

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant:	Alexander Agombar
Respondent:	Lookers Pic
Heard at:	East London Hearing Centre On: 7 May 2021
Before:	Employment Judge S Knight
Representation	
Claimant: Respondent:	Unrepresented, in attendance Stuart Sanders (Littleton Chambers)

JUDGMENT

- 1. The Claimant was unfairly dismissed by the Respondent.
- 2. The Respondent is ordered to pay the Claimant £5,997.20.
- 3. For the purposes of the <u>Employment Protection (Recoupment of Benefits)</u> <u>Regulations 1996</u>:
 - (1) The total monetary award for unfair dismissal is £5,997.20.
 - (2) The prescribed element is £1,925.
 - (3) The prescribed element relates to 1 August 2020 to 7 May 2021.
 - (4) The amount by which the total monetary award for unfair dismissal exceeds the prescribed element is £4,072.21.

REASONS ON REMEDY

Introduction

The parties

1. The Claimant was employed by the Respondent between 16 January 2017 and 31 July 2020 as a Sales Executive at the Respondent's Colchester branch. The Respondent is a motor retail and aftersales service provider, with branches across the United Kingdom.

The claims

- 2. The Claimant claims for unfair dismissal. The Respondent says the dismissal was fair and that it was for reasons of redundancy.
- 3. On 18 September 2020 ACAS was notified under the early conciliation procedure. On 9 October 2020 ACAS issued the early conciliation certificate. On 20 November 2020 the ET1 Claim Form was presented. On or around 21 January 2021 the ET3 Response Form was sent to the Tribunal.

Procedure, documents, and evidence heard

Procedure

- 4. This has been a remote hearing which has been consented to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was "*V*: video whether partly (someone physically in a hearing centre) or fully (all remote)". A face-to-face hearing was not held because it was not practicable due to the COVID-19 pandemic and no-one requested the same.
- 5. All participants attended the hearing through Cloud Video Platform.
- 6. At the start of the hearing I checked whether any reasonable adjustments were required. Those in attendance confirmed that none were required.

Documents

- 7. I was provided with an agreed Hearing Bundle comprising 270 pages.
- 8. Witness statements were provided separately from the Claimant, Peter Eldred, Steven Thompson, and Terry Bradshaw.

Evidence

9. At the hearing I heard evidence under affirmation from all of the witnesses. Each of the witnesses adopted their witness statements. Each witness was cross-examined in turn, and expanded upon their witness statements.

Closing submissions

10. Both parties made oral closing submissions. The Respondent provided written calculations in relation to remedy.

Judgment

11. At the conclusion of the hearing I gave a judgment orally on liability, and the principles for calculating remedy. I reserved my judgment in respect of the calculation of the remedy itself. No written reasons have been requested in respect of the judgment on liability.

Conclusions

Principles of calculation

- 12. This was a redundancy exercise which involved a real redundancy situation. As 2 out of 11 employees were to be dismissed in any event, there was (all other things being equal) approximately an 18% chance that the Claimant would be dismissed fairly if a fair procedure had been adopted or if selection criteria had been fairly applied to the Claimant. However, not all other things were equal. The Claimant did fall towards the bottom of the grading. I conclude that there was a 25% chance that the Claimant would have been dismissed anyway.
- 13. I conclude that it would not be appropriate to increase any award due to a failure to comply with the ACAS Code of Practice in relation to the Claimant's grievance.
- 14. The parties agree that the Claimant has mitigated his loss.
- 15. The parties agree that the Claimant's remedy should not be reduced due to contributory fault.
- 16. The Claimant is entitled to a Basic Award and a Compensatory Award.
- 17. The parties agree that the Basic Award is cancelled out by the redundancy payment the Claimant received.
- 18. The Compensatory Award is composed of a Prescribed Element (which compensates for losses up to the date of the hearing) and a Non-Prescribed Element (which compensates for other losses).
- 19. The Claimant's net pay in employment was £28,303 per year. That is £544.29 per week net.
- 20. The Claimant got a new job after dismissal. The Claimant says that since his dismissal he has worked for 28 weeks over a period of 31 weeks, and that he has received 28 payslips in that time.
- 21. The Claimant therefore says that his average weekly wage in his new job should be calculated as the total earnings over 31 weeks divided by 31 weeks.
- 22. In contrast, the Respondent says that the Claimant's average weekly wage in his

new job should be calculated as the total earnings over 31 weeks divided by the 28 weeks that they say he actually worked.

