Case No: 3316118/2019



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Ehsan Pishdad

Respondent:	SMJ Holdings Ltd		
Heard at:	Watford (via CVP)	On:	6 April 2021
Before:	Employment Judge Sh	nastri-Hurst	
Representation Claimant: Respondent:	In person Mrs Kay Entwhistle		
COVID-19 Statement on behalf of Sir Keith Lindblom, Senior President of Tribunals "This has been a remote hearing which has not been objected to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was V (a video hearing by Cloud Video Platform). A face to face hearing was not held because it was not practicable and no-one requested the same.			
JUDGMENT			
1. The Claimant's claim for holiday pay is well-founded;			
2. The Claimant's claim for breach of contract/notice pay is well-founded;			
3. The Respondent is ordered to pay the following sums:a. Sum for holiday pay: £250.92;b. Damages for breach of contract: £139.40.			
 The sums at paragraph 3 are both gross sums (the total being £392.32). Any liability for tax on those figures will be the responsibility of the Claimant. 			
	Date7 th A	Judge Shashtri-Hopril 2021	

....4th May 2021...... THY

Claimant:

Case No: 3316118/2019

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Notes

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.