

Ministry of Defence
DIO Accommodation
Customer Satisfaction Tracker



Ministry
of Defence

Q4 Report

Opinion Research Services
April 2021

Ministry of Defence DIO Accommodation Customer Satisfaction Tracker



Ministry of Defence

Q4 Report
by Opinion Research Services

Opinion Research Services

The Strand • Swansea • SA1 1AF
01792 535300 | www.ors.org.uk | info@ors.org.uk

As with all our studies, findings from this survey are subject to Opinion Research Services Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract

Any press release or publication of the findings of this survey requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation

This study was conducted in accordance with ISO 20252:2012

This version of the report will be deemed to have been accepted by the client if ORS has not been informed of any amendments within a reasonable period of time (1 month).

© Copyright April 2021

Contents

1. Project Overview	5
The Survey	5
Survey Methodology and Response.....	5
Interpretation of the Data.....	6
Acknowledgements	6
2. Executive Summary	7
Summary of Main Findings.....	7
Some Main Conclusions and Recommendations	8
Areas of High Performance	8
Areas for Consideration.....	8
Satisfaction Comparison.....	9
Dissatisfaction Comparison	10
3. Main Findings	11
Service Provided by Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Service Delivery (SD) Accommodation.	11
Rules That Govern Entitlement to Service Family Accommodation (SFA).....	13
Overall Quality of the Home.....	15
SFA Estate as a Place to Live.....	17
The Upkeep of Communal Areas and Grounds Maintenance.....	19
Daily Occupancy Charges and Value for Money	21
Arrangements for Allocating SFA	23
The Way the Move-In Was Dealt With.....	25
The Way the Contractor Deals with Repairs and Maintenance Issues	27
The Way the Move-Out Was Dealt With.....	29
The DIO SD Accommodation Listening to Views and Acting Upon Them	31
Table of Figures.....	33
Tables	33
Figures	33

The ORS Project Team

Project design, management, and reporting

Anna Shakeshaft

Alastair Layne

Fieldwork management

Robyn Griffiths

Data analysis

Richard Harris

Sheng Yang

Peter Maggs

1. Project Overview

The Survey

- 1.1 Opinion Research Services (ORS) was commissioned by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) to undertake a monthly satisfaction survey with customers living in Service Family Accommodation (SFA).

Survey Methodology and Response

- 1.2 Structured telephone interviews using a 'CATI' (Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing) system were undertaken with a randomly selected sample of customers living in SFA each month.
- 1.3 The quarter 4 survey was carried out by telephone between Thursday 7th January and Friday 19th March 2021 and 1,500 responses were achieved overall.
- 1.4 The tables that appear without commentary below and on the following page show the unweighted profiles of the responses to the survey (please note that the figures may not always sum to 100% due to rounding).

Table 1: Area – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)

Area	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
Central	431	29
South East	418	28
South West	572	38
Scotland and Northern Ireland	79	5
Total	1,500	100

Table 2: Age – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)

Age	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
16 - 24	39	3
25 - 34	578	39
35 - 44	655	44
Over 44	228	15
Total	1,500	100

Table 3: Rank – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)

Rank	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
Officers	326	22
Other Ranks	1,174	78
Total	1,500	100

Table 4: Month – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)

Month	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
January 2021	500	33
February 2021	500	33
March 2021	500	33
Total	1,500	100

