nanule intact? Rust on seats?										
Earth point sound?										

Comments:

- 1. Some hydrant couplers will have a visible pilot valve to release pressure on the seat and no Deadman handle, which will be on the hydrant cart and some will not have a visible pilot valve, but will have a Deadman handle.
- 2. Where possible, opening and closing the pilot valves will indicate whether the main valve seats leak.
- 3. You should check the adjacent earth point to ensure that it is sound.

General comments:

1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.

Mechanical Transport Fuelling Installations with Below Ground Storage Tanks - Visual				
ID	Use the local identifiers			
Type of installation	Single or twin skinned horizontal cylindrical etc. and material. Most tank installations will be below ground, but if above ground, an Above Ground Ancillary Storage Tank Installation sheet must be completed in addition, which will include comment on the underground pipework			
Number of tanks	Number of tanks in the installatio	n		
Type of leak detection	From the records			
Capacity of each tank (litres)	As marked on the tank or from th	e records		
Type of wet stock management system	Automatic (record manufacturer) and/or dips This may include an Automatic Fuelling Dispensing System (AFDS) and/or an automatic tank gauging (ATG) system			
dispensers	From a visual inspection of equip	oment or records		
Product	As marked on the tank or from th	e records		
Date constructed (approx)	As marked on the tank or from th	e records		
Electrical supply arrangements	Record whether TT, TN-S or TNC-S Where an existing electrical installation is supplied from a TNC-S system in which the neutral and protective functions are combined in part of the system to provide PME, stray currents passing through metalwork located in potentially hazardous areas may pose an increased risk of fire or explosion.			
Make, type and capacity of OWI	From the records or data plate			
Last non-destructive test type & date	E.g. precision tank tightness test (integrity test) etc. report ref. & date completed			
Last dispense pump calibration	From the maintenance records			
Last electrical test date	From the maintenance records			
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.		
Condition of tanks: Rust on exposed metal? Paintwork acceptable? Evidence of leakage? Leak detection system working? Manway free of product? Manway free of water? Vents clear from obstruction – 3m radius? Tanks and vents correctly labelled? Vapour recovery system fitted? Vapour recovery system operating instructions available?				
Comments: 1. If there is leak detection in	stalled, are there any known probl	ems with the system?		
Condition of fuel dispenser pumps: Rust on exposed metal? Evidence of leakage? Staining of floor? Paintwork acceptable? Hose condition acceptable?				

Dispense pump condition			
acceptable?			
Stage 2 vapour recovery fitted?			
Comments:			
1. The hose should be sound	l, free of serious damage and fitted	l with safety break couplings.	
2. For all self service installa	tions the latching pins should be	removed from the pistol and the	
pistol should be free from	leaks.	,	
,			
Forecourt surfaces:			
Impermeable material used?			
Cracks in surface?			
Joints in sound condition?			
Perimeter drainage adequate and			
clear of debris?			
Does the OWI have a visual or			
audible alarm?			
Is any diesel exhaust fluid stored			
correctly?			
Comments:			
 Record the material used in the materi	for the forecourt and its general co	ndition	
2. If the OWI is a forecourt m	odel, it will be fitted with an auto-cl	osure device and alarm	
3. As diesel exhaust fluid (Ac	lBlue) is an aqueous urea solution,	it must be stored properly	
Condition of electrical equipment:			
Hazardous area classification			
plan?			
Equipment appropriate for			
hazardous area in accordance			
with the ATEX equipment			
register?			
Exposed SWA on gland			
terminations?			
Unauthorised equipment			
modifications or additional holes?			
Are main earth bars identified,			
including test point for			
mat/electrode?			
Comments:			
1. Record whether there wa	as a hazardous area classificatio	on plan in accordance with the	
requirements of DSEAR a	vailable.		
2. Check the protection rating for equipment to determine whether it complies with the hazardous			
zone classification and equ	upment register.		
General comments:			
Include comments on any other issues or observations here.			
2. what is the general housekeeping like and are signs adequate?			
3. State whether there is an	up to date Certificate for Continue	ed Uperation displayed or FSAA	
available.			

