



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

5

Case No: 4104195/2020 (V)

Held via Cloud Video Platform (CVP) on 26 April 2021

10

Employment Judge Murphy

Ms M Rogers

**Claimant
In person**

15

Stella Brodie t/a Grouchos

**Respondent
Not present
Not represented**

20

25

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

Case Number: 4104195/2020

Claimant: M Rogers

30

Respondent: S Brodie

35

**CERTIFICATE OF
CORRECTION**

Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013

40

In accordance with the power set out in Rule 69 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013, I hereby correct the clerical mistake(s), error(s) or omissions(s) in the judgment sent to the parties on 28 April 2021, by deleting:

45

- i. the words “On 31 July 2020” where they appear in paragraph 1.3 under the heading “Findings in fact”, and substituting therefor “In July 2019”; and
- ii. the words “on 1 August 2020” where they appear later in said paragraph 1.3 under the heading “Findings in fact”, and substituting therefor “on or about 1 August 2019”

An amended version of the Judgment is attached.

Important note to parties:

5 Any dates for the filing of appeals or reconsideration are not changed by this certificate of correction or the amended Judgment or Case Management Order. These time limits still run from the date of the original Judgment or Case Management Order, or if reasons were provided later, from the date that those were sent to you.

10 Signed Lesley Murphy Employment Judge
Date 13 July 2021
Sent to parties _13/07/2021

- 15 1. The respondent is ordered to pay the claimant a statutory redundancy payment in the sum of NINE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED POUNDS STERLING (**£9,100**).
2. The respondent is ordered to pay to the claimant FOUR THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED POUNDS STERLING (**£4,200**) in respect of damages for the
20 respondent's breach of contract in failing to give the statutory minimum notice period of 12 weeks of the termination of the claimant's employment as incorporated into her employment contract by section 86 (4) of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
3. The respondent has made an unauthorised deduction from wages contrary
25 to section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 and is ordered to pay to the claimant the sum of ONE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED AND TEN POUNDS STERLING (**£1,610**) in respect of unpaid wages relating to accrued untaken holiday outstanding as at the termination of employment on 14 June 2020.
- 30 4. The sums awarded are expressed gross of tax and national insurance. It is for the respondent to make any deductions lawfully required to account to HMRC for any tax and national insurance due on the sums, if applicable.

REASONS

35 **Introduction**

The claimant brings claims for a statutory redundancy payment, damages for failure to serve the statutory minimum notice period, and a claim for an unauthorised deduction from wages in respect of accrued untaken holidays outstanding at the termination of her employment. Her three colleagues, Mr Mills, Mr Scott and Mr Demspster bring similar claims arising from the same or similar facts. The four claims were brought under a single ET1 and were heard together.

This final hearing took place remotely by video conferencing. The parties did not object to this format. A face-to-face hearing was not held because of the Covid 19 pandemic and issues were capable of determination by a remote hearing.

A notice of the claims was sent to the respondent on 10 August 2020. The respondent did not enter a response to any of the claims. A notice of the hearing was sent to the respondent on 15 March 2021. The respondent did not attend and was not represented at the hearing.

Findings in Fact

1. The Tribunal made the following findings in fact.

1.1 The claimant worked for Alistair Brodie, trading as Grouchos, from 6 April 1997. Mr Brodie was a sole trader who traded from retail premises at Nethergate, Dundee, selling second hand vinyl and CDs.

1.2 The claimant was employed by Mr Brodie as assistant manager.

1.3 In July 2019, Mr Brodie passed away. The respondent (Mr Brodie's widow) took over the business and continued to trade from the premises. The claimant and her three colleagues transferred under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 to the employment of the respondent on or about 1 August 2019. No written contract of employment or statement of particulars was issued to the claimant either by Mr Brodie or by the respondent.

1.4 The store closed on 21st March 2020 when the Covid pandemic struck and the national lockdown was introduced. The respondent did not claim furlough for the claimant but continued to pay her weekly wage when trade was suspended.

1.5 The claimant was paid weekly on a Friday. Her weekly gross wage was £350.

1.6 The claimant received her wage as usual on 12 June 2020 for the week commencing 8 June 2020.

5 1.7 On 14 June 2020, the respondent contacted the claimant and informed her that she was terminating her employment and that of her colleagues. The respondent advised she did not intend to pay any notice or redundancy payments. She asked the claimant to pass this information on to her colleagues. The claimant did so. The respondent
10 permanently ceased trading.

1.8 Following the termination of her employment, the claimant sought to mitigate her losses by establishing a new business of a similar nature with her former colleagues. This entailed obtaining and refurbishing premises in readiness for opening. In the twelve-week period following
15 the termination of her employment with the respondent, the claimant had no income. She was engaged in preparing for the launch of the new venture. The new business did not begin to trade and yield an income for the claimant until 17 September 2020.

1.9 The claimant took one week's holiday at the beginning of July 2019.
20 She did not otherwise take any holiday in the holiday year in which her employment terminated.

1.10 The claimant was 53 years of age when her employment terminated.

Observations on the evidence

25 2. The claimant gave evidence as did her former colleagues, Lee Scott, Matthew Dempster and Frank Mills.