- 23. One of the missing payslips is 23 December 2020. It is clear from the cumulative total earnings on the payslip dated 16 December 2020 that the Claimant had earned £11,844.51 gross up to that date. The payslip on 30 December 2020, issued for the period 24-30 December 2020, relates to £448.80 gross. However, the cumulative total earnings on 30 December 2020 was £12,742.11. That is more than the figure for the cumulative total on 16 December 2020 and the earnings for 24-30 December 2020. As such, it is clear that the Claimant did earn in the period of 17-23 December 2020.
- 24. However, the Claimant has not disclosed his net earnings for the week of 17-23 December 2020. As such, it is impossible to include this figure in the total earnings in order to calculate the average earnings. I conclude that to reach the average weekly earnings it is just and equitable to disregard the week of 17-23 December 2020 from both the total earnings and the number of weeks that the earnings are divided by.
- 25. The other two missing payslips are for 10 February 2021 and 17 February 2021. It is clear from the cumulative total earnings on his payslips that he did not earn anything during those two weeks. On 3 February 2021 the payslip's cumulative total gross pay to date was £15,313.71. The payslip 3 weeks later on 24 February 2021 (for 1 week, 18-24 February 2021) was for £224.40. That would bring his cumulative total gross pay to £15,538.11. That is precisely the figure that appears for cumulative gross pay on the 24 February 2021 payslip. As such, it is clear that the Claimant did not earn in the period of 4-17 February 2021 (2 weeks).
- 26. As such, the average weekly earnings for the Claimant in his new job will be calculated by dividing his total net earnings (excluding those of the week of 17-23 December 2020) by 28 weeks.
- 27. The Claimant now works 10% less than he did, as he has a half day off a week. He agrees he should give 10% credit for this.
- 28. The Claimant is paid on top of his wages, as an expense, the cost of using a car in his new job. This merely compensates him for a new expense he is forced to incur. As such, the mileage in his new job will be discounted from the calculation of his wages earned in his new job.
- 29. In his new job, the Claimant's net average annual pay calculated on this basis is £25,838.06. This is £496.89 per week.
- 30. The difference between the Claimant's net pay from the Respondent and in his new job is \pounds 544.29 \pounds 496.89 = \pounds 47.40 per week.

Calculation of award

- 31. It was 40 weeks from the dismissal to the Tribunal hearing. That is a loss of 40 x \pounds 47.40 = £1,896.
- 32. The Claimant lost £670.66 net in pension contributions.

- 33. That is a total Prescribed Element of £2,566.
- 34. That is then reduced by 25% to take account of the chance of dismissal fairly.

35. Therefore, the Prescribed Element is £1,925.

- 36. The Claimant will be awarded £500 for loss of statutory rights / statutory protection.
- 37. The Claimant's future loss will be calculated based on it taking 2 years to reach his former level of earnings.
- 38. That is 104 x £47.40 = £4,929.60
- 39. That is a Non-Prescribed Element of £5,429.
- 40. That is then reduced by 25% to take account of the chance of dismissal fairly.
- 41. The Non-Prescribed Element is £4,072.20
- 42. The Compensatory Award is £5,997.20.