- 4. State whether there are any issues with the interceptor.5. Are fire extinguishers available and regularly inspected?

ID Use the local identifiers Yes, No, Not Applicable or Comr	ment Reference No.
Yes, No, Not Applicable or Com	nent Reference No.
Not Known	
Level 1 assessment undertaken? Appropr	riate for steel tanks only
Non-destructive testing Approprivation undertaken? tanks but single s associal skinned	iate for single skinned it may also include kinned pipework ted with double tanks
Further testing required? Based of inspector	on age, last test or the or's opinion

Comments:

1. You should comment on the impact of the scoring from the level 1 assessment, the requirement for further non-destructive testing and you should recommend the type of test that is appropriate.

Mechanical Transport Fuelli	ng Installations with Above Grou Inspection Report	und Storage Tanks - Visual	
ID	Use the local identifiers		
Type of installation	Single or twin skinned horizontal cylindrical etc. and material. If the tank is used for storage of class I product, comment on		
Number of tanks	Construction standards for the tar		
Type of leak detection	From the records	11	
Capacity of each tank (litres)	As marked on the tank or from the	e records	
Type of wet stock management system	Automatic (record manufacturer) and/or dips This may include an Automatic Fuelling Dispensing System (AFDS) and/or an automatic tank gauging (ATG) system		
Number, make and model of dispensers	From a visual inspection of equip	oment or records	
Product	As marked on the tank or from th	e records	
Electrical supply arrangements	Record whether TT, TN-S or TNC-S Where an existing electrical installation is supplied from a TNC-S system in which the neutral and protective functions are combined in part of the system to provide PME, stray currents passing through metalwork located in potentially hazardous areas may pose an increased risk of fire or explosion		
Make, type and capacity of OWI	From the records or data plate		
Date constructed (approx.)	As marked on the tank or from th	e records	
Last non-destructive test type & date	E.g. precision tank tightness test (integrity test) etc. report ref. & date completed		
Last dispense pump calibration	From the maintenance records		
Last electrical test date	From the maintenance records		
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.	
Location: Is the tank situated more than 10 metres from a watercourse? Is the tank situated more than 50 metres from a well or borehole? Is the tank protected from impact damage?			
Comments: 1. Refer to the appropriate reg installation is located.2.Does th not sit in a conventional bund?	ulations for the UK and/or host nat he tank have adequate mechanica	ion relevant to where fuel I protection, especially if it does	
Condition of tank:			
Rust on exposed metal? Paintwork acceptable? Is the underside of the tank accessible for inspection? Access steps and handrails sound? Evidence of leakage? Bund alarm fitted to integrally bunded tanks? Automatic over fill protection device fitted and working? Vents clear from obstruction – 3m radius? Instruments acceptable? Sight gauge properly supported and protected from damage?			

Sight gauge fitted with self-		
Tank drain valve locked or		
blanked? Outlet valve from tank located		
within secondary containment?		
Syphon outlet from tank, anti-		
syphon valve fitted?		
tank and its contents?		
Comments:		
1. If there is leak detection in	stalled, are there any known proble	ems with the system?
2. Comment if the tank is so	badly corroded that in your opinion	it is likely to leak.
3. Signs should include tank	iD, product and capacity as a mini	mum.
Condition of fuel dispenser		
pumps:		
Rust on exposed metal?		
Evidence of leakage?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Hose condition acceptable?		
Pistol condition acceptable?		
acceptable?		
Stage 2 vapour recovery fitted?		
Comments:		
1. The hose should be sound 2. For all solf sonvice installa	l, free of damage and fitted with sa	tety break couplings.
pistol should be free from I	leaks.	
,		
Forecourt surfaces:		
Impermeable material used?		
Cracks in surfaces?		
Joints in sound condition?		
Road tanker delivery stand		
Perimeter drainage adequate and		
clear of debris?		
Does the OWI have a visual or		
audible alarm?		
correctly?		
Comments:		
1. Record the material used t	or the forecourt and its general co	ndition
2. If the OWI is a forecourt m	odel, it will be fitted with an auto-ci	losure device and alarm
4. The road tanker delivery si	tand should be a minimum of 15m	long x 5m wide.
Secondary containment:		
At least 110% of the largest tank		
or 25% of total storage,		
whichever is greatest?		
Impermeable to water or oil?		
valves for drainage?		
Pipes that pass through sealed		
adequately?		