Interpretation of the Data

- ^{1.5} Where percentages do not sum to 100, this may be due to computer rounding, the exclusion of “don’t know” categories, or multiple answers. Throughout the volume an asterisk (*) denotes any value less than half a per cent.
- ^{1.6} In some cases, figures of 2% or below have been excluded from graphs.
- ^{1.7} Graphics are used extensively in this report to make it as user friendly as possible. The pie charts and other graphics show the proportions (percentages) of customers making relevant responses. Where possible, the colours of the charts have been standardised with a ‘traffic light’ system in which:
- Green shades represent positive responses.
 - Yellow and beige shades represent neither positive nor negative responses.
 - Red shades represent negative responses.
 - Grey shades in sub-group demographic charts indicate that no significance test was carried due to low bases numbers
 - The darker shades used in the charts are to highlight responses at the extremes. For example, ‘very satisfied’ or ‘very dissatisfied.’
- ^{1.8} When considering changes in responses between different groups within the population, differences have been analysed using appropriate statistical means to check for statistical significance (i.e. not happened ‘by chance’). Differences that are not said to be ‘significant’ or ‘statistically significant’ are indicative only. Statistical significance is at a 95% level of confidence.

Acknowledgements

- ^{1.9} ORS would like to thank Jayne Smith and Brian Gallagher of the DIO for their help and assistance in developing the project. We would also like to thank the 1,500 customers who took part in the survey, without whose valuable input the research would not have been possible.

2. Executive Summary

Summary of Main Findings

- 2.1 The following paragraphs selectively highlight some key findings, but readers are referred to the detailed graphics for the full story. The suite of ORS reports also includes full cross tabulations.
- 2.2 Three in five (60%) customers are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors, whilst around 1 in 5 (21%) are dissatisfied. Taking everything into account, satisfaction in Q4 20/21 is now 6 percentage points lower than the rolling 12-month average of 66%, and is significantly lower than the previous quarter (Q3 in 20/21) by 5 percentage points.
- 2.3 Over four fifths (82%) of customers are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA, whilst just under 1 in 10 (9%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction in this area is now 1 percentage point lower than the rolling 12-month average of 83%.
- 2.4 Over 3 in 5 (62%) customers are satisfied with the overall quality of their home, whilst less than a quarter (23%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the overall quality of the home in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 4 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (66%), and now has a value that is 4 percentage points less than the rolling average for the 12-month period (66%).
- 2.5 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live, whilst 1 in 10 (10%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with SFA estate as a place to live in Q4 20/21 has decreased significantly by 3 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (84%), and is now 2 percentage points lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).
- 2.6 Seven in ten (70%) customers are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas (including grounds maintenance), under 1 in 5 (19%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the upkeep of communal areas in Q4 20/21 is lower by 2 percentage points than the Q3 20/21 value (72%) and lower by 3 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (73%).
- 2.7 Around 4 in 5 (78%) customers are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money, whilst around 1 in 10 (10%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction that daily occupancy charges provide value for money is lower than the previous quarter, having decreased by 1 percentage point and is now also 2 percentage points lower than the rolling 12-month average (80%).
- 2.8 Over two thirds (68%) customers are satisfied with the arrangements for allocating SFA, whilst around a fifth (19%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the arrangements for allocating SFA has decreased by 2 percentage points when compared with the previous quarter, Q3 20/21 (70%) and it is now lower by 1 percentage point than the rolling 12-month average (69%).
- 2.9 Four fifths (80%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst around 1 in 7 (14%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction with the way their Move In was dealt with has increased by 1 percentage point from the previous quarter, and is now a percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average (79%).

- 2.10 Over 2 in 5 (41%) of customers are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues, and less than half (45%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues in Q4 20/21 is lower by 3 percentage points than the Q3 20/21 value (44%), and is now significantly lower by 5 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (46%).
- 2.11 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with, whilst 1 in 10 (10%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction with the way their Move Out was dealt with in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (81%) and is now 1 percentage point below the rolling average for the 12-month period (82%).
- 2.12 Over two in five (41%) customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them, whilst a third (33%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 7 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (48%) and is now significantly lower by 7 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (48%).

Main Conclusions and Recommendations

- 2.13 The most positive indicators have been outlined below as well as some areas for consideration. Please bear in mind that these comparisons of 'best' and 'worst' rankings are an arbitrary indication of performance.