Employment Judge Stephen Knight Date 12 May 2021

Case Number: 3219878/2020 V

ANNEX 1: REMEDY CALCULATIONS

FINDINGS OF FACT	00/00/4004		Fill in only	y the yellow cells.	Workings	
Date of birth		Age at EDT	CDT	29	Years:Weeks Calculation 10.5 weeks per full year wo	orked under 22:
Date of start of employment		Full years of service at	EDI	3	1 week per full year worked	d between 22 and 41;
Effective date of termination ("EDT")		Pay frequency		Weekly	1.5 weeks per full year wor	ked 41 or older.
Gross Weekly Pay		Additional Award made Compensatory Award u		No No	ERA ss 100(1)(a); 100(1)(b	b): 102A: 124/1A)
Net Weekly Pay	LJ44.23	Automatically unfair, wi		No	ERA ss 100(1)(a); 100(1)(b	
		Automatically unfair for		No	210100 100(1)(0), 100(1)(0	,, 101/(d), 102(1), 10
	-	protornationally unital for	blacklisting:	140		
STATUTORY CAPS AND MINIMA			Fill in only	y the yellow cells.		
Always required		Required where listed		, and Jonion contor		
Max weekly gross wage at EDT	£538.00	Max compensatory awa	ard at EDT	£88,519.00		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Max compensatory awa		£27,976.00		
BASIC AWARD		·		y the yellow cells.		
Cross Wages	Monthly	Weekly £538.00	No of Weeks 3.0	Total	Running total	
Gross Wages	£2,331.33	1000	3.0	£1,614.00	£1,614.00	
Subtract						
Unreasonable refusal of reinstatement		s 122(1)		£0.00	£1,614.00	
Conduct / contributory fault		s 122(2) & 3	0%	£0.00	£1,614.00	
Redundancy payment		s 122(2) & 3	0,0	£1,614.00	£0.00	
NET BASIC AWARD		5 122(4)		£0.00	-ve value is subtracted from	m Comp. Award
				20.00		n comp. / undra
COMPENSATORY AWARD			Fill in only	y the yellow cells.		
		•		, ,		
Prescribed Element						
Loss of wages inc taxable benefits to						
Dismissal to new job / hearing	Monthly	Weekly	No of Weeks	Total		
Net average lost wages in old job	£2,358.59) £544.29	0.0000	£0.00	а	
New job (if applicable) to hearing	Monthly	Mockly	No of Weeks	Total		
Wages & benefits earned in new job	£2,153,19	Weekly £496.89	40.0000	Total £19,875.60		
Net average lost wages	£205.40		40.0000	£1,896.00	b	
net aronago loot nagoo	2200.10	211.10		21,000.00	D	
Total Lost Wages to Hearing				£1,896.00	a+b	
					Running total	
Lost ERS pension contributions	Monthly	Weekly	No of Weeks	Total		
Difference between old and new jobs				£670.66	£2,566.66	
Other employment benefits lost		£0.00	0.0000			
0.00	£0.00	£0.00	0.0000	£0.00		
Out the set						
Subtract				00.00		
Wages / money in lieu of notice				£0.00	£2,566.66	
Actual total lost wages				£2,566.66	£2,566.66	
Actual total lost wages				22,000.00	12,300.00	
Adjust by subtracting or adding, as a	appropriate					
			Multiplier	Total		
Chance of dismissal anyway with fair pro		Polkey	25%	£641.67	£1,925.00	
ACAS Code breach increase / reduction	1	s.124A	0%	£0.00	£1,925.00	
Conduct / contributory fault		s.123(6)	0%	£0.00	£1,925.00	
PRESCRIBED ELEMENT				£1,925.00	c	
Non-Prescribed Element		1				
Estimated future loss of wages (allow	ving for failure to	mitigate)				
	Monthly	Weekly	No of Weeks	Total	Running total	
Weeks to restoration of old wage level			104.0000			
Wages earned in new job	£2,153.19	£496.89				
Net average lost wages	£205.40) £47.40		£4,929.60	£4,929.60	
Loss of other benefits				£0.00	£4,929.60	
Loss of pension rights	£0.00	£0.00	0.0000	£0.00	£4,929.60	
Loss of statutory protection				£500.00	£5,429.60	
Loss of right to long notice				£0.00	£5,429.60	
Expenses in looking for work				£0.00	£5,429.60	
Total				£5,429.60		
Adjust by subtracting or adding, as a	annronristo					
Adjust by subtracting or adding, as a	ippropriate		Multiplier	Total		
Any other payment by Respondent (exc	ept excess of redu	ndancy payment)	multipilei	£0.00	£5,429.60	
Chance of dismissal anyway with fair pr	ocedure	Polkey	25%	£1,357.40	£4,072.20	
Reduction for accelerated receipt		@50% of current bank interes		£0.00	£4,072.20 £4,072.20	
ACAS Code breach increase / reduction	ı (-25% to +25%)	s.124A	0%	£0.00	£4,072.20 £4,072.20	
Failure to provide employment contract:	0, 2, or 4 weeks'	EA s 38 & Sched. 5	0	£0.00	£4,072.20	
Protected disclosure not made in good f	aith (0% to 25%)	s.123(6A)	0%	£0.00	£4,072.20	
Conduct / contributory fault		s.123(6)	0%	£0.00	£4,072.20	
Excess of redundancy payment over ba	sic award	s.123(1) or (7)		£0.00	£4,072.20	
Gross up if over £30,000		e.g. use Finlay table		£0.00	£4,072.20	
NON-PRESCRIBED ELEMENT				£4,072.20	d	
				6		
COMPENSATORY AWARD				£5,997.20	c + d	
ADDITIONAL AWARD for non-re-ange	nement e 117(2)		- 10.1	with a wall come and		
ADDITIONAL AWARD for non-re-engage		Weekly		y the yellow cells. Total		
ADDITIONAL AWARD	Monthly £2,331.33	Weekly £538.00	No of Weeks	Total £0.00		
	12,001.00	1000.00	J	20.00		
	-		Fill in only	v the vellow celle		
			Fill in only	y the yellow cells. £0.00		
Basic Award			Fill in only	£0.00		
SUMMARY Basic Award Compensatory Award Additional Award			Fill in only			
Basic Award Compensatory Award Additional Award TOTAL MONETARY AWARD owed by	the Respondent		Fill in only	£0.00 £5,997.20 £0.00 £5,997.20		
Basic Award Compensatory Award	the Respondent	Element	Fill in only	£0.00 £5,997.20 £0.00		
Basic Award Compensatory Award Additional Award TOTAL MONETARY AWARD owed by	the Respondent er the Prescribed	Element	Fill in only	£0.00 £5,997.20 £0.00 £5,997.20		