Are vent pipes, taps and valve		
arranged so that oil will be		
contained?		
Signs of product staining?		
Access acceptable?		
Adequate leak detection for		
underground pipework?		
Leak detection maintained?		
Comments:		
1 Is there evidence that the	integrity of the hund may be sur	pract and is the overall condition
1. IS life evidence lifat life	integrity of the bund may be sus	
Salislacioly?		
Or a dition of an allerian		
Condition of ancillaries:		
Ancillaries within secondary		
containment?		
Fill point acceptable?		
Tank vent visible from fill point?		
Protected from impact and		
damage?		
Protected from corrosion?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Evidence of leakage?		
Adequate leak detection for		
underground pipework?		
Leak detection maintained?		
Comments:		
1. Underground pipelines sho	ould not have mechanical ioints u	nless it is possible for them to be
inspected.	,	····
Condition of exposed pipework:		
Rust on exposed metal?		
Evidence of leakage?		
Paintwork accentable?		
Lagging intact where fitted?		
Valvo stoms grossod?		
Machanical joints satisfactory?		
Comments:		
1. Inspect the exposed mech	anical joints for evidence of failure	
Condition of electrical equipments		
Condition of electrical equipment:		
Hazardous area classification		
plan?		
Equipment appropriate for		
hazardous area in accordance		
with the ATEX equipment		
register?		
Exposed SWA on gland		
terminations?		
Unauthorised equipment		
modifications or additional holes?		
Are main earth bars identified,		
including test point for		
mat/electrode?		
Comments:		
1. Record whether there wa	as a hazardous area classificatio	on plan in accordance with the
requirements of DSEAR as	vailable.	
2. Check the protection rating	for equipment to determine wheth	ner it complies with the hazardous
zone classification and equ	ipment register.	·

General comments:

- 1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.
- 2. What is the general housekeeping like and are signs adequate?
- 3. State whether there is an up to date Certificate for Continued Operation displayed or FSAA available.
- 4. State whether there are any issues with the interceptor.
- 5. Are fire extinguishers available and regularly inspected?

Flammable Dangerous Goods Store - Visual Inspection Report				
ID	Use the local identifiers			
Type of structure	Record the structure type			
Date constructed (approx)	From the records			
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.		
Storage:				
Substances segregated by UN Class? Substances separated by minimum distances? Separate area for repacking of damaged containers? Separate room for acid storage? Comments:				
1. You should comment on requirements of Design an Section 3 Product Segrega	what is being stored by UN Clas nd Maintenance Guide 03 – Stor ation.	ss and any departures from the rage of Dangerous Substances,		
Store construction: All walls fire rated? Explosion relief roof design? Storage areas bunded? Prevention of aqueous substances from reaching the drainage system?				
Comments: 1. You should comment on whether the structure is purpose built and complies to the requirements of Design and Maintenance Guide 03 – Storage of Dangerous Substances, Section 4 Storage Buildings/Compounds.				
Building services: Equipment appropriate for hazardous area in accordance with the ATEX equipment register? Fire fighting equipment? Lightning protection? Emergency telephone? Adequate ventilation? Drench shower and eyewash for acid store? Are appropriate signs displayed?				
 Comments: Are the appropriate UN Class product signs displayed on the door or access gate? Are there signs in accordance with the requirements of DSEAR? Steel stores and other structures may not need lightning protection in accordance with guidance contained in BS EN 62305. 				
 General comments: 1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here. 2. What is the general housekeeping like? 3. Record whether there was a hazardous area classification plan in accordance with the requirements of Dangerous Substances and Explosive Atmospheres Regulations (DSEAR) available. 				