Areas of High Performance

- 2.14 Satisfaction in Q4 20/21 was higher than the 12-month rolling average for just 1 of 11 questions asked in the survey, (with satisfaction being lower than the rolling average on the other questions), as shown in Table 5.
- 2.15 The top performing areas in satisfaction in Q4 overall were: rules that govern customers entitlement to SFA (82%), the way customers Move Out was dealt with and SFA estate as a place to live (both 81%, respectively), and the way customers Move In was dealt with (80%).

Areas for Consideration

- 2.16 Around 2 in 5 (41%) customers continue to be satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues, which in Q4 20/21 is now significantly below the rolling 12-month average by 5 percentage points.
- 2.17 The same proportion (41%) of customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them, which is significantly below the 12-month rolling average by 7 percentage points.
- 2.18 When considering responses to all questions, those customers who are Officers, or customers who live in South East or South West areas tend to be less satisfied in comparison to customers who are from 'other' ranks.

Satisfaction Comparison

2.19 The table below shows how satisfaction for each question compares over quarter 4 and to the rolling 12-month average.

Table 5: How satisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average

Question	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4 average	Rolling 12-month average
Overall service	62%	60%	59%	60%	66%
Rules that govern customers entitlement to SFA	85%	82%	80%	82%	83%
Overall quality of your home	63%	59%	63%	62%	66%
SFA estate as a place to live	81%	81%	80%	81%	83%
Upkeep of communal areas	73%	65%	72%	70%	73%
Daily occupancy charges provide value for money	74%	80%	82%	78%	80%
The arrangements for allocating SFA to customers	73%	66%	66%	68%	69%
The way customers Move In was dealt with	85%	76%	78%	80%	79%
The way the contractor deals with repairs & maintenance issues	43%	38%	41%	41%	46%
The way customers Move Out was dealt with	81%	79%	82%	81%	82%
Listen to customers views and acts upon them	43%	40%	42%	41%	48%

Base: All customers (base size varies)

Dissatisfaction Comparison

^{2.20} The table below shows how dissatisfaction for each question compares over quarter 4 and to the rolling 12-month average.

Table 6: How dissatisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average

Question	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	Q4 average	Rolling 12-month average
Overall service	18%	23%	21%	21%	17%
Rules that govern customers entitlement to SFA	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%
Overall quality of your home	23%	25%	22%	23%	21%
SFA estate as a place to live	9%	11%	11%	10%	9%
Upkeep of communal areas	18%	22%	17%	19%	17%
Daily occupancy charges provide value for money	13%	10%	8%	10%	11%
The arrangements for allocating SFA to customers	14%	22%	21%	19%	19%
The way customers Move In was dealt with	8%	19%	15%	14%	15%
The way the contractor deals with repairs & maintenance issues	44%	48%	43%	45%	40%
The way customers Move Out was dealt with	6%	12%	11%	10%	10%
Listen to customers views and acts upon them	32%	35%	34%	33%	29%


Base: All customers (base size varies)

3. Main Findings

Service Provided by Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Service Delivery (SD) Accommodation

- 3.1 Three in five (60%) customers are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors, whilst around 1 in 5 (21%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 5 (19%) are also neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 1: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its Contractors?




Base: All Customers (1,498)

Differences by sub-group

- 3.2 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.3 In figure 2 overleaf, it can be seen that customers who live in Central areas or customers from 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors. In contrast, customers who live in the South West, or those who are Officers are significantly less likely to be satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors.


Figure 2: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.4 Satisfaction with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors in Q4 in 20/21 is now 6 percentage points lower than the rolling 12-month average of 66%, and it is significantly lower than the previous quarter (Q3 in 20/21) by 5 percentage points.

Figure 3: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

Rules That Govern Entitlement to Service Family Accommodation (SFA)

3.5 Over four fifths (82%) of customers are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA, whilst just under one in ten (9%) are dissatisfied. Less than 1 in 10 (9%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 4: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA?