3. The respondent did not lead any evidence or participate in the hearing.

4. The claimant was found to be a credible and reliable witness.

5. The Tribunal accepted the claimant's evidence and that of the other claimants on the balance of probabilities in coming to the findings in fact set out above.

Relevant Law

5 *Statutory Redundancy Payments*

6. Section 135(1)(a) and section 155 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 govern the rights of employees to a statutory redundancy payment. If an employee is dismissed by reason of redundancy and he has two years' qualifying service, he has the right to a statutory redundancy payment (section 135 of ERA). The dismissal will be by reason of redundancy if it is wholly or mainly attributable to the fact that the employer has ceased to carry on the business for the purposes of which the employee was employed or to carry on that business in the place where the employee was employed (section 139(1) (a) of ERA); or the fact that the requirements of the business for employees to carry out work of a particular kind have ceased or diminished or are expected to do so (s.139 (1)(b)).

7. There is a presumption, for the purposes of entitlement to a redundancy payment, that the employee has been dismissed by reason of redundancy (s.163(2) of ERA), although there is no onus placed on the employer to rebut the presumption; rather it is a matter of deciding the issue on the facts found (**Greater Glasgow Health Board v Lamont UKEATS/0019/12/BI**).

25

Statutory notice – breach of contract

8. Under section 86(4) of ERA, a statutory minimum notice period linked to the employee's period of continuous employment is incorporated into the contract of employment. The remedy in the event of failure to give due notice is a claim for breach of contract **Westwood v Secretary of State for**

30

Employment [1984] IRLR 209, HL and **Secretary of State for Employment v Wilson** [1977] IRLR, 483, EAT.

9. Under section 88(1)(a) of ERA, if the employee has normal working hours during the period of notice and is ready and willing to work all of those normal working hours but no work is provided (s.88(1)(b)), the employer is liable to pay a sum not less than the amount of remuneration for all the working hours based on the calculation of a week's pay as set out in Chapter 11 of the Act (s.88(1)(b)). Section 222 and 223 apply where there are 'normal working hours.'

10 *Annual leave*

10. Under Reg 14 of the Working Time Regulations 1998 ("WTR"), employees are entitled to be paid in lieu of accrued untaken holiday outstanding at the date of termination. A failure to pay in lieu the worker's entitlement in whole or in part can be enforced by way of a claim for an unauthorised deductions from wages under section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996.

Discussion and Decision

Statutory Redundancy Payment

11. No written reasons were provided to the claimant for the dismissal. When the claimant's employment terminated on 14 June 2020, the respondent had ceased to operate from the premises where the claimant had been employed.

12. In the circumstances, it is a straightforward matter to infer, on the balance of probabilities, that the claimant's dismissal was wholly or mainly attributable to the fact that the requirements of the respondent's business for employees to carry out the work undertaken by the claimant had ceased following the closure of the unit.

13. Applying the presumption that an employee who is dismissed by his employer is be presumed to have been dismissed by reason of redundancy (s.163 (2)) to the facts found, the tribunal holds that the claimant was

dismissed by this reason. She is, therefore, entitled to a statutory redundancy payment.

14. The claimant had normal working hours and her remuneration did not vary with work done, so the amount of a week's pay is the amount payable by the respondent under the claimant's contract if she worked her normal working hours in a week (ERA 1996). Given the findings regarding the claimant's length of service, annual agreed salary and age at the termination, the calculation is as follows:

A week's pay =	£350		
Number of years' service =	23, capped at 20		
12 years over the age of 41:	12 x 1.5 x 350 =	£6,300	
PLUS 8 years under 41:	8 x 1 x 350 =	<u>£2,800</u>	
Total SRP		<u>£9,100</u>	

15. As the figure for a week's pay is below the cap at the material time, the cap has no application.

Breach of Contract: Failure to provide statutory minimum notice

16. The respondent did not provide the claimant with the statutory minimum or any notice of termination. Under her contract of employment, the claimant was entitled to 12 weeks' notice by virtue of a term incorporated by section 86(4) of ERA. The respondent breached the claimant's contract by failing to provide such notice and the claimant is entitled to damages in respect of the breach.

17. As the claimant had normal working hours, and her remuneration did not vary during those hours with the amount of work done or the time of work, a week's pay for the purposes of the notice period falls to be calculated as the amount payable under her contract if she worked throughout normal working hours in a week.

18. The claimant made reasonable efforts to mitigate her losses but received no replacement income during the 12 week period.

19. Therefore, her damages resulting from the respondent's breach are calculated as:

A week's pay = £350
multiplied by 12 (weeks' statutory notice)
= **£4,200**

Accrued untaken holidays

5 20. As at 14 June 2020, the claimant had not used her whole statutory annual
leave entitlement for the leave year commencing 14 June 2020. She had
used one week's annual leave. She was entitled to 5.6 weeks' annual leave
in total for the leave year. Her accrued outstanding entitlement in lieu of
annual leave at termination is calculated as follows:

10 4.6 weeks multiplied by £350 per week = **£1,610** (gross).

15

Employment Judge:
Date of Judgment:
Date sent to parties:

L Murphy
27 April 2021
28 April 2021

20