Above Ground Ancillary Storage Tank Installations – Visual Inspection Report				
ID	Use the local identifiers			
Type of installation	E.g. horizontal or vertical, cy	rlindrical or rectangular, single		
	skinned with separate second	dary containment or integrally		
	bunded and its purpose e.g.	standby generator or heating.		
	Record the material of construc	tion and enter the manufacturer		
Number of tanks	Of the Installation IT Known.			
Number of tanks	NUMber of tarks in the installand	on		
Type of look detection	AS ODSERVED ON THE LANK			
Capacity of each tank (litres)	As marked on the tank and from	the records		
Product and classification	As marked on the tank and from	the records		
Date constructed (approx)	As marked on the tank and from	the records		
Last non destructive test type &	F a precision tank tightness tes	t (integrity test) etc. report ref. &		
date	date completed			
Last inspection date	From the records			
•	Yes, No, Not Applicable or	Comment Reference No.		
	Not Known			
Location:				
I the text efficiency then 10				
Is the tank situated more than 10				
Inclues from a watercourse :				
metres from a well or borehole?				
Is the tank protected from impact				
damage?				
Comments:				
1. Refer to the appropriate reg	ulations for the UK and/or host na	ation relevant to where fuel		
installation is located 2. Does the tank have adequate mechanical protection, especially if it				
does not sit in a conventional k	ound?			
Condition of tanks:	[
Condition of tariks.				
Rust on exposed metal?				
Evidence of leakage?				
Is the underside of the tank				
accessible for inspection?				
Paintwork acceptable?				
Instruments acceptable?				
Correct signage displayed for the				
tank and its contents?				
bunded tanks?				
Outlet valve from tank located				
within secondary containment?				
Syphon outlet from tank, anti-				
syphon valve fitted?				
Tank drain valve locked or				
blanked?				
Automatic over fill protection				
device fitted and working?				
Signt gauge property supported				
Sight gauge fitted with self-				
closing value?				
Comments:				
1. Comment if the tank is so	badlv corroded that in your opinio	n it is likelv to leak.		
2. Signs should include tank	ID. product and capacity as a min	nimum.		
- 9	, p = 1 = 1 = 1 = 3 = 1			

Secondary containment:

		r r
At least 110% of the largest tank		
or 25% of total storage,		
whichever is greatest?		
Impermeable to water or oil?		
Intact and without openings or		
valves for drainage?		
Pipes that pass through sealed		
adequately?		
Are vent pipes, taps and valve		
arranged so that oil will be		
contained?		
Signs of product staining?		
Access acceptable?		
Adequate leak detection for		
underground pipework?		
Leak detection maintained?		
Comments:		
1. Is there evidence that the	integrity of the bund may be sus	pect and is the overall condition
satisfactory?		
Condition of ancillaries:		
A settlerice within econology		
Ancillaries within secondary		
Containment?		
Tank vent visible from import and		
Protected from impact and		
damage :		
Protected from convision?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Valves locked when not in use?		
EVIDENCE OF reakage?		
Fill point acceptable?		
Flexible connections/uraw-on		
pipes litted ?		
TIEXIDIE ?		
riexible slowed correctly when		
NOT IN USE ?		
Pump sot fitted?		
Non-roturn value fitted to nump		
Non-return valve inted to pump		
Dump set protected from misuse		
or accidental damage?		
Comments:		
1 Underground pipelines sho	ould not have mechanical joints u	nless it is possible for them to be
inspected.		
mopolica.		
Condition of exposed pipework:		
Rust on exposed metal?		
Evidence of leakage?		
Paintwork acceptable?		
Lagging intact, where fitted?		
Valve stems greased?		
Mechanical joints satisfactory?		
Comments:		
1. Inspect the exposed mech	anical joints for evidence of failure	е.