Base: All customers (1,488)

Differences by sub-group

- 3.6 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.7 It can be seen in figure 5 that there are no sub-groups that are either significantly more or significantly less likely to be satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA.


Figure 5: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.8 Satisfaction with the rules that govern customers’ entitlement to SFA in Q4 20/21 has shown a decrease of 1 percentage point from the Q3 20/21 value (83%) and is now 1 percentage point below the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).

Figure 6: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

Overall Quality of the Home

3.9 More than 3 in 5 (62%) customers are satisfied with the overall quality of their home, whilst less than a quarter (23%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 7 (15%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 7: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home?




Base: All customers (1,500)

Differences by sub-group

- 3.10 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the overall quality of their home. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.11 In figure 8 overleaf, we can see that customers who are aged 35-44 are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the overall quality of their home. In contrast, customers who are aged 25-34, and those who live in the South West are significantly less likely to be satisfied with the overall quality of their home.


Figure 8: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.12 Satisfaction with the overall quality of the home in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 4 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (66%) and now has a value that is also 4 percentage points below the rolling average for the 12-month period (66%).

Figure 9: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

SFA Estate as a Place to Live

3.13 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live, whilst one in ten (10%) are dissatisfied. Less than 1 in 10 (9%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 10: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live?




Base: All customers (1,497)

Differences by sub-group

3.14 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.15 In figure 11 overleaf, it can be seen that customers who live in Central areas are significantly more likely to be satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live.


Figure 11: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.16 Satisfaction with SFA estate as a place to live in Q4 20/21 has decreased significantly by 3 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (84%) and is now 2 percentage points lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).

Figure 12: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

The Upkeep of Communal Areas and Grounds Maintenance

3.17 Seven in ten (70%) customers are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas (including grounds maintenance) and less than 1 in 5 (19%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 8 (12%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 13: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance?




Base: All customers who share communal areas (1,442)

Differences by sub-group

3.18 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.19 In figure 14 overleaf, it can be seen that those who live in South West areas, customers who are aged 16-34, and are of 'other' ranks, are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas, whilst customers who live in the South East area, customers who are aged 35 and over, and customers who are officers are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 14: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who share communal areas (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.20 Satisfaction with the upkeep of communal areas in Q4 20/21 is 2 percentage points lower than the Q3 20/21 value (72%). It is 3 percentage points lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (73%), which is significantly lower.

Figure 15: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who share communal areas (base size varies)

Daily Occupancy Charges and Value for Money

3.21 Around 4 in 5 (78%) customers are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money, whilst 1 in 10 (10%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 10 (11%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 16: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money?




Base: All customers (1,492)

Differences by sub-group

3.22 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.23 It can be seen in figure 17 that there are no sub-groups that are either significantly more or significantly less likely to be satisfied with their daily occupancy charges provide value for money


Figure 17: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.25 Customers’ satisfaction that daily occupancy charges provide value for money is lower by 1 percentage point than the previous quarter and is now also 2 percentage points lower than the rolling 12-month average (80%).

Figure 18: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

Arrangements for Allocating SFA

3.26 Over two thirds (68%) of customers are satisfied with the arrangements for allocating SFA, whilst around a fifth (19%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 8 (13%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 19: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you?




Base: All customers (1,493)

Differences by sub-group

3.27 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the arrangements of allocating SFA to them. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.28 Figure 20 overleaf shows that customers who are 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the arrangements of allocating SFA to them. In contrast, customers who are officers, are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 20: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.29 Satisfaction with the arrangements for allocating SFA in Q4 20/21 has shown a decrease of 2 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (70%), and now has a value that is just 1 percentage point lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (69%).

Figure 21: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

The Way the Move-In Was Dealt With

3.30 Four in five (80%) customers are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst around 1 in 7 (14%) are dissatisfied. Around 1 in 20 (6%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 22: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with?