General comments:

- Include comments on any other issues or observations here.
 What is the general housekeeping like?
| Underground Ancillary Storage Tank Installations – Visual Inspection Report | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ID | Use the local identifiers | | | | | | |
| Type of installation | E.g. horizontal cylindrical and its | s purpose e.g. standby generator | | | | | |
| | or heating. Record the materia | al of construction and enter the | | | | | |
| | manufacturer of the installation | if known. | | | | | |
| Number of tanks | Number of tanks in the installati | on | | | | | |
| Type of leak detection | As observed on the tank | | | | | | |
| Capacity of each tank (litres) | As marked on the tank and from | the records | | | | | |
| Product and classification | As marked on the tank and from | the records | | | | | |
| Date constructed (approx) | As marked on the tank and from | the records | | | | | |
| Last non destructive test type & | E.g. precision tank tightness tes | t (integrity test) etc. report ref. & | | | | | |
| date | date completed | | | | | | |
| Last inspection date | From the records | | | | | | |
| Next inspection date | From the records, from the age | or from the condition | | | | | |
| | Yes, No, Not Applicable or
Not Known | Comment Reference No. | | | | | |
| Condition of tanks: | | | | | | | |
| Pust on expected metal? | | | | | | | |
| Fusion exposed metal? | | | | | | | |
| Paintwork acceptable? | | | | | | | |
| Contents gauges, overfilling | | | | | | | |
| alarm/device acceptable? | | | | | | | |
| Tank interstitial space leak | | | | | | | |
| detection acceptable? | | | | | | | |
| Correct signage displayed for the | | | | | | | |
| tank and its contents? | | | | | | | |
| 1 Comment of the visible as | pects of the tank | | | | | | |
| 2. Signs should include tank | ID, product and capacity as a min | imum. | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| Condition of ancillaries: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Tank yont visible from fill point? | | | | | | | |
| Automatic over fill protection | | | | | | | |
| device fitted and working? | | | | | | | |
| Protected from corrosion? | | | | | | | |
| Paintwork acceptable? | | | | | | | |
| Valves locked when not in use? | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of leakage? | | | | | | | |
| Adequate leak detection for | | | | | | | |
| underground pipework? | | | | | | | |
| Leak detection maintained? | | | | | | | |
| Pump set protected from misuse | | | | | | | |
| or accidental damage? | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Underground pipelines sho | ould not have mechanical joints u | nless it is possible for them to be | | | | | |
| inspected. | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Condition of exposed piping: | | | | | | | |
| Rust on exposed metal? | | | | | | | |
| Fvidence of leakage? | | | | | | | |
| Paintwork acceptable? | | | | | | | |
| Lagging intact, where fitted? | | | | | | | |
| Valve stems greased? | | | | | | | |
| Mechanical joints satisfactory? | | | | | | | |

Comments:

1. Inspect the exposed mechanical joints for evidence of failure.

General comments:

1. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.

Underground Tank and Pipework Assessment								
ID	Use the local identifiers							
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.						
Level 1 assessment undertaken?		Appropriate for steel tanks only						
Non-destructive testing undertaken?		Appropriate for single skinned tanks but may also include single skinned pipework associated with double skinned tanks						
Further testing required?		Based on age, last test or the inspector's opinion						

Comments:

1. You should comment on the impact of the scoring from the level 1 assessment, the requirement for further non destructive testing and you should recommend the type of test that is appropriate.

6. INSPECTION OF MAINTENANCE RECORDS AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

6.1 Spillage Response Plans

Record here whether spillage response plans are available, note the date that they were last amended and issued, the name and role of the signatory and any other pertinent comments.

6.2 Installation Records

Record here your comments on the installation records, noting in particular whether there is original design data, design drawings, P&IDs and information on repairs, modifications and other changes

6.3 Dangerous Substances Explosive Atmosphere Regulations (DSEAR) Records

Confirm here whether the following documentation is available:

- a. MoD Form 5014 Stage 1
- b. MoD Form 5014 Stage 2
- c. Flammable Materials List & Characteristics
- d. Source of Release Risk Assessments
- e. Retrospective Ignition Risk Assessments for Non-Electrical Equipment for use in Potentially Explosive Atmospheres
- f. Facility Risk Assessments
- g. A site drawing indicating the location of all classified hazardous areas identified
- h. Drawings of each asset or installation incorporating a classified hazardous area on a separate drawing shown in plan view and in section or elevation as appropriate. The plan view shall show the classified hazardous areas in context to the site features within 2 metres of the hazardous zone. This should include buildings, culverts or other man-made structures and clearly indicate the required temperature class and gas group for any equipment to be used in that area.

6.4 Inspection, Maintenance and Testing Regime

Record here whether there is an Inspection, Maintenance and TestingA Regimein accordance with the requirements of Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment Installed at Petroleum Installations on MOD Property' Technical Standard Petroleum – 01. The document is to clearly identify the inspection and testing regime to ensure that the entire electrical installation is subject to the following:

- a) 12 month Visual Inspection in accordance with BS EN 60079 part 17 50% of installation
- b) 12 month Close Inspection in accordance with BS EN 60079 part 17 50% of installation
- c) 36 months Detail in accordance with BS EN 60079 part 17 100% of installation (this may be carried out 33.3% every 12 months)

Record whether the above aligns with the planned maintenance schedule and the equipment registers for the installations.

Confirm whether records of inspection, maintenance and testing are accessible to those undertaking these tasks, whether they are kept for the life of the equipment/installation and whether they are compared against current records to identify any deterioration that is taking place.

Confirm that a verification dossier in accordance with the requirements of BS EN 60079-14 is available for the electrical installations and that the dossier has been updated to reflect any changes since the original installation date.

6.5 List of Maintenance Work

Following the visual inspection, a review of the maintenance regime was carried out. The maintenance work is managed using *(record here the name of the system being used)* computer based system, the scope of which is based upon the extant Technical Standard for 'Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment Installed at Petroleum Installations on MOD Property' Technical Standard Petroleum – 01 and Technical Standard Petroleum - 04.

Evidence was sought for the following:

List here those installations checked and the tasks e.g. MTFI mechanical maintenance and calibration

6.6 Maintenance Register

A maintenance register should be kept listing all maintenance operations, their frequencies of requirement, special notes on repairs carried out and any observations of problems noticed.

The extant Technical Standard for 'Inspection, Maintenance and Testing of Equipment Installed at Petroleum Installations on MOD Property' Technical Standard Petroleum -01 and Technical Standard Petroleum -04 define the frequency at which the various items of equipment should be maintained.

Mechanical Maintenance Register - Document Inspection Report									
ID	Record here the local identifier e.g. Building Number								
Tasks checked:									
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.							
Are all the tasks above being									
completed?									
Are the tasks completed at the									
correct frequency?									
Are all the tasks dated									
correctly?									
Are all the tasks signed off?									
Is the equipment shown as									
satisfactory/not satisfactory?									
the receive?									
la incidental or repair work									
recorded in the register?									
Are the separator element									
changes recorded?									
If "Ves" does date match that on	changes recorded?								
the separator body?									
General comments:	Conoral commonte:								
1 You should record the maintenance tasks that you have checked and the dates that they were last									
completed									

- E.g. Jacket No. 1 for BFI No. 2 Last 3 monthly tasks completed 01/04/08.
- 2. You should also comment on the maintenance standard being followed, relevant tasks not being completed and disparities between the records and observations during the site visit.

Electrical Maintenance Register - Document Inspection Report									
ID	Record here the local identifier e.g. Building Number								
Tasks checked:									
	Yes, No, Not Applicable or Not Known	Comment Reference No.							
DSEAR compliance survey completed?									
Is there a Hazardous Area classification drawing available in accordance with the requirements									
Is there an equipment register available, does it align with installed equipment, including tag									
Are all the above tasks being completed? Are the tasks completed at the correct frequency? Are all the tasks dated correctly?									
Are all the tasks signed off? Is the equipment shown as									
satisfactory/not satisfactory? If "not" does the register record the reasons?									
recorded in the register?									
Are appropriate earth checks completed?									
Are electrical test reports completed in accordance with Section 17 of PG 2017/02 and for installations other than MTFI acknowledged by the MMO on test sheet Form 1a?									
Are alarms and shutdown functions tested in accordance with Technical Standard Petroleum - 02?									
Are motors subject to condition monitoring (see jobs 23.2 & 23.3 of Technical Standard Petroleum - 02?									
Are motor protective devices tested every 5 years? General comments:									

 You should record the maintenance tasks that you have checked and the dates that they were last completed. E.g. Jacket No. 1 for BFI No. 2 – Last hazardous area electrical test completed 01/04/08.

2. Include comments on any other issues or observations here.

APPENDIX A MMO ANCILLARY TANK INSTALLATION DECLARATION

All ancillary tank installations comply with current legislation, which include The Control of Pollution (Oil Storage) (England) Regulations, Control of Pollution (Oil Storage) Regulations Northern Ireland or The Water Environment (Oil Storage) (Scotland) Regulations along with associated guidance for above ground storage tanks (ASTs); and the Groundwater Regulations 1998 along with associated guidance for underground storage tanks (USTs).

With the exception of:

Tank Location	Tank ID	Construction	Size (litre)	Product	Deficiencies

An action plan is in place to carry out the remedial works listed above which should be completed by {date}

An appropriate maintenance regime is in place and implemented for all ancillary tank installations.

The following ancillary tank installations do not comply with current legislation, no funding has been released from the client following the previous inspection and these installations are to be included within the main inspection regime completed in accordance with the extant Technical Standard for the Inspection of Petroleum Installations and Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores.

Tank Location	Tank ID	Construction	Size (litre)	Product	Deficiencies

Signed:

Date:

{Name}

{Position}

{Company Name}

APPENDIX B ANCILLARY INSTALLATIONS ASSET LIST

	Ancillary Installations Above ground storage tanks (ASTs) – Underground storage tanks (USTs)											
	Asset / building number	Installation / Product	Tank size (Capacity) Litres	Date installec	Туре	Material	Compl No,	Compliance Assessments / inspections/ integrity tests completed		Remedial works required	Comment	
							ASTs	USTs	Underground pipework	PPM task		
Lo id	ocal lentifier	Serving a boiler or generator and product			Horizontal, cylindrical above ground etc.	Steel, fibreglass, HDPE etc.						
L												

Ancillary Installations Above ground storage tanks (ASTs) – Underground storage tanks (USTs)

Asset /	Installation	Tank size	Date	Type	Material	Compl	iance Ass	sessments / insp	ections/	Remedial works required	Comment
building	1	(Capacity)	installed	1 .		-	integrity	tests completed	1	•	
number	Product	Litres				No,	Not Know	wn or date comp	leted		
						ASTs	USTs	Underground	PPM		
								pipework	task		
-											

General comments:

Has the MMO completed a tank inspection declaration?

Is the tank declaration acceptable?

The asset list on the pre-planned maintenance data base, MIS IFS, requires updating:

The above asset list is complementary to the Spill Plan for	USRP - which has a comprehensive list of the petroleum tank installations for the sites,	but does not include the
Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores (FDGS).		

AST = Above ground storage tank. UST = Under-ground storage tank. HDPE = High Density PolyEthylene (Plastic). PPM = Pre-Planned Maintenance.

Tanks within buildings and underground storage tanks (USTs) do not have to comply with SI 2001 No 2954 and the respective Pollution Prevention Guides (PPGs); however integrity and control must still be maintained in accordance with the Ground Water Regulations. USTs should be assessed in accordance with the extant Practitioner Guide for the Inspection of Petroleum Installations and Flammable Dangerous Goods Stores.

The following also requires to be referenced: -<u>OFTEC Standards:</u> OFS T 100 - plastic tanks; OFS T 200 - steel tanks; T 19 tank base construction <u>CIRIA publications:</u> CIRIA 163 bunds; CIRIA 535 above ground tanks <u>DEFRA:</u> Guidance document: Ground Water Protection Code (Water Resources Act and Groundwater Regulations) (Note reference required as pipework may be sited under-ground) There are a number of British Standards, for sectional steel tanks, USTs, ASTs, steel cylindrical tanks, GRP tanks and rigid plastic tanks etc. Most of which are referenced in the above, and would be applicable to the manufacturer and their manufacturing processes. B.S. 5410 part 1. – Domestic < 45kw; B.S. 5410 part 2. – Industrial / commercial > 45kw. B.S. 799 – Steel tanks