Base: All customers (1,483)

Differences by sub-group

- 3.31 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.32 In figure 23 overleaf, it can be seen that customers who live in Central areas, and customers aged 35-44 are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst customers aged 25-34 are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 23: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.33 Customers’ satisfaction with the way their Move In was dealt with has increased by 1 percentage point compared to the previous quarter, Q3 20/21 (79%) and continues to be a percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average (79%).

Figure 24: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

The Way the Contractor Deals with Repairs and Maintenance Issues

3.34 More than 2 in 5 (41%) customers are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues, and less than half (45%) are dissatisfied. Over one eighth (14%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 25: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues?




Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (1,492)

Differences by sub-group

3.35 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.36 In figure 26 overleaf, we can see that customers who live in the Central areas and those who are in 'other ranks' are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance. In contrast, customers who are officers and customers who live in the South West area are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 26: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.37 Satisfaction with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues in Q4 20/21 is lower by 3 percentage points than the Q3 20/21 value (44%) and also significantly lower by 5 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (46%).

Figure 27: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (base size varies)

The Way the Move-Out Was Dealt With

3.38 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with, whilst one in ten (10%) are dissatisfied. Less than 1 in 10 (9%) are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 28: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with?




Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (1,055)

Differences by sub-group

3.39 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.40 In figure 29 overleaf, we can see that customers who are aged 35-44 are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with. No significance test was carried out on the variable 'aged 16-24' due to the small sample size.


Figure 29: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (base size varies)

3.41 Customers’ satisfaction with the way their Move Out was dealt with in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (81%) and is now 1 percentage point below the rolling average for the 12-month period (82%).

Figure 30: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (base size varies)

The DIO SD Accommodation Listening to Views and Acting Upon Them

3.42 Just over two in five (41%) customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them, whilst a third (33%) are dissatisfied. A quarter (25%) said that they are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 31: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them?




Base: All customers (1,343)

Differences by sub-group

3.43 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.44 In figure 32 overleaf, we can see that customers who are 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them. In contrast, customers who are officers are significantly less likely to be satisfied.


Figure 32: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? (by sub-groups)



Base All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.45 Customers’ satisfaction that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them in Q4 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 7 percentage points from the Q3 20/21 value (48%) and is now also significantly lower by 7 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (48%).

Figure 33: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? Trend over last 12 months



Base: All customers (base size varies)

Table of Figures

Tables

Table 1: Area – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	5
Table 2: Age – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	5
Table 3: Rank – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	6
Table 4: Month – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	6
Table 5: How satisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average.....	9
Table 6: How dissatisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average.....	10

Figures

Figure 1: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its Contractors?	11
Figure 2: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? (by sub-groups).....	12
Figure 3: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? Trend over last 12 months.....	12
Figure 4: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA?.....	13
Figure 5: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? Trend over last 12 months.....	14
Figure 6: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home?.....	15
Figure 7: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? (by sub-groups).....	16
Figure 8: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? Trend over last 12 months.....	16
Figure 9: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live?	17
Figure 10: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? (by sub-groups).....	18
Figure 11: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? Trend over last 12 months	18
Figure 12: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance?	19
Figure 13: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? (by sub-groups).....	20
Figure 14: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? Trend over last 12 months.....	20
Figure 15: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money?.....	21
Figure 16: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? (by sub-groups).....	22
Figure 17: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? Trend over last 12 months	22
Figure 18: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you?.....	23
Figure 19: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? (by sub-groups).....	24
Figure 20: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? Trend over last 12 months.....	24
Figure 21: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with?	25

Figure 22: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? (by sub-groups)	26
Figure 23: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months	26
Figure 24: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues?	27
Figure 25: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? (by sub-groups)	28
Figure 26: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? Trend over last 12 months	28
Figure 27: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with?	29
Figure 28: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? (by sub-groups)	30
Figure 29: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months	30
Figure 30: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them?	31
Figure 31: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? (by sub-groups)	32
Figure 32: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? Trend over last 12 